## U.S. Department of Agriculture
### Indiana Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program
#### CP23A Non-Floodplain Wetland Restoration

**Documentation of Suitability and Feasibility Worksheet (Version 2.1 May 2019)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Client:</th>
<th>Client Phone Number: ( )________ - __________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Client email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm Number:</td>
<td>Field Number(s):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract Number:</td>
<td>Location Description:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refer to the Worksheet Instructions for guidance on completing a Suitability and Feasibility Determination.*

**CP23A, Wetland Restoration, Non-Floodplain Practice Purpose:** Restore the functions and values of wetland ecosystems that have been devoted to agricultural use.

### Element #1 Site Conditions/Program Requirements
Identify if offer area meets CREP CP23A site condition criteria by checking the following:

*Refer to Worksheet Instructions for the definition of a 100-year floodplain.

- Offer is physically located in an eligible Indiana 8-digit watershed. Eligible watersheds are Tippecanoe, Upper White River, Highland/Pigeon, Upper Wabash, Middle Wabash-Deer, Middle Wabash-Little Vermillion, Middle Wabash-Busseron, Lower Wabash, Lower White, Lower East Ford White, and Upper East Fork White.
- Offer includes a qualifying wetland. Indicate below if one of the qualifying wetlands are present.
  *Refer to worksheet instructions for definitions of 100-year floodplain.
  - Offer contains a wetland currently devoted to agricultural use that is located outside of the 100-year floodplain of a permanent river or stream.
  - Offer includes a buffer that does not exceed the ratio of 4 acres of buffer to 1 acre of wetland.

Current Cover Type in Offer Area: ___________________________________________________________

Current Land Use in Offer Area: ___________________________________________________________

- [ ] YES – All Site Condition Referenced Above is Met  [ ] NO – Site Conditions Not Met

### Element #2 Practice Needs
Is there a resource concern within the offer area that is targeted by CP23A per the practice purpose? *If existing cover is solving the resource concern(s) in the practice purpose, then the determination must be that the CP is not needed. Reference Worksheet Instructions for guidance on CREP contract re-enrollment.*

Indicate which NRCS resource concern causes are present within the offer area:

**Primary Resource Concern Cause (A minimum of 1 of the following must be present to meet practice need)**

- Water Quality Degradation: Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters
- Water Quality Degradation: Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters
- Water Quality Degradation: Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications
- Water Quality Degradation: Excessive salts in surface and ground waters
- Water Quality Degradation: Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to receiving waters
- Water Quality Degradation: Excessive sediment in surface waters
- Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: Habitat degradation
- Excess Water: Flooding

- [ ] YES – Practice is Needed  [ ] NO – Practice Not Needed
**Element #3 Practice Feasibility**

Will the implementation of CP23A solve or significantly improve the resource concern(s) listed in the practice purpose?

*Offer must include an area to establish a buffer for protection of the wetland and to enhance wildlife habitat. Feasibility not met if buffer area not included in offer.*

☐ YES – Practice is Feasible

☐ NO – Practice is Not Feasible

**Element #4 Practice Suitability**

Are the offered acres suitable for installation of the NRCS conservation practices needed to apply CP23A?

*The land must be suitable and adapted to the restoration of wetland functions and values. The restoration of wetland hydrology is only required to the extent specified by the producer in consultation with NRCS or TSP.*

☐ YES – Practice is Suitable

☐ NO – Practice is Not Suitable

**Suitability and Feasibility Determination Findings:**

☐ The location and size of the offered acres as shown on the CRP-2C map meet all four elements of the Suitability and Feasibility determination.

☐ The offer DOES NOT meet the Suitability and Feasibility requirements. Check the element(s) that were not met.

☐ Site Conditions/Program Requirements

☐ Practice Needs

☐ Practice Feasibility

☐ Practice Suitability

☐ Modification of the offer (either location, size or practice) would result in meeting all four S&F determination elements. See documentation.

**Documentation:**

Provide information supporting the determination of each element in this section. Conservation Planner has attached or provided to FSA (check all that applies):

☐ Notes on form NRCS CPA-6

☐ Photos

☐ Other: __________________________

☐ Map

☐ Electronic File with GPS Points or GIS Shapefile

Field Visit Completed by: __________________________      Date:_____________ Date returned to FSA:____________

Suitability and Feasibility Determination Completed by: ______________________________