**U.S. Department of Agriculture**

**Conservation Reserve Program CP39 FWP Constructed Wetland**

**Documentation of Suitability and Feasibility Worksheet (Version 2.0 June 2018)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Client:</th>
<th>Client Phone Number: ( _______ ) ____________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Client email:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm Number:</td>
<td>Field Number(s):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract Number:</td>
<td>Location Description:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refer to the Worksheet Instructions for guidance on completing a Suitability and Feasibility Determination.

**CP39, FWP Constructed Wetland Practice Purpose:** Develop a constructed wetland to treat effluent from surface and subsurface flow from row crop agricultural production. The constructed wetland system is designed to reduce nutrient and sediment loading and provide other water quality benefits while providing wildlife habitat.

**Element #1 Site Conditions/Program Requirements**
Identify if offer area meets CP39 site condition criteria by checking the following:

- □ Site location for constructed wetland in the offer has a minimum of 25 percent of the upstream watershed comprised of row crop agricultural drained land (see worksheet instructions for definition).
- □ Offer including the constructed wetland and buffer is less than or equal to 40 acres.
- □ Offer contains a buffer area that is not less than 2:1 and not great than 4:1, buffer to constructed wetland ratio.

Current Cover Type in Offer Area: ___________________________________________________________

Current Land Use in Offer Area: _____________________________________________________________

- □ YES – All Site Conditions Referenced Above are Met
- □ NO – Site Conditions Not Met

**Element #2 Practice Needs/Site Requirements**
Is there a resource concern within the offer area that is targeted by CP39 per the practice purpose?

* A minimum of 1 “Water Quality Degradation” resource concern cause must be present in offer area to meet practice need.
* If existing cover is solving the resource concern(s) in the practice purpose, then the determination must be that the CP is not needed. Reference Worksheet Instructions for guidance on CRP contract re-enrollment.

Indicate which NRCS resource concern causes are present within the offer area:

- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excessive salts in surface and ground waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to receiving waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excessive sediment in surface waters
- □ Soil Erosion: Concentrated flow erosion
- □ Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: Habitat degradation
- □ Excess Water: Flooding

- □ YES – Practice is Needed
- □ NO – Practice Not Needed

**Element #3 Practice Feasibility**
Will the implementation of CP39 solve or significantly improve the resource concern(s) listed in the practice purpose?

- □ YES – Practice is Feasible
- □ NO – Practice is Not Feasible
Element #4 Practice Suitability
Are the offered acres suitable for installation of the NRCS conservation practices needed to apply CP39?

☐ YES – Practice is Suitable    ☐ NO – Practice is Not Suitable

Suitability and Feasibility Determination Findings:

☐ The location and size of the offered acres as shown on the CRP-2C map meet all four elements of the Suitability and Feasibility determination.

☐ The offer DOES NOT meet the Suitability and Feasibility requirements. Check the element(s) that were not met.

☐ Site Conditions/Program Requirements
☐ Practice Needs
☐ Practice Feasibility
☐ Practice Suitability

☐ Modification of the offer (either location, size or practice) would result in meeting all four S&F determination elements. See documentation.

Documentation:
Provide information supporting the determination of each element in this section. Conservation Planner has attached or provided to FSA (check all that applies):

☐ Notes on form NRCS CPA-6    ☐ Map
☐ Photo’s    ☐ Electronic File with GPS Points or GIS Shapefile
☐ Other: __________________________

Field Visit Completed by: __________________________ Date: __________ Date returned to FSA: __________

Suitability and Feasibility Determination Completed by: __________________________