**U.S. Department of Agriculture**

**Conservation Reserve Program CP40 FWP Aquaculture Wetland Restoration**

**Documentation of Suitability and Feasibility Worksheet (Version 2.0 June 2018)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Client:</th>
<th>Client Phone Number: (      ) ______ - ________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Client email:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Number:</th>
<th>Field Number(s):</th>
<th>Location Description:</th>
<th>State:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tract Number:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>County:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refer to the Worksheet Instructions for guidance on completing a Suitability and Feasibility Determination.*

**CP40, FWP Aquaculture Wetland Restoration Practice Purpose:** To restore habitat or the functions and values of wetland ecosystems that have been devoted to commercial pond-raised aquaculture. Provide water cover for wildlife habitat.

**Element #1 Site Conditions/Program Requirements**
Identify if offer area meets CP40 site condition criteria by checking the following:

- □ Per site observation, offer is land that was devoted to commercial pond-raised aquaculture.
- □ Water cover will not exceed 20 percent of the total enrolled acreage.

Current Cover Type in Offer Area: ___________________________________________________________

Current Land Use in Offer Area: _____________________________________________________________

- □ YES – All Site Conditions Referenced Above are Met
- □ NO – Site Conditions Not Met

**Element #2 Practice Needs**
Is there a resource concern within the offer area that is targeted by CP40 per the practice purpose?
*If existing cover is solving the resource concern(s) in the practice purpose, then the determination must be that the CP is not needed. Reference Worksheet Instructions for guidance on CRP contract re-enrollment.*

Indicate which NRCS resource concern causes are present within the offer area:
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excessive salts in surface and ground waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to receiving waters
- □ Water Quality Degradation: Excessive sediment in surface waters
- □ Soil Erosion: Concentrated flow erosion
- □ Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: Habitat degradation
- □ Excess Water: Flooding

- □ YES – Practice is Needed
- □ NO – Practice Not Needed

**Element #3 Practice Feasibility**
Will the implementation of CP40 solve or significantly improve the resource concern(s) listed in the practice purpose?

- □ YES – Practice is Feasible
- □ NO – Practice is Not Feasible
**Element #4 Practice Suitability**
Are the offered acres suitable for installation of the NRCS conservation practices needed to apply CP40?  
*If the land is developed to provide water cover for wildlife habitat, the site must be suited to supply an average depth of 6 to 18 inches of water. The water area must provide a source of water for wildlife for a majority of the year.  
*Levees within offer must be suited to plant and establish appropriate vegetative or tree cover.

☐ YES – Practice is Suitable ☐ NO – Practice is Not Suitable

**Suitability and Feasibility Determination Findings:**

☐ The location and size of the offered acres as shown on the CRP-2C map meet all four elements of the Suitability and Feasibility determination.

☐ The offer DOES NOT meet the Suitability and Feasibility requirements. Check the element(s) that were not met.

☐ Site Conditions/Program Requirements  
☐ Practice Needs  
☐ Practice Feasibility  
☐ Practice Suitability

☐ Modification of the offer (either location, size or practice) would result in meeting all four S&F determination elements. See documentation.

**Documentation:**
Provide information supporting the determination of each element in this section.  
Conservation Planner has attached or provided to FSA (check all that applies):

☐ Notes on form NRCS CPA-6  
☐ Photo’s  
☐ Other: ____________________________  

☐ Map  
☐ Electronic File with GPS Points or GIS Shapefile

Field Visit Completed by: _______________________  Date: __________ Date returned to FSA: __________

Suitability and Feasibility Determination Completed by: _______________________