Iowa EQIP AFO Prescreening Tool – October 1, 2018

Instructions:
This Prescreening Tool will be completed for each eligible producer applying for EQIP assistance for a Livestock Waste Facility. The goal of this Prescreening Tool is to ensure that conservation technical assistance and EQIP program benefits are efficiently allocated to address priority conservation needs. Completion of this worksheet and documentation does not constitute agreement to provide EQIP program benefits or approval of an EQIP contract. The Prescreening Tool worksheet must be filed with the applicant case file or EQIP program file and the screening priority (High, Medium, and/or Low) will be recorded in ProTracts. Upon request, a copy of any completed Prescreening Tool may be provided to the applicant. **All applications must be entered in ProTracts, including priority determination and estimate of EQIP cost. High priority applications will be ranked. Medium priority applications will not be ranked until all High priority applications have been funded**. **Low Priority applications will not be ranked.**

Applicant Name: _____ County: _____
Application Number: _____ Field Office: _____
Evaluator Name: _____

For the purposes of defining the existing Animal Feeding Operation, this will include all contiguous (within 2,500 feet) existing feedlots that are treated and managed the same with the same ownership where manure is applied on the same acres. If a participant is following progressive implementation and is only addressing a portion of the resource concern, the existing resource concern with greatest impact will be addressed first. Magnitude of impact can be determined by closeness to water source(s), quantity of runoff, etc. Document reasons for selecting area of greatest resource concern in conservation notes.

A. The application is for a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) or a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) supporting a CNMP?

Yes – High Priority (STOP - screening completed) **No – Move to Question B**

B. The application is for an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) that existed for the 5 years before the beginning of the current fiscal year, and currently has an identified waste management related resource concern?

Yes - Move on to Question C. **No – Low Priority (STOP - screening completed)**

C. The applicant has received financial assistance to partially address this identified resource concern within the last 2 years previous to the start of the current fiscal year?

Yes – Low Priority (STOP - screening completed) **No – Move to Question D**

D. Matrix for application Priority:

***Area of Resource Concern Addressed (footprint of existing feedlots. (See note below)**	****Expansion (Animal Units)**	
	with up to 25% expansion	with >25% expansion
100% of the AFO area.	High priority	Medium priority
50%-99% of the AFO area.	Medium priority	Low priority
<50% of the AFO area.	Low priority	Low priority

***Additional Note: For progressive implementation, the percentage animal units to be addressed will be the same as the percentage of the area to be addressed, i.e. if 75% of the feedlot will be closed then 75% of the animal units will need to be moved to areas addressing the resource concern.**

****Calculations for expansion will be based on animal units that are part of addressing the resource concern.**

E. ***Manure Exporting - Application is for a Livestock Waste Facility where ALL or part of the manure is planned to be exported where there is an NRCS 590 Nutrient Management Plan, or State Nutrient Management Plan or Manure Management Plan for the land application of the manure?**

Yes – No change in Priority **No – Application Priority determined from questions D drops one Priority.**

Determination for ProTracts – Select One: High Priority___ Medium Priority___ Low Priority___

DC Approval_____ Date_____

- Manure Exporting is where the manure is transferred to land that is not owned or rented by the program applicant.