

Animal Enhancement Activity – ANM57 – Removal of all threats to sensitive wildlife species on the operation



Enhancement Description

This activity consists of implementing all activities required to address all identified threats to sensitive wildlife species on the operation.

Land Use Applicability

Cropland, Pastureland, Rangeland, Forestland

Benefits

Wildlife have diverse seasonal habitat requirements while requiring functional, intact habitats for survival. Implementing a suite of conservation activities simultaneously to address all known limitations maximizes benefits to the population.

Conditions Where Enhancement Applies

This enhancement applies to all crop, pasture, range, and forest land use acres.

Criteria

Implement each of the following:

1. Identify the targeted sensitive wildlife species.
2. Identify all threats or limitations affecting the targeted species habitat. Specific tools vary but many include Landscape Conservation Initiative developed threat checklists (sage grouse), Wildlife Habitat Appraisal Guides, Candidate Conservation Agreements, Safe Harbor Agreements, etc., as approved the by NRCS State Biologist.
3. Use Conservation Practice Standard (645) Upland Wildlife Habitat Management, as directed by the NRCS State Biologist, as the required umbrella practice to plan appropriate conservation activities.

Adoption Requirements

This enhancement will be considered adopted when all identified threats to the targeted, sensitive wildlife in control of the operator are addressed on the land use.

Documentation Requirements

1. A copy of the approved necessary conservation activities.
2. A map showing where all required conservation activities were implemented.
3. Photograph documentation of the applied conservation activities, including before and after pictures if removal of an item is required.

References

Baruch-Mordo S, J.S. Evans, J.P. Severson, D.E. Naugle, J.D. Maestas, J.M. Kiesecker, M.J. Falkowski, C.A Hagen, and K.P. Reese. 2013. Saving sage-grouse from the trees: a proactive solution to reducing a key threat to a



United States Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service

2015 Ranking Period 1

candidate species. *Biological Conservation* 167:233-241.

Boyd, C.S., J.L. Beck, and J.A. Tanaka. 2014. Livestock grazing and sage-grouse habitat: impacts and opportunities. *Journal of Rangeland Applications* 1:58-77.

NRCS, FWS. 2010. Conference Report for sage grouse.

[http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/public/UT/SG_Conference_Report_Final\(508Compliant\).pdf](http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/public/UT/SG_Conference_Report_Final(508Compliant).pdf)

Stevens, B.S., D.E. Naugle, B. Dennis, J.W. Connelly, T.V. Griffiths, and K.P. Reese, 2013. Mapping sage-grouse fence-collision risk, spatially explicit models for targeting conservation implementation. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 37:409–415.

Wolfe, D.H., M.A. Patten, E. Shochat, C.L. Pruett and S.K. Sherrod, 2007. Causes and patterns of mortality in lesser prairie-chickens *Tympanuchus pallidicinctus* and implications for management. *Wildlife Biology* 13:95-104.