

Washington NRCS FRPP Parcel Ranking worksheet

Parcel Name: _____ County: _____	Points	Max. Points
<p>1. <u>Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland in the parcel to be protected</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 0 points for 50 percent or less ▪ 6 points for every percent above 50 percent <p>(National Mandate – 0 points for 50% or less – Eligibility Criteria)</p>		300
<p>2. <u>Percent of cropland, pastureland, grassland, and rangeland in the parcel to be protected</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 0 points for 33 percent or less ▪ 1.5 points for every percent above 33 percent <p>(National Mandate – 0 points for 33% or less – Eligibility Criteria)</p>		100
<p>3. <u>Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 0 points for a ratio of less than 1 ▪ 50 points for ratios of 1.0 to 2.0 ▪ 100 points for ratios of greater than 2.0 <p>(National Mandate – 0 points for ratio of 1 or less)</p>		100
<p>4. <u>Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farmland in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 0 points for decrease of 0 percent or less ▪ 25 points for decreases of 1 to 4.9 percent ▪ 50 points for decrease of 5 to 9.9 percent ▪ 25 points for decreases of 10 to 14.9 percent ▪ 0 points for decreases of 15 percent or more <p>(National Mandate – 0 points for 0% or less)</p>		50
<p>5. <u>Percent population growth in the county as documented by the United States Census</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 0 points for growth rate of less than Washington’s growth rate ▪ 12 points for growth rate of more than 1 but less than 2 times Washington’s growth rate ▪ 25 points for growth rate of more than 2 but less than 3 times Washington’s growth rate ▪ 0 points for growth rate of more than 3 times Washington’s growth rate <p>(National Mandate – 0 points for growth rate less than the state growth rate)</p>		25
<p>6. <u>Population density of the county where the proposed easement area is located (population per square mile) as documented by the most recent United States Census</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 0 points for less than Washington’s pop. density ▪ 12 points for more than 1 but less than or equal to 2 times Washington’s pop. density ▪ 25 points for more than 2 but less than or equal to 3 times Washington’s pop. Density ▪ 0 points for population density of more than 3 times Washington’s pop. density <p>(National Mandate – 0 points for population density less than the state density)</p>		25
<p>7. <u>Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, including military installations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 50 points for 100 - 500 acres of protected land within one mile of the boundary of the parcel ▪ 75 points for 501 - 1000 acres of protected land within one mile of the boundary of the parcel ▪ 100 points for more than 1000 acres of protected land within one mile of the boundary of the parcel <p>(National Ranking Criteria)</p>		100
<p>8. <u>Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 50 points for 100-249 acres of other agricultural operations within one mile of the boundary of the parcel ▪ 100 points for 250-499 acres of other agricultural operations within one mile of the boundary of the parcel ▪ 200 points for more than 500 acres of other agricultural operations within one mile of 		200

the boundary of the parcel
(National Ranking Criteria)

State Ranking Criteria:

<p>9. Percent reduction in Average Farm Size in the County: Compare the average farm size from 1997 and 2007 Census of Agriculture as a percentage decrease from 1997 average farm size.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 25 points for 0 – 10% Decrease ▪ 50 points for 11% to 20% ▪ 75 points for 21% to 30%. ▪ 100 points for > 30% 		100
<p>10. Percent Increase in Population Density by County from the US Census data -2000 compared to 2010</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 50 points for 7.8% - 10.2% increase in county population ▪ 75 points for 10.3% – 13.2% increase in county population ▪ 100 points for > 13.2% increase in county population. 		100
<p>11. Parcel priority compared to other parcels submitted in the same ranking period by the same cooperating entity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 150 points for number 1 priority of the cooperating entity ▪ 100 points for number 2 priority of the cooperating entity ▪ 50 points for number 3 priority of the cooperating entity ▪ 0 points for cooperating entity priorities lower than their top three 		150
<p>12. Performance of the partner entity: Monitoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The partner entity on schedule with providing monitoring forms annually (not more than 1 year since last monitoring visit or forms received before Aug 15 of each year) – 100 points ▪ The partner entity of not on schedule with providing monitoring forms (more than one year since last monitoring site visit or forms not received before Aug 15 of each year.– 0 points 		100
<p>13. Parcel is identified as a Historical site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 50 points if on National or State Historic Register ▪ 0 points for “no” 		50
<p>14. Conservation Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 200 points for parcels that have a fully implemented resource management system (RMS) Plan ▪ 100 points for parcels that have developed and signed a resource management system (RMS) Plan 		200
Total Score		1600

Signature of NRCS employee completing the ranking

Date

Print Name and Title of NRCS employee

Print Name of Cooperating Entity