

[Print](#) [Close](#)

Ranking Tool Summary

for FY2017 - EQIP 2017 Wildlife Beginning Farmer FA (Draft)

Description:

EQIP 2017. This ranking tool is to be used when ranking EQIP 2017 Wildlife Beginning Farmer applications.

Land Uses:

Crop, Forest, Pasture

Efficiency Score:

Scoring Multiplier: 39.285

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 100 - 70	Medium: 69 - 30	Low: 29 - 0
100-70	69-30	29-0

Optional Notes:

National Priorities:

Scoring Multiplier: 1.000

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 250 - 175	Medium: 174 - 100	Low: 99 - 0
250-175	174-100	99-0

Questions:

Number	Question	Points
1	a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	250
2	a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	15
2	b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	10
2	c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	10
2	d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	10
2	e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	10
3	a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	15
3	b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	10
3	c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	10
3	d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	10
4	a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	10

4	b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	10
4	c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	10
4	d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	10
5	a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	10
5	b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	10
6	a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	10
6	b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	10
6	c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	10
6	d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	10
7	a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	10
7	b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	10
8	a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	10
8	b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	10
9	a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	10
Total Points		500

State Issues:

Scoring Multiplier: 1.000

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 300 - 200	Medium: 199 - 100	Low: 99 - 0
300-200	199-100	99-0

Questions:

Sub-heading Number	Question Number	Question	Points
	1	Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other state level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	300
	2	Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented on 30% of the natural community habitats (prairie, glade, savanna, open woodland, or bottomland forest)?	100
	3	Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one practice be implemented to provide 10% diverse native grass, and 0.1 acre of dense woody cover, and 10% early successional habitat/bare ground per every 40 acres of non-forested offered planning land unit. If in doubt of the benefit of the planned practice on quail, contact the Area Biologist.	70
	4	Inadequate Habitat: Does the application include forage and biomass planting (512) to renovate cool season grass pasture/hayland or to convert annually cropped land to native warm or cool season grasses with good or excellent wildlife rating on at least 10 acres?	50
	5	Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented on 30% of the non-production open land (old field) habitat on the offered planning land unit?	30

	6	Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practices be implemented on 3% of the offered planning land unit to provide beneficial habitat for native pollinators?	50
Maximum Points: 300 Total Points			600

Local Issues:

Scoring Multiplier: 1.000

Scoring Ranges and Results Text:

High: 350 - 275	Medium: 274 - 100	Low: 99 - 0
350-275	274-100	99-0

Questions:

Sub-heading Number	Question Number	Question	Points
	1	Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other Local level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	350
	2	Area 1 - Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented within the Grand River Grassland Comprehensive Conservation Strategy (CCS) Priority Area?	350
	3	Area 2 - Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented within the Spring Creek or Missouri River Hills Comprehensive Conservation Strategy (CCS) priority geographies	350
	4	Area 3 - Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented within the Mahan's Creek Comprehensive Conservation Strategy (CCS) priority geography in Shannon County, or within Franklin, Washington, Ste. Genevieve, Perry, Scott, or Stoddard Counties?	350
	5	Area 4 - Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented within the Little Niangua River or Big Buffalo Creek Comprehensive Conservation Strategy (CCS) priority geographies?	350
Maximum Points: 350 Total Points			1750

Selected Resource Concerns and Practices:

Air Quality Impacts: Emissions of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)

- Forest Stand Improvement (666)
- Hedgerow Planting (422)
- Riparian Forest Buffer (391)
- Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
- Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380)

Degraded Plant Condition: Excessive Plant Pest Pressure

- Access Control (472)
- Brush Management (314)
- Herbaceous Weed Control (315)
- Prescribed Burning (338)
- Prescribed Burning Plan - Written (112)

Degraded Plant Condition: Inadequate Structure and Composition

- Brush Management (314)
- Conservation Cover (327)
- Early Successional Habitat Development/M (647)
- Forage & Biomass Planting (512)
- Pollinator Habitat Plan - Written (146)
- Restoration and Management of Declining (643)

- Degraded Plant Condition: Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health
 - Fence (382)
 - Forest Stand Improvement (666)
 - Herbaceous Weed Control (315)
 - Prescribed Burning (338)
 - Prescribed Burning Plan - Written (112)
 - Prescribed Grazing (528)
- Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat: Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter
 - Conservation Cover (327)
 - Contour Buffer Strips (332)
 - Early Successional Habitat Development/M (647)
 - Field Border (386)
 - Firebreak (394)
 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat Plan - Written (142)
 - Forage & Biomass Planting (512)
 - Forest Stand Improvement (666)
 - Hedgerow Planting (422)
 - Herbaceous Weed Control (315)
 - Pollinator Habitat Plan - Written (146)
 - Prescribed Burning (338)
 - Prescribed Burning Plan - Written (112)
 - Prescribed Grazing (528)
 - Restoration and Management of Declining (643)
 - Riparian Forest Buffer (391)
 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)
 - Structures for Wildlife (649)
 - Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
 - Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490)
 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645)
 - Wetland Restoration (657)
 - Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380)
- Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat: Inadequate Habitat - Food
 - Conservation Cover (327)
- Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat: Inadequate Habitat - Water
 - Shallow Water Management (646)
 - Structure for Water Control (587)
 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645)
- Livestock Production Limitation: Inadequate Feed and Forage
 - Fence (382)
 - Forage & Biomass Planting (512)
 - Prescribed Grazing (528)
- Livestock Production Limitation: Inadequate Water
 - Livestock Pipeline (516)
 - Spring Development (574)
 - Watering Facility (614)
- Soil Erosion: Classic Gully Erosion
 - Critical Area Planting (342)
 - Mulching (484)
 - Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
- Soil Erosion: Ephemeral Gully Erosion
 - Critical Area Planting (342)
 - Mulching (484)
 - Tree/Shrub Establishment (612)
- Soil Erosion: Sheet and Rill Erosion
 - Conservation Cover (327)
 - Contour Buffer Strips (332)
 - Critical Area Planting (342)
 - Forage & Biomass Planting (512)
 - Mulching (484)
- Soil Erosion: Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels
 - Access Control (472)

Water Quality Degradation: Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water

- Access Control (472)

Water Quality Degradation: Excessive Sediment in Surface Water

- Access Control (472)

- Contour Buffer Strips (332)

- Fence (382)

- Forest Trails and Landings (655)

- Riparian Forest Buffer (391)

- Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)

- Structure for Water Control (587)

Water Quality Degradation: Nutrients in Groundwater

- Well Decommissioning (351)

Water Quality Degradation: Nutrients in Surface water

- Riparian Forest Buffer (391)

- Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390)

- Structure for Water Control (587)

- Wetland Restoration (657)

5.7.1.24628