



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY21 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative

Program: EQIP

Template: FY2021 EQIP

Last Modified By: Alan Fulk

Pool Status: Active

Template Status: Active

Last Modified: 02-02-2021

Land Uses

Land Use	Modifier 1	Modifier 2	Modifier 3	Modifier 4	Modifier 5	Modifier 6
Water	--	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	0	35	35
Livestock production limitation	0	35	35
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	30	35

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	100	100

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	100	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	100	100

Practices

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	P

Ranking Component Weights

Category	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY21 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA State shoreline	Washington State shoreline	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Meets FY20 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative goals		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Meets FY20 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative goals	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: State questions:		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: State questions:

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Select ALL that apply:	The participant requested a plan prior to June 1, 2020. 20 points	20
	Application is associated with an ALE easement that requires a practice applied as per this plan to treat an endangered species and received points on their easement application ranking. 30 points	30
	The AD-1155 will contain only practices that are non-engineering. Or, designs have already been reviewed and approved by NRCS. Engineering practices include: 309 - 313 - 316 - 317 - 319 - 320 - 326 - 350 - 351 - 353 - 356 - 360 - 362 - 367 -372 - 373 - 374 - 375 - 376 - 378 - 388 - 395 - 396 - 410 - 412 - 428 - 430 - 441 - 442 - 443 - 449 - 450 - 460 - 464 -500 - 516 - 520 - 521A - 521B - 521C - 521D - 533 - 554 - 558 - 560 - 561 - 570 - 574 - 575 - 578 - 580 - 582 - 584 -585 - 587 - 590 - 592 - 600 - 605 - 606 -607 - 608 - 614 - 620 - 629 - 632 - 633 - 634 - 635 - 636 - 638 - 642 - 654 -655 - 657 - 659 - 670 - 672 -735 - 775. 20 Points	20
	The AD-1155 will contain only practices that are identified on the current SHPO/THPO agreement as "Undertakings with little to no Potential to Affect Historic Properties". Or, CR has already been reviewed and approved by NRCS. No Potential to Affect Includes: 201, 315, 328, 329, 330, 340, 345, 353, 355, 367, 371, 372, 379, 399, 449, 450, 484, 511,528, 554, 557, 587, 588, 590, 591, 592, 595, 629, 775, 521A, 521B, 521C, 521D, 589C. There are also 30 other practices that are included if certain criteria is met. Please consult the agreement at: https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/ 50 Points	50
	An applicable water quality practice will be installed within one of the identified source water protection counties. 30 points	30
	Application has a livestock type tagged on the application home screen. 20 points	20
	If selected for contracting, this application will allow the participant to become a first-time adopter using all of the planned practices included in CART. Consider adopted practices on all associated agricultural operation(s) that they are involved in. 30 points	30
	Sorry, none of the above apply. 0 points	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Meets FY20 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative goals

Question	Answer Choices	Points
----------	----------------	--------

Section: Meets FY20 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative goals

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative: Answer to all that apply.	Is site within existing Nation Wide Corp Permit 48 farm or does the applicant have permits in hand (either Nation Wide Corps 48 permit, or restoration permits through the Corps and other required permits. 20 points	20
	Will restoration site be protected from harvest activities until the populations are viable (minimum of 5 years)? 50 points	50
	Is the proposed site adjacent to, or with in the footprint of an ongoing restoration site? 10 points	10
	Is the restoration site within one of the 19 identified WDFW priority restoration sites?For Willapa Bay is the site within the footprint of historic Olympia Oyster site? 60 points	60
	Will long term annual monitoring (3 years following restoration) be completed solely by participant and/or partners on site with findings provided to NRCS ? 30 points	30
	Will the applicant assure the oyster spat purchased for the project, is produced using brood stock from the same basin, in which the seed is spread. Note if the restoration site is only installing shell substrate allow the same points. 30 points	30
	none 0 points	0