



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: EQIP Forest

Program: EQIP

Template: FY2021 EQIP

Last Modified By: Nizhoni Lafrance

Pool Status: Draft

Template Status: Active

Last Modified: 02-04-2021

Land Uses

Land Use	Modifier 1	Modifier 2	Modifier 3	Modifier 4	Modifier 5	Modifier 6
Forest	--	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	0	10	35
Concentrated erosion	0	10	35
Degraded plant condition	0	15	35
Pest pressure	0	5	35
Terrestrial habitat	0	30	35
Weather resilience	0	10	35
Wind and water erosion	0	15	35
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	35

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	50	100
Classic gully erosion	0	50	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	100	100	100

Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

Weather resilience

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Ponding and flooding	0	80	100
Seeps	0	20	100

Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	100	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sediment transported to surface water	0	100	100

Practices

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	P
Brush Management	314	P
Critical Area Planting	342	P
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	P
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	P
Aquatic Organism Passage	396	P
Lined Waterway or Outlet	468	P
Access Control	472	P
Mulching	484	P

Practice	Practice Code	Practice Type
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	P
Access Road	560	P
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	P
Structure for Water Control	587	P
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	P
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	P
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	P
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	P
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	P
Forest Trails and Landings	655	P
Forest Stand Improvement	666	P
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	P

Ranking Component Weights

Category	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	30	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	30	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: NH FY2021 Forest (Draft)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: NH FY2021 Forest Applicability Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Are the PLUs in this application in New Hampshire?	Yes	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: NH FY2021 Forest Category Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: NH FY2021 Forest Category Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What Team is this application associated with?	Concord/Milford	--
	Conway	--
	Epping	--
	Lancaster	--
	Orford	--
	Walpole	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: NH FY2021 Forest Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?	YES	5
	NO	0
Does this application intersect 50% of any SWP watershed?	Yes	50
	Otherwise	0
Is the application in Quabbin to Cardigan, or Upper Israel River and/or Ammonousuc?	Yes	50
	Otherwise	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: NH FY2021 Forest Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many State Priority Resource Concerns will be addressed by this application?	0	0
	1-2	25
	3-4	50
	5-6	100
	7-8	150
	All	200