

SWCD	Acres
Curry	57,554
Illinois Valley	508

### Introduction

The Smith River 8-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) watershed is comprised of 57,900 acres in Oregon. Most of the watershed lies in California. The Oregon portion of the watershed is almost entirely publicly owned. There are no known farms or ranches on the privately owned land.

Trees, grass, and shrubs cover 99 percent of the part of the watershed in Oregon.



### Profile Contents

[Introduction](#)

[Physical Description](#)

[Land Use Map & Precipitation Map](#)

[Common Resource Area](#)


[Resource Concerns](#)

[Census and Social Data](#)

[Progress/Status](#)

[Footnotes/Bibliography](#)


### Relief Map



18010101  
Ownership Map

**Legend**

-  PRIVATE
-  PUBLIC
-  TRIBAL
-  UNKNOWN



### Physical Description

[Back to Contents](#)

**ALL NUMBERS IN THIS PROFILE ARE FOR OREGON ONLY**

Land Cover/Land Use (NLCD <sup>2</sup> )	Ownership - (2003 Draft BLM Surface Map Set <sup>1</sup> )						Totals	%
	Public		Private		Tribal			
	Acres	%	Acres	%	Acres	%		
Forest	53,300	92%	*	---	0	0%	54,100	93%
Grain Crops	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Conservation Reserve Program Land <sup>a</sup>	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Grass/Pasture/Hay	2,800	5%	*	---	0	0%	2,800	5%
Orchards/Vineyards	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Row Crops	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Shrub/Rangelands	1,000	2%	*	---	0	0%	1,000	2%
Water/Wetlands/Developed/Barren	*	---	*	---	0	0%	*	---
<b>Oregon HUC Totals <sup>b</sup></b>	<b>57,100</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>57,900</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*: Less than 1 percent of total acres. See below for special considerations.

a: Estimate from Farm Service Agency records and includes CRP/CREP.

b: Totals are approximate due to rounding and small unknown acreages.

#### **Special Considerations for This 8-Digit HUC:**

- Most of this watershed lies in California, and the portion in Oregon is largely public forestland.

	Type of Land	ACRES	% of Irrigated Lands	% of HUC
<b>Irrigated Lands</b> (1997 NRI <sup>3</sup> Estimates for Non-Federal Lands Only)	Cultivated Cropland	0	0%	0%
	Uncultivated Cropland	0	0%	0%
	Pastureland	0	0%	0%
	<b>Total Irrigated Lands</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>


*(Continued on the following pages)*

[Back to Contents](#)


18010101  
Land use/Land cover Map

**Legend**

-  Shrub/Rangelands
-  Orchard/Vineyards
-  Row Crops
-  Forest
-  Grass/Pasture/Hay Lands
-  Grain Crops
-  Water/Wetlands/
-  Developed/Barren




18010101  
Average Annual  
Precipitation in Inches



**Common Resource Area Map**

[Back to Contents](#)

Only the major units are described below - for descriptions of all units within the HUC, go to: <http://lce.or.nrcs.usda.gov/website/cra/viewer.htm>



**4B.2 - Coastal Redwood Belt - Northern Franciscan:** This unit is in a steep mountainous area of the northern California Coast Range with substantial oceanic influence on climate, including summer fog. The soil temperature regime is dominantly isomesic, and the soil moisture regime is dominantly udic with some areas that are aquic. The vegetation commonly includes redwood, Douglas-fir, and tanoak. The Klamath and Smith Rivers, which drain from the Klamath Mountains, flow across this unit to the ocean.

**5.1 – Siskiyou-Trinity Area - Gasquet Mountain Ultramafics:** This unit encompasses ultramafic rock in the Josephine ophiolite. The soil temperature regime is dominantly mesic, and the soil moisture regime is xeric. The vegetation commonly includes Jeffrey pine, lodgepole pine, and Port Orford-cedar. This unit drains to the Smith River and tributaries of the Klamath River.

**5.26 – Siskiyou-Trinity Area - Coastal Siskiyou:** This unit is similar to unit 5.24 except that precipitation is much greater in this unit and tanoak is significant in the plant community. The higher precipitation and management considerations for tanoak (sprouter) make this area unique from unit 5.24.

### Physical Description – Continued

[Back to Contents](#)

		ACRES	ACRE-FEET			
<b>Irrigated Adjudicated Water Rights</b> (OWRD <sup>4</sup> )	Surface	Not available	Not available			
	Well	Not available	Not available			
	Total Irrigated Adjudicated Water Rights	Not available	Not available			
<b>Stream Flow Data</b>	USGS 11532500 SMITH RIVER, NEAR CRESCENT CITY, CA	<b>Total Avg. Yield</b>	2,714,713			
		<b>May – Sept. Yield</b>	317,679			
		<b>MILES</b>	<b>PERCENT</b>			
<b>Stream Data</b> <sup>5</sup>  <i>*Percent of Total Miles of Streams in HUC</i>	Total Miles – Major (100K Hydro GIS Layer)	98	---			
	303d/TMDL Listed Streams (DEQ)	n/a	n/a			
	Anadromous Fish Presence (StreamNet)	14	14%			
	Bull Trout Presence (StreamNet)	0	0%			
		<b>ACRES</b>	<b>PERCENT</b>			
<b>Land Cover/Use</b> <sup>2</sup>  Based on a 100-foot stretch on both sides of all streams in the 100K Hydro GIS Layer	Forest	2,141	98%			
	Grain Crops	0	0%			
	Grass/Pasture/Hay	13	<1%			
	Orchards/Vineyards	0	0%			
	Row Crops	0	0%			
	Shrub/Rangelands – Includes CRP Lands	6	0%			
	Water/Wetlands/Developed/Barren	6	0%			
	<b>Total Acres of 100-foot Stream Buffers</b>	<b>2,167</b>	<b>---</b>			
<b>Land Capability Class</b>  <i>(Croplands &amp; Pasturelands Only)</i> <i>(1997 NRI<sup>3</sup> Estimates for Non-Federal Lands Only)</i>	<b>1</b> – slight limitations	0	0%			
	<b>2</b> – moderate limitations	0	0%			
	<b>3</b> – severe limitations	0	0%			
	<b>4</b> – very severe limitations	0	0%			
	<b>5</b> – no erosion hazard, but other limitations	0	0%			
	<b>6</b> – severe limitations; unsuitable for cultivation; limited to pasture, range, forest	0	0%			
	<b>7</b> – very severe limitations; unsuitable for cultivation; limited to grazing, forest, wildlife habitat	0	0%			
	<b>8</b> – miscellaneous areas; limited to recreation, wildlife habitat, water supply	0	0%			
	<b>Total Croplands &amp; Pasturelands</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>			
<b>Confined Animal Feeding Operations – Oregon CAFO Permit – 12/2004</b>						
<b>Animal Type</b>	<b>Dairy</b>	<b>Feedlot</b>	<b>Poultry</b>	<b>Swine</b>	<b>Mink</b>	<b>Other</b>
<b>No. of Permitted Farms</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>No. of Permitted Animals</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

### Resource Concerns

[Back to Contents](#)

**Tons of Soil Loss by Water Erosion:** Due to the limited amount of non-Federal cropland and pastureland within this HUC, no reliable NRI soil loss estimates are available.

**Water Quality Information:** No water quality information is available from Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for the Smith River.

Watershed Projects, Plans, Studies, and Assessments			
NRCS Watershed Projects <sup>6</sup>		NRCS Watershed Plans, Studies, and Assessments <sup>7</sup>	
Name	Status	Name	Status
None	None	None	None
ODEQ TMDL's <sup>8</sup>		ODA Agricultural Water Quality Management Plans <sup>9</sup>	
Name	Status	Name	Status
None	None	Curry	Completed
OWEB Watershed Council <sup>10</sup>		Watershed Council Assessments <sup>11</sup>	NWPC Subbasin Plans and Assessments <sup>18</sup>
None	None		None

FEDERALLY LISTED THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES <sup>12</sup>	
THREATENED SPECIES	CANDIDATE SPECIES
<b>Marine</b> – Steller (northern) sea lion <b>Birds</b> – Marbled murrelet, Western snowy plover, Bald eagle, Brown pelican, Short-tailed albatross, Northern spotted owl <b>Fish</b> – Coho salmon <b>Plants</b> – McDonald's rockcress, Gentner's fritillary, Cook's lomatium, Western lily	<b>Fish</b> – Steelhead
	<b>PROPOSED SPECIES</b> None
<b>ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT<sup>13</sup></b> – Chinook, Coho	

*(Continued on following page)*



Map Footnote [417](#)

**Census and Social Data**<sup>/14</sup>

[Back to Contents](#)

There is no census or social data available for the Smith River watershed because there is very little private land in the Oregon part of the watershed.



**Number of Farms: 0**

**Number of Operators: 0**

- Full-Time Operators: 0
- Part-Time Operators: 0

**Estimated Level of Willingness and Ability to Participate in Conservation**<sup>/15</sup>:  
**Not applicable in Oregon portion of watershed.**

**Evaluation of Social Capital**<sup>/16</sup>: **Not applicable in Oregon portion of watershed.**



### Progress/Status

[Back to Contents](#)

PRMS Data	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	Avg/Year	Total
Total Conservation Systems Planned (Acres)	0	0	297	0	0	59	297
Total Conservation Systems Applied (Acres)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conservation Treatment (Acres)							
Waste Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Buffers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Erosion Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Irrigation Water Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nutrient Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pest Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prescribed Grazing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trees and Shrubs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conservation Tillage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wildlife Habitat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wetlands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

No resource status recorded due to the lack of private lands in the Oregon portion of this hydrologic unit.

### Lands Removed from Production through Farm Bill Programs

- ❖ Conservation Reserve Program (CRP): **None**
- ❖ Wetland Restoration Program (WRP): **None**
- ❖ Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP): **None**

### Footnotes/Bibliography

[Back to Contents](#)

All data is provided "as is." There are no warranties, express or implied, including the warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this document. Use for general planning purposes only.

1. Ownership Layer – Source: The 1:24,000 scale public ownership layer is the land ownership/management for public entities, including Federal, Tribal, State, and local entities. This is a seamless, statewide Oregon Public Ownership vector layer composed of fee ownership of lands by Federal, State, Tribal, county, and city agencies. The layer is comprised of the best available data compiled at 1:24,000 scale or larger, and the line work matches GCDB boundary locations and ORMAP standards where possible. The layer is available from the State of Oregon GIS Service Center: <http://www.gis.state.or.us/data/alphalist.html>. For current ownership status, consult official records at appropriate Federal, State, and county offices. Ownership classes grouped to calculate Federal ownership vs. non-Federal ownership by the Water Resources Planning Team.
2. National Land Cover Dataset (NLCD) - Originator: U.S. Geological Survey (USGS); Publication date: 19990631; Title: Oregon Land Cover Data Set, Edition: 1; Geospatial data presentation form: Raster digital data; Publisher: U.S. Geological Survey, Sioux Falls, SD, USA; Online linkage: <http://edcwww.cr.usgs.gov/programs/lccp/nationallandcover.html>; Abstract: These data can be used in a geographic information system (GIS) for any number of purposes, such as assessing wildlife habitat, water quality, pesticide runoff, land use change, etc. The State data sets are provided with a 300-meter buffer beyond the State border to facilitate combining the State files into larger regions.
3. ESTIMATES FROM THE 1997 NRI DATABASE (REVISED DECEMBER 2000) REPLACE ALL PREVIOUS REPORTS AND ESTIMATES. Comparisons made using data published for the 1982, 1987, or 1992 NRI may produce erroneous results. This is because of changes in statistical estimation protocols and because all data collected prior to 1997 were simultaneously reviewed (edited) as 1997 NRI data were collected. All definitions are available in the glossary. In addition, this December 2000 revision of the 1997 NRI data updates information released in December 1999 and corrects a computer error discovered in March 2000. For more information: <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/NRI/>
4. Irrigated Adjudicated Water Rights – Water Rights Information System (WRIS), Oregon Water Resources Department, <http://www.wrd.state.or.us/maps/wrlexport.shtml>
5. StreamNet is a cooperative venture of the Pacific Northwest's fish and wildlife agencies and tribes and is administered by the [Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission](#). StreamNet provided data and data services in support of the region's fish and wildlife program and other efforts to manage and restore the region's aquatic resources. Official StreamNet website: <http://www.streamnet.org/>
6. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Watershed Projects Planned and Authorized, <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/watershed/Purpose>.
7. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Watershed Plans, Studies, and Assessments completed, [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/watershed/Surveys\\_Plng.html#Watershed%20Surveys%20and%20Plan](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/watershed/Surveys_Plng.html#Watershed%20Surveys%20and%20Plan)
8. Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Total Maximum Daily Loads, <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/TMDLs/TMDLs.htm>
9. Oregon Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Water Quality Management Plans, [http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/NRD/water\\_agplans.shtml](http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/NRD/water_agplans.shtml)

### Footnotes/Bibliography Continued

[Back to Contents](#)

All data is provided "as is." There are no warranties, express or implied, including the warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, accompanying this document. Use for general planning purposes only.

10. Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board, <http://oregon.gov/OWEB/WSHEDS/index.shtml>
11. Watershed Assessments completed by local watershed councils following the Oregon Watershed Assessment Manual, [http://oregon.gov/OWEB/docs/pubs/ws\\_assess\\_manual.shtml](http://oregon.gov/OWEB/docs/pubs/ws_assess_manual.shtml).
12. NRCS Field Office Technical Guide, Section II, Threatened and Endangered List.
13. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Public Law 94-265. As amended through October 11, 1996.
14. Data were taken from the 2002 Agricultural Census and adjusted by percent of HUC in the county or by percent of zip code area in the HUC, depending on the level of data available. Data were also taken from the U.S. Population Census, 2000.
15. Conservation participation was estimated using NRCS Social Sciences Technical Note 1801, [Guide for Estimating Participation in Conservation](#), 2004. Four categories of indicators were evaluated: Personal characteristics, farm structural characteristics, perceptions of conservation, and community context. Estimates are based on information received from local conservationists in the watershed.
16. Social capital is an indicator of the community's ability and willingness to work together to solve problems. A high amount of social capital helps a community to be physically healthy, socially progressive, and economically vigorous. A low amount of social capital typically results in community conflict, lack of trust and respect, and unsuccessful attempts to solve problems. The evaluation is based on NRCS Technical Report Release 4.1, March, 2002: [Adding Up Social Capital: An Investment in Communities](#). Local conservationists provided information to measure social capital. Scores range from 0 to 76.
17. [Surface and Groundwater Resource Protection Map](#)
  - a. 2002 303d Listed Streams designated by Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency, Section 303d Clean Water Act, <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/303dlist/303dpage.htm>
  - b. Groundwater Management Areas designated by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Revised Statutes – Ground Water ORS 468B.150 to ORS 468B.190, <http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/groundwa/wqgw.htm>
  - c. Groundwater Restricted Areas designated by Oregon Water Resources Commission, Oregon Department of Water Resources, [http://egov.oregon.gov/OWRD/PUBS/aquabook\\_protections.shtml](http://egov.oregon.gov/OWRD/PUBS/aquabook_protections.shtml)
  - d. The Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) Protection Program is authorized by Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-523, 42 U.S.C. 300 et. seq), <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/ssanp.html>
18. Subbasin assessments and plans are developed by local groups (SWCDs, watershed councils, tribes, and others) as part of the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's fish and wildlife program in the Columbia River Basin. This program is funded and implemented by the Bonneville Power Administration. <http://www.nwcouncil.org/fw/subbasinplanning/Default.htm>.