



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool** FY26 VA CSP Agland

**Program** CStwP

**Pool Status** Active

**Tags**

**Template** CStwP

**Template Status** Active

**Existing Practice Included** Yes

**Last Modified By** Dana Bayless

**Last Modified** 03/06/2026

**National Pool** No

**Include States** VA (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Animals (Livestock production limitation)	0	14	30
Animals (Terrestrial habitat)	0	5	30
Energy (Inefficient energy use)	0	1	30
Plants (Degraded plant condition)	0	10	30
Plants (Pest pressure)	0	2	30
Soil (Concentrated erosion)	0	14	30
Soil (Soil quality limitations)	0	25	30
Soil (Wind and water erosion)	0	6	30
Water (Field pesticide loss)	0	2	30
Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)	0	15	30
Water (Source water depletion)	0	1	30
Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)	0	5	30

Animals (Livestock production limitation)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Livestock Health (Feed and forage balance)	0	50	50
Livestock Health (Inadequate livestock shelter)	0	2	50

## Animals (Livestock production limitation)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Livestock Health (Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution)	0	48	50

## Animals (Terrestrial habitat)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial Habitat (Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates)	0	100	100

## Energy (Inefficient energy use)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy Use (Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities)	0	50	100
Energy Use (Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations)	0	50	100

## Plants (Degraded plant condition)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant productivity and health)	0	50	100
Plant Health (Plant structure and composition)	0	50	100

## Plants (Pest pressure)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant pest pressure)	0	100	100

## Soil (Concentrated erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels)	0	10	50
Soil Erosion (Classic gully erosion)	0	40	50
Soil Erosion (Ephemeral gully erosion)	0	50	50

## Soil (Soil quality limitations)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Health (Aggregate instability)	0	10	50
Soil Health (Compaction)	0	5	50
Soil Health (Organic matter depletion)	0	50	50
Soil Health (Soil organism habitat loss or degradation)	0	35	50

## Soil (Wind and water erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
------------------	-------	-----------	-------

Soil (Wind and water erosion)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Sheet and rill erosion)	0	95	100
Soil Erosion (Wind erosion)	0	5	100

Water (Field pesticide loss)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to surface water)	0	50	100

Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	20	50
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	20	50
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater)	0	20	50
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water)	0	20	50
Water Quality (Sediment transported to surface water)	0	20	50

Water (Source water depletion)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quantity (Groundwater depletion)	0	30	50
Water Quantity (Inefficient irrigation water use)	0	40	50
Water Quantity (Surface water depletion)	0	30	50

Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	25	50
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	25	50
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater)	0	25	50
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water)	0	25	50

## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Soil Health Management Plan	116	00N	Activities
Agricultural Energy Design	120	00N	Activities

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Soil Health Management System Design	162	00N	Activities
Conservation Plan	199	00N	Activities
Adaptive Management for Soil Health	204	00N	Activities
Soil Health Testing	216	00N	Activities
Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management	217	00N	Activities
Agricultural Energy Assessment	228	00N	Activities
Alley Cropping	311	00N	Conservation Practices
Brush Management	314	00N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Deep Tillage	324	00N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	00N, 03N, 04N	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Contour Farming	330	00N	Conservation Practices
Contour Buffer Strips	332	00N	Conservation Practices
Controlled Traffic Farming	334	00N	Conservation Practices
Soil Carbon Amendment	336	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	00N	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	00N	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	00N	Conservation Practices
Silvopasture	381	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	00N, 03N, 04N	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	00N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	00N, 01N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	00N, 03N	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Filter Strip	393	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Firebreak	394	00N	Conservation Practices
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Hedgerow Planting	422	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	00N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	00N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	00N	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	00N	Conservation Practices
Grazing Management	528	00N, 02N, 03N, 04N	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Drainage Water Management	554	00N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	00N	Conservation Practices
Spring Development	574	00N	Conservation Practices
Stripcropping	585	00N	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	00N, 06N, 07N, 08N	Conservation Practices
Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste	591	00N	Conservation Practices
Pest Management Conservation System	595	00N, 05N, 06N, 07N	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Watering Facility	614	00N	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Seasonal Water Management for Wildlife	646	00N	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	00N	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	00N	Conservation Practices
Existing Activity Payment	E300EAP	00N	CStwP Enhancements (2018)

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Adjustment (A)	5	5	10
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (C)	35	35	50
Resource Priorities	Default	15	30	35
Program Priorities	Default	15	20	35
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## Display Group: FY26 VA CSP Agland (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability Questions for Agland CSP		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this a CSP Agland application located completely in Virginia and meets at least 2 resource concern categories at time of application?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Categories for Agland in VA		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

**Section: Categories for Agland in VA**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Category funding pools. Please select all the categories that apply to the assessment that you are ranking.	ACT NOW	--
	Area 1	--
	Area 2	--
	Area 3	--
	Area 4	--
	Beginning Farmer and Rancher	--
	Socially Disadvantaged Farmer and Rancher	--
	Regenerative Program Pilot	--
	Organic Production	--
	Urban/Small Scale Agriculture	--
	Reserve #1	--
	Reserve #2	--
	Reserve #3	--

**Survey: Program Questions**

**Section: Program Questions for CSP Agland Ranking**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the producer have active CSP contract(s) but chooses not to include the newly acquired/newly eligible land? Note: If the contract is IRA funded, the answer will be No.	YES	-200
	NO	0
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher (VFR)? Veteran Farmer or Rancher. The term "Veteran Farmer or Rancher" means a producer who served in the United States Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Coast Guard, including the reserve component thereof and was released from service under conditions other than dishonorable and who first obtained status as a veteran during the most recent 10-year period.*	YES	20
	NO	0
Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP), and has the land in the CSP application come out of CRP within the last 2 years?	YES	5
	NO	0
Does any of the land offered in this application intersect the Chesapeake Bay Watershed layer?	Yes	75
	Otherwise	0
Is this application in one of the counties with the highest potential for soil loss, nutrient loss and soil quality degradation as identified by NRCS CEAP reports? Amelia, Augusta, Campbell, Caroline, Charlotte, Clarke, Craig, Culpeper, Fauquier, Frederick, Gloucester, Greensville, Hanover, Isle of Wight, Loudon, Madison, Orange, Pittsylvania, Prince Edward, Richmond, Rockingham, Shenandoah, Southampton, Suffolk, Washington, Wythe.	YES	110
	NO	0

**Survey: Resource Questions**

**Section: Resource Questions for CSP Agland**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many State Priority Resource Concern Categories are 'Met' at time of application across all land uses in the operation using the least performing group?	19 State priority resource concern categories are "Met" across all land uses in the operation.	50
	11-18 State priority resource concern categories are "Met" across all land uses in the operation.	40
	5-10 State priority resource concern categories are "Met" across all land uses in the operation.	30
	2-4 State priority resource concern categories are "Met" across all land uses in the operation.	20
	Not Applicable	0
By end of contract, the applicant will have 'Met' (what was 'Not Met' at time) and/or Exceed (what was 'Met' at time) how many State Priority Resource Concern Categories across all land uses in the operation using the least performing group?	19 State priority resource concern categories are "Met/Exceed" across all land uses in the operation.	100
	11-18 State priority resource concern categories are "Met/Exceed" across all land uses in the operation.	85
	5-10 State priority resource concern categories are "Met/Exceed" across all land uses in the operation.	75
	2-4 State priority resource concern categories are "Met/Exceed" across all land uses in the operation.	65
	Not applicable	0
The applicant will address the Soil resource concern with a high priority enhancement. Resource concern cause must be either organic matter depletion, compaction, aggregate instability, soil organism habitat loss or degradation, or soil quality limitations.	Applicant will adopt 2 or more conservation activities tied to the Soil resource concern and requires causes.	25
	Applicant will adopt 1 conservation activity tied to the Soil resource concern and requires causes.	15
	Not applicable	0
This application has an approved land use conversion that will convert land to a less intensive system (i.e. permanent cover) using one of the following conversion options: Cropland to pastureland, Cropland to NIPF, Pastureland to NIPF, Farmstead to pastureland, Farmstead to NIPF.	YES	25
	NO	0

**Detailed Assessments**

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------