



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking 1960 RCPP entity-held easement
Pool parcel contracts

Program RCPP18

Pool Status Active

Tags

Template RCPP 2018 - Entity-Held Easements

Template Status Active

Existing Practice Included No

Last Modified By Michael Ruiz

Last Modified 02/18/2026

National Pool No

Include States FL (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Animals (Aquatic habitat)	0	25	70
Animals (Terrestrial habitat)	0	25	70
Long term protection of land	30	50	100

Animals (Aquatic habitat)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic Habitat (Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms)	0	50	100
Aquatic Habitat (Elevated water temperature)	0	50	100

Animals (Terrestrial habitat)			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial Habitat (Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates)	0	100	100

Long term protection of land			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	0	50	100
Threat of conversion	0	50	100


Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	45
Resource Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Program Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: 1960 RCPP entity-held easement parcel contracts (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability Question		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the parcel located in the RCPP project area (St. Marks or Aucilla watersheds)?	Geospatial	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Deed Term Restrictiveness	Minimally Restrictive	--
	Moderately Restrictive	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Outcomes: Does application directly address one or more resource concerns with outcome metrics in the PPA attachment E?	Application addresses two or more resource concerns.	40
	Application addresses once resource concern.	20
	Otherwise	0
Contributions: Does the application leverage RCPP funding with partner contributions?	Landowner donation of non-federal share of easement value.	0
	Non-lead partner contribution of non-federal share of easement value.	25
	Lead Partner contribution of non-federal share of easement value.	70
Application or applicant meets HU requirements or criteria as identified in the PPA or by NRCS.	Yes	40
	Otherwise	0
Is application bundled by partner?	Yes	50
	Otherwise	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the property contain forested wetlands?	Yes	50
	Otherwise	0
Is the property currently managed with prescribed burning? (SELECT ONE)	Yes, at least half the property typically has prescribed fire applied every 1-2 yrs	30
	Yes, at least half the property typically has prescribed fire applied every 3-5 yrs	20
	Yes, some portion of the property has had prescribed fire applied in the last 5 yrs	10
	Otherwise	0

Section: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the property conserve or protect rural working forest lands?	Yes, the land cover on the property is comprised of >75% rural working forest lands.	50
	Yes, the land cover on the property is comprised of 25-75% rural working forest lands.	30
	No, the land cover on the property is comprised of <25% rural working forest lands.	0
What is the proximity of the project area to a protected area such as a national forest, military base, national wildlife refuge, state forest, heritage reserve, or land protected by a perpetual conservation easement?	Adjacent	70
	<0.5 mile	10
	0.5-1.0 mile	5
	>1 mile	0

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------