

Increasing Understanding, Interest, and Confidence in Newly Created Scalable Ecosystem Service Markets

Peter Mead, Agriculture Project Manager | MN NRCS STAC May 6, 2025





Left to right, top to bottom: © Roberto Rosales; © Mark Skalny; © Harlen Persinger; © Chris Helzer/TNCr; © Katie Shuler

Minnesota Ecosystem Service Market Pilot Project





MN Pilot Goals

- 50,000 acre goal
- Credits: Carbon, GHG, Water Quality
- Test in a corn/soy/livestock cropping system

Implementation Efficiencies

- Alternative soil testing & Protocol imp. pathways
- Platform Integration FtM, MN Ag Cert, TruTerra
- Build MN Eco-Markets Capacity/Expertise

Economic Drivers

- ROI / FBM farm economics study
- Supply Chain Investment:
 - Pork and dairy co-investors
 - \$20/acre/yr for the 2 yr pilot
- EOF how to include grass waterways, grasslands, buffers
- Manure Potential amplifying affect
- Alt. Crop Rotations Kernza, Camelina, Alfalfa, Grains



U.S. Regenerative Row Crops

Our Theory of Change to achieve resilient, climate-smart farms that benefit people and nature

Collaboration and alignment across policy, business and science sectors can help guide and incentivize large-scale adoption of regenerative practices by U.S. farmers, leading to significant benefits for farmers, communities and nature.





Why ESMC?

- Multiple Credits Created
- Non-Profit
- Voluntary & Regulatory Credits
- Ag Industry Lead
- Open Protocols
- Technology + Soil Testing
- Row Crop & Grassland
- Test then launch



Ecosystem Service Markets Simplified



ESMC/ESMRC Members



Partners & Teams

- 50,000 acre goal
- Credits: Carbon, GHG, Water Quality
- Test in a corn/soy/livestock cropping system





Eligible Practices



9

Conservation Practice	Applicable Attributes ^a
Residue and tillage management, reduced	GHG, Water Quality
tillage	
Cover crop	GHG, Water Quality
Nutrient management	GHG, Water Quality
Prescribed grazing (Cropland)	GHG, Water Quality
Field buffer, filter strip, field border	Water Quality
Contour buffer strip, vegetative barrier within a	GHG, Water Quality
field	
Constructed ponds and wetlands	Water Quality
Grassed waterway	Water Quality
Conservation crop rotation	GHG, Water Quality
Prescribed burning	GHG
Irrigation water management	GHG, Water Quality,
	Water Quantity
Drainage water management practices	Water Quality

Progress to Date



• 42,000 acres • 53 farms • 592 fields • 20 Enrollment Specialists • \$3.5M raised (\$2M for farmer incentives) •\$1.4M Paid to farmers (to-date)



Crops / Acres 2024 Growing Season

Row Labels	Sum of acres
Alfalfa (alfalfa)	321.5
Beans, Dry (dry_bean)	342.1
Corn, Grain (corn)	14606.6
Corn, Silage (corn)	661.1
Oats (oat)	75.7
Other-Forage grasses	17.8
Other-Grass/Alfalfa Mix	9.9
Other-Native grasses	8.9
Other-not planting this field	29.4
Other-wetland restoration native grasses	96.2
Pea (pea)	239.4
Rye (rye)	326.2
Ryegrass (ryegrass)	52.2
Sorghum, Silage (sorghum)	10.7
Soybeans (soybean)	23734.2
Wheat, Spring (wheat_spring)	981.9
(blank)	280.2
Grand Total	41794.0



Practice Acres 2024 Growing Season

• Cover Crops: 22,813

• Tillage Reduction: 31,319

• Nutrient Mgmt 21, 335

Lessons Learned



- Farmer enrollment was harder than anticipated
- Supply chains are complicated
- Farm data is key and time consuming
- Soil sampling capacity and timing is challenging
- T/A is paramount before and after 1st yr.
- Need more stability in C-markets rules
- Need better tools for multibenefit credits
- Practical agronomic knowledge is crucial

Other Products

- HASP ROI Study ٠
- Edge-of-Field / Grasslands Report
- Alternative Soil Testing ٠ Pathways
- Alternative Crop Protocol • Development

A TEAR UP TRANSITIONS AND REGENERATION

AGRICULIURE

SUSTAINABILITY PARTNERSHIP

vel Quantification

MN fields as a single project

90% Confidence

0.6

0.8

0.2

0.4

Fraction of current samples required

§ 20

뷶

stock margin

Carbon

1.0

5

0.0

0.2

0.4

Fraction of cur

\$ 15 error ъ



Ecosystem Services Market Consortium/Ecosystem Services Market **Research Consortium, July 2024**





Results?



Eco-Harvest Carbon Impact Report

Project: TNC Minnesota

Year: 2023

Batch: Verified

Producers: 11

Acres: 1395

Eco-Harvest Results Overview

- Total Carbon Impacts (Removals + Reductions) Generated: -308.646 metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (mtCO2e)
- Emission Reductions: Practice changes in the project avoided -245.530 metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (mtCO2e) reductions.
- Carbon Removals: Soils removed -63.117 mtCO2e due to producer's new practice changes.
- Total Payment for Outcomes/Impact Units: Producers in this project received a
 payment of \$20/acre. Total payment is \$27,902.76.

*ESMC requires complete historical data to generate a baseline, so fields with historical data gaps are not modeled.

Results?



Eco-Harvest Carbon Impact Report

Project: TNC Minnesota

Year: 2023

Batch: Quantified

Producers: 21

Acres: 7010

Eco-Harvest Results Overview

- Total Carbon Impacts (Removals + Reductions) Generated: -240.169 metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (mtCO2e)
- Emission Reductions: Practice changes in the project avoided -277.950 metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (mtCO2e) reductions.
- Carbon Removals: Soils removed 37.781 mtCO2e due to producer's new practice changes.
- Total Payment for Outcomes/Impact Units: Producers in this project received a
 payment of \$20/acre. Total payment is \$140194.84.

*ESMC requires complete historical data to generate a baseline, so fields with historical data gaps are not modeled.

Results?

Reason for not modeling 🗾 🚽	Count of field_nan	Sum of acre
[{"msg": "Scenarios must have different event histories.", "loc": ["body", "scenarios"], "type": "v	. 1	22
2021 was the first year farming	2	152
2022 was first year farming	1	27
2023 was the first year farming	2	74
cannot model perennial	3	216
crop fail	13	340
custom fert	34	956
didn't complete harvest data	7	101
entered "other" crop	1	11
fallow	5	133
first year operating the field	1	437
grazing	7	392
Grazing present. Tile drainage present.	1	29
Missing info	15	396
no baseline	15	866
no baseline, corn silage in the history. no corn.	2	92
no corn silage baseline	2	32
no practice change	27	1,777
no soil data	1	42
non-harvest year & grazing	1	9
not in MMRV	2	11
perennial	2	18
producer dropped	14	1,259
silage	12	501
started farming in 2023	2	426
tile drainage	214	20,216
unsupported fert - manure other slurry	1	174
(blank)	65	5,734
Grand Total	453	34,444



What's Next?

An Overview of Voluntary **Carbon Markets for Minnesota** Farmers

					/
		OFFSET	Vlock, sustain, and build upon the vstainability programs are builting to the value of the value	ilt to a first ecfic il, or	
	Money Matters	Contracting Info	Technical Info	COMBO Info Not \$9M pair	a
Istates: AL, AR, CO,	Payment schedule: 50% year 1	Contract length: 5 years	Outcome estim, sustainability pro		
VIS, NC, SD, TN, TX, VA, VT,	20% year 2	Data collected at enrollment: Basic farmer	measurement, rep	Contracting Info	Technica
max ror growth sble	10% each in year 3, 4, & 5 Prife: 530 per credit to farmers in last carbon issuance Stackability: Designed to stack an long an other increatives do not include payments for carbon credits or related assets.	Emoliment ansistance: Enrollment in performed through a pertner or via indigo's unflavore platform, and personalized support is available to assist growers. Apronomic/technical assistance: • Digital agronomic and profitability decision tools • Agronomic support for practice change decisions • Customer support for the software and	and verification (M origine, which allow ent schedule: company to measure, vit upon quantify outcomes a, vit upon quantify outcomes a, vit upon imput, and genomic practice combinations. Verification: Data valids random site visits and evidence checks Penaltics: Payments are based on actual vite on actual	Contract length: Annual contract with 5-year reporting commitment Data collected at enrollment: Field management data going back 3 years prior to practice change. Includes: Planting Fertility In season applications Harvest Cover cop and tillage information Earollment excitates: To take a unsure on when to start	Outcom combina sampling modeling Verificati and paid Penaltie based or
least one ted, and the		Free sustainable farming learning resources	performance, Without 122 continued practice	Enrollment assistance: To take a survey on where to start, visit https://www.truterraag.com/SurveyTool?Path=/Carbon	offering,
he initial	•	- The waterieux remministering (500005)	generation will cause. n in n cost-share pes not articipation.	Agronomic/technical assistance: One-on-one technical assistance and support. Free Soil Health Assessment & Plan for select states.	flexibility accomm reasonal naturally variance weather

Ag: Carbon by Indigo

lax: None

arbon sequestration,

olodiversity, and soil health. Other

programs may have different

sive carbon of and connection n and remo

to Ag and

is an advanced platform technology that runs many on, sustainability, regenerative and scope 3 programs, ent geographies, requiring different practices, and iferent outcomes, for public, private, and non-profit Info Not Info Not Flagship Pioneering. COMBO Provided Provided s://www.cibotechnologies.com Money Matters **Technical Info Contracting Info** Payment schedule: Payments Contract length: Varies by program. hy: North America currently dispersed on a Data collected at enrollment: Varies by quarterly basis after credits sell. program. Je practices: Varies by Enrollment assistance: Varies by program. gram. Focus is on regenerative Price: Varies by program. GHG emissions reduction,

Agronomic/technical assistance: provided by Stackability: Generally, yes. program sponsors and CIBO's network of Many programs on the CIBO grower representatives, coops, and Impact platform are stackable acconintions

Outcome estimation: Ensemble of advanced crop, carbon, and ecosystems models that quantify the impact of agricultural practices inreal-time.

2016

Verification: Conducted ML/AI-driven computer

What's Next?



Proposal: Scaling Sustainable Agriculture: Landscape-Level Ecosystem Service Markets for Corporate Co-Investment and Community Resilience

Capitalize on successes

Local Ownership

Shift roles and responsibilities with a progressive transfer of project management activities

Streamline processes to minimize administrative burdens

	Phase 1	Phase 2
Project Management	TNC	Transition to TBD (e.g., a
		consultancy, a project
		developer, or an
		independent project
		manager)
Project Funding	Supply Chain Companies and NRCS	Supply Chain Companies
Farmer Enrollment	Enrollment Specialists	Enrollment Specialists
Agronomic Support	TNC, Coops, and SWCDs,	Enrollment Specialists
Data Collection	TNC/ESMC	Regrow (data collection
		platform) and Earth Optics
		(soil sampling)
GHG Benefits Calculation	ESMC through Regrow	Regrow
GHG Benefits Allocation	ESMC	Companies with support
		from SustainCert

Landscape-Level Ecosystem Service Markets for Corporate Co-Investment and Community Resilience



Questions?



nature.org/mnagriculture

peter.mead@tnc.org