

# USDA Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool** NEW 3186 LM Producer FY25

**Program** RCPP18

**Pool Status** Draft

**Tags**

**Template** RCPP 2018 FY 22 Land Management and Rental Activity

**Template Status** Active

**Existing Practice Included** No

**Last Modified By** Marissa Markus

**Last Modified** 02/12/2025 5

**National Pool** No

**Include States** CO (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	x	x	x	x	N/A	x	x	x	x	x
Crop	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Forest	x	x	x	N/A	N/A	x	x	x	x	x
Other Rural Land	x	x	x	N/A	N/A	x	x	x	x	x
Pasture	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Range	x	x	N/A	x	N/A	x	x	x	x	x

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Air quality emissions	0	--	100
Aquatic habitat	0	--	100
Concentrated erosion	0	--	100
Degraded plant condition	0	--	100
Field pesticide loss	0	--	100
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	33	100
Fire management	0	--	100
Inefficient energy use	0	--	100
Livestock production limitation	0	33	100
Long term protection of land	0	--	100
Pest pressure	0	--	100
Salt losses to water	0	--	100
Soil quality limitations	0	--	100

## Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Source water depletion	0	--	100
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	--	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	34	100
Weather resilience	0	--	100
Wind and water erosion	0	--	100

## Air quality emissions

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	20	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100
Objectionable odor	0	20	100

## Aquatic habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

## Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	100	100
Classic gully erosion	0	--	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	--	100

## Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	100	100
Plant structure and composition	0	--	100

## Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	100	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	--	100

## Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

## Fire management

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

## Inefficient energy use

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	100	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	--	100

## Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	100	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	--	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	--	100

## Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	0	100	100
Threat of conversion	0	--	100

## Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

## Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	100	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	--	100

## Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	100	100
Compaction	0	--	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	--	100
Organic matter depletion	0	--	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	--	100
Subsidence	0	--	100

## Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	100	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	--	100
Surface water depletion	0	--	100

## Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	100	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	--	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	--	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	--	100

## Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

## Weather resilience

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	100	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	--	100
Ponding and flooding	0	--	100
Seasonal high water table	0	--	100
Seeps	0	--	100

## Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	100	100
Wind erosion	0	--	100

## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Fence	382	00N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Animal Mortality Management	830	00N	Interim Conservation Practices

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	20	20	50
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	50
Program Priorities	Default	15	20	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	5	10

## Display Group: NEW 3186 LM Producer FY25 (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Is this in the project area?		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the PLU within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Stewarding the Working Wild RCPP Project Area Boundary?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Is this in the project area?		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application PLU within the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Project Area for Stewarding the Working Wild RCPP project?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Outcomes: Does the application directly address one or more of the project resource concerns? Terrestrial Habitat; Livestock Production Limitation; and Field Sediment, Nutrient, and Pathogen Loss to Surface Water	3 PPA Resource Concerns	100
	2 PPA Resource Concerns	50
	1 PPA Resource Concern	25
Partner Contributions: Does the application leverage RCPP funding with partner contributions found in the PPA table of deliverables?	YES	20
	NO	0
Historically Underserved Producers: Has the applicant self-certified as any class of Historically Underserved participant on the CPA-1200?	YES	40
	NO	0
PPA Exhibit, Efficiency: Is the application planned to be completed within five years?	YES	40
	NO	0

## Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the producer's operation within phase 1 or phase 2 of the project area?	Phase 1	10
	Phase 2	5
Is the producer's operation within a high conflict county?	Routt County, Grand County, Eagle County and Jackson County	30
	Moffat, Rio Blanco, Garfield, and Pitkin County	20
	Mesa and Gunnison County	10
	Other	0
Has wolf activity been detected per the CPW Tracking Collard Wolf Activity Map? Please reference the hover text and business rules.	YES	50
	NO	0
Has the producer had a documented wolf conflict or wolf depredation?	Within 6 months	60
	Within 12 months	40
	Greater than 12 months	20
	Never	0

## Section: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the producer in a conflict county and has the application's Habitat Evaluation Guide Score been increased? Please reference hover text and business rules.	The producer is in a conflict county and the WHEG score increase of 0.4 or greater indicates the application will significantly improve or restore habitat conditions for the target species, group of species, or ecological site.	40
	The producer is NOT in a conflict county and the WHEG score increase of 0.4 or greater indicates the application will significantly improve or restore habitat conditions for the target species, group of species, or ecological site.	30
	The producer is in a conflict county and the WHEG score increase of 0.2-0.39 indicates the application will improve or restore habitat conditions for the target species, group of species, or ecological site.	30
	The producer is NOT in a conflict county and the WHEG score increase of 0.2-0.39 indicates the application will improve or restore habitat conditions for the target species, group of species, or ecological site.	20
	The producer is in a conflict county WHEG score increase of 0.1- 0.19 indicates the application will minimally improve habitat for the target species, group of species, or ecological site.	20
	The producer is NOT in a conflict county WHEG score increase of 0.1- 0.19 indicates the application will minimally improve habitat for the target species, group of species, or ecological site.	10
	0 indicates no habitat improvement.	0
Has the producer collaborated with a partner to complete their site assessment?	YES	10
	NO	0

## Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------