Ranking Pool KS FY25 EQIP CROP

Program EQIP Pool Status Active Tags HU

Template EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023 Template - Status Active Status

Last Modified Jesslin Lamont Modified 4 National Pool No By

Include States KS (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop										

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	50	100
Field pesticide loss	0	20	100
Wind and water erosion	0	30	100

Concentrated erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Classic gully erosion	0	50	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	90	100

10/30/2024 Page 1 of 6

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wind erosion	0	10	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation	201	00N	Activities
Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation	202	00N	Activities
Pest Management Conservation System Design	161	00N	Activities
Conservation Planning Activity	203	00N	Activities
Critical Area Planting	342	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Diversion	362	02N, 00N, 03N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Precision Land Forming and Smoothing	462	00N	Conservation Practices
Pest Management Conservation System	595	01N, 00N, 04N, 03N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Terrace	600	00N	Conservation Practices
Water and Sediment Control Basin	638	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Conservation Plan	199	00N	Activities

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	30	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	40	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: KS FY25 EQIP CROP (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

10/30/2024 Page 2 of 6

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability					
Question Answer Choices					
Kanaga (Majarity of planned land unit cares within the State of Kanaga)	YES				
Kansas (Majority of planned land unit acres within the State of Kansas)	NO				

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

10/30/2024 Page 3 of 6

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Abilene MU	
	Columbus MU	
	Concordia MU	
	Dodge City MU	
	Effingham MU	
	Emporia MU	
	Eureka MU	
	Garden City MU	
	Goodland MU	
	Great Bend MU	
	Hiawatha MU	
	Hill City MU	
	Howard MU	
	Hugoton MU	
	Iola MU	
Select category	Jetmore MU	
	Kingman MU	
	Lawrence MU	
	Lincoln MU	
	Manhattan MU	
	Marion MU	
	Marysville MU	
	Oakley MU	
	Oberlin MU	
	Pratt MU	
	Russell MU	
	Smith Center MU	
	Syracuse MU	
	Ulyssess MU	
	Wichita MU	
	Beginning Farmer or Rancher	
select any additional categories that apply.	Socially Disadvantaged Farmer or Rancher	
	None of the Above	

10/30/2024 Page 4 of 6

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Does the client have a certified conservation plan for this application	YES	50		
prior to September 9th, 2024?	NO	0		
Has the client in the past 5 years, had a terminated Farm Bill	YES	-100		
Contract?	NO	20		
Does the client have an active NRCS-CPA-153 on file as of Septembe	YES	-50		
9th, 2024?	NO	20		
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period	YES	20		
covered by the CRP-1R?	NO	0		
Does the application provide financial assistance for implementing Conservation Planning Activity (CPA), Design and Implementation	YES	20		
Activity, (DIA), and/or Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity (CEMA)?	NO	0		
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher? (Veteran who served in the active military, naval, or air service; was released from the service under conditions other than	YES	20		
dishonorable; AND meets the definition of Beginning Farmer/Rancher OR who first obtained status as a veteran during the most recent 10 year period.)	NO	0		
Have any of the PLUs been converted from permanent perennial vegetation to annual crop production (alfalfa and annual forages	YES	-100		
included with crop production) in the past 10 years?	NO	0		
Was this PLU owned/operated by participant during the conversion?**	YES	-100		
was this red owned/operated by participant during the conversion?	NO	0		
Was this PLU inherited/transferred or bought within the past 10	YES	50		
years?**	NO	-100		

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
PLU intersects a Kansas Water Office Priority 1 Watershed (GIS)	Yes	20	
	No	0	
DILL intersects of Vennes Course Water Protection Area (CIC)	Yes	40	
PLU intersects a Kansas Source Water Protection Area (GIS)	No	0	
PLU intersects KDHE identified high priority TMDL watershed for	Yes	10	
Phosphorus, Siltation, and/or Eutrophication (GIS)	No	0	
PLU intersects a 300-foot buffer of USGS streams and intermittent	Yes	30	
streams (GIS)	No	0	

10/30/2024 Page 5 of 6

Detailed Assessments

Maria	T	laliatian	Ctatus
Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
	71		

10/30/2024 Page 6 of 6