

# USDA Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool** KS FY25 EQIP ACT NOW IRA  
FOREST

**Program** EQIP

**Pool Status** Active

**Tags** ACT NOW, IRA

**Template** IRA EQIP

**Template Status** Active

**Existing Practice Included** No

**Last Modified By** Jesslin Lamont

**Last Modified** 10/30/2024

**National Pool** No

**Include States** KS (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

| Land Use | Grazed | Wildlife | Irrigated | Hayed | Drained | Organic | Water Feature | Protected | Urban | Aquaculture |
|----------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| Forest   | --     | --       | --        | N/A   | N/A     | --      | --            | --        | --    | --          |

## Resource Concern Categories

| Categories               |       |           |       |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Category                 | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Degraded plant condition | 0     | 50        | 100   |
| Pest pressure            | 0     | 50        | 100   |

| Degraded plant condition        |       |           |       |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern                | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Plant productivity and health   | 0     | 50        | 100   |
| Plant structure and composition | 0     | 50        | 100   |

| Pest pressure       |       |           |       |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern    | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Plant pest pressure | 0     | 100       | 100   |


## Practices

| Practice Name            | Practice Code | Practice Narratives | Practice Type          |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Forest Stand Improvement | 666           | 00N                 | Conservation Practices |

## Ranking Weights

| Factors                  | Algorithm      | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Vulnerabilities          | Default        | 10            | 30      | 40            |
| Planned Practice Effects | Adjustment (D) | 15            | 15      | 15            |
| Resource Priorities      | Default        | 20            | 40      | 60            |
| Program Priorities       | Default        | 5             | 5       | 15            |
| Efficiencies             | Default        | 10            | 10      | 10            |

## Display Group: KS FY25 EQIP ACT NOW IRA FOREST (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

| Section: Applicability  |                |        |
|---|----------------|--------|
| Question  | Answer Choices | Points |
| Kansas (Majority of planned land unit acres within the State of Kansas) (GIS) | Yes            | --     |
|   | No             | --     |

## Survey: Category Questions

| Section: Category |                  |        |
|-------------------|------------------|--------|
| Question          | Answer Choices   | Points |
| Select category.  | Statewide-Kansas | --     |

## Survey: Program Questions

| Section: Program   |                |        |
|--|----------------|--------|
| Question   | Answer Choices | Points |
| Has the client in the past 5 years, had a terminated Farm Bill Contract?   | YES            | -100   |
|  | NO             | 50     |
| Does the application provide financial assistance for implementing Conservation Planning Activity (CPA), Design and Implementation Activity, (DIA), and/or Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity (CEMA)?   | YES            | 15     |
|  | NO             | 0      |
| Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher? (Veteran who served in the active military, naval, or air service; was released from the service under conditions other than dishonorable; AND meets the definition of Beginning Farmer/Rancher OR who first obtained status as a veteran during the most recent 10 year period. ) | YES            | 15     |
|  | NO             | 0      |

Section: Program

| Question  | Answer Choices | Points |
|---|----------------|--------|
| Kansas Forest Service potential for forest stewardship (GIS)  | HIGH           | 100    |
|   | MEDIUM or HIGH | 75     |
|   | Otherwise      | 0      |
| Planned land units intersect Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) identified high priority TMDL watershed for total suspended solids (TSS)--(GIS) | Yes            | 20     |
|   | NO             | 0      |

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource

| Question   | Answer Choices | Points |
|--|----------------|--------|
| Does the applicant have a Forest Stewardship Plan or a Forest Management Plan provided to them by the Kansas Forest Service? | YES            | 200    |
|  | NO             | 5      |

Detailed Assessments

| Name | Type | Jurisdiction | Status |
|------|------|--------------|--------|
|------|------|--------------|--------|