



**Natural Resources Conservation Service
CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD
WETLAND RESTORATION
CODE 657
(ac)**

DEFINITION

The re-establishment of abiotic conditions (e.g., hydrology, topographic features, and substrate) on filled or partially, effectively, or fully drained wetlands to a close approximation of pre-disturbance conditions.

PURPOSE

To the extent practicable, address identified resource concerns (e.g., water quality degradation, inadequate habitat for wildlife, or degraded plant condition) by restoring the original wetland abiotic conditions (e.g., hydrology, soils, and elevational gradients).

CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES

This practice applies to any land use (i) where there was once a naturally occurring wetland, (ii) the wetland has been altered by onsite (e.g., construction of irrigation tailwater reservoirs or livestock ponds, ditches or tile drainage, placement of fill, excavation, sedimentation, leveling, deep ripping, and soil mixing) or offsite actions or disturbances (e.g., levees, reservoirs, diversions, and changes in the watershed) that changed the hydrology and other abiotic features, and (iii) where the conservation objective is to restore the area to a close approximation of the pre-disturbance wetland conditions.

Many disturbed wetlands historically contained a mosaic of landscape features, including some small non-wetlands (e.g., pimple mounds, mima mounds, gilgai uplifts, irregular sediment deposition in floodplains) making it impracticable to separate (delineate) these areas from the historic wetland areas. In such situations, wetland restoration will include intermingled non-wetlands, with the objective of replicating the historic wetland and non-wetland conditions within the project area.

- Supporting practices often include but are not limited to: Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) Dike or Levee (Code 356) or Diversion (Code 362), used to construct a berm, dike, diversion, or ditch plug.
- CPS Structure for Water Control (Code 587), used to install a water control structure.

Common associated practices installed prior to or following installation of this practice include:

- CPS Wildlife Habitat Planting (Code 420) or Tree and Shrub Establishment (Code 612), used to restore the plant community.
- CPS Critical Area Planting (Code 342), used to plant vegetation on areas expected to have high erosion rates.
- CPS Wetland Wildlife Management (Code 644), used to manage the habitat.
- CPS Shallow Seasonal Water Management for Wildlife (Code 646), used to manage shallow water to mimic natural floodwater pulses.

NRCS reviews and periodically updates conservation practice standards. To obtain the current version of this standard, contact your Natural Resources Conservation Service State office or visit the Field Office Technical Guide online by going to the NRCS website at <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/> and type FOTG in the search field.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

NRCS, PA
April 2025

- CPS Brush Management (Code 314) or Herbaceous Weed Treatment (Code 315), used to control undesirable brush or herbaceous species.
- CPS Forest Stand Improvement (Code 666), used to manage the species composition or density of existing trees.
- CPS Prescribed Burning (Code 338), used to restore or manage the plant community, or for site preparation.
- CPS Prescribed Grazing (Code 528), used to manage the vegetation with livestock.
- CPS Structures for Wildlife (Code 649), used to provide abiotic structures for wildlife (e.g., elevated mounds to provide nesting sites and escape from periods of high water; coarse woody debris to provide shelter, basking, and foraging habitat; and nest boxes or platforms).

This practice does not apply to:

- Creating a wetland to treat point and non-point sources of water pollution. Use CPS Constructed Wetland (Code 656).
- Rehabilitating a degraded wetland, the reestablishment of a former wetland, or the modification of an existing wetland, where specific wetland functions are augmented beyond the original natural conditions, at the expense of other functions. Use CPS Wetland Enhancement (Code 659).
- Creating wetland functions on a site that was not historically a wetland. Use CPS Wetland Creation (Code 658).

CRITERIA

General Criteria Applicable to All Purposes

The restored wetland will be in the same hydrogeomorphic class and same vegetative modifier as the historic wetland (USDA NRCS 2008).

Evaluate sites that are suspected of containing hazardous material. If confirmed, the practice shall not be installed.

Identify the project area's physical and legal constraints (e.g., property boundaries, flood prevention levees, public drainage systems, and changes in the watershed) to determine practice feasibility and scope.

Within the physical and legal constraints, and to the extent practicable, restore hydrology (frequency, duration, depth, and timing of inundation or saturation), source (e.g., groundwater discharge, overbank flooding, or tidal inundation), and hydrologic losses (e.g., evaporation, vegetative transpiration, groundwater recharge, and surface outflow) to the historic conditions. Examples include:

- Removing sediment or fill.
- Breaking, crushing, or removing drainage tile.
- Replacing perforated drainage tile with solid tile.
- Breaching or removing berms, dikes, terraces, and levees.
- Filling pits or ponds.
- Grading to re-establish macro- or micro-topography.
- Installing berms or dikes with the application of CPS Code 356.
- Installing diversions with the application of CPS Code 362.
- Installing structures for water control with the application of CPS Code 587.
- Managing frequency, duration, depth and timing of inundation with the application of CPS Code 646 to mimic natural and historic flood pulses.

CONSIDERATIONS

Restoring wetland hydrology to an area may increase or decrease the hydrology to adjacent and downgradient areas, including adjacent wetlands.

Some current streams and adjacent areas were historically low-gradient wetlands (Cluer and Thorne 2014). Soil investigations often provide strong evidence of the pre-disturbed conditions.

Excessive excavating and grading activities have the potential to significantly disrupt soil profiles (e.g., mixing of the A horizon, fracturing thin aquitards disruption of ground-water movement) and facilitate the establishment of noxious and invasive plant species.

Wetlands attract many species of wildlife. Some can create safety concerns with adjacent roads, airports and military installations, which may introduce liability concerns to the agency and landowner.

Restoring the occurrence of elevated areas with lighter textured soils (e.g., sand, sandy loams) removed during previous land-clearing, leveling, and plowing activities, will allow for the restoration of the historic plant species diversity. It will also provide surface and subsurface nesting, breeding, resting, and foraging sites for small mammals, reptiles, shorebirds, waterfowl, and invertebrates.

Assuring the soils stability in the upgradient non-wetland area will minimize sedimentation of the restored wetland. Sedimentation not only impacts the practice lifespan but creates a leveling effect that eliminates restored elevational mosaic patterns (e.g., microtopography).

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Where applicable, assure water rights support the restoration objectives. Describe the past actions that impacted the project area.

Describe and contrast the historic conditions and current conditions for soils (e.g., presence of aquitards, wetting and drying cycles), hydrology (e.g., source and hydroperiods) and vegetation (e.g., species composition, structure, and distribution) associated with the hydrology described. The historic conditions are extrapolated from a review of aerial photography or other remotely sensed data, soil maps, topographic maps, stream gage data, similar intact reference wetlands, and historical ecological records. Additionally, sites specific evidence obtained from in-situ soil profiles (when possible) can be used to document the historical condition and inform the restoration target conditions.

Groundwater-influenced wetlands are often significantly impacted from regional ground and surface water irrigation. The impacts have created conditions wetter than the historic conditions (e.g., southwest Idaho) and drier than the historic conditions (e.g., Southern High Plains region of Texas). Long-term monitoring data can inform reasonable expectations and challenges regarding wetland hydrology restoration.

Describe the target hydrological conditions and provide an analysis of alternatives that compares different restoration actions and associated water management actions over the project life. Document alternatives considered with clear support for the chosen alternative.

Include a plan view, quantities, and sufficient profiles and cross-sections to define the location, layout, and grade for stakeout and checkout.

Identify suitable water sources based on groundwater investigations, stream gage data, water budgeting, or other appropriate means.

Identify other practices needed to restore the pre-disturbance hydrology (e.g., CPS Dike or Levee (Code 356) and CPS Seasonal Water Management for Wildlife (Code 646)).

Plans and specifications for this practice shall be prepared for each site. Plans and specifications shall be recorded using approved specifications sheets, job sheets, or other documentation. The plans and specifications for structural features will include, at a minimum, a plan view, quantities, and sufficient profiles and cross-sections to define the location, line, and grade for stakeout and checkout. Plans and specifications shall be reviewed and approved by staff with appropriate job approval authority.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) activities may be needed to ensure the continued hydrologic function of the restored wetland. If needed, a monitoring schedule will be included in the O&M plan.

A separate Operation and Maintenance Plan will be prepared for sites that have structural features. The plan will include specific actions for the normal and repetitive operation of installed structural items, especially water control structures, if included in the project.

The plan will also include the maintenance actions necessary to ensure that constructed items are maintained for the life of the project. It will include the inspection schedule, a list of items to inspect, a checklist of potential damages to look for, recommended repairs, and procedures for documentation.

REFERENCES

Baber, M. J., D. L. Childers, K. J. Babbitt, and D. H. Anderson. 2002. Controls on fish distribution and abundance in temporary wetlands. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **59**: 1441– 1450.

Cluer, B., and C. Thorne. 2014. A Stream Evolution Model Integrating Habitat and Ecosystem Benefits. *River Research and Applications*, 30(2) 135-154.

Cole, C. A., T. L. Serfass, M. C. Brittingham, and R. P. Brooks. 1996. Managing your restored wetland. College of Agricultural Sciences, Coop. Ext., Pennsylvania State University, University Park. 44pp.

Executive order 13112, Invasive Species, February 3, 1999. Federal Register: Vol.64, No.25. Feb. 8, 1999. http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=1999_register&docid=99-3184- filed.pdf

Galatowitsch, Susan, et al, 1994. Restoring Prairie Wetlands: an ecological approach. Iowa State University Press Ames, IA. 246 pp.

Hall, C.D. and F.J. Cuthbert. 2000. Impact of a controlled wetland drawdown on Blanding's Turtles in Minnesota. *Chelonian Conservation Biology*. Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 643-649
Hurt, G.W. and V.W. Carlisle, 2001.

Delineating Hydric Soils, in *Wetland Soils – Genesis, Hydrology, Landscapes and Classification*. Edited by J.L. Richardson and M.J Vepraskas. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL pp. 183 – 206.

Kilgore, K.J. and J.A. Baker. 1996. Patterns of larval fish abundance in a bottomland hardwood

wetland. *Wetlands* 16: 288-295.

King, A.J., P. Humphries and P.S. Lake. 2003. Fish recruitment on floodplains: the roles of patterns of flooding and life history characteristics. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 60:773- 786.

Kingsbury, Bruce & Joanne Gibson, 2002. *Habitat Management Guidelines for Amphibians and Reptiles of the Midwest*. Partners in Amphibian & Reptile Conservation, Ft Wayne IN, 57 pp.

Kwak, T.J. 1988. Lateral movement and use of floodplain habitat by fishes of the Kankakee River, Illinois. *Am. Midland Naturalist* 120(2): 241-249.

M.J. Vepraskas and S. W. Sprecher editors, 1997. *Aquic Conditions and Hydric Soils: The Problem Soils*. Soil Science Society of America Special Publication Number 50. SSSA, Inc. Madison, WI.

Maschhoff, Justin T & James H. Dooley, 2001. Functional Requirements and Design Parameters for Restocking Coarse Woody Features in Restored Wetlands, ASAE Meeting Presentation, Paper No: 012059.

Maschhoff, Justin T. and James H. Dooley. 2003. Functional Requirements and Design Parameters for Restocking Coarse Woody Features in Restored and Enhanced Wetlands.

Pearsons, T. N., H. Li, and G. Lamberti. 1992. Influence of habitat complexity on resistance to flooding and resilience of stream fish assemblages. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 121: 427-436.

USDA, NRCS, 2003. ECS 190-15 Wetland Restoration, Enhancement, Management & Monitoring. 425 pp. <ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/WLI/wre&m.pdf>

USDA, NRCS. Wetland Restoration, Enhancement, or Creation, Engineering Field Handbook Chapter 13, Part 650. 121 pp. <ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/WLI/wre&m.pdf>

USDA, NRCS. 2002. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the U.S., Version 6.0. G.W. Hurt, P.M. Whited and R.F. Pringle (eds.). USDA, NRCS in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils, Fort Worth, TX.
ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/NSSC/Hydric_Soils/FieldIndicators_v6_0.pdf

USDA-NRCS. Hydric Soil Technical Note 13, Deliberations of the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS). ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/NSSC/Hydric_Soils/note13.pdf

USDA-NRCS. 2000. Indiana Biology Technical Note1.
<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Programs/WRP/pdfs/In-final.pdf>

USDA, NRCS. 2008. Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification System: An Overview and Modification to Better Meet the Need of the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Technical Note No. 190-8-76. February.

USDA, NRCS. 2011. Scenarios for Wetland Restoration. Technical Note No. 4. October.

USDA, NRCS. 2021. Hydrology Tools for Wetland Identification and Analysis, National Engineering Handbook, Engineering Field Handbook Part 650 Chapter 19. 164 pp.

USDA, NRCS. 2021. Wetland Restoration, Enhancement, or Creation, National Engineering Handbook, Engineering Field Handbook Part 650, Chapter 13. 160 pp.