



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI)

Program EQIP

Pool Status Active

Tags

Template EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Template Status Active

Existing Practice Included No

Last Modified By Katelyn Fagan

Last Modified 12/26/2024

National Pool No

Include States IN (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	x	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	x	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	x	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	x	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	x	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Air quality emissions	0	2	100
Aquatic habitat	0	1	100
Concentrated erosion	0	8	100
Degraded plant condition	0	9	100
Field pesticide loss	0	5	100
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	13	100
Fire management	0	1	100
Inefficient energy use	0	4	100
Livestock production limitation	0	4	100
Pest pressure	0	10	100
Salt losses to water	0	--	100
Soil quality limitations	0	12	100
Source water depletion	0	1	100

Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	10	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	7	100
Weather resilience	0	1	100
Wind and water erosion	0	12	100

Air quality emissions

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	3	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	73	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	7	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	14	100
Objectionable odor	0	3	100

Aquatic habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	5	100
Classic gully erosion	0	42	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	53	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	80	100
Plant structure and composition	0	20	100

Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	40	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	60	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
------------------	-------	-----------	-------

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	23	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	33	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	2	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	3	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	39	100

Fire management

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	50	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	1	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	49	100

Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	29	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	1	80

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Organic matter depletion	0	39	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	15	100
Subsidence	0	1	100

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	10	90
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	80	90
Surface water depletion	0	10	90

Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	45	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	45	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	5	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	5	100

Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	15	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	20	100
Ponding and flooding	0	35	100
Seasonal high water table	0	15	100
Seeps	0	15	100

Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	89	100
Wind erosion	0	11	100


Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Organic Management	823	00N	Interim Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	40	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	20	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Applicability Question

Section: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Applicability Question		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this application applicable to the EQIP Organic Transitioning Initiative (OTI) Sign-up?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Category Question

Section: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Category Question		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Choose the applicable Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) category:	Organic Transition Initiative (OTI)	--

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Program Questions

Section: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
<p>Local Priorities may be selected if the conservation assessment in CART Assessments Results: Table View reflects that the resource concern is NOT MET at time of application, and that the resource concerns will be IMPROVED. Select all that apply. (Total 120)</p>	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 1 priority. (28 points)	28
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 2 priority. (20 points)	20
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 3 priority. (17 points)	17
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 4 priority. (14 points)	14
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 5 priority. (12 points)	12
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 6 priority. (9 points)	9
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 7 priority. (8 points)	8
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 8 priority. (6 points)	6
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 9 priority. (5 points)	5
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 10 priority. (1 points)	1
	The application will improve none of the county local working group's priorities. (0 points)	0
<p>Does the application include one or more High Priority Practices: 328, 338, 512*, 554, 582, 587, 605, 620*, 649*, and 666*? If so, use the High Priority Practice payment rates ("Pr" in the Practice User Guide and Payment Estimate Calculator).(* Not all scenarios are applicable for these practices. Refer to the current Practice User Guide for applicable High Priority Practice scenarios.)</p>	YES	--
	NO	--
<p>Source Water Protection Area (SWPA): Does land in the application intersect the Indiana SWPA (layer name: IN_FY25_SWPA_HUC12)?</p>	Yes, land in the assessment intersects the Indiana SWPA (layer name: IN_FY25_SWPA_HUC12). (0 points)	--
	Land in the assessment does not intersect the Indiana SWPA (layer name: IN_FY25_SWPA_HUC12). (0 points)	--
<p>Does the conservation assessment in CART Assessments Results: Table View reflect that a ground or surface water resource concern is NOT MET at time of application and will be IMPROVED. (NOTE: This question only appears if any land in the assessment intersects with Indiana's priority source water protection areas. IF this question appears, SWPA High Priority Practice payments identified with "Wp" in the Practice User Guide and Payment Estimate Calculator must be used for the following practices: 328, 351, 447, 554, 582, 587, 605, 620, and 782.)*</p>	YES	40
	NO	--

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Resource Questions

Section: Indiana EQIP 2025 Organic Transition Initiative (OTI) Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Using the CART Assessments Results: Graph View and considering all land uses in the application, at the time of application, how many Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories by land use are NOT MET at time of application (count each Resource Concern Category only one time). Dependent on land use, the Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories may include: Concentrated Erosion, Degraded Plant Condition, Field Sediment Nutrient and Pathogen Loss, Pest Pressure, Soil Quality Limitations, Storage and Handling of Pollutants, Terrestrial Habitat, Wind and Water Erosion.	5 or more Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (150 points)	150
	4 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (125 points)	125
	3 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (100 points)	100
	2 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (75 points)	75
	1 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (50 points)	50
	0 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (0 points)	--
Using the CART Assessments Results: Graph View and considering all land uses in the application, by the end of the contract, how many Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories by land use are NOT MET at time of application and will be IMPROVED (count each Resource Concern Category only one time). Dependent on land use, the Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories may include: Concentrated Erosion, Degraded Plant Condition, Field Sediment Nutrient and Pathogen Loss, Pest Pressure, Soil Quality Limitations, Storage and Handling of Pollutants, Terrestrial Habitat, Wind and Water Erosion.	5 or more Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (50 points)	50
	4 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (40 points)	40
	3 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (30 points)	30
	2 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (20 points)	20
	1 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (10 points)	10
	0 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (0 points)	--

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------