



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool FY25 EQIP SD Forestry

Program EQIP

Pool Status Active

Tags HU

Template EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Template Status Active

Existing Practice Included Yes

Last Modified By Scott Travis

Last Modified 12/04/2024

National Pool No

Include States NY (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Air quality emissions	0	10	100
Aquatic habitat	0	10	100
Concentrated erosion	0	10	100
Degraded plant condition	0	30	100
Fire management	0	10	100
Pest pressure	0	10	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	20	100

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	25	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	25	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	25	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	25	100

Aquatic habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	50	100
Classic gully erosion	0	50	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Fire management

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Forest Management Practice Design	165	00N	Activities
Site Assessment and Soil Testing for Contaminants Activity	207	00N	Activities
Indigenous Stewardship Methods Evaluation	222	00N	Activities
Brush Management	314	03N, 00N	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	00N, 00N-CRP-R, 03N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	01N, 00N	Conservation Practices
Aquatic Organism Passage	396	00N	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	00N	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	00N, 00N-CRP-R, 01N	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Tree-Shrub Pruning	660	01N, 00N	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	00N	Conservation Practices
Forest Management Assessment	223	00N	Activities
Stream Crossing	578	00N-CRP-R, 00N, 02N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment	654	00N	Conservation Practices
Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment	218	00N	Activities
PFAS Testing in Water or Soil	209	00N	Activities
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	01N, 00N	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	20	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	40	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY25 EQIP SD Forestry (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Applicability

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Did the applicant self-certify as Socially Disadvantaged on the NRCS-CPA-1200 conservation program application AND will the planned practices improve a resource concern on a Forestland PLU according to the CART assessment?	YES	
	NO	

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Category*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this application Located in NY?	Yes	
	Otherwise	

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Socially Disadvantaged Program questions*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher (VFR)?	YES	
	NO	
Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP), and land included in the application has come out of CRP within the last two years?	YES	
	NO	
Will this application result in the full implementation of an accepted FMP that addresses all documented soil erosion, water quality, degraded plant condition, and inadequate wildlife habitat resource concerns as identified in the FMP on all land enrolled in the contract? FMP must be included in the case file.	YES	
	NO	
Are practices included in this application supported by an NRCS approved forest inventory and data assessment? Documentation must be included in the case file	YES	
	NO	
How many Resource Concerns, according to the CART Assessment, are documented as not meeting NRCS Planning Criteria and will be addressed through this EQIP application? Answer based on the CART Assessment results for the land included in the application.	5 or more	
	4	
	2 - 3	
	1	
	0	
Have two or more documented high-grade cuts occurred in the past thirty years that can be attributed to creating the current resource concerns found in the forest stands being considered in this EQIP application? Documentation must be included in the FMP and case file.	YES	
	NO	
Has the applicant had a Farm Bill 2018 contract terminated?	YES	
	NO	

Section: Socially Disadvantaged Program questions*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Based on the Counties Local Working Group meeting, according to the CART results which Resource Concern(s) are not meeting planning criteria AND will be made to improve the resource concern with the implementation of this application? Select all that apply	The application will improve the county local working groups number 1 priority	
	The application will improve the county local working groups number 2 priority	
	The application will improve the county local working groups number 3 priority	
	NA, county did not select priority resource concerns OR county listed all resource concerns as priorities OR resource concerns being improved is not a local working group priority	

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Socially Disadvantaged Farmer Resource*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will practices planned in this application address classic gully erosion? Resource Concern must be identified in the Assessment	YES	
	NO	
Will woody debris on the forest floor of the stands being considered in this EQIP application be increased through planned practices? Documentation must be included in the case file	YES	
	NO	
Is each stand associated with this application covered with less than 10% invasive plant species? Documentation must support presence of <10% invasive species	YES	
	NO	
Does this application include practices to treat sediment transported to surface water, impacting a perennial stream or sensitive waterbody? Sediment transported to surface water must be identified in the Assessment and practices planned to address the resource concern. CD Other Category: Hydrography and any documented wetland	YES	
	NO	
Which resource concerns do practices planned in this application address? Select all that apply.	Plant Productivity and Health	
	Plant Structure and Composition	
	Plant Pest Pressure	
	Wildfire Hazard from Biomass Accumulation	
	Not Applicable	

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------