

# USDA Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool** CO FY25 ACT NOW WLFW SGI Greater Sage Grouse

**Program** EQIP

**Pool Status** Draft

**Tags** ACT NOW

**Template** Working Lands for Wildlife (WLFW) Sage-Grouse Initiative (SGI) Greater Sage-Grouse (GRSG)

**Template Status** Active

**Existing Practice Included** No

**Last Modified By** Kindra Brandner

**Last Modified** 12/03/2024

**National Pool** No

**Include States** CO (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	x	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	x	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	x	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	10	10	65
Livestock production limitation	0	10	65
Terrestrial habitat	35	80	90

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	50	100

## Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	50	100

## Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	15	20	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	10	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## Display Group: CO FY25 ACT NOW WLFW SGI Greater (Draft)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the PLU intersect the national WLFW SI GRSG boundary layer?	Yes, National GRSG Boundary Layer	--
	Otherwise	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

## Section: Category

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this project for the Greater Sage Grouse Initiative?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Program Questions

## Section: Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
1. Will the offered acres include expired or expiring (this calendar year) Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) acres that will be maintained, enhanced, or established to Sage Grouse habitat?	YES	200
	NO	0
2. Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last three years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan, even if the contract is expired?	YES	-200
	NO	0

## Survey: Resource Questions

## Section: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
1. Does the PLU intersect a priority area for conservation layer for WLFW SGI GRSG?	Yes, GRSG priority area	100
	Otherwise	15
2. What is the percentage of the range and pastureland associated with the operation that is contained in the conservation plan? . Acreage must be greater than 0.	All eligible range and pastureland enrolled	50
	50% or more of eligible range and pastureland enrolled	25
	Less than 50% of eligible range and pastureland enrolled	15
	NA	0
3. Do the planned practices address threats that were identified for WLFW SGI GRSG? At least one identified threat must be addressed.	All identified threats addressed	50
	75% or more of identified threats addressed	40
	50% or more of identified threats addressed	25
	Less than 50% of identified threats addressed	15
	None of the above	0

## Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------