



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool** Oregon FY 25 ACEP ALE/GSS

**Program** ACEP

**Pool Status** Active

**Tags**

**Template** ACEP-ALE GSS (Program Agreements)

**Template Status** Active

**Existing Practice Included** No

**Last Modified By** Eric Moeggenberg

**Last Modified** 11/26/2024

**National Pool** No

**Include States** OR (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	2	20
Degraded plant condition	5	5	50
Field pesticide loss	0	--	20
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	--	50
Livestock production limitation	5	5	50
Long term protection of land	35	75	75
Pest pressure	0	2	40
Salt losses to water	0	--	20
Soil quality limitations	0	2	45
Source water depletion	0	3	40

## Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	--	25
Terrestrial habitat	0	4	40
Wind and water erosion	0	2	10

## Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	20	100
Classic gully erosion	0	40	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	40	100

## Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

## Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

## Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

## Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	40	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	15	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	45	100

## Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

## Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

## Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

## Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	--	100
Compaction	0	25	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	100
Organic matter depletion	0	25	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	15	100

## Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	35	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	100
Surface water depletion	0	30	100

## Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

## Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

## Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100


## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	50	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

## Display Group: Oregon FY 25 ACEP ALE/GSS (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this a rangeland, pastureland, grassland or non-industrial forestland application where a landowner is working with an Eligible Entity to apply for ACEP-ALE GSS Funding (Non-RCPP) through a Program Agreement?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this application within the State of Oregon	YES	--
	NO	--

### Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
1a: CPA 41A-Land Eligibility Category Productive soils: Percentage of prime, unique, statewide or soils of local importance that would be protected on the ALE Parcel. Justification (required) USDA soil survey map.	>90-100%	30
	>80-90%	25
	>70-80%	15
	>60-70%	10
	>50-60%	5
	0-50%	0
	N/A, Grazing Uses enrollment	0
1b. CPA 41A-ALE Parcel application Land Eligibility Category Protects Grazing Uses: Percentage of range land, grazing land that would be protected on the parcel.	90-100%	30
	80-90%	25
	70-80%	15
	60-70%	10
	50-60%	5
	0-50%	0
	Not applicable, application CPA 41A-ALE Productive Soils category (reference ranking question 1a)	0
Percentage of cropland, rangeland, grassland, pastureland on the ALE Parcel. Do not include building envelopes, farmstead, developed land, or associated non-agricultural lands. Justification required land use map including legend or statement.	90-100%	30
	81-90%	25
	66-80%	15
	51-65%	10
	34-50%	5
	0-33%	0
Ratio of the total ALE Parcel size to be protected versus the average farm size in the county. Justification <a href="http://www.agcensus.usda.gov">www.agcensus.usda.gov</a>	Ratio > 2.0	15
	Ratio > 1.0 to <2.0	5
	Ratio of 1.0 or less	0
Decrease of farm and ranch land acreage in the county between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture. <a href="http://www.agcensus.usda.gov">www.agcensus.usda.gov</a>	Decrease greater than 15%	15
	Decrease 11-15%	12
	Decrease 6-10%	8
	Decrease 1-5%	4
	Decrease 0% or an Increase	0

Section: Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county between last two USDA censuses of Agriculture. (www.agcensus.usda.gov)	Decrease farm and ranch land in county greater than 15%. (negative value)	15
	Decrease farm and ranch land in county 11-15%. (negative value)	12
	Decrease farm and ranch land in county 6-10%. (negative value)	8
	Decrease farm and ranch land in county 1-5%. (negative value)	4
	0% or increase value (positive value)	0
Ratio of population growth in the county versus the statewide growth rate as documented by the most recent U.S. census. (www.census.gov)	greater than 3%	15
	2 - 3%	10
	1 to 1.9%	5
	< less than 1%	0
Ratio of county population density (population per square mile) versus statewide population density based on the most recent U.S. census. (www.census.gov)	greater than 3%	15
	2-3%	10
	1-1.9%	5
	less than 1%	0
Proximity of the ALE Parcel to other protected land within 5 mile radius. Such as land owned fee title by Indian Tribe federal, state, or local government or by NGO.	Proposed easement area is directly adjacent (touching along a shared boundary) to a protected land boundary.	20
	Proposed easement area is within a 1-mile radius of a protected land boundary	15
	Proposed easement area is greater than a 1-mile radius and less than a 2-mile radius of a protected land boundary	10
	Proposed easement area is greater than a 2-mile radius and less than a 5-mile radius of protected land boundary.	7.5
	No protected lands greater than 5miles	0
Proximity of the ALE Parcel to other agriculture operations and infrastructure within 3 mile radius.	Proposed easement area is directly adjacent (touching along a shared boundary)	15
	Proposed easement area is within 1 mile.	10
	Proposed easement area is greater than or equal to 1 mile but less than 3 miles in proximity	5
	Proposed easement area boundary is greater than 3 miles in proximity	0
ALE Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or adjacent agricultural easements.	Parcel links two agricultural easements	20
	Parcel is adjacent to an agricultural easement	5
	Parcel is non-contiguous to an agricultural easement	0
Evidence of farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established to address agricultural viability for future generations.	Written Plan by industry professional	5
	Written plan.	3
	No plan.	0

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Parcel is currently enrolled in a CRP contract that is set to expire within a year and is a grassland that would be protected by the easement.	YES	5
	NO	0
ALE Parcel land is grassland of special environmental significance (GSS) application, with NRCS approved evaluation, that will benefit from the protection under the long-term easement.	YES	5
	NO	0
Percentage of the Fair Market Value (FMV) of the ALE Parcel easement that is the eligible entity's own cash resources contribution for easement acquisition and comes from a source other than the landowner. Refer to CPA-41A Section D.	>75%	15
	51-74%	10
	50%	7.5
	25-49%	5
	< 25%	0

**Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
The location of a parcel in an area zoned for agricultural use. Parcel is located within agricultural zone, and is in the proximity of the other agricultural operations	YES	15
	NO	0
Property is within 3 miles of an Oregon population center (an Incorporated City or a Census Designated Place)	YES	20
	NO	0
Eligible entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 95 percent or more of its easements each year.	YES	10
	NO	0
Proximity of Parcel to other permanently protected local, regional, state and federal lands such as parks, natural areas, forests, and grasslands that contributes to the habitat needs of species of concern in area. Includes other non-public permanently protected conservation lands such as a land trusts lands. Attach map of protected area in proximity to parcel, list species and habitat types, and describe needs being met by protecting the parcel and by being in close proximity to other protected parcel.	Within a quarter mile	20
	Within a half mile	15
	Greater than a half mile	0
Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of the SageCon Oregon Sage-Grouse Action Plan <a href="https://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/sagegrouse/lit/">https://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/sagegrouse/lit/</a>	YES	25
	NO	0
Does one or more eligible landowner(s) meet the definition as a historically underserved group? (CPM 440.528.190) socially disadvantaged, limited resource landowners, beginning farmer or ranchers, or veteran landowners. (Documentation must be provided to receive these points).	YES	20
	NO	0
Percent of rangeland, pastureland, land that contains forbs or shrublands to be protected that is currently devoted to grazing uses	Proposed easement area has 75% or greater of rangeland, pastureland, or land that contains forbs or shrublands	20
	Proposed easement area has 50-74% or greater of rangeland, pastureland, or land that contains forbs or shrublands	15
	Proposed easement area has less than 50% of rangeland, pastureland, or land that contains forbs or shrublands	0

Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Percent of the easement offer area that is improved or naturalized pasture or rangeland.	Less than 25%	20
	25-50%	15
	50-75%	5
	Greater than 75%	0

## Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------