



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool** OR FY25 GEN. ALE Ver. 2

**Program** ACEP

**Pool Status** Active

**Tags**

**Template** ACEP-ALE General (Program Agreements)

**Template Status** Active

**Existing Practice Included** Yes

**Last Modified By** Eric Moeggenberg

**Last Modified** 11/26/2024

**National Pool** No

**Include States** OR (Admin)

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	3	30
Degraded plant condition	0	3	50
Field pesticide loss	0	--	20
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	2	50
Livestock production limitation	0	5	50
Long term protection of land	40	65	75
Pest pressure	0	3	20
Salt losses to water	0	5	20
Soil quality limitations	0	3	50
Source water depletion	0	3	40

## Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	5	40
Wind and water erosion	0	3	40

## Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	20	100
Classic gully erosion	0	40	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	40	100

## Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

## Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

## Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

## Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	40	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	15	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	45	100

## Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
------------------	-------	-----------	-------

## Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

## Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

## Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

## Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	15	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	100
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	15	100

## Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	35	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	100
Surface water depletion	0	30	100

## Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

## Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100

## Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wind erosion	0	50	100


## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update	LTAPERSU	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	00N	Easements

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	50	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

## Display Group: OR FY25 GEN. ALE Ver. 2 (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this application located in Oregon?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the land offered for enrollment qualify for at least one land eligibility category?	YES	--
	NO	--

### Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soils in the parcel to be protected.	Greater than 80%	17
	Between 70% and 80%	15
	Between 60% and 70%	8
	Between 50% and 60%	4
	50% or less	0
Percent of cropland, pastureland, grassland, and rangeland in the parcel to be protected.	Greater than 50%	17
	Greater than 40% and less than or equal to 50%	8
	Greater than 33% less than or equal to 40%	4
	33% or less	0
Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Ratio of 1.0 or less	15
	Ratio between 1.0 and 2.0	7
	Ratio of 2.0 or more	0
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (ASDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Decreases >15%.	15
	Decease of >10 and <15%.	8
	Decrease of >5 and <10%	5
	Decrease of >0 and <5%	3
	Decrease of 0% or less	0
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Decreases >15%	16
	Decrease of >10 and <15%	9
	Decrease of >5 and <10%	5
	Decrease of >0 and <5%	1
	Decrease of 0% or less	0
Percent population growth in the county as documented by the most recent United States Census (Census Bureau Home Page)	Growth rate of more than three times the State growth rate.	15
	Growth rate of two and less than or equal to three times the State growth rate.	7
	Growth rate of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State growth rate.	4
	Growth rate of less than one times the State growth rate.	0

Section: Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Population density (population per square mile) as documented by the most recent United States Census (Census Bureau Home Page)	Population density of greater than three times the State population density.	15
	Population density of greater than two and less than or equal to three times the State population density.	7
	Population density of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State population density.	4
	Population density less than one times the State population density.	0
Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established to address farm viability for future generations	Plan documented and prepared by industry professional.	15
	Plan	7
	No Plan	0
Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure	EOA boundary adjoins.	15
	EOA is within 1 mile in proximity.	7
	EOA is greater than or equal to 1 mile but less than 3 miles in proximity.	4
	EOA boundary greater than 3 miles in proximity.	0
Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, such as compatible military installations; land owned in fee title by the United States or an Indian Tribe, State or local government, or by a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to protect agricultural use and related conservation values; or land that is already subject to an easement or deed restriction that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values	Proposed easement area is directly adjacent (touching along a shared boundary) to a protected land boundary.	15
	Proposed easement area is within a 1-mile radius of a protected land boundary	10
	Proposed easement area is greater than a 1-mile radius and less than a 2-mile radius of a protected land boundary	7.5
	Proposed easement area is greater than a 2-mile radius and less than a 5-mile radius of protected land boundary	4
	Proposed easement area is greater than a 5-mile radius of a protected land boundary.	0
Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use	Parcel links two noncontiguous corridors of protected agricultural use.	15
	Parcel links two noncontiguous corridors of protected agricultural use.	6
	Parcel does not increase a protected agricultural use area.	0
Land is currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within one year and is grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	10
	NO	0
Land is grassland of special environmental significance that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	10
	NO	0
Percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entities own cash resources for payment of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner.	Greater than 25%	10
	25% or less	8
	10% or less	5
	2% or less	0

## Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
The location of a parcel in an area zoned for agricultural use. Parcel is located within agricultural zone, and is in the proximity of the other agricultural operations	YES	10
	NO	0
Property is within 3 miles of an Oregon population center (an Incorporated City or a Census Designated Place)	YES	20
	NO	0
Eligible entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 95 percent or more of its easements each year.	YES	15
	NO	0
Proximity of Parcel to other permanently protected local, regional, state and federal lands such as parks, natural areas, forests, and grasslands that contributes to the habitat needs of species of concern in area. Includes other non public permanently protected conservation lands such as a land trusts lands. Attach map of protected area in proximity to parcel, list species and habitat types, and describe needs being met by protecting the parcel and by being in close proximity to other protected parcel.	Within 1/4 mile	25
	Within 1/2 mile	15
	> 1/2 mile	0
Parcel is wholly within boundaries of NRCS OR Conservation Implementation Strategy or within NRCS OR ACEP ALE Priority Area Maps (IWJV SONEC Spring Waterbird Priority Area, Mid-Columbia River Steelhead Distinct Population Segment)	YES	30
	NO	0
Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of the SageCon Oregon Sage-Grouse Action Plan <a href="https://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/sagegrouse/lit/">https://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/sagegrouse/lit/</a>	YES	15
	NO	0
Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of OWEB Focused Investment Priority - Oak Woodland and Praire Habitat. <a href="https://www.oregon.gov/oweb/Documents/FIP-Oak-Woodlands-Priority.pdf">https://www.oregon.gov/oweb/Documents/FIP-Oak-Woodlands-Priority.pdf</a>	YES	15
	NO	0
Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of ODFW Conservation Opportunity Areas <a href="https://oregonconservationstrategy.org/conservation-opportunity-areas">https://oregonconservationstrategy.org/conservation-opportunity-areas</a>	YES	15
	NO	0
Parcel is identified as a historically or culturally significant such as a Century Farm, located on the Oregon Trail, or in an Area of local Tribal significance, identified by a local Tribe through a letter to the Eligible Entity and NRCS	YES	15
	NO	0
Parcel contains historical or archaeological resources that will be protected by easement as described in 440.528.33 (Documentation must be provided to receive these points).	YES	15
	NO	0
Proximity of the Parcel to other agricultural operations - access to markets and infrastructure	Within 10 miles or less of parcel	10
	Within 11-50 miles of parcel	7
	Within 50 - 100 miles of parcel	5
	Greater than 100 miles of parcel	0
Does one or more eligible landowner(s) meet the definition as a historically underserved group? (CPM 440.528.190) socially disadvantaged, limited resource landowners, beginning farmer or ranchers, or veteran landowners. (Documentation must be provided to receive these points).	YES	15
	NO	0

## Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------