Ranking Pool FY25 NH- IRA EQIP Cropland Non-Livestock v2

Program EQIP

Template IRA EQIP

Last Marques Munis **Modified By**

Pool Status Active

Template Active

Last 11/30/2024 **Modified**

Tags IRA

Existing Practice No

National Pool No

Include States NH (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Air quality emissions	0	5	100	
Aquatic habitat	0	5	100	
Concentrated erosion	0	10	100	
Degraded plant condition	0	9	100	
Field pesticide loss	0	5	100	
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	12	100	
Inefficient energy use	0	3	100	
Pest pressure	0	5	100	
Soil quality limitations	0	12	100	
Source water depletion	0	9	100	
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	3	100	
Terrestrial habitat	0	3	100	
Weather resilience	0	9	100	
Wind and water erosion	0	10	100	

12/04/2024 Page 1 of 6

Air quality emissions			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	20	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100
Objectionable odor	0	20	100

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	30	100		
Classic gully erosion	0	35	100		
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	35	100		

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	30	100	
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	5	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	5	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	30	100	
Sediment transported to surface water	0	30	100	

12/04/2024 Page 2 of 6

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Soil quality limitations				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Aggregate instability	0	25	100	
Compaction	0	35	100	
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0		80	
Organic matter depletion	0	35	100	
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	5	100	
Subsidence	0		100	

Source water depletion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	5	90
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	90	90
Surface water depletion	0	5	90

Storage and handling of pollutants			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	3	100

12/04/2024 Page 3 of 6

		1141	mang r oor report
Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Naturally available moisture use	0	31	100
Ponding and flooding	0	31	100
Seasonal high water table	0	34	100
Seeps	0	1	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	95	100
Wind erosion	0	5	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Soil Carbon Amendment	336	01N, 00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	01N, 00N-CRP-R, 00N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	00N	Conservation Practices
Contour Buffer Strips	332	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	01N, 00N	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	00N	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	00N, 00N-CRP-R, 01N	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	02N, 00N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Stripcropping	585	00N	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	06N, 00N, 08N, 07N	Conservation Practices
Vegetative Barrier	601	00N	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Wind Barriers	603	00N	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	01N, 00N	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	00N	Conservation Practices

12/04/2024 Page 4 of 6

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	55	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	10	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY25 NH - EQIP Cropland Non-Livestock DG v2 (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this a cropland or crop related application, that does not include livestock in the operation, and the crop grown is not for livestock feed	YES	
or forage?	NO	

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Which work unit is this application associated with? (Select ONE)	Concord-Milford	
	Conway-Lancaster	
	Epping	
	Orford	
	Walpole	

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
	Yes, the application intersect a source water protection watershed by at least 50%?	25
at least 50%?	No, Otherwise	0
Is 51% of the land in this application within a New England Cottontail	It is at least in 51% NEC area.	25
Focus Area?	Otherwise	

12/04/2024 Page 5 of 6

Section: Program			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Is 51% of the land in this application within a Turtle Habitat Focus	51% of the land in this application withing Turtle Habitat Focus Area?	25	
Area?	Otherwise		
Has the participant cancelled an application, contract, or specific CIN in an attempt to reapply and receive higher cost share rates, within the	YES	-250	
last two years?	NO		

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the EQIP schedule of operations include implementation of one or more practices improving soil health? Only consider practices 328,	YES	20
329, 336, 340, 484, or 512.	NO	
Does the EQIP schedule of operations include one or more practices with the intent of increasing habitat for pollinators, beneficial insects, or	YES	10
both? Only consider practice(s): 327, 420, 595, 612.	NO	
Does the EQIP schedule of operations include practices that will improve the efficiency of an existing irrigation system, conserve soil moisture, or both, through the implementation of one or more of conservation practices 336, 441, 484 or 449?	YES	10
	NO	
Does the application address at least 1 State Priority Resource Concern? (1) Aquatic Habitat; (2) Concentrated Erosion; (3) Degraded Plant Condition; (4) Field Sediment, Nutrient and Pathogen Loss; (5)	YES	30
Pest Pressure; (6) Soil Quality Limitations; (7) Terrestrial Habitat; (8) Wind and Water Erosion	NO	

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
Hamo	1,760	our iouron	Otatuo

12/04/2024 Page 6 of 6