

Ranking Pool CO FY25 IRA ACT NOW Wildlife

Program EQIP

Template IRA EQIP

Last Modified By Kindra Brandner Pool Status Active

Template Status Active

Last Modified 12/04/2024

Tags ACT NOW, IRA

Existing Practice Included No

National Pool No

Include States CO (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land		х			N/A					
Сгор		х								
Farmstead		х		N/A	N/A					
Forest		х		N/A	N/A					
Pasture		х								
Range		х	N/A		N/A					
Water	N/A	x	N/A	N/A	N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories					
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Air quality emissions	0	30	100		
Aquatic habitat	0	25	100		
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	10	100		
Soil quality limitations	0	10	100		
Terrestrial habitat	0	25	100		

Air quality emissions						
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %			
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	75	100			
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	25	100			

Ranking Pool Report

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	75	100
Elevated water temperature	0	25	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

	1	i	
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	31	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	23	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	23	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	23	100

Soil quality limitations					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Aggregate instability	0	33	100		
Organic matter depletion	0	34	100		
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	33	100		

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	00N	Conservation Practices
Brush Management	314	03N, 00N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	01N, 00N-CRP-R, 00N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	00N, 00N-CRP-R, 01N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	00N, 00N-CRP-R	Conservation Practices
Hedgerow Planting	422	01N, 00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	00N, 00N-CRP-R, 01N	Conservation Practices

Ranking Pool Report

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	00N-CRP-R, 00N, 02N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	00N, 00N-CRP-R, 01N	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	00N	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	01N, 00N	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	20	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: CO FY25 IRA ACT NOW Wildlife (Active)

(i) An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Does this application prioritize wildlife habitat?	YES				
	NO				

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
The majority of the plu's are located in the following Area	Area 1			
	Area 2			
	Area 3			
	Otherwise			

Section: Program Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
1. How many primary practices in the project are listed as Climate-Smart Agriculture and Forestry (CSAF) mitigation activities for FY25?	One climate smart core practice	50	
	Two climate smart core practices	75	
	Three or more climate smart core practices	125	
	NA	0	
2. Will the proposed project result in the implementation of all conservation practices scheduled on the NRCS-CPA-1155 within three years, not to exceed July 2028?	YES	75	
	NO	0	

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource *				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
1. Does the application directly address limiting habitat factors for:	Multiple species, including at least one Federal Trust Species (TE) ESA threatened, endangered, or candidate species, as well as NRCS CO Wildlife Plan Species.	60		
	One species from the CO NRCS State Wildlife Plan that is a Federal Trust Species (TE- Threatened, endangered, or candidate).	50		
	One species from the CO NRCS State Wildlife Plan that is a CPW SWAP (CS) species	40		
	Pollinators other than Federal Trust Species	20		
	CO Culturally and Economically Important species (elk, mule deer, pronghorn, pheasant, waterfowl, native/naturalized trout).	10		
	any other or no species impacted	0		

Section: Resource *				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
2. The application's Habitat Evaluation (WHEG/SVAP2/CPW Habitat scorecard) score improvement indicates the likely habitat benefits for target species is:	WHEG score improvement of 0.4 or greater, or other method of habitat evaluation indicates the application will significantly improve or restore habitat conditions for the target species, group of species, or ecological site (terrestrial or aquatic). Includes conversion of land use to permanent wildlife habitat.	60		
	WHEG score improvement of 0.2-0.3, or other method of habitat evaluation indicates the application will improve habitat conditions for the target species, group of species, or ecological site (terrestrial or aquatic).	40		
	WHEG score improvement of 0.1, or other method of habitat evaluation indicates the application will minimally improve habitat for the target species, group of species, or ecological site (terrestrial or aquatic).	20		
	no habitat improvement.	0		
3. What are the limiting factors addressed from the WHEG?	All limiting factors identified in the WHEG are being addressed in this application. *See business rules	60		
	2-3 limiting factors are being addressed in this application. *See business rules	40		
	One limiting factor is being addressed in this application.	20		
	The application does not address a limiting factor	0		
4. A NRCS Biologist, Partner Biologist, CPW Terrestrial/Aquatic Biologist or Habitat Coordinator, or USFWS-PFW Biologist has verified	YES	20		
the wildlife habitat benefits of the project with written support.	NO	0		

Detailed Assessments

Name Type	Jurisdiction	Status
-----------	--------------	--------