



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool AK-FY25 ACEP-ALE General

Program ACEP

Pool Status Active

Tags

Template ACEP-ALE General (Program Agreements)

Template Status Active

Existing Practice Included No

Last Modified By Amanda Crowe

Last Modified 11/28/2024

National Pool No

Include States AK (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	5	30
Degraded plant condition	0	5	50
Field pesticide loss	0	5	20
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	50
Livestock production limitation	0	5	50
Long term protection of land	40	45	75
Pest pressure	0	5	20
Salt losses to water	0	5	20
Soil quality limitations	0	5	50
Source water depletion	0	5	40

Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	5	40
Wind and water erosion	0	5	40

Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	20	100
Classic gully erosion	0	40	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	40	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	40	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	15	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	45	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
------------------	-------	-----------	-------

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	15	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	100
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	15	100

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	35	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	100
Surface water depletion	0	30	100

Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100

Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wind erosion	0	50	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update	LTAPERSU	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	00N	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	15	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	40	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: Alaska FY25 ACEP ALE (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this an ACEP-ALE application for a parcel in Alaska	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this ACEP-ALE application parcel located within the State of Alaska?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
What percent of cropland, rangeland, grassland, historic grassland, pastureland, or nonindustrial private forest land in parcel to be protected.	90% or greater	14
	75% and greater but less than 90%	9
	50% and greater but less than 75%	4
	Less than or equal to 50%	0
What percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soils in the parcel to be protected	More than 80%	15
	Between 71-80%	11
	Between 61-70%	8
	Between 50-60%	3
	Less than 50%	0
What is the ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture. (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Geater than 2:1	14
	Greater than 1:1 and less than or equal to 2:1	7
	Less than 1:1	0
How much has the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located decreased between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture?	Decrease is more than 15%	14
	Decrease is more than 10% and less than or equal to 15%	11
	Decrease is more than 5% and less than or equal to 10%	8
	Decrease is more than 0% and less than or equal to 5%	3
	No Decrease	0
How much has the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county in which the parcel to be protected is located decreased between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture?	Decrease more than 15%	15
	Decrease more than 10% and less than or equal to 15%	8
	Decrease more than 5% and less than or equal to 10%	5
	Decrease more than 0% and less than or equal to 5%	3
	No Decrease - 0% or less	0

Section: Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The percent population growth in the county is _____ the State growth rate as documented by the United States Census.	County growth rate is more than 3 times the State growth rate	14
	County growth rate is more than 2 times the State growth rate	7
	County growth rate is more than 1 times the State growth rate	4
	County growth rate is less than .99 times the State growth rate	0
The population density (population per square mile) in the county is _____ the State population as documented by the most recent United States Census.	More than 3x	14
	More than 2x	7
	More than 1x	4
	1x or less	0
Does the farm or ranch have a succession plan or similar plan established covering the parcel to be protected that addresses agricultural viability for future generations?	Parcel has a written plan completed by an industry professional that directly addresses agricultural viability for future generations	14
	Parcel has a written plan that directly addresses agricultural viability for future generations not developed by an industry professional	7
	Parcel has no written plan documented.	0
Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, such as compatible military installations; land owned in fee title by the United States or an Indian Tribe, State or local government, or by a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to protect agricultural use and related conservation values; or land that is already subject to an easement or deed restriction that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values.	Parcel boundary adjoins a protected land boundary.	21
	Parcel is within one (1) mile of a protected land boundary	14
	Parcel is within three (3) miles of a protected land boundary.	7
	None of the above	0
What is the proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure.	Adjacent to other agriculture operations and infrastructure	21
	Within 1 mile of other agriculture operations and infrastructure	14
	Within 3 miles of other agriculture operations and infrastructure	7
	None of the above	0
Does the parcel have the ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use.	Parcel links two noncontiguous corridors of protected agricultural land	15
	A contiguous or proximal expansion of protected agriculture use	6
	none of the above	0
Whether the land is currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within one year and is grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement or is land under a CRP contract that is in transition to a covered farmer or rancher pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 3835(f)	YES	10
	NO	0
Land is grassland of special environmental significance that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	10
	NO	0

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
What percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entity's own cash resources for payment of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner?	Entity contributes 50% of FMV	9
	Entity contributes 25-49% of FMV	6
	Entity contributes 10-24% of FMV	3
	Entity contributes less than 9.99% of FMV	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the location of a parcel in an area zoned for agricultural use.	YES	10
	NO	0
The eligible entity's performance in managing and enforcing easements. Performance must be measured by the efficiency by which easement transactions are completed or percentage of parcels that have been monitored annually and the percentage of monitoring results that have been reported annually.	YES	10
	NO	0
How many of the following multifunctional benefits of farm and ranch land protection will be addressed on the parcel to be protected? (i) Social, economic, historic, and archaeological benefits; (ii) Enhancing carbon sequestration; (iii) Improving climate change resiliency; (iv) At-risk species protection; (v) Reducing nutrient runoff and improving water quality; (vi) Other related conservation benefits.	The protection of the parcel addresses four (4) or more multifunctional benefits.	25
	The protection of the parcel addresses three (3) multifunctional benefits	20
	The protection of the parcel addresses two (2) multifunctional benefits	15
	The protection of the parcel addresses one (1) multifunctional benefits	10
	The protection of the parcel addresses zero (0) multifunctional benefits	0
Parcel contains historical or archeological resources that will be protected by the easement. Cultural resource must be recognized by SHPO, National or State Historic Register.	YES	10
	NO	0
Entity will develop an Agriculture Land Easement Plan (ALEP) for this parcel.	YES	10
	NO	0
Will one or more of the following measures be used to maintain or increase agricultural viability on the parcel to be protected? Select all applicable answers.	A basic ALE plan, grasslands management plan, and/or forest management plan will be completed before closing and included in the deed provisions.	10
	A formal succession plan completed by an industry professional will be completed before closing	10
	Entity deed terms that specifically address long-term agricultural viability will be included in the recorded easement deed.	10
	None of these measures will be used to maintain or increase agricultural viability.	0

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------