



## ACEP- WRE General & Grazing Rights Reserved Ranking Criteria

Section: ACEP_WRE_Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
ND Location	Location	--
	Otherwise	--

### Survey: Category Questions

Section: County Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
County	County	--
	Otherwise	--

### Survey: Program Questions

Section: WRE Program Priorities		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
How cost effective is the application?	screening cost effectiveness <= 4.00	20
	screening cost effectiveness ranging from 4.01 - 8.00	15
	screening cost effectiveness ranging from 8.01 - 12.00	10
	screening cost effectiveness > 12.00	0
To what extent is this application leveraged	Applicants willing to accept of GARC Value 50%	10
	Applicants willing to accept of GARC Value 55%	8
	Applicants willing to accept of GARC Value 60%	6
	Applicants willing to accept of GARC Value 65%	4
	Applicants willing to accept of GARC Value 70%	2
	Applicants unwilling to accept less that GARC Value	0

## Section: WRE Program Priorities

Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many of the following items would be addressed by taking an easement on the offered land? Migratory birds and other wetland dependent wildlife; Water quality; Floodwater attenuation; Protection of open space; Native flora and fauna; and Education opportunities.	6 of the ACEP-WRE purposes achieved with potential easement.	50
	5 of the ACEP-WRE purposes achieved with potential easement.	35
	4 of the ACEP-WRE purposes achieved with potential easement.	10
	3 or less of the ACEP-WRE purposes achieved with potential easement.	0
How much of the offered land is productivite for growing crops?	Over 80 percent of the offered acres are planted annually.	10
	60-79 percent of the offered acres are planned annually.	8
	40-59 percent of the offered acres are planned annually.	4
	20-39 percent of the offered acres are planned annually.	2
	Less than 20 percent of the offered acres are planned annually.	0
Are there any environment threats that will require restoration practices other than the normal conservation restoration practices such as grass seeding, ditch plugs, etc.?	YES	0
	NO	10

## Survey: Resource Questions

## Section: WRE Resource Priorities

Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many acres of wetlands will be restored vegetatively?	5 or fewer acres of wetlands restored to wetland species.	0
	5.1 to 10 acres of wetlands restored to wetland species.	5
	10.1 to 15 acres of wetlands restored to wetland species.	10
	15.1 to 20 acres of wetlands restored to wetland species	15
	More than 20 acres of wetlands restored to wetland species.	30

## Section: WRE Resource Priorities

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Wetland Basins and Acres to be Hydrologically Restored	10 or fewer screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	0
	10.1 to 20 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	10
	20.1 to 30 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	20
	30.1 to 40 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	30
	40.1 to 50 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	40
	50.1 to 60 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	50
	More than 60 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	60
Degree of variability of wetlands and wetland types?	0 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	0
	0.1 to 15 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	10
	15.1 to 25 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	20
	25.1 to 35 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	30
	35.1 to 45 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	40
	45.1 to 55 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	50
	55.1 to 65 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	60
	More than 65 screening points attributed to hydrologically restoring basins and acres of wetlands.	70
State Geographic Area From USFWS Water Breeding Pairs Map	Breeding Pairs Map colors - Red or Yellow	30
	Breeding Pairs Map colors - Dark Green	20
	Breeding Pairs Map colors - Light Green	15
	Breeding Pairs Map colors - Beige	10
	Breeding Pairs Map colors - Dark Blue or Light Blue or South and West of Missouri River	5

## Section: WRE Resource Priorities

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is offer adjacent to other protected wetlands	YES	20
	NO	0
Threatened and Endangered Species Occur in County	Eddy, McHenry, McKenzie, Richland, or Stutsman Counties	25
	Benson, Burke, Dunn, Emmons, Kidder, McIntosh, McLean, Mountrail, Oliver, Ransom, Sioux, Ward, or Wells Counties	20
	Burleigh, Divide, Foster, Logan, Mercer, Morton, Pierce, Renville, Sargent, Sheridan, or Williams Counties	15
	Bottineau or Rolette Counties	10
	Adams, Barnes, Billings, Bowman, Cass, Cavalier, Dickey, Golden Valley, Grand Forks, Grant, Griggs, Hettinger, LaMoure, Nelson, Pembina, Ramsey, Slope, Stark, Steele, Towner, Traill, or Walsh Counties	5
Is the offered property located in an 8-digit HUC identified by the ND Health Department as an impaired watershed?	YES	25
	NO	0
Will the easement's vegetative and hydrologically restored areas remain protected after the WRE easement has expired?	YES	20
	NO	0
How many of the following items does the offered land currently meet: Landscape features which allow feasible hydrologic restoration; Water levels that have negatively impacted agricultural productivity most years; Include at least 80 acres of grass seeding; or Adjacent to other WRE/WRP/EWPP easements.	4 of the State hydrology questions met	20
	3 of the State hydrology questions met	10
	1 to 2 of the State hydrology questions met	5
	None of the State hydrology questions met	0