F! I . V			
Fiscal Year:			
Cooperating Entity:			
Landowner Name:			
County:			
Evaluator Name:			
Evaluation Date:			
Parcel Acres:			
National Ranking Criteria: Program Points			
Question 1 Guidance: Only answer 1A or 1B,	not both. Applica	able question r	efer to CPA 41A-Question #5 Land
		-	
Percentage of prime, unique, statewide of prime.	or soils of local imp	portance that v	vould be protected on the parcel.
**Add up acres in prime soil, unique soil, stat	ewide important s	soil. locally imp	ortant soil, and divide by the total
parcel acres. Convert that decimal to a percer	•		•
supported by County Board of Supervisors res	olution as identifi	ed by the CA De	ept. of Conservation's Farmland of
Local Importance definition. Reference either	USDA soil survey	or CA DOC FMI	MP layer, identify data source. Do
not answer for ALE-Grazing Uses applications			
Total Parcel acres:			
only count acres once)	Acres	%	ANSWER SELECTIONS
Prime Soils		0	>90-100%
Unique Soils		0	>80-90%
Statewide Important Soils		0	>70-80%
Locally Important Soils*		0	>60-70%
			>50-60%
		0%	0-50%

1b. Percentage of range land, grazing land that would be protected on the parcel.**

**Add up acres in grazed rangeland/grassland and divide by the total parcel acres. Convert that decimal to a percentage. Only count acres once. Justification required: land use map and statement supporting grazing uses on the property.

Total Parcel acres:

	Acres	%	ANSWER SELECTIONS
Grazing Land		0	>90-100%
			>80-90%
			>70-80%
			>60-70%
			>50-60%
TOTAL	0	0%	0-50%

2. Percentage of cropland, rangeland, grassland, pastureland on the parcel. Ag land only, do not include building envelopes, farmstead, developed land, or associated non-ag lands. Justification required: land use map including legend & statement.

Total Parcel acres:

	ANSWER SELECTIONS
Cropland	91-100%
Pastureland	81-90%
Rangeland/Grassland	66-80%
TOTAL	51-65%
	34-50%
	0-33%

3. Ratio of the total parcel size to be protected versus the average farm size in the county. (www.agcensus.usda.gov)

Divide the parcel size by the average parcel size for the county. Select the answer that fits the applicant.

	ANSWER SELECTIONS-RATIO
Parcel Size	> 3
Average Farm Size for County	2 - 3
Ratio	1 - 1.9
	< 1

4.Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county between the last two USDA	
Censuses of Agriculture. (www.agcensus.usda.gov)	
	ANSWER SELECTIONS-PERCENT
	CHANGE
	> 15%
	11-15%
	6-10%
	1-5%
	0% or Increase

5. Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county between last two USDA censuses of Agriculture. (www.agcensus.usda.gov)

ANSWER SELECTIONS-PERCENT
CHANGE
> 15%
11-15%
6-10%
1-5%
0% or Increase

6. Ratio of population growth in the county versus the statewide growth rate as documented by the most recent U.S. census. (www.census.gov)

ANSWER SELECTIONS-RATIO
> 3
2 - 3
1 -1.9
< 1

7. Ratio of county population density(population per square mile) versus statewide population density based on the most recent U.S. census. (www.census.gov)

ANSWER SELECTIONS-RATIO
> 3
2 - 3
1 - 1.9
< 1

8. Proximity of the ALE Parcel to other protected land within 1 mile radius	
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this element.	Identify protected land, acreage, and
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	> 500 acres within 1 mile
	251 - 500 acres within 1 mile
	100 - 250 acres within 1 mile
	< 100 acres within 1 mile
	No protected land within 1 mile
9. Proximity of the ALE Parcel to other agriculture operations & infrastruct	ure within 1 mile radius.
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this element.	
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	> 500 acres within 1 mile
	251 - 500 acres within 1 mile
	100 - 250 acres within 1 mile
	< 100 acres within 1 mile
10. ALE Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or adjacen	t agricultural easements.
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this element.	Identify protected land, acreage, and
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Parcel links two agricultural easements
	Parcel is adjacent to an agricultural easement
	Parcel is non-contiguous to an agricultural easement
	•
11. Evidence of farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established t future generations Justification (required): statement addressing element, copy of plan.	o address agricultural viability for
bustification (required). Statement dualessing element, copy of plan.	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Written Plan by industry professional

Written Plan No plan

12. Parcel is currently enrolled in a CRP contract that is set to expire within a ye	ar and is a grassland that would
be protected by the easement.	
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Yes
	No

13. Parcel is is a grassland of special environmental significance that will benefit from the protection under the long-term easement.

Justification (required): STC reviewed & approved GSS entity- request.

ANSWER SELECTIONS
Yes
No

14. Percentage of the Fair Market Value (FMV) of the ALE easement that is the eligible entity's own cash resources contribution for easement acquisition and comes from a source other than the landowner.

Justification (required): Refer to Entity budget statement and/or ACEP-ALE Parcel Sheet: NRCS-CPA-41A Section

ANSWER SELECTIONS-%
> 75%
51-74%
50%
25-49%
<25%

State Ranking Criteria: Resource Points

1. Parcel is located in an area zoned for agricultural use with a minimum ag zoning designation.

Justification (required): statement including map addressing this element.

ANSWER SELECTIONS-ZONED	
< 40 ac.	
40 - 80 ac.	
80 - 160 ac.	
> 160 ac.	

2. Number of potential legal parcels under current zoning	3.
Justification (required): statement addressing this elemer	nt.
	ANSWER SELECTIONS-# OF PARCELS
Size of Offering:	> 10
Current Zoning:	5 - 10
# Potential Parcels	1 - 4
	0
3. Proximity to Sphere of Influence of an incorporated cit	- .у.
Justification (required): statement or map addressing this	s element.
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	< 1/2 mile to 1 mile
	1.1 to 2 miles
	2 to 4 miles
	> 4 miles
 Agricultural water supply provided from more than on district, well). Multiple response may apply. Justification (required): statement or map addressing this Water source and water right (identify): 	
, ,,	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Yes
	No

-	ocalized National, State, or Regional
conservation goals and objectives, or enhance existing government or pr	
points if project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation projects in the project has secured funding through localized conservation project has secured funding through localized conservation project has secured funding through localized conservation project has secured funding through the p	
citation unless it specifically identifies the area of the easement for agric	
Justification (required): statement including plan addressing this element	
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Located within area that will
Name of Plan:	achieve localized conservation
Name of Flam.	projects AND has secured
	associated funding
	Located within area that will
	achieve localized conservation
	projects
	Not within local conservation
	priority areas and not secured
	funding
6. Cooperating Entity has a strategic farmland protection plan with speci	ific agricultural focal areas identified.
lustification (required); statement including man addressing this elemen	<u>+</u>
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this elemen	
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this elemen	ANSWER SELECTIONS
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this elemen	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this elemen	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this elemen 7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No
Justification (required): statement including map addressing this elemen 7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply Justification (required): statement addressing this element.	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No ANSWER SELECTIONS
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No ANSWER SELECTIONS Social (identify): Economic (identify): Climate Change Resiliency
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No ANSWER SELECTIONS Social (identify): Economic (identify): Climate Change Resiliency (identify):
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No ANSWER SELECTIONS Social (identify): Economic (identify): Climate Change Resiliency (identify): Parcel's Access to Ag. Markets (<
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No No ANSWER SELECTIONS Social (identify): Economic (identify): Climate Change Resiliency (identify): Parcel's Access to Ag. Markets (< 5 miles)
7. Unique multifunctional project benefits. Multiple response may apply	ANSWER SELECTIONS Yes No ANSWER SELECTIONS Social (identify): Economic (identify): Climate Change Resiliency (identify): Parcel's Access to Ag. Markets (<

8. Proposed easement area contains habitat for at-risk species and management practices on parcel are compatible with specific life-cycle needs of T&E species, as shown by best available records or data search (select highest category): Justification (required): statement including map addressing this element. Identify at risk species: At-risk species (Identify): **ANSWER SELECTIONS** Proposed easement area habitat supports federal or state listed threatened and endangered species Proposed easement area habitat supports federal or state listed candidate species Proposed easement area habitat supports State species of concern Proposed easement area habitat supports State species of interest No at-risk species habitat on proposed easement area 9. Lead Eligible Entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 100% of its NRCS easements each year AND entity has provided information on any change in ownership to NRCS over

9. Lead Eligible Entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 100% of its NRCS easements each year AND entity has provided information on any change in ownership to NRCS over the last (5) fiscal years. If no NRCS easements are held, entity must provide evidence of annual monitoring and ownership changes for other easements.

Justification (required): statement addressing this element and NEST report if applicable/available.

ANSWER SELECTIONS	
100%	
75 - 99%	
< 75%	

10. In the previous five (5) fiscal years, the Lead Eligible Entity has demonstrated efficiency in completing NRCS easement transactions by closing enrollments within the initial term of the Parcel Contract

ANSWER SELECTIONS		
< 3 years		
> 3 years		

11. Letters of Support (NRCS or RCD support letters do not count).	
Justification (required): copies letters of support provided by entity. Letter	rs need to address specific parcel-proiect
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	3 or more
	2
	1
	0
12. Landowner has a conservation rian, Grazing Ivianagement rian, com	
(do not include HEL compliance plans) and is actively implementing conso offering (plan documentation must be provided to NRCS) or agricultural li-	ervation practices on the easement and easement plans included by Entity
Name of Plan:	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Yes
	No
Type of Plan:	<u> </u>
Date of Plan:	
13. Parcel contains historical or archeological resources that will be prote must be recognized by SHPO/NRHP, National or State Historic Register).	ected by the easement (cultural resource
lustification (required): statement addressing this element.	
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	Yes
	No
14. Landowner of proposed easement area is a historically underserved poself-certified on the NRCS-CPA-41A parcel application as a: limited resound is advantaged farmer or rancher, or veteran farmer or rancher.	
	ANSWER SELECTIONS
	10
	0