

Ranking ID-FY24 RCPP-EHE 2759-Bear River

Pool: Watershed v1

National No Pool: **Program:** RCPP18 Pool Status: Active

**Template:** RCPP 2018 Entity Held Easement Activity ver 1.0 FY 21-22 Template Active  $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Admin} \\ \textbf{State:} \end{array} \textbf{ID}$ 

Include States: ID **Last** Diane French

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Forest				N/A	N/A					
Other Rural Land				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					
Water	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A					

### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	0	10	70
Concentrated erosion	0	5	70
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	10	70
Long term protection of land	30	45	100
Soil quality limitations	0	15	70
Terrestrial habitat	0	10	70
Wind and water erosion	0	5	70

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	75	100

04/29/2024 Page 1 of 5

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Elevated water temperature	0	25	100

Concentrated erosion					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	20	100		
Classic gully erosion	0	40	100		
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	40	100		

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100	
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100	
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100	

Long term protection of land			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	0	50	100
Threat of conversion	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Aggregate instability	0	18	100	
Compaction	0	18	100	
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	10	100	
Organic matter depletion	0	18	100	
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	18	100	
Subsidence	0	18	100	

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

04/29/2024 Page 2 of 5

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

#### **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements
FA for Innovative Easement Activities per Project Agreement	RFPE	RCPP

#### **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	45
Resource Priorities	Default	25	30	65
Program Priorities	Default	25	60	65
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

## Display Group: ID-FY24 RCPP-EHE 2759-Bear River Watershed (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is this application specifically for RCPP18 - 2759 Bear River Watershed Implementation and is it within the project area?	Project is within the RCPP project area.	
	Otherwise	

## **Survey: Category Questions**

04/29/2024 Page 3 of 5

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Was the application submitted by partner with a supplemental agreement?	YES	
	NO	

## **Survey: Program Questions**

Section: National Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Outcomes: The parcel application will directly contribute to the Long- term protection of agricultural uses by limiting nonagricultural uses identified in the PPA attachment E "project outcomes".	YES	30
	NO	0
Historically Underserved: Has the applicant self-certified as any class of Historically Underserved participant on the CPA-1200?	YES	40
	NO	0
Partner Contribution: Application directly leverages NRCS RCPP funding with partner contributions for the entity held easement.	26 - 50% cash match provided by eligible entity for payment of easement compensation to the landowner.	100
	25% cash match provided by eligible entity for payment of easement compensation to the landowner.	50
	NO cash-match provided by eligible entity for payment of easement compensation to the landowner.	0

# **Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: State and Local Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Offered parcel falls within an IDFG Mapped Migration Route.*	Mapped Migration Route	50
	Within 1 mile	20
	Otherwise	0
Offered parcel includes maintaining habitat for a Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) per IDFG identified species on the SWAP Slicer tool, or Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed species per USFWS identified IPaC.	At-risk habitat identified that has experienced a disproportionately higher rate of loss in Idaho.	20
	At-risk habitat identified	10
	None, or not applicable	0
Parcel contains an area associated with riparian areas and shallow water habitat within the easement area. Select all that apply.	Mesic habitat that includes wet or semi-wet meadows, and/or irrigated pasture and hay meadows.	10
	Moist habitat associated with perennial rivers and streams.	10
	Moist habitat associated with intermittent or ephemeral rivers and streams.	5
	Area contains no Mesic features.	0

04/29/2024 Page 4 of 5

	r.d.n.	ang room report	
Section: State and Local Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use.	Links two noncontinuous corridors of protected agriculture use.	20	
	A contiguous or proximal expansion of protected agriculture use.	10	
	None of the above.	0	
Offered acres are part of an active livestock grazing operation.	YES	10	
	NO	0	

04/29/2024 Page 5 of 5