

Zone 1

Missing on survey

- Pollinators (“bugs”)
- Urban-rural interface
 - UGB
- Endangered & threatened species – info to landowners
- Distinguish forests: conifer vs. deciduous

Challenges...

Landowners

- Source of consolidated info – across agencies’ siloes
- Interagency communication
- Affordability – higher payments
 - To get “real deal farmers” to participate in programs
- Land use constraints – state level

Agencies

- Understand landowners’ concerns in enough detail
- Understand other agencies’ resources, strengths & limitations

Solutions...

Ranked!

1. Agency training about other agencies structures
2. Clearinghouse/Hub for info on technical & financial assistance
 - Interagency connections
 - Landowner referrals
 - Reference desk (Wikipedia)
 - SWCD role as first contact?
3. More capacity in agencies staffing!
4. More money – easier & faster payments to landowners

Zone 2

1. Survey Results: What's Missing?

- Are private landowners willing to share data?
- What practices are landowners willing to try?

2. Challenges/Limitations

- How to share data & the good work people are doing
- Having data based decision making
- Connecting resources to landowners
- Create cross-agency relationships to encourage landowner participation: ODA, NRCS, DSL (CREP)
- NRCS codes are conceptual not practical application

3. Solutions

- Workshops – How to, with step by step process tours – such as prescribed grazing
- NRCS digital platform to autofill/flowchart
- Create platform for connecting landowners & contractors
- Must involve/reach the large farmers, ask them what would it take to be involved? Can we pay them to host tours of their institutional knowledge?

Zone 4

Water Quality – A Surprise

- Who didn't we hear from in the survey
- Increased outreach
- Face to face response
- Surprised Native species aren't higher

Challenges: Maintenance of conservation projects more volunteer labor to lessen cost

Target urban owner

- Offer plans for urban landscapes
- Offer incentives
- Target corridor landowners
- Farm deferral-education
- Tours of other land

Funding- Goats

- Non-lethal predator control
- More funding for outreach
- School programs
- Outreach – outdoor school

Zone 5

What's missing?

- Connecting the dots – How management of one resource improves the resource of another.
- 5, 6, 7, & 8 at the lowest ranking are actually the drivers for the highest ranking activities.
- Upland management impacts lowlands, practices up stream effect lowlands.

Challenges or limitations? Messaging and education

- Improving communications to connect management practices
- Limitations of CREP program & what it can fund and understanding economic impact

Solutions:

- Partner collaboration across agencies to fund in stream structural improvements to improve water quality

Funding opportunities?

- NRCS & SWCD with OWEB
Tribal partners – bring TEK/Buring
OSU has conservation innovation grants (CIG)
- IRA, CSAF Climate Smart Ag
- BIL Bipartisan Infrastructural/Forestry lands