



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: 1924 US Held Easements

Program: RCPP18

Pool Status: Active

States: FL (Admin)

Template: RCPP18 US-Held Easements (2022)

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Michael Ruiz

Last Modified: 05/08/2023

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Air quality emissions	0	--	70
Aquatic habitat	0	--	70
Concentrated erosion	0	--	70
Degraded plant condition	0	10	70
Field pesticide loss	0	--	70
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	--	70
Fire management	0	10	70
Inefficient energy use	0	--	70
Livestock production limitation	0	--	70
Long term protection of land	30	50	100
Pest pressure	0	--	70
Salt losses to water	0	--	70
Soil quality limitations	0	--	70
Source water depletion	0	10	70
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	--	70

Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat	0	10	70
Weather resilience	0	10	70
Wind and water erosion	0	--	70

Air quality emissions

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	20	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100
Objectionable odor	0	20	100

Aquatic habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	34	100
Classic gully erosion	0	33	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	33	100

Degraded plant condition

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100

Fire management

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

Livestock production limitation

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	34	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	33	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	33	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	0	50	100
Threat of conversion	0	50	100

Pest pressure

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	19	100
Compaction	0	18	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	17	100
Organic matter depletion	0	16	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	15	100
Subsidence	0	15	100

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	34	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	33	100
Surface water depletion	0	33	100

Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	20	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	20	100
Ponding and flooding	0	20	100
Seasonal high water table	0	20	100
Seeps	0	20	100

Wind and water erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100


Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Appraisal	LTAPA	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Update	LTAPAU	Easements
Acquisition Process - Baseline Report	LTAPBLR	Easements
Acquisition Process - Boundary Survey	LTAPBS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Closing Services	LTAPCS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Full Phase I	LTAPFP1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Acquisition Process - Title Search	LTAPTS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	15	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	5
Resource Priorities	Default	25	50	65
Program Priorities	Default	25	30	65
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: 1924 US Held Easements FL FY23 (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: 1924 US-HE FL FY23 Applicability Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the US Held Easement application within the RCPP-1924 project area?	Yes	--
	No	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: 1924 US-HE FL FY23 Category Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Which Type of US Held Easement is this application for?	Highly Restrictive	--
	Moderately Restrictive	--
	Minimally Restrictive	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: 1924 US-HE FL FY23 Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application part of a Partner application bundle?	Yes	50
	No	0
Is the applicant any class of Historically Underserved?	Yes	40
	No	0
Contributions: Does the US Held Easement application leverage RCPP funding with partner contributions?	RCPP FA funds leveraged by partner TA and FA contributions toward restoration design and implementation costs.	40
	RCPP FA funds leveraged by partner FA contributions toward restoration implementation costs.	20
	No leveraging of RCPP FA funds with partner contributions.	0
Outcomes: Will aquisition of the US Held Easement directly address project resource concerns that are included in the Programatic Partnership Agreement?	Addresses three or more resource concerns.	50
	Addresses two resource concerns.	30
	Addresses one more resource concern.	10
	Addresses zero resource concerns.	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: 1924 US Held Easements FL FY23

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Managment: Level of management for Operation and Maintenance required to maintain the easement.	Minimal or no managment once restored.	40
	Infrequent maintenance required.	20
	Long-term intensive operation and maintenance required.	-40
Proximity to federally protected lands associated with military installations.	Adjacent	50
	Up to 0.5 mile	40
	Between 0.5 and 1.0 mile	20
	Greater than 1.0 mile	0

Section: 1924 US Held Easements FL FY23

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the application contain or improve habitat and/or population conditions for specified species?	Parcel contains or improves migration and foraging habitat for Gopher Tortoise.	10
	Parcel contains or improves migration and foraging habitat for Red-Cockaded woodpeckers, Eastern Indigo snake, or other Federal or State T&E listed species?	10
	Parcel contains or improves migration and foraging habitat for Florida Black Bear.	10
	Parcel does not contain or improve migration and foraging habitat for specified species.	0
Is Longleaf Pine currently present on the parcel or is there a plan to establish Longleaf Pine on the parcel.	Longleaf is currently present.	30
	Longleaf is not currently present, but establishment is scheduled to occur according to a written plan.	10
	Otherwise.	0
Is the parcel capable of supporting the identified conservation values and the planned future condition condition of the proposed easement?	Yes	10
	No	-50