



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: 2764 LMR Producer SHPI FY24

Program: RCPP18

Pool Status: Active

States: KS (Admin)

Template: RCPP 2018 FY 22 Land Management and Rental Activity

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Ashley Visocsky

Last Modified: 12/21/2023

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	0	15	100
Long term protection of land	0	30	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	40	100
Weather resilience	0	15	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	40	100
Plant structure and composition	0	60	100

Long term protection of land			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	0	100	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	25	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	75	100


Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
FA Rental Payment based on NRCS Defined Model	RFRN	RCP

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	20	20	50
Resource Priorities	Default	20	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	15	30	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	5	10

Display Group: RCPP18 FY24 SHPI (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
PLUs intersect the SHPI Project Area (GIS)	PLUs intersect the SHPI Project Area in Kansas	--
	No PLUs intersect the SHPI Project Area in Kansas	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Select a category (GIS)	Southern High Plains Initiative	--
	Not Eligible	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
<p>Application directly addresses one or more resource concerns for which outcome metrics have been included in the PPA attachment E. Select all that apply.</p>	Increase Grassland Resilience - Rental agreements to prevent grassland conversion and prevent loss of ground bird nesting habitat and migratory birds. The metric to measure this will be an increased number of acres with extended commitment to grazing and/or woody invasive management.	15
	Guide Future Use of Expiring/Expired CRP or restored prairie - Rental agreements to prevent grassland conversion and prevent loss of ground bird nesting habitat and migratory birds. The metric to measure this will be an increased number of acres with extended commitment to grazing and/or woody invasive management.	15
	Grassland Habitat Restoration - Land management activities to reduce grassland vulnerability & increase habitat quality. Baseline conditions show that a resource concern exists on a participant's contract and after conditions show that standards and specifications have been met.	15
	HU Participation - Including the number of producer contracts and the number of participating producers, along with demographic information, where appropriate, to ensure a high degree of participation by individuals in underserved communities.	5
	None of the above	0
<p>PPA exhibits identify direct relationship between proposed LMR activities and partner contributions, and application directly leverages RCPP funding with partner contributions.</p> <p style="color: red;">This will always be "no" (0 pt)</p>	RCPP FA leverages greater than 75% partner FA	25
	RCPP FA leverages 25-75% partner FA	10
	RCPP FA leverages 25% or less partner FA	5
	no leveraging of RCPP FA with partner FA contributions	0
<p>Application or applicant meets HU criteria.</p>	YES	5
	NO	0

Section: Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
PPA exhibits identify negotiated expectations for lead partner submission of bundled applications, and application has been identified by lead partner as part of an application bundle. TNC will advise	Yes, the partner has identified this application as part of their bundling option	50
	No, the partner did not choose this application as part of their bundling option	0
Does the applicant have an easement in place or in progress (parcel application or letter of intent or equivalent)? (Matt or producer will need to advise) TNC will advise	YES	15
	NO	0
Within, intersecting, or adjacent to the chalk bluffs or red hills priority area polygon (GIS)	Yes	15
	No	0
Property is adjacent to other RCPP applicant, Grassland CRP, EQIP, or other formal non-RCPP agreement.	YES	15
	NO	0
Application Term Is:	10 years	20
	5-9 years	10
	< 5 years	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
In addition to a take-half/leave-half stocking rate, does the application or formal non-RCPP agreement result in enhanced grazing management (e.g. at least 3 years of growing season rest, deferment, etc). Applicant or TNC will need to advise	YES	40
	NO	0
Land management and rental activities in RCPP or formal non-RCPP agreement defend and expand grassland cores by reducing grassland vulnerability & increasing habitat quality.	50% or more of the acres in 'before' condition are vulnerable to conversion and/or woodland expansion and/or reduced plant community resilience (e.g. overgrazing), and threats will be addressed in 'after' condition. For example, anticipated change will be based on analysis of pre-RCPP award announcement stocking rate versus stocking rate after transition to take half-leave half stocking rate.	40
	49.9% or less of the acres in 'before' condition are vulnerable to conversion and/or woodland expansion and/or reduced plant community resilience (e.g. overgrazing), and threats will be addressed in 'after' condition. For example, anticipated change will be based on analysis of pre-RCPP award announcement stocking rate versus stocking rate after transition to take half-leave half stocking rate.	0
Select the option below that best describes the PLU(s) in regard to Eastern Red Cedar (ERC) invasion (NOTE: If ERC or other woody invasives encroaching, phases must be mapped for benchmark, and Land Management activities must be included in RCPP or other agreement to reduce grassland vulnerability and risk (use of GP Grassland Ext. pocket guide).	No ERC encroachment on the PLU(s)	40
	Non-RCPP agreements are being utilized to address the ERC issue	20
	Practices will be scheduled in this RCPP contract to address the ERC issue	0

Section: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Extent to which application complements other (non-RCPP project) related conservation efforts in the RCPP project area with project-related conservation benefits (e.g. Grass bank lease, non-conversion agreements, habitat agreements, etc). <p style="text-align: center; color: red;">Participant or TNC will need to advise</p>	50% or more of the acres are under another conservation contract at least at one point in time during the RCPP period	40
	49.9% or less of the acres are under another conservation contract at least at one point in time during the RCPP period	20
	None of the acreage are, or were ever, under another conservation contract at least at one point in time during the RCPP period	0
Distance to the stronghold anchor: <p style="text-align: center; color: red;">TNC will advise</p>	Conservation easement on property or in progress, property adjacent or connected with other applications/agreements to stronghold anchor	40
	Within 3.1 miles of the anchor	20
	> 3.1 miles away from the anchor	0