



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool:** KS FY24 EQIP WLFW ACT NOW MOBU

**Program:** EQIP

**Pool Status:** Active

**States:** KS (Admin)

**Template:** Working Lands for Wildlife (WLFW) Monarch Butterfly (MOBU)

**Template Status:** Active

**Last Modified By:** Timothy Weltmer

**Last Modified:** 02/02/2024  
4

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	x	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	x	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	10	10	60
Fire management	0	20	30
Pest pressure	0	20	30
Terrestrial habitat	40	50	90

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	40	100
Plant structure and composition	0	60	100

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	15	30	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	10	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	40	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## Display Group: KS FY24 EQIP WLFW ACT NOW MOBU (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Are the Majority of the PLU(s) located in the State of Kansas within the National Layer for WLFW MOBU Boundary?	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Monarch Butterfly	Kansas	--

## Survey: Program Questions

Section: program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?	YES	50
	NO	0
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher? (Veteran who served in the active military, naval, or air service; was released from the service under conditions other than dishonorable; AND meets the definition of Beginning Farmer/Rancher OR who first obtained status as a veteran during the most recent 10 year period. )	YES	50
	NO	0
Does the application provide financial assistance for implementing Conservation Planning Activity (CPA), Design and Implementation Activity, (DIA), and/or Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity (CEMA)?	YES	100
	NO	0

## Survey: Resource Questions

Section: resource		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
What mix of species or management best describes the Direct Target Species Benefit?	Planting mix includes two or more species of milkweed (Asclepias spp.)	70
	Planting mix includes one species of milkweed	50
	Management of existing habitat will increase existing milkweed and floral resources	25
	otherwise	-1000
Is the PLU located adjacent to ag lands that are treated with insecticides (excluding treated seeds)?	YES	-50
	NO	50

## Section: resource

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the center point of the PLU < 125 feet from ag lands treated with insecticides?	YES	-50
	NO	20
Proximity to existing MOBU habitat is < 0.2 miles (i.e., natural areas, CRP, prairies, etc. with abundant milkweed or other beneficial plants).	YES	10
	NO	0
Proximity to existing MOBU habitat is > .2 miles and < 0.5 miles (i.e., natural areas, CRP, prairies, etc. with abundant milkweed or other beneficial plants).	YES	10
	NO	0
Proximal existing MOBU habitat is protected for conservation purposes by fee-title, easement, or long-term lease (>5 years)?	YES	10
	NO	0