

Ranking Pool: NY FY24 SD Forestland

Program: EQIP States: NY (Admin) Pool Status: Active

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023 **Template Status:** Active

Last 01/31/202

Last Kimberly Farrell **Modified By:** Modified: 4

Land Uses and Modifiers

| Land Use | Grazed | Wildlife | Irrigated | Hayed | Drained | Organic | Water Feature | Protected | Urban | Aquaculture |
|--------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| Associated Ag Land | | | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Forest | | | | N/A | N/A | | | | | |

Resource Concern Categories

| Categories | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Category | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Air quality emissions | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| Aquatic habitat | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| Concentrated erosion | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| Degraded plant condition | 0 | 30 | 100 |
| Fire management | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| Pest pressure | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| Terrestrial habitat | 0 | 20 | 100 |

| Air quality emissions | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen | 0 | 25 | 100 |
| Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs | 0 | 25 | 100 |
| Emissions of ozone precursors | 0 | 25 | 100 |
| Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors | 0 | 25 | 100 |

| Aquatic habitat | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms | 0 | 50 | 100 |

02/05/2024 Page 1 of 5

| Aquatic habitat | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Elevated water temperature | 0 | 50 | 100 |

| Concentrated erosion | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Classic gully erosion | 0 | 50 | 100 |

| Degraded plant condition | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Plant productivity and health | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Plant structure and composition | 0 | 50 | 100 |

| Fire management | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation | 0 | 100 | 100 |

| Pest pressure | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Plant pest pressure | 0 | 100 | 100 |

| Terrestrial habitat | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates | 0 | 100 | 100 |

Practices

| Practice Name | Practice Code | Practice Type |
|---|---------------|---------------------------|
| Forest Management Practice Design | 165 | Activities |
| Site Assessment and Soil Testing for Contaminants Activity | 207 | Activities |
| PFAS Testing in Water or Soil | 209 | Activities |
| Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment | 218 | Activities |
| Indigenous Stewardship Methods Evaluation | 222 | Activities |
| Forest Management Assessment | 223 | Activities |
| Brush Management | 314 | Conservation Practices |
| Herbaceous Weed Treatment | 315 | Conservation Practices |

02/05/2024 Page 2 of 5

| Practice Name | Practice Code | Practice Type |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|
| Fence | 382 | Conservation Practices |
| Riparian Forest Buffer | 391 | Conservation Practices |
| Stream Habitat Improvement and Management | 395 | Conservation Practices |
| Aquatic Organism Passage | 396 | Conservation Practices |
| Grade Stabilization Structure | 410 | Conservation Practices |
| Stream Crossing | 578 | Conservation Practices |
| Streambank and Shoreline Protection | 580 | Conservation Practices |
| Structure for Water Control | 587 | Conservation Practices |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment | 612 | Conservation Practices |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management | 645 | Conservation Practices |
| Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt | 647 | Conservation Practices |
| Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment | 654 | Conservation Practices |
| Tree-Shrub Pruning | 660 | Conservation Practices |
| Forest Stand Improvement | 666 | Conservation Practices |

Ranking Weights

| Factors | Algorithm | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Vulnerabilities | Default | 10 | 20 | 40 |
| Planned Practice Effects | Adjustment (D) | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Resource Priorities | Default | 20 | 40 | 60 |
| Program Priorities | Default | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Efficiencies | Default | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Display Group: NY FY24 SD Forestland (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

| Section: Applicability Question | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points |

02/05/2024 Page 3 of 5

| Section: Applicability Question | | |
|--|----------------|--------|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
| Did the applicant self-certify as a socially disadvantaged farmer on the NRCS-CPA-1200, Conservation Program Application AND the | YES | |
| application includes practices appropriate for the Forestland Ranking Pool? | NO | |

Survey: Category Questions

| Section: Category Question | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | Question | Answer Choices | Points |
| Is this application located in NY? | Is this application located in NV2 | NY State | |
| | is this application located in tvi : | Otherwise | |

Survey: Program Questions

| Section: Program Questions | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | |
| Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or | YES | 20 | |
| rancher (VFR)? | NO | | |
| Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentives Program | YES | 5 | |
| Within the last two yours. | NO | | |
| degraded plant condition, and inadequate wildlife habitat resource | YES | 50 | |
| | NO | | |
| Are practices included in this application supported by an NRCS approved forest inventory and data assessment? Documentation must | YES | 25 | |
| be included in the case file | NO | | |
| How many Resource Concerns, according to the CART Assessment, are documented as not meeting NRCS Planning Criteria and will be | 5 or more | 50 | |
| | 4 | 30 | |
| | 2 - 3 | 20 | |
| 7.00000. The results for the land moladed in the application. | tation of an accepted fon, water quality, dilife habitat resource enrolled in the contract? NO TES NO YES NO YES NO TOTED BY TO | 0 | |
| Have two or more documented high-grade cuts occurred in the past thirty years that can be attributed to creating the current resource concerns found in the forest stands being considered in this EQIP application? Documentation must be included in the FMP and case file. | YES | 50 | |
| | NO | | |
| Has the applicant had a Form Bill 2019 contract terminated? | YES | -56 | |
| Has the applicant had a Farm Bill 2018 contract terminated? | NO | | |
| | 1 | | |

Survey: Resource Questions

02/05/2024 Page 4 of 5

| Section: Resource Questions | | king Pool Report |
|--|---|------------------|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
| Will practices planned in this application address classic gully erosion? | YES | 50 |
| Resource Concern must be identified in the Assessment | NO | |
| Will woody debris on the forest floor of the stands being considered in | YES | 25 |
| this EQIP application be increased through planned practices? Documentation must be included in the case file. | NO | |
| 10% invasive plant species? Documentation must support presence of | YES | 25 |
| | NO | |
| Does this application include practices to treat sediment transported to surface water, impacting a perennial stream or sensitive waterbody? Sediment transported to surface water must be identified in the | YES | 40 |
| Assessment and practices planned to address the resource concern. CD Other Category: Hydrography and any documented wetland. | NO | |
| | Plant Productivity or Health | 15 |
| Which resource concerns do practices planned in this application address? Select all that apply. | Plant Structure or Composition | 15 |
| | Plant Pest Pressure | 15 |
| | Wildfire Hazard from Biomass Accumulation | 15 |
| | Not Applicable | 0 |

02/05/2024 Page 5 of 5