

Ranking Utah Wayne County Irrigation SFP ACT

Pool: NOW-FY24

Program: EQIP States: UT (Admin) Pool Status: Active

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Last 11/03/202

**Last** Davie Stokes Modified: 3

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop										
Pasture										

**Template Status:** Active

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories					
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Air quality emissions	0	5	100		
Aquatic habitat	0	5	100		
Concentrated erosion	0	10	100		
Degraded plant condition	0	5	100		
Field pesticide loss	0	5	100		
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	5	100		
Fire management	0	5	100		
Inefficient energy use	0	5	100		
Livestock production limitation	0	5	100		
Pest pressure	0	5	100		
Salt losses to water	0	5	100		
Soil quality limitations	0	5	100		
Source water depletion	0	10	100		
Storage and handling of pollutants	0	5	100		
Terrestrial habitat	0	5	100		
Weather resilience	0	10	100		
Wind and water erosion	0	5	100		

11/03/2023 Page 1 of 6

Air quality emissions						
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %			
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100			
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	20	100			
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100			
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100			
Objectionable odor	0	20	100			

Aquatic habitat					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100		
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100		

Concentrated erosion					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	70	100		
Classic gully erosion	0	15	100		
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	15	100		

Degraded plant condition					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100		
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100		

Field pesticide loss					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100		
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100		

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100		

11/03/2023 Page 2 of 6

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	75	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	25	100

Livestock production limitation					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Feed and forage balance	0	35	100		
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	30	100		
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	35	100		

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	20	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	80
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	10	100

Source water depletion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	25	90
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	55	90
Surface water depletion	0	20	90

11/03/2023 Page 3 of 6

Storage and handling of pollutants			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	10	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	10	100
Ponding and flooding	0	10	100
Seasonal high water table	0	10	100
Seeps	0	60	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

# **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices

# **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
---------	-----------	---------------	---------	---------------

11/03/2023 Page 4 of 6

Training 1 out hep			g. coepo	
Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	20	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

### **Display Group: Utah Wayne County Irrigation SFP ACT NOW (Active)**

8

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Wayne County SFP Irrigation Applicability			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Is the PLU within the defined SFP project area?	Fremont River SFP		
	Otherwise		

### **Survey: Category Questions**

Section: SFP-Wayne County Irrigation				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Did the applicant self-certify as a beginning farmer or rancher, a veteran farmer or rancher, or NA on the NRCS-CPA-1200, Conservation Program Application?	Other			
	BFR			
	VFR			

# **Survey: Program Questions**

Section: SFP-Wayne County Irrigation Program Priority		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the current irrigation system past the expected lifespan according to NRCS standards?	YES	180
	NO	0

### **Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: SFP Wayne County Irrigation		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

11/03/2023 Page 5 of 6

Section: SFP Wayne County Irrigation				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
	Greater than 50%	100		
What is the expected change in irrigation efficiency?	45 to 49.99%	60		
	40 to 44.99%	55		
	35 to 39.99%	50		
	30 to 34.99%	45		
	25 to 29.99%	40		
	20 to 24.99%	35		
	15 to 19.99%	30		
	10 to 14.99%	25		
	Less than 10%	0		
Will the irrigation system be an upgrade or replacement?	The planned irrigation system will be an upgrade from the existing system (flood to sprinkler, Wheelline to pivot).	50		
	The irrigation system is a like to like replacement ( handline to handline)	0		
hazad an magaziras of acil miseture	YES	50		
	NO	0		

11/03/2023 Page 6 of 6