

Ranking Pool: Utah Beaver River Watershed Health SFP-FY24

Program: EQIP

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

Last Davie Stokes Modified By:

Pool Status: Active

Template Status: Active

Last 11/06/202

States: UT (Admin)

Modified: 3

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Forest				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Range			N/A		N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Aquatic habitat	0	5	100	
Concentrated erosion	0	10	100	
Degraded plant condition	0	10	100	
Field pesticide loss	0	1	100	
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	15	100	
Fire management	0	3	100	
Inefficient energy use	0	1	100	
Livestock production limitation	0	10	100	
Pest pressure	0	1	100	
Soil quality limitations	0	4	100	
Source water depletion	0	10	100	
Terrestrial habitat	0	10	100	
Weather resilience	0	10	100	
Wind and water erosion	0	10	100	

11/06/2023 Page 1 of 7

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	75	100
Elevated water temperature	0	25	100

Concentrated erosion					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	50	100		
Classic gully erosion	0	25	100		
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	25	100		

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100		
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	10	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	15	100		
Sediment transported to surface water	0	25	100		

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	90	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	10	100

11/06/2023 Page 2 of 7

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	55	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	5	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	40	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Soil quality limitations				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Aggregate instability	0	20	100	
Compaction	0	25	100	
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	5	80	
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100	
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100	
Subsidence	0	10	100	

Source water depletion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	5	90
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	80	90
Surface water depletion	0	15	90

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Naturally available moisture use	0	50	100
Ponding and flooding	0	50	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	70	100
Wind erosion	0	30	100

11/06/2023 Page 3 of 7

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Waste Storage Facility	313	Conservation Practices
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Dam, Diversion	348	Conservation Practices
Sediment Basin	350	Conservation Practices
Diversion	362	Conservation Practices
Pond	378	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Pipeline	430	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Reservoir	436	Conservation Practices
Irrigation System, Microirrigation	441	Conservation Practices
Sprinkler System	442	Conservation Practices
Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface	443	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Land Leveling	464	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	Conservation Practices
	1	

11/06/2023

Ranking Pool		
Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment	520	Conservation Practices
Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner	521	Conservation Practices
Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane	521A	Conservation Practices
Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant	521B	Conservation Practices
Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant	521C	Conservation Practices
Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment	521D	Conservation Practices
Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete	522	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Spring Development	574	Conservation Practices
Stream Crossing	578	Conservation Practices
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	Conservation Practices
Channel Bed Stabilization	584	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Watering Facility	614	Conservation Practices
Water Well	642	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	20	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60

11/06/2023 Page 5 of 7

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: Utah Beaver River Watershed Health SFP-FY24 (Active)

0

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicablity		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Beaver River SFP Boundary	Beaver River SFP	
	Otherwise	
Does the EQIP Schedule of Operations include practices and address resource concerns consistent with the Beaver River Watershed SFP?	YES	
	NO	

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Did the applicant self-certify as a beginning farmer or rancher, a	Other	
veteran farmer or rancher, or NA on the NRCS-CPA-1200,	BFR	
Conservation Program Application?	VFR	

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
	Beaver River	50	
	North Creek	25	
Water source closest to proposed treatment boundary is:	South Creek	25	
	Indian/Wildcat Creek	25	
	Other Tributaries	0	
	Adjacent to 660 ft.	50	
Distance this project is to any natural water source (Beaver River,	661 ft. to 1320 ft.	30	
North, South, Indian, Wildcat Creeks and/or tributaries):	1321 ft. to 2640 ft.	15	
	Otherwise	0	

11/06/2023 Page 6 of 7

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will this project directly reduce overland or return flow into tributaries or creeks or the Beaver River?	YES	50
	NO	0
Will this project reduce or eliminate livestock access to surface water?	Eliminate Access	50
	Reduce Access	10
	No	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will this project have a riparian restoration activity planned to reduce erosion and/or improve habitat?	YES	25
	NO	0
This project has an improved Rangeland Health point score of (Use the rangeland health sheet)	Less than or equal to 5	10
	5.1 - 10	20
	10.1 - 15	30
	15.1 - 20	40
	> 20 %	50
	This is not rangeland project	0
This project will have an improved PCS score (Use the plant condition score sheet)	Less than or equal to 5.9	10
	6.0-8.9	20
	9.0-12.9	30
	13.0-15.9	40
	Greater or equal to 16	50
	Not a pasture project	0
This project will have an improved Irrigation Rating Tool efficiency score by: (Use Irrigation Rating Tool 2023.1.2)	Less than or equal to 10% or conveyance only	10
	10.1 -20 %	20
	20.1 -30 %	30
	30.1 -40 %	40
	> 40 %	50
	Not an Irrigation project	0
This this a Forestland project with an approved Forest Management Plan or equivalent document from a land management agency?	YES	25
	NO	0

11/06/2023 Page 7 of 7