



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: KS FY24 EQIP WLFW ACT NOW NOBO

Program: EQIP

Pool Status: Active

States: KS (Admin)

Template: Working Lands for Wildlife (WLFW) Northern Bobwhite (NOBO)

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Timothy Weltmer

Last Modified: 12/20/2023
3

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	x	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	x	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	x	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Degraded plant condition	20	20	60
Fire management	0	20	60
Terrestrial habitat	40	60	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	40	100
Plant structure and composition	0	60	100

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	100	100	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100


Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Prescribed Burning Plan - Written	112	CAPs
Prescribed Burning Plan - Applied	113	CAPs
Pollinator Habitat Plan - Written	146	CAPs
Pollinator Habitat Plan - Applied	147	CAPs
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	15	30	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	10	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	40	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: KS FY24 EQIP WLFW ACT NOW NOBO (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the PLU intersect the national WLFW NBPP priority layer? (GIS)	Yes	--
	No	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Category	Kansas	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Programs		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the client have a certified conservation plan for this application prior to November 17, 2023?	YES	50
	NO	0
Has the client in the past 5 years, had a terminated Farm Bill Contract?	YES	-100
	NO	20
Does the client have an active NRCS-CPA-153 on file as of November 17th, 2023?	YES	-50
	NO	20
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?	YES	20
	NO	0
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher? (Veteran who served in the active military, naval, or air service; was released from the service under conditions other than dishonorable; AND meets the definition of Beginning Farmer/Rancher OR who first obtained status as a veteran during the most recent 10 year period.)	YES	20
	NO	0
Does the application provide financial assistance for implementing Conservation Planning Activity (CPA), Design and Implementation Activity, (DIA), and/or Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity (CEMA)?	YES	10
	NO	0
Planned Land Unit Intersect NOBO High Priority Area within the Focused Counties (GIS)	Yes	60
	No	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: resources

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the PLU intersect a priority area?	Any PLU intersects a secondary priority area identified by your state for bobwhite or private lands specifically managed for northern bobwhite (NOBO).	60
	Any PLU is within 5 miles of protected lands (state or federal conservation lands, conservation easement, military installation)	40
	Any PLU is planned to support terrestrial wildlife habitat development.	30
Direct Target Species Benefit, Does the PLU directly benefit NOBO or other declining grasslands wildlife or pollinators?	PLU is within 3 miles of any documented terrestrial state or federal T&E, or candidate for listing and/or declining bird species. (eastern or western meadowlark, bobolink, any shrike species, grassland sparrow, upland sandpiper)	15
	PLU is within 5 miles of occupied financial assisted NRCS-CPA-1202 NOBO site.	10
	PLU is or will be > 90% native grasslands, forbs, or savannas and/or will include milkweed establishment or management.	5
Threats Addressed: Do the planned practices include actions prioritized to restore native habitat?	A prescribed burn during the growing season is planned on the PLU	80
	A prescribed burn in any season is on the PLU and/or pollinator forage plant materials plantings are planned.	60
	At least one of the following priority conservation practices is planned on the PLU: timber stand improvement or brush management.	50
	At least one of the following practices is planned on the PLU, using only native seed mixes. (327,420,550)	40
Is the primary purpose of the project to financially assist a Wildlife habitat planting (420) on ALL PLUs in the CPO?	YES	45
	NO	0