

Ranking Pool: KS FY24 EQIP RANGE (GPGI)

Program: EQIP States: KS (Admin) Pool Status: Active

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023 **Template Status:** Active

Last 12/18/202

Last Timothy Weltmer Modified By: Modified: 3

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Range			N/A		N/A					

#### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pest pressure	0	100	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

#### **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Grazing Management Plan	110	Activities
Grazing Management Design	159	Activities
Prescribed Burning Design	160	Activities
Pest Management Conservation System Design	161	Activities
Conservation Plan	199	Activities
Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity	219	Activities
Pest Management Conservation System	595	Conservation Practices

12/18/2023 Page 1 of 4

# **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

### Display Group: KS FY24 EQIP RANGE (GPGI) (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

# **Survey: Applicability Questions**

Section: Applicability					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Kanaga (Majarity of planned land unit gares within the State of Kanaga	YES				
Kansas (Majority of planned land unit acres within the State of Kansas	NO				

# **Survey: Category Questions**

Section: Category				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
	Flint Hills			
	Gypsum Hills			
Select Category (GIS)	Smoky Hills			
	Central Plains			
	Rest of Kansas			

# **Survey: Program Questions**

Section: Program				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Does the client have a certified conservation plan for this application	YES	5		
prior to November 17, 2023?	NO	0		
Has the client in the past 5 years, had a terminated Farm Bill	YES	-100		
Contract?	NO	5		

12/18/2023 Page 2 of 4

Section: Program			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Does the client have an active NRCS-CPA-153 on file as of November	YES	-50	
17th, 2023?	NO	5	
Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and	YES	5	
NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?	NO	0	
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher? (Veteran who served in the active military, naval, or air service; was released from the service under conditions other than	YES	20	
dishonorable; AND meets the definition of Beginning Farmer/Rancher OR who first obtained status as a veteran during the most recent 10 year period.)	NO	0	
Does the application provide financial assistance for implementing Conservation Planning Activity (CPA), Design and Implementation	YES	20	
Activity, (DIA), and/or Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity (CEMA)?	NO	0	
	1,2,3	100	
GPGI Core Hexagon Designation (GIS)	4	50	
	Not in a GPGI Core	0	
Are any of the PLUs within the assessment/application adjacent to an	YES	40	
existing NRCS-CPA-1202 Contract utilizing 595 for pests in grasslands? (Adjacent is being within 200 yards of a PLU boundary.)	NO	0	

# **Survey: Resource Questions**

Section: Resources				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
From benchmark pest inventories, are pests present on a majority (>50%) of the application/assessment area? Presence is indicated by	YES	-75		
acres of low, medium, or high for herbaceous pests and recruitment, encroachment, and state transition for woody pests.	NO	0		
	Intact	100		
From the henchmark woody encroachment phase/stage inventory	Dispersal	75		
From the benchmark woody encroachment phase/stage inventory, what phase/stage reflects the majority of the acreage in the application/assessment?	Recruitment	25		
application/assessment?	Encroachment	0		
	State Transition	-100		
Considering the PLU with the greatest percentage of State Transition	Greater than 20%	-200		
in the application/assessment, what percentage of State Transition is	greater than 10% but less than 20%	-100		
present in that PLU?	None of the Above	0		
	NONE	50		
From the benchmark herbaceous pest inventory, what infestation level	LOW	25		
reflects the majority of the acreage in the application/assessment?	Medium	0		
	HIGH	-50		

12/18/2023 Page 3 of 4

Section: Resources				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		
Will the CPO meet optimum treatment level of woody plant pests in the	YES	0		
livestock accessible area? If a land use other than Range is included within the livestock accessible area and contains pests, answer NO.	NO	-50		
Does the CPO address all pest species (both herbaceous and woody	YES	40		
present in the application/assessment acreage?	NO	0		
Is a growing season prescribed burn a financially assisted practice that	YES	10		
is scheduled in the CPO?	NO	0		

12/18/2023 Page 4 of 4