

Ranking Pool: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative

Program: EQIP

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2023

**Last** Katelyn Fagan **Modified By**:

Pool Status: Active

**Template Status:** Active

Last 11/13/202

States: IN (Admin)

Modified: 3

#### **Land Uses and Modifiers**

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Crop										
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Forest				N/A	N/A					
Pasture										
Water	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A					

### **Resource Concern Categories**

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Air quality emissions	0	35	100	
Aquatic habitat	0		100	
Concentrated erosion	0		100	
Degraded plant condition	0		100	
Field pesticide loss	0		100	
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0		100	
Fire management	0		100	
Inefficient energy use	0	35	100	
Livestock production limitation	0	15	100	
Pest pressure	0		100	
Salt losses to water	0		100	
Soil quality limitations	0		100	
Source water depletion	0	15	100	
Storage and handling of pollutants	0		100	

11/13/2023 Page 1 of 7

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat	0		100
Weather resilience	0		100
Wind and water erosion	0		100

Air quality emissions					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100		
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	40	100		
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100		
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100		
Objectionable odor	0		100		

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	30	100		
Classic gully erosion	0	35	100		
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	35	100		

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	20	100

11/13/2023 Page 2 of 7

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss					
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	20	100		
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	20	100		
Sediment transported to surface water	0	20	100		

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	100	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0		100

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	35	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	30	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	35	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	15	100
Compaction	0	20	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	15	80
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100

11/13/2023 Page 3 of 7

Soil quality limitations			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	20	100
Subsidence	0	10	100

Source water depletion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	35	90
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	90
Surface water depletion	0	30	90

Storage and handling of pollutants			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	20	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	20	100
Ponding and flooding	0	20	100
Seasonal high water table	0	20	100
Seeps	0	20	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

## **Practices**

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type

11/13/2023 Page 4 of 7

Katiking Pool Rej		
Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Agricultural Energy Design	120	Activities
Agricultural Energy Assessment	228	Activities
Combustion System Improvement	372	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation	374	Conservation Practices
Irrigation Water Management	449	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Lighting System	670	Conservation Practices
Energy Efficient Building Envelope	672	Conservation Practices

## **Ranking Weights**

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	40	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	20	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

### Display Group: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Applicability Question

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Applicability Question			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
The assessment includes one of the National On-Farm Energy Initiative (NOEFI) Conservation Planning Activities DIA 120 or CEMA 228 or any other NOEFI core practices which have been evaluated in	YES		
a written CAP 128 or 136, DIA 120 or CEMA 228, and/or other applicable documentation to achieve an energy savings.	NO		

### Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Category Question

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Category Question			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	

11/13/2023 Page 5 of 7

	•	'
Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Category Question		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Choose the Indiana EQIP On-Farm Energy Category.	On-Farm Energy Practices (may include DIA 120)	
	CEMA 228 Ag Energy Assessment or DIA 120 Ag Energy Design with no implementation practices.	

# **Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Program Question**

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Program Question			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
The NOFEI application is for CEMA 228 and/or DIA 120 with no practices.	Yes (200 points)	200	
	No (0 points)	0	

# **Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiative Resource Questions**

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Energy Initiat	ive Resource Questions	
Question	Answer Choices	Points
On-Farm Energy Priorities: Choose the best response as calculated with the Energy Cost Efficiency Worksheet.	The estimated cost-efficiency of EACH core practice included in the application will be greater than 75 percent? (100 points)	100
	The estimated cost-efficiency of ANY one core practice in the application will be between 75 - 51 percent? (75 point)	75
	The estimated cost-efficiency of ANY one core practice in the application will be between 50 - 26 percent? (50 point)	50
	The estimated cost-efficiency of ANY one core practice in the application will be between 25 - 11 percent? (25 point)	25
	The estimated cost-efficiency of ANY one core practice in the application will be between 10 - 1 percent? (10 point)	10
	None of the other options apply. (0 points)	0

11/13/2023 Page 6 of 7

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 On-Farm Er	lergy initiative Resource Questions	
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the project improve air quality by:	Implementing one or more energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 100,000 pounds or more? (100 points)	100
	Implementing one or more energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by more than 75,000 pounds but less than 100,000 pounds? (75 points)	75
	Implementing one or more energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by more than 50,000 pounds but less than 75,000 pounds? (50 points)	50
	Implementing one or more energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 25,000 pounds but less than 50,000 pounds? (25 points)	25
	Implementing one or more energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by more than 1 pound but less than 25,000 pounds? (10 points)	10
	The project will not reduce on farm generated carbon dioxide. (0 points)	0

11/13/2023 Page 7 of 7