

Ranking Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentive	s
Pool: Contracts (CIC)	

Program: EQIP

Template: EQIP-CIC National Ranking Template -Amended October 2023

Last Katelyn Fagan Modified By:

Pool Status: Active Template Status: Active Last 11/13/202 Modified: 3 States: IN (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop										

Resource Concern Categories

Categories					
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %		
Air quality emissions	0		100		
Aquatic habitat	0		100		
Concentrated erosion	0		100		
Degraded plant condition	0		100		
Field pesticide loss	0		100		
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	60	100		
Fire management	0		100		
Inefficient energy use	0		100		
Livestock production limitation	0		100		
Pest pressure	0		100		
Salt losses to water	0		100		
Soil quality limitations	0	40	100		
Source water depletion	0		100		
Storage and handling of pollutants	0		100		
Terrestrial habitat	0		100		
Weather resilience	0		100		
Wind and water erosion	0		100		

A :			:
AIT (quality	/ emis	ssions

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen	0	20	100
Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs	0	20	100
Emissions of ozone precursors	0	20	100
Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors	0	20	100
Objectionable odor	0	20	100

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion						
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %			
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	30	100			
Classic gully erosion	0	35	100			
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	35	100			

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Field pesticide loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Pesticides transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Pesticides transported to surface water	0	50	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	30	100	
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	30	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater	0	5	100	
Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water	0	5	100	
Sediment transported to surface water	0	30	100	

Ranking Pool Report

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Inefficient energy use			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities	0	50	100
Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations	0	50	100

Livestock production limitation			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Feed and forage balance	0	35	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	30	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	35	100

Pest pressure			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

Salt losses to water			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Salts transported to groundwater	0	50	100
Salts transported to surface water	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	35	100
Compaction	0	35	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0		100
Organic matter depletion	0	20	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	10	100
Subsidence	0		100

Source water depletion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	35	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	35	100
Surface water depletion	0	30	100
11 /10 /0000			

Storage and handling of pollutants

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Nutrients transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Nutrients transported to surface water	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater	0	25	100
Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water	0	25	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Weather resilience			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Drifted snow	0	20	100
Naturally available moisture use	0	20	100
Ponding and flooding	0	20	100
Seasonal high water table	0	20	100
Seeps	0	20	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	Conservation Practices
Drainage Water Management	554	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Adjustment (A)	10	40	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	20	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Applicability Question

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Applicability Question

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the offered cropland fall within the eligible 2024 Indiana EQIP Conservation Incentive Contracts High Priority Area? Refer to the	Yes	
Conservation Desktop layer "EQIP CIC Priorities IN" to evaluate if the offered land meets this criteria.	No	

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Category Question

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Category QuestionQuestionAnswer ChoicesPointsHave any of the land units included in this application been enrolled in
EQIP or CSP by this applicant in the last five years for any of the
EQIP-CIC core practices or related enhancements (328, 329, 340,
345, 554, 590).No, application includes no land units
previously enrolled.---Yes, application includes any land units
previously enrolled.------

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Program Questions

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Program Questions				
Question	Answer Choices	Points		

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Local Priorities may be selected if the conservation assessment in CART Assessments Results: Table View reflects that a Resource Concern exists, and resource concerns will be Improved. Select all that apply.	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 1 priority. (28 points)	28
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 2 priority. (20 points)	20
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 3 priority. (17 points)	17
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 4 priority. (14 points)	14
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 5 priority. (12 points)	12
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 6 priority. (9 points)	9
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 7 priority. (8 points)	8
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 8 priority. (6 points)	6
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 9 priority. (5 points)	5
	The application will improve the county local working group's Number 10 priority. (1 points)	1
	The application will improve none of the county local working group's priorities. (0 points)	0
Does the application include one or more High Priority Practices: 328, 338, 512*, 554, 582, 587, 605, 620*, 649*, and 666*? (* Not all scenarios are applicable for these practices. Refer to the current Practice User Guide for applicable High Priority Practice scenarios.)	YES	
	NO	
Source Water Protection Area (SWPA): Does land in the application intersect the Indiana SWPA (layer name: Indiana FY24 SWPA HUC12s)?	Yes, land in the assessment intersects the Indiana SWPA (layer name: Indiana FY24 SWPA HUC12s). (0 points)	
	Land in the assessment does not intersect the Indiana SWPA (layer name: Indiana FY24 SWPA HUC12s). (0 points)	
Does the conservation assessment in CART Assessments Results: Table View reflect that a ground or surface water resource concern exists and will be IMPROVED. (NOTE: This question only appears if any land in the assessment intersects with Indiana's priority source water protection areas. IF this question appears, this application is eligible for EQIP SWPA High Priority Practice payments for the following practices: 328, 351, 447, 554, 582, 587, 605, 620, and 782.)*	YES	40
	* NO	

Survey: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Resource Questions

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Resource Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	

Section: Indiana EQIP 2024 Conservation Incentives Contracts (CIC) Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Using the CART Assessments Results: Graph View and considering all land uses in the application, at the time of application, how many "Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories" by land use exist and are NOT MET (count each existing resource concern category only one time). "Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories" may include: Concentrated Erosion, Degraded Plant Condition, Field Sediment Nutrient and Pathogen Loss, Pest Pressure, Soil Quality Limitations, Terrestrial Habitat, Wind and Water Erosion.	5 or more Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (150 points)	150
	4 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (125 points)	125
	3 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (100 points)	100
	2 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (75 points)	75
	1 Required Resource Concern Categories Not Met (50 points)	50
	None of the other choices are applicable (0 points)	
Using the CART Assessments Results: Graph View and considering all land uses in the application, by the end of the contract, how many "Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories" by land use will be IMPROVED (count each existing resource concern category only one time). "Indiana Planning Required Resource Concern Categories" may include: Concentrated Erosion, Degraded Plant Condition, Field Sediment Nutrient and Pathogen Loss, Pest Pressure, Soil Quality Limitations, Terrestrial Habitat, Wind and Water Erosion.	5 or more Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (50 points)	50
	4 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (40 points)	40
	3 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (30 points)	30
	2 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (20 points)	20
	1 Required Resource Concern Categories Improved (10 points)	10
	None of the other choices are applicable (0 points)	