



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool:** CO FY24 WLFW LPCI

**Program:** EQIP

**Template:** Working Lands for Wildlife (WLFW) Lesser Prairie-Chicken Initiative (LPCI)

**Last Modified By:** Kindra Brandner

**Pool Status:** Draft

**States:** CO (Admin)

**Template Status:** Active

**Last Modified:** 11/15/20 23

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	x	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	x	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	x	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	5	65
Degraded plant condition	10	20	65
Fire management	0	10	65
Livestock production limitation	0	10	65
Pest pressure	0	10	65
Terrestrial habitat	35	45	90

Concentrated erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	40	100
Classic gully erosion	0	30	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	30	100

**Degraded plant condition**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

**Fire management**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

**Livestock production limitation**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Feed and forage balance	0	40	100
Inadequate livestock shelter	0	20	100
Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution	0	40	100

**Pest pressure**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Plant pest pressure	0	100	100

**Terrestrial habitat**

<b>Resource Concern</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Default %</b>	<b>Max %</b>
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

**Practices**

<b>Practice Name</b>	<b>Practice Code</b>	<b>Practice Type</b>
Aquifer Flow Test	224	Activities
Brush Management	314	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Well Decommissioning	351	Conservation Practices
Pond	378	Conservation Practices


Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Fence	382	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	Conservation Practices
Firebreak	394	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Conservation Practices
Spring Development	574	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Watering Facility	614	Conservation Practices
Water Well	642	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	Conservation Practices

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	15	15	40
Planned Practice Effects	Default	10	10	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## Display Group: CO FY24 WLFW LPCI (Draft)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

### Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the PLU intersect the national WLFW LPCI boundary layer?	Yes, National LPCI boundary layer	--
	Otherwise	--

### Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application for the Lesser Prairie Chicken Initiative?	YES	--
	NO	--

### Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
1. How many Priority Resource Concerns are being addressed?	Terrestrial Habitat	5
	Livestock Production Limitation	5
	Degraded Plant Condition	5
	Concentrated Erosion	5
	Fire Management	5
	Pest Pressure	5
	None of the choices are Applicable	0
2. Is there documentation or expert opinion that suitable habitat exists?	YES	100
	NO	0
3. Is there an active lek within	0-3 miles	70
	3-6 miles	40
	Greater than 6 miles	0

## Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
1. Habitat priority- Does the PLU intersect a priority area(s) for conservation layer for WLFW LPCI?	PLU intersects priority area 1	100
	PLU intersects priority area 2	50
	PLU intersects priority area 3	25
	No intersection of priority areas 1-3	15
2. What is the percentage of the range and pastureland associated with the operation that is contained in the conservation plan?. Acreage must be greater than 0.	All eligible range and pastureland is enrolled	50
	50% or more of eligible range and pastureland is enrolled	25
	Less than 50% of eligible range and pastureland enrolled	15
3. Does the planned practices address threats that were identified for lesser prairie chicken? At least one identified threat must be addressed.	All identified threats addressed	50
	75% or more of identified threats addressed	40
	50% or more of identified threats addressed	25
	Less than 50% of identified threats addressed	15