



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking 2359 RCPP Entity-Held Easement Parcel
Pool: Contracts FY24

Program: RCPP18

Pool Status: Active

States: UT (Admin)

Template: RCPP 2018 Entity Held Easement Activity
 ver 1.0 FY 21-22

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Sara Saunders

Last Modified: 01/05/2024

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	0	5	70
Concentrated erosion	0	5	70
Fire management	0	5	70
Long term protection of land	30	60	100
Soil quality limitations	0	5	70
Source water depletion	0	5	70
Terrestrial habitat	0	15	70

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	50	100
Elevated water temperature	0	50	100

Concentrated erosion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	0	34	100
Classic gully erosion	0	33	100
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	33	100

Fire management

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	0	100	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	0	50	100
Threat of conversion	0	50	100

Soil quality limitations

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aggregate instability	0	19	100
Compaction	0	18	100
Concentration of salts or other chemicals	0	17	100
Organic matter depletion	0	16	100
Soil organism habitat loss or degradation	0	15	100
Subsidence	0	15	100

Source water depletion

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Groundwater depletion	0	34	100
Inefficient irrigation water use	0	33	100
Surface water depletion	0	33	100

Terrestrial habitat

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	45
Resource Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Program Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: 2359 FY24 EHE Parcel Contracts (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Geospatial		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Are the planned land units within the RCPP project boundary?	Within project boundary	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: PPA Selection		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Which type of entity-held easement is this application for?	Highly-Restrictive Easement	--
	Moderately Restrictive Easement	--
	Minimally Restrictive Easement	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions*		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: Program Questions*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Application directly addresses one or more resource concerns for which outcome metrics have been included in the PPA Attachment E?*	Yes, there are outcome metrics included for the addressed resource concerns.	96
	No, there are no outcome metrics included for the addressed recourse concerns.	0
PPA exhibits identify direct relationship between proposed entity-held easement activities and partner contributions, and application directly leverages RCPP funding with partner contributions.	Cash match provided by eligible entity for payment of easement compensation to the landowner.	96
	No cash match provided by eligible entity for payment of easement compensation to the landowner.	0
Application or applicant meets HU requirements or criteria.	Yes, application or applicant meets HU requirements.	8
	No, application or applicant does not meet HU requirements.	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is there potential for incompatible development and permanent loss of agricultural land?	Yes, there is potential for loss of agricultural land.	60
	No, there is no potential for loss of agricultural land.	0
Does this purchase allow for wildland fire mitigation?	Yes, this purchase allows for wildland fire mitigation.	50
	No, this purchase does not allow for wildland fire mitigation.	0
Does the property is essential habitat rangeland/grassland, including at-risk species with potential loss?	Yes, the property is essential habitat.	50
	No, the property is not essential habitat.	0
Does the purchase prevent habitat fragmentation by maintaining wildlife migration corridors and connectivity to rangeland/grazing areas?	Yes, purchase prevents habitat fragmentation.	40
	No, the purchase does not prevent habitat fragmentation.	0