Practice: 101-CNMP Design and Implementation Activity

## Scenario: \#3 - Design-CNMP Revision

## Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be revised to address changes in manure management, volume or analysis, plants and crops, or plant and crop management or to adjust the nutrient balance on an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO). No modifications are required to engineered practices in the farmstead/production area. This scenario is where the services of a professional engineer are typically not required. The producer may export manure or organic products from the farm. The producer has an animal production area and land applies nutrients.

Before Situation:
The owner/operator of an AFO has an existing written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses the current required resource concerns and client objectives present on the facility production area and land application areas. The CNMP is out of date or does not meet current needs or objectives. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have changed on the operation. Soil tests, manure analyses, or changes in cropping system require that the nutrient balance be adjusted to bring the CNMP up to date.

After Situation:
Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additional applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102)or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,874.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,8 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 5 | \$533.60 |

## Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity

Scenario: \#19-Design- Livestock Operations greater than 300 AU without Land Application and Minimal Engineering

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers). No State requirement for Professional Engineer.

Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additional applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,973.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,973.56
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 36 | \$3,906.36 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 10 | \$1,067.20 |

Practice: 101-CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#35 - Design- Dairy less than 300 AU Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently less than 300 animal units (AU) land application. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested.

After Situation:
Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's eFOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that address the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,779.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10,779.40

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 60 | \$6,510.60 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |

Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#51 - Design- Dairy greater than 300 AU and less than 700 AU with Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 300 animal units (AU) and less than 700 AU with land application. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's eFOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that address the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 11,430.46$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 11,430.46$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 66 | \$7,161.66 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |

Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#67-Design- Non Dairy Operation greater than 300 AU and less than 700 AU with Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 300 animal units (AU) and less than 700 AU with land application. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested.

After Situation:
Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's eFOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that address the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,786.56$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10,786.56

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 64 | \$6,944.64 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 36 | \$3,841.92 |

Practice: 101-CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#83-Design- Non Dairy Operation Less than 300 AU with Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently less than 300 animal units (AU) with land application. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested.

After Situation:
Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's eFOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that address the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,609.06$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,609.06

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 62 | \$6,727.62 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 27 | \$2,881.44 |

Practice: 101-CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#99 - Design- Non Dairy Operation greater 700 AU with Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 700 animal units (AU) with land application. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested.

After Situation:
Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's eFOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that address the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,942.44$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,942.44

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 76 | \$8,246.76 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 44 | \$4,695.68 |

Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#115-Design- Small Livestock Operations less than 300 AU without Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102)or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste materialnutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,988.71
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,988.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 29 | \$3,146.79 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 36 | \$3,841.92 |

Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#131-Design- Livestock Operations greater than 300 AU without Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additional applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102)or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,623.66
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,623.66
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 26 | \$2,821.26 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 45 | \$4,802.40 |

Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#147-Design- Small Livestock Operations greater than 300 AU with Land Application and Minimal Engineering

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 300 animal units (AU) with land application and minimal engineering. The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers). State laws do not require a PE.

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102)or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,776.78
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,776.78$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 74 | \$8,029.74 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 7 | \$747.04 |

Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#163-Design- Small Livestock Operations less than 300 AU with Land Application and Minimal Engineering

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently less than 300 animal units (AU) with land application and minimal engineering. The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers). State laws do not require a PE.

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102)or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,926.74
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,926.74$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 54 | \$5,859.54 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 10 | \$1,067.20 |

## Practice: 101 - CNMP Design and Implementation Activity

Scenario: \#179 - Design- Livestock Operations less than or equal to 300 AU without Land Application and Minimal Engineering

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently less than or equal to 300 animal units (AU) with land application and minimal engineering. The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amount of manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers). No State requirement for Professional Engineer.

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102)or Conservation Plan that addresses the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,709.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,709.72$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 52 | \$5,642.52 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 10 | \$1,067.20 |

Practice: 101-CNMP Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#195 - Design- Dairy greater than or equal to 700 AU with Land Application

## Scenario Description:

Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than or equal to 700 animal units (AU) with land application. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current. Manure not frequently tested.

## After Situation:

Utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to design planned conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. Design and implementation will meet the general and additonal applicable criteria found in each conservation practice. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's eFOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used. Design all conservation practices found in Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CPA 102) or Conservation Plan that address the planned practices for land application of manure and nutrients, and the handling, transfer, storage and treatment of animal wastes.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,729.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 12,729.00$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 76 | \$8,246.76 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 42 | \$4,482.24 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#349-Planning Dairy Greater than 300 AU, less than 700 AU with Land

## Scenario Description:

Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) greater than 300 but less than 700 animal units (AU) animal units (AU). The producer utilizes manure or organic products from the farm or may export. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current or do not exist. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a Dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.

After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,627.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 9,627.00$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 50 | \$5,336.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 50 | \$4,291.00 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#365-Planning Dairy Less than 300 AU with Land

## Scenario Description:

Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently is less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer utilizes manure or organic products from the farm or may export. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current or do not exist. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a Dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.
After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP Certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,130.70$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,130.70$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 45 | \$3,861.90 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#381-Planning Livestock Greater than 300 AU, No-Land

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently greater than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) all manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area only.

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area does not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area does not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a livestock AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.

After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and transfer of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,168.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,168.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 35 | \$3,735.20 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 40 | \$3,432.80 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#397-Planning Livestock Less than 300 AU, No-Land

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) all manure or organic products from the farm. The operation has an animal production area only.

## Before Situation

Currently the production area does not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area does not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a livestock AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.

After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and transfer of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,242.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,242.60
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 25 | \$2,668.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 30 | \$2,574.60 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#413 - Planning Livestock Greater than 700 AU with Land

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently is greater than 700 animal units (AU). The producer utilizes manure or organic products from the farm or may export. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).
Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current or do not exist. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a livestock AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.
After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP Certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,914.30$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 10,914.30$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 50 | \$5,336.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 65 | \$5,578.30 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#429-Planning Livestock Greater than 300 AU, less than 700 AU with Land

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently is greater than 300 but less than 700 animal units (AU). The producer utilizes manure or organic products from the farm or may export. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).

## Before Situation:

Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current or do not exist. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a livestock AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.

After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP Certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,988.90$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,988.90$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 55 | \$4,720.10 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#445-Planning Livestock Less than 300 AU with Land

## Scenario Description:

Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) currently is less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer utilizes manure or organic products from the farm or may export. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).
Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current or do not exist. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a livestock AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.
After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP Certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP identifies the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,678.42$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,678.42$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 28 | \$2,988.16 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 43 | \$3,690.26 |

Practice: 102-Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan
Scenario: \#461 - Planning Dairy Greater than 700 AU with Land

## Scenario Description:

Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) greater than 700 animal units (AU). The producer utilizes manure or organic products from the farm or may export. The operation has an animal production area, cropland, and applies most nutrients (manure and commercial fertilizers).
Before Situation:
Currently the production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Soil tests are not current or do not exist. Manure or Organic products are not frequently tested. The production area and land application areas do not meet NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. The owner/operator of a Dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation have occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CPA-CNMP.
After Situation:
Utilize a CNMP certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to plan conservation practices that address the handling, storage, and application of animal waste in an environmentally safe manner. CPA-CNMP describes the conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Collection, transfer, and storage of manure and wastewater systems, mortality management facilities, as well as any rainfall or runoff diversion systems will be inventoried-evaluated and planned for adequacy according to applicable NRCS conservation practice standard technical criteria. Decisions presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,086.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 12,086.00$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 65 | \$6,936.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 60 | \$5,149.20 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan
Scenario: \#38-FMP Less Than or Equal to 20 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 1 to 20 acres in size and consists of existing unevenaged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands without an existing forest management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Plan Activities (CPA), as defined by EQIP regulation, is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379,338 , 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Conservation Plan Activities (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CPA is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CPA plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,607.21
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,607.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 19 | \$1,607.21 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan
Scenario: \#39-FMP 21 to 100 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 21 to 100 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands without an existing forest management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Plan Activities (CPA), as defined by EQIP regulation, is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Conservation Plan Activities (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CPA is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CPA plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,368.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,368.52

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 28 | \$2,368.52 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan
Scenario: \#40-FMP 101 to 250 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 101 to 250 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands without an existing forest management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Plan Activities (CPA), as defined by EQIP regulation, is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Conservation Plan Activities (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CPA is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CPA plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,891.14$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,891.14$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 46 | \$3,891.14 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan
Scenario: \#41-FMP Greater Than 1000 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 1001 acres or greater in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands without an existing forest management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Plan Activities (CPA), as defined by EQIP regulation, is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Conservation Plan Activities (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CPA is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CPA plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,135.72

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,135.72
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 108 | \$9,135.72 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan
Scenario: \#42-FMP 251 to 500 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 251 to 500 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands without an existing forest management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Plan Activities (CPA), as defined by EQIP regulation, is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Conservation Activity Plan (CPA). The CPA requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CPA is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CPA plan requirements are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,752.12

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,752.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 68 | \$5,752.12 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan
Scenario: \#43-FMP 501 to 1000 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 501 to 1000 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands without an existing forest management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Plan Activities (CPA), as defined by EQIP regulation, is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Conservation Plan Activities (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CPA is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CPA plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,020.97

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,020.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest | Hours | \$84.59 | 83 | \$7,020.97 | lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage.

## Practice: 110-Grazing Management Plan

Scenario: \#69-Conservation Plan for Grazed Lands 101 to 500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Site specific conservation plan for grazed lands for an agricultural operation with 101 to 500 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and idenify problems and opportunities, determine objectives, inventory resources, analyze resource data, formulate alternatives, evaluate alternatives, and make decisions to meet objectives.


Practice: 110-Grazing Management Plan
Scenario: \#85 - Conservation Plan for Grazed Lands <100 acres.

## Scenario Description:

Site specific conservation plan for agricultural operation with less than 100 acres grazed land. The plan will address the following natural resource concerns: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and identify problems and opportunities, determine objectives, inventory resources, analyze resource data, formulate alternatives, evaluate alternatives, and make decisions to meet objectives.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) plan for grazing lands. The CPA requires the plan to meet the General Requirements (steps 1-7) of the planning process. Step 1- Identify Problems and Opportunities, Step 2- Determine Objectives, Step 3-Inventory Resources, Step 4-Analyze Resource Data, Step 5-Formulate Alternatives, Step 6-Evaluate Alternatives, and Step 7-Make Decisions (Select Preferred Alternative). The plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The CPA meets the basic quality criteria for the CPA 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: 1
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,508.96
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,508.96

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 24 | \$2,508.96 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 110-Grazing Management Plan
Scenario: \#101 - Conservation Plan for Grazed Lands 501 to 1,500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Site specific conservation plan for grazed lands for an agricultural operation with 501 to 1,500 acres of grazed land. The plan will address the following natural resource concerns: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and idenify problems and opportunities, determine objectives, inventory resources, analyze resource data, formulate alternatives, evaluate alternatives, and make decisions to meet objectives.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) plan for grazing lands. The CPA requires the plan to meet the General Requirements (steps 1-7) of the planning process. Step 1- Identify Problems and Opportunities, Step 2- Determine Objectives, Step 3-Inventory Resources, Step 4-Analyze Resource Data, Step 5-Formulate Alternatives, Step 6-Evaluate Alternatives, and Step 7-Make Decisions (Select Preferrred Alternative). The plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The CPA meets the basic quality criteria for the CPA 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: 1
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,763.44$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,763.44

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 36 | \$3,763.44 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 110-Grazing Management Plan
Scenario: \#117 - Conservation Plan for Grazed Lands 1,501 to 5,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Site specific conservation plan for grazed lands for an agricultural operation with 1,501 to 5,000 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and idenify problems and opportunities, determine objectives, inventory resources, analyze resource data, formulate alternatives, evaluate alternatives, and make decisions to meet objectives.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) plan for grazing lands. The CPA requires the plan to meet the General Requirements (steps 1-7) of the planning process. Step 1- Identify Problems and Opportunities, Step 2- Determine Objectives, Step 3-Inventory Resources, Step 4-Analyze Resource Data, Step 5-Formulate Alternatives, Step 6-Evaluate Alternatives, and Step 7-Make Decisions (Select Preferrred Alternative). The plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The CPA meets the basic quality criteria for the CPA 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: 1
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,390.68$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,390.68

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 42 | \$4,390.68 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 110-Grazing Management Plan
Scenario: \#133 - Conservation Plan for Grazed Lands 5,001 to 10,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Site specific conservation plan for grazed lands for an agricultural operation with 5,001 to 10,000 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and idenify problems and opportunities, determine objectives, inventory resources, analyze resource data, formulate alternatives, evaluate alternatives, and make decisions to meet objectives.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) plan for grazing lands. The CPA requires the plan to meet the General Requirements (steps 1-7) of the planning process. Step 1- Identify Problems and Opportunities, Step 2- Determine Objectives, Step 3-Inventory Resources, Step 4-Analyze Resource Data, Step 5-Formulate Alternatives, Step 6-Evaluate Alternatives, and Step 7-Make Decisions (Select Preferrred Alternative). The plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The CPA meets the basic quality criteria for the CPA 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: 1
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,017.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,017.92

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 48 | \$5,017.92 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 110-Grazing Management Plan
Scenario: \#149 - Conservation Plan for Grazed Lands >10,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Site specific conservation plan for grazed lands for an agricultural operation with greater than 10,000 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and identify problems and opportunities, determine objectives, inventory resources, analyze resource data, formulate alternatives, evaluate alternatives, and make decisions to meet objectives.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) plan for grazing lands. The CPA requires the plan to meet the General Requirements (steps 1-7) of the planning process. Step 1- Identify Problems and Opportunities, Step 2- Determine Objectives, Step 3-Inventory Resources, Step 4-Analyze Resource Data, Step 5-Formulate Alternatives, Step 6-Evaluate Alternatives, and Step 7-Make Decisions (Select Preferred Alternative). The plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The CPA meets the basic quality criteria for the CPA 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: 1
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,645.16$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,645.16

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 54 | \$5,645.16 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#83-Organic Crops + Livestock, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,917.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,917.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 34 | \$2,917.88 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#99- Organic Crops, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,746.24$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,746.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 32 | \$2,746.24 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan
Scenario: \#115-Small Farm

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for a small farm (<10 acres).

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,716.40$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 20 | \$1,716.40 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#131-Organic Crops + Livestock, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,089.52

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,089.52$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 36 | \$3,089.52 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#147-Crops+Livestock, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,574.60

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,574.60
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 30 | \$2,574.60 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#163-Crops+Livestock, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,059.68
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,059.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 24 | \$2,059.68 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#179-Organic Crops, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,231.32

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,231.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 26 | \$2,231.32 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#195-Crops, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,402.96$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,402.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 28 | \$2,402.96 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

## Practice: 116-Soil Health Management Plan

Scenario: \#211-Crops, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages without an existing soil health management plan, or with an outdated plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Soil Health Conservation Plan Activity (CPA). The CPA criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,888.04
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,888.04

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 22 | \$1,888.04 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#3-High Complexity, 6+ Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose several variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that cannot be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???High Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $30 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to two or more of the electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Complex analysis to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a detailed simulation is required to determine systems sizing and layout.) ???High Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: comprehensive lighting system redesign; radiant heating systems; convert to tunnel ventilation; or convert to bench heating. Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than five practices are contracted, then, at a minimum, ???6+ Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. Use this scenario if at least one design is deemed high complexity. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$11,048.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$11,048.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 78 | \$8,324.16 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 15 | \$770.25 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 6 | \$205.20 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 24 | \$1,749.36 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design
Scenario: \#19-Medium Complexity, 6+ Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose some variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that can be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???Medium Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $10 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to either electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Analysis beyond the scope of NRCS methodology to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a simplified heat transfer model to determine heating, ventilation, and cooling loads may be required if existing device capacity cannot be estimated.) ???Medium Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: change of lighting fixture counts or layout; wall insulation; grain dryers; add reverse osmosis to syrup production; or add evaporative cooling systems (cooling cells). Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than five practices are contracted, then, at a minimum, ???6+ Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. If at least 1 scenario is more complex than indicated herein, use an alternate scenario for contracting. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,537.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 9,537.00$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 66 | \$7,043.52 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 14 | \$718.90 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 5 | \$171.00 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 22 | \$1,603.58 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#35-Low Complexity, 6+ Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for one-to-one device retrofits. The scenario(s) may provide for a new component to modify the operation of an existing device (e.g., timer to reduce run-time). Three factors typically indicate a ???Low Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) New devices maintain output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) of the old devices within a roughly $10 \%$ range. 2 ) New devices are installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit does not require substantive changes to electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. ???Low Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: lamp or fixture upgrades; attic insulation; fans; or washer-extractors. Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than five practices are contracted, then, at a minimum, ???6+ Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. If at least 1 scenario is more complex than indicated herein, use an alternate scenario for contracting. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$8,025.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$8,02 | 5.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 54 | \$5,762.88 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 13 | \$667.55 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 20 | \$1,457.80 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design
Scenario: \#51-High Complexity, 4-5 Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose several variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that cannot be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???High Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $30 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to two or more of the electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Complex analysis to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a detailed simulation is required to determine systems sizing and layout.) ???High Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: comprehensive lighting system redesign; radiant heating systems; convert to tunnel ventilation; or convert to bench heating. Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than three practices are contracted, then, at a minimum, ???4-5 Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. Use this scenario if at least one design is deemed high complexity. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,391.22
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,391.22
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 66 | \$7,043.52 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 14 | \$718.90 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 5 | \$171.00 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 20 | \$1,457.80 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design
Scenario: \#67-Medium Complexity, 4-5 Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose some variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that can be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???Medium Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $10 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to either electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Analysis beyond the scope of NRCS methodology to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a simplified heat transfer model to determine heating, ventilation, and cooling loads may be required if existing device capacity cannot be estimated.) ???Medium Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: change of lighting fixture counts or layout; wall insulation; grain dryers; add reverse osmosis to syrup production; or add evaporative cooling systems (cooling cells). Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than three practices are contracted, then, at a minimum, ???4-5 Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. If at least 1 scenario is more complex than indicated herein, use an alternate scenario for contracting. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,879.25$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,879.25

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 54 | \$5,762.88 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 13 | \$667.55 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 18 | \$1,312.02 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#83-Low Complexity, 4-5 Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for one-to-one device retrofits. The scenario(s) may provide for a new component to modify the operation of an existing device (e.g., timer to reduce run-time). Three factors typically indicate a ???Low Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) New devices maintain output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) of the old devices within a roughly $10 \%$ range. 2 ) New devices are installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit does not require substantive changes to electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. ???Low Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: lamp or fixture upgrades; attic insulation; fans; or washer-extractors. Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than three practices are contracted, then, at a minimum, ???4-5 Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. If at least 1 scenario is more complex than indicated herein, use an alternate scenario for contracting. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$6,367.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$6,3 | 7.28 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 42 | \$4,482.24 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 12 | \$616.20 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 16 | \$1,166.24 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#99-High Complexity, 2-3 Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose several variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that cannot be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???High Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $30 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to two or more of the electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Complex analysis to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a detailed simulation is required to determine systems sizing and layout.) ???High Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: comprehensive lighting system redesign; radiant heating systems; convert to tunnel ventilation; or convert to bench heating. Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than one practice is contracted, then, at a minimum, ???2-3 Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. Use this scenario if at least one design is deemed high complexity. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$7,733.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$7,733.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 54 | \$5,762.88 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 13 | \$667.55 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 16 | \$1,166.24 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design
Scenario: \#115-Medium Complexity, 2-3 Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose some variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that can be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???Medium Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $10 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to either electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Analysis beyond the scope of NRCS methodology to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a simplified heat transfer model to determine heating, ventilation, and cooling loads may be required if existing device capacity cannot be estimated.) ???Medium Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: change of lighting fixture counts or layout; wall insulation; grain dryers; add reverse osmosis to syrup production; or add evaporative cooling systems (cooling cells). Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than one practice is contracted, then, at a minimum, ???2-3 Designs??? shall be contracted for theAg Energy DIA. If at least 1 scenario is more complex than indicated herein, use an alternate scenario for contracting. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 6,221.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 6,221.50$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 42 | \$4,482.24 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 12 | \$616.20 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 14 | \$1,020.46 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#131-Low Complexity, 2-3 Designs

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with multiple energy practice scenarios. Associated scenario(s) provide for one-to-one device retrofits. The scenario(s) may provide for a new component to modify the operation of an existing device (e.g., timer to reduce run-time). Three factors typically indicate a ???Low Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) New devices maintain output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) of the old devices within a roughly $10 \%$ range. 2 ) New devices are installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit does not require substantive changes to electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. ???Low Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: lamp or fixture upgrades; attic insulation; fans; or washer-extractors. Each ???Design??? indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. If more than one practice is contracted, then, at a minimum, ???2-3 Designs??? shall be contracted for the Ag Energy DIA. If at least 1 scenario is more complex than indicated herein, use an alternate scenario for contracting. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,709.53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,7 | 9.53 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 30 | \$3,201.60 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 11 | \$564.85 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 12 | \$874.68 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#147-High Complexity, 1 Design

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) energy practice scenario. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose several variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that cannot be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???High Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $30 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to two or more of the electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Complex analysis to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a detailed simulation is required to determine systems sizing and layout.) ???High Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: comprehensive lighting system redesign; radiant heating systems; convert to tunnel ventilation; or convert to bench heating. ???One Design??? indicates that each new device or component is closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,075.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,075.72
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 42 | \$4,482.24 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 12 | \$616.20 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 12 | \$874.68 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design
Scenario: \#163 - Medium Complexity, 1 Design

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) energy practice scenario. Associated scenario(s) provide for retrofits that impose some variables in the design process. The scenarios may involve a change in service levels that can be evaluated or designed through use of simple tools or manual calculations. Four factors typically indicate a ???Medium Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) Client objectives require a change of output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) that varies more than about $10 \%$ from old devices. 2) System constraints prevent new devices from being installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit requires substantive changes to either electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. 4) Analysis beyond the scope of NRCS methodology to evaluate alternatives is required to confirm level of service and appropriate device output, placement, etc. (For example, a simplified heat transfer model to determine heating, ventilation, and cooling loads may be required if existing device capacity cannot be estimated.) ???Medium Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: change of lighting fixture counts or layout; wall insulation; grain dryers; add reverse osmosis to syrup production; or add evaporative cooling systems (cooling cells). ???One Design??? indicates that each new device or component is closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,563.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,563.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 30 | \$3,201.60 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 11 | \$564.85 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 10 | \$728.90 |

Practice: 120-Agricultural Energy Design

Scenario: \#179-Low Complexity, 1 Design
Scenario Description:
An agricultural producer wishes to conserve energy through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) energy practice scenario. Associated scenario(s) provide for one-to-one device retrofits. The scenario(s) may provide for a new component to modify the operation of an existing device (e.g., timer to reduce run-time). Three factors typically indicate a ???Low Complexity??? system, as follows. 1) New devices maintain output (hp, Btu/hr, lux, etc.) of the old devices within a roughly $10 \%$ range. 2) New devices are installed in the same location as the old devices. 3) The retrofit does not require substantive changes to electrical, mechanical, plumbing, or structural systems. ???Low Complexity??? practice scenarios include but are not limited to: lamp or fixture upgrades; attic insulation; fans; or washer-extractors. ???One Design??? indicates that each new device or component is closely related to other devices or components even if numerous scenarios are contracted. The Ag Energy DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address energy concerns. The Ag Energy DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated energy practice scenarios, b) estimated energy and greenhouse gas benefits; and c) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to transition their agricultural operation to become more energy efficient. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address Energy Efficiency resource concerns using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.
After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Ag Energy DIA. The DIA 120 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decision, energy savings and design of conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 120 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,051.78
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,051.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 18 | \$1,920.96 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 10 | \$513.50 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 1 | \$34.20 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 8 | \$583.12 |

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#10 - Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition CAP Crops and Livestock

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional to organic to meet USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. Natural Resource Concern: Soil Erosion, Water Quality, Plant Condition, and other identified natural resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using traditional and conventional methods for farming and/or ranching mixed operation of crops and livestock. The producer currently manages the operation based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. The producer is interested in transitioning part or all of the management unit to meet national USDA requirements for a certified operation. The producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Refer to the NRCS Plan Criteria for conservation practices associated with operations transitioning to organic certification and typically needed to address identified natural resource concerns.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop the Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition Conservation Activity Plan (CAP) The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching to an organic production system with crops and livestock. The CAP plan will include conservation practices which address related resource concerns. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 138 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,436.50
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,436.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 75 | \$6,436.50 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#11-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition CAP Crops or Livestock

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional to organic to meet USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. Natural Resource Concern: Soil Erosion, Water Quality, Plant Condition, and other identified natural resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using traditional and conventional methods for farming with only crops. The producer currently manages the operation based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. The producer is interested in transitioning part or all of the management unit to meet national USDA requirements for certified operation. The producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Refer to the NRCS Plan Criteria for conservation practices associated with operations transitioning to organic certification and typically needed to address identified natural resource concerns.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop the Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition Conservation Activity Plan (CAP) The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching to an organic production system with crops and livestock. The CAP plan will include conservation practices which address related resource concerns. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 138 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,492.48
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,492.48
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 64 | \$5,492.48 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 138 - Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#35-Transition to Organic- Crop, Low Complexity

## Scenario Description:

A site specific conservation plan that contains planned conservation treatment activities for resource concerns resulting from the transition of conventional to organic production systems. At a minimum two alternatives will be developed. The first will be a no-action alternative in which current management activities are assumed to continue. The second will be an action alternative identifying a conservation practice or a system of conservation practices and management activities to address CPA identified resource concern(s). Additional action alternatives may be developed to identify different ways of achieving client objectives.

Before Situation:
Current crops and rotation, farming practices (tillage, nutrient application methods, timing, source, and rate), soils, and equipment and technology utilized are not considered as Organic. The producer objectives are to become organic. The effect of changes to the current cropping system are not known and new resource concerns may emerge.

## After Situation:

When evaluating conservation practice effects, the short term and long term effect on natural resources and the applicability and effect on special environmental concerns identified in Step-3 (Resource Inventory) must be documented. Include recommendations that will avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals (including livestock, fish, and wildlife), energy, or human concerns; as well as on special environmental concerns. The Organic System Plan Template supplements are completed as part of NRCS Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) 138 that helps farmers who are interested in transitioning from conventional farming practices to organic production by addressing the natural resource concerns on their operation.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,578.30
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,578.30
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 65 | \$5,578.30 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#51 - Transition to Organic- Crop, High Complexity

## Scenario Description:

A site specific conservation plan that contains planned conservation treatment activities for resource concerns resulting from the transition of conventional to organic production systems. Crop production system is more complex based on site features, large acreage, specialty crops, irrigation, orchard and vineyards. At a minimum two alternatives will be developed. The first will be a no-action alternative in which current management activities are assumed to continue. The second will be an action alternative identifying a conservation practice or a system of conservation practices and management activities to address CPA identified resource concern(s). Additional action alternatives may be developed to identify different ways of achieving client objectives.

## Before Situation:

Current crops and rotation, farming practices (tillage, nutrient application methods, timing, source, and rate), soils, and equipment and technology utilized are not considered as Organic. The producer objectives are to become organic. The effect of changes to the current cropping system are not known and new resource concerns may emerge.

After Situation:
When evaluating conservation practice effects, the short term and long term effect on natural resources and the applicability and effect on special environmental concerns identified in Step-3 (Resource Inventory) must be documented. Include recommendations that will avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals (including livestock, fish, and wildlife), energy, or human concerns; as well as on special environmental concerns. The Organic System Plan Template supplements are completed as part of NRCS Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) 138 that helps farmers who are interested in transitioning from conventional farming practices to organic production by addressing the natural resource concerns on their operation.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,436.50$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,436.50$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 75 | \$6,436.50 |

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#67-Transition to Organic-Livestock, Low Complexity

## Scenario Description:

A site specific conservation plan that contains planned conservation treatment activities for resource concerns resulting from the transition of conventional to organic livestock systems. At a minimum two alternatives will be developed. The first will be a no-action alternative in which current management activities are assumed to continue. The second will be an action alternative identifying a conservation practice or a system of conservation practices and management activities to address CPA identified resource concern(s). Additional action alternatives may be developed to identify different ways of achieving client objectives.

Before Situation:
Current livestock production, housing, feed, equipment and technology utilized are not considered as Organic. The producer objectives are to become organic. The effect of changes to the current system are not known and new resource concerns may emerge.

After Situation:
When evaluating conservation practice effects, the short term and long term effect on natural resources and the applicability and effect on special environmental concerns identified in Step-3 (Resource Inventory) must be documented. Include recommendations that will avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals (including livestock, fish, and wildlife), energy, or human concerns; as well as on special environmental concerns. The Organic System Plan Template supplements are completed as part of NRCS Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) 138 that helps farmers who are interested in transitioning from conventional farming practices to organic production by addressing the natural resource concerns on their operation.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,007.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,007.40$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 70 | \$6,007.40 |

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#83-Transition to Organic-Livestock, High Complexity

## Scenario Description:

A site specific conservation plan that contains planned conservation treatment activities for resource concerns resulting from the transition of conventional to organic livestock systems. System is high complexity based on conditions such as large Animal Units, multiple production locations, age segregation and similar management. At a minimum two alternatives will be developed. The first will be a no-action alternative in which current management activities are assumed to continue. The second will be an action alternative identifying a conservation practice or a system of conservation practices and management activities to address CPA identified resource concern(s). Additional action alternatives may be developed to identify different ways of achieving client objectives.

## Before Situation:

Current livestock production, housing, feed, equipment and technology utilized are not considered as Organic. The producer objectives are to become organic. The effect of changes to the current system are not known and new resource concerns may emerge.

## After Situation:

When evaluating conservation practice effects, the short term and long term effect on natural resources and the applicability and effect on special environmental concerns identified in Step-3 (Resource Inventory) must be documented. Include recommendations that will avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals (including livestock, fish, and wildlife), energy, or human concerns; as well as on special environmental concerns. The Organic System Plan Template supplements are completed as part of NRCS Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) 138 that helps farmers who are interested in transitioning from conventional farming practices to organic production by addressing the natural resource concerns on their operation.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,000.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 9,000.00$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 20 | \$2,134.40 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 80 | \$6,865.60 |

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#99 - Transition to Organic- Crop and Livestock, Low Complexity

## Scenario Description:

A site specific conservation plan that contains planned conservation treatment activities for resource concerns resulting from the transition of conventional to organic crop and livestock production systems. At a minimum two alternatives will be developed. The first will be a no-action alternative in which current management activities are assumed to continue. The second will be an action alternative identifying a conservation practice or a system of conservation practices and management activities to address CPA identified resource concern(s). Additional action alternatives may be developed to identify different ways of achieving client objectives.

Before Situation:
Current crops and rotation, livestock management and feeding, farming practices (tillage, nutrient application methods, timing, source, and rate), soils, and equipment and technology utilized are not considered as Organic. The producer objectives are to become organic. The effect of changes to the current cropping system are not known and new resource concerns may emerge.

## After Situation:

When evaluating conservation practice effects, the short term and long term effect on natural resources and the applicability and effect on special environmental concerns identified in Step-3 (Resource Inventory) must be documented. Include recommendations that will avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals (including livestock, fish, and wildlife), energy, or human concerns; as well as on special environmental concerns. The Organic System Plan Template supplements are completed as part of NRCS Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) 138 that helps farmers who are interested in transitioning from conventional farming practices to organic production by addressing the natural resource concerns on their operation.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,436.50
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,436.50$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 75 | \$6,436.50 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 138-Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition
Scenario: \#115 - Transition to Organic- Crop and Livestock, High Complexity

## Scenario Description:

A site specific conservation plan that contains planned conservation treatment activities for resource concerns resulting from the transition of conventional to organic crop and livestock production systems. Increased crop acreage, irrigation, specialty crops, orchards and vineyards, large AUs, age segregation management add complexity to the system. At a minimum two alternatives will be developed. The first will be a no-action alternative in which current management activities are assumed to continue. The second will be an action alternative identifying a conservation practice or a system of conservation practices and management activities to address CPA identified resource concern(s). Additional action alternatives may be developed to identify different ways of achieving client objectives.

## Before Situation:

Current crops and rotation, livestock management and feeding, farming practices (tillage, nutrient application methods, timing, source, and rate), soils, and equipment and technology utilized are not considered as Organic. The producer objectives are to become organic. The effect of changes to the current cropping system are not known and new resource concerns may emerge.

## After Situation:

When evaluating conservation practice effects, the short term and long term effect on natural resources and the applicability and effect on special environmental concerns identified in Step-3 (Resource Inventory) must be documented. Include recommendations that will avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on soil, water, air, plants, animals (including livestock, fish, and wildlife), energy, or human concerns; as well as on special environmental concerns. The Organic System Plan Template supplements are completed as part of NRCS Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) 138 that helps farmers who are interested in transitioning from conventional farming practices to organic production by addressing the natural resource concerns on their operation.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,429.10$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 9,429.10$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 20 | \$2,134.40 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 85 | \$7,294.70 |

Practice: 140-Transition to Organic Design

## Scenario: \#3-Low Complexity 1-4 CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional production to organic production. They will meet the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. All Natural resources will be addressed: Soil, Water, Air, Plants and Animals. Will address resource concerns with 1-4, low complexity conservation practices.

Before Situation:
Agricultural operation currently managed using conventional agricultural production methods. Producer will transition all or part of the farm operation to meet national USDA NOP requirements for organic certification. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. Low complexity conservation practices may include: cover crop, crop rotation, reduced tillage, conservation plantings and minor structural practices for erosion control such as grass waterways and diversions.

After Situation:
After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,919.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,9 | 19.40 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 140-Transition to Organic Design
Scenario: \#19-Low Complexity, 5+ CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional production to organic production. They will meet the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. All Natural resources will be addressed: Soil, Water, Air, Plants and Animals. Will address resources concerns with 5 or more conservation practices with low complexity.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using conventional agricultural production methods. Producer will transition all or part of the farm operation to meet national USDA NOP requirements for organic certification. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. Low complexity conservation practices may include: cover crop, crop rotation, reduced tillage, conservation plantings and minor structural practices for erosion control such as grass waterways and diversions.

After Situation:
After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 9,770.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 9,770.40$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 32 | \$3,472.32 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 32 | \$3,415.04 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 32 | \$2,746.24 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |

Practice: 140-Transition to Organic Design
Scenario: \#35-High Complexity, 1-4 CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional production to organic production. They will meet the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. All Natural resources will be addressed: Soil, Water, Air, Plants, and Animals. Will address resource concerns with 1-4, high complexity conservation practices.

Before Situation:
Agricultural operation currently managed using conventional agricultural production methods. Producer will transition all or part of the farm operation to meet national USDA NOP requirements for organic certification. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. High complexity conservation practices may include: management practices for nutrients, pests, grazing, irrigation etc. and structural practices such as waste storage facility and wetland practices.

After Situation:
After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,644.44
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,644.44

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 40 | \$3,432.80 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 8 | \$499.84 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 140-Transition to Organic Design
Scenario: \#51-High Complexity, 5+ CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional production to organic production. They will meet the USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. All Natural resources will be addressed: Soil, Water, Air, Plants and Animals. Will address resource concerns with 5 or more, high complexity conservation practices.

Before Situation:
Agricultural operation currently managed using conventional agricultural production methods. Producer will transition all or part of the farm operation to meet national USDA NOP requirements for organic certification. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. High complexity conservation practices may include: management practices for nutrients, pests, grazing, irrigation etc. and structural practices such as waste storage facility and wetland practices.

## After Situation:

After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to meet organic certification requirements. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$16,287.76
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$16,287.76

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 56 | \$6,076.56 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 56 | \$4,805.92 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 16 | \$999.68 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 144 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat Design
Scenario: \#3 - Fish \& Wildlife Habitat DIA

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concerns: Terrestrial Habitat and/or Aquatic Habitat on an agricultural operation. The Fish and Wildlife Habitat Design and Implementation Activity (DIA) addresses fish and wildlife habitat management relative to only one land use on the agricultural operation.

## Before Situation

Producer has no plan or knowledge of development or management of fish and/or wildlife habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for fish and/or wildlife habitat. Within existing land uses, the producer is interested in management of land or water features for establishment of new habitat for benefit of appropriate fish or wildlife species. Associated Practices: Applicable conservation practices cited in the DIA criteria and NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, the participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Fish and Wildlife Habitat DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary fish/wildlife habitat resource concern and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance habitat that supports native and/or managed species. The DIA may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 144 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Design \& Implementation Plan
Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,272.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,272.40$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$90.90 | 36 | \$3,272.40 |

Practice: 144 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat Design
Scenario: \#19-Fish \& Wildlife Habitat DIA (2 Land Uses)

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concerns: Terrestrial Habitat and/or Aquatic Habitat on an agricultural operation. The Fish and Wildlife Habitat Design and Implementation Activity (DIA) addresses fish and wildlife habitat management relative to two land uses on the agricultural operation of which each land use is at least 20 acres in size.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or knowledge of development or management of fish and/or wildlife habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for fish and/or wildlife habitat. Within existing land uses, the producer is interested in management of land or water features for establishment of new habitat for benefit of appropriate fish or wildlife species. Associated Practices: Applicable conservation practices cited in the DIA criteria and NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, the participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Fish and Wildlife Habitat DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary fish/wildlife habitat resource concern and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance habitat that supports native and/or managed species. The DIA may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 144 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Fish and Wildlife Habitat DIA
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,999.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,999.60

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$90.90 | 44 | \$3,999.60 |

Practice: 144 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat Design
Scenario: \#35-Fish \& Wildlife Habitat DIA (3 or More Land Uses)

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concerns: Terrestrial Habitat and/or Aquatic Habitat on an agricultural operation. The Fish and Wildlife Habitat Design and Implementation Activity (DIA) addresses fish and wildlife habitat management relative to three or more land uses on the agricultural operation of which at least three of the land uses are at least 20 acres in size.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or knowledge of development or management of fish and/or wildlife habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for fish and/or wildlife habitat. Within existing land uses, the producer is interested in management of land or water features for establishment of new habitat for benefit of appropriate fish or wildlife species. Associated Practices: Applicable conservation practices cited in the DIA criteria and NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, the participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Fish and Wildlife Habitat DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary fish/wildlife habitat resource concern and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance habitat that supports native and/or managed species. The DIA may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 144 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Fish and Wildlife Habitat DIA
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,726.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,726.80

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$90.90 | 52 | \$4,726.80 |



Practice: 148 - Pollinator Habitat Design
Scenario: \#19-Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan CAP

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, Plant Condition, Soil Erosion, Water Quality on an agricultural operation.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has no plan or knowledge of development or management of pollinator habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for pollinator habitat. Within existing land uses, the producer may be interested in management of land or for establishment of newhabitat for benefit of appropriate pollinator species. Associated Practices: 311, 327, 328, 656, 332, 340, 342, 647, 386, 393, 412, 422, 603, 379, 512, 595, 338, 528, 550, 329, 643, 391, 390, 381, 395, 580, 585, 612, 645, 601, 659, 657, 644, 380, 650.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance flowerrich habitat that supports native and/or managed pollinator species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 146 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,817.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,817.80
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$90.90 | 42 | \$3,817.80 |

Practice: 157 - Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#3 - Design Nutrient Management for greater than 101 Acres and less than or equal to 300 Acres Fertilizer and Manure

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. Manure may be imported.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for the application and management of nutrients. The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, improve profit margins, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Nutrient Management conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 157 and 590 Nutrient Management. The DIA criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for Soils, Water Quality and Air Quality resource concerns and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The design may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. Meets the basic quality criteria for the DIA 157 as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,595.70$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,595.70
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 70 | \$7,595.70 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 157 - Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#19 - Design Nutrient Management for 101 to less than 300 Acres and No Manure

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for the application and management of nutrients. The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, improve profit margins, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Nutrient Management conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 157 and 590 Nutrient Management. The DIA criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for Soils, Water Quality and Air Quality resource concerns and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The design may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. Meets the basic quality criteria for the DIA 157 as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,340.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,340.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 157 - Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#35 - Design Nutrient Management for greater than 300 Acres and No Manure

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for the application and management of nutrients. The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, improve profit margins, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Nutrient Management conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 157 and 590 Nutrient Management. The DIA criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for Soils, Water Quality and Air Quality resource concerns and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The design may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. Meets the basic quality criteria for the DIA 157 as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,425.50$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,425.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 50 | \$5,425.50 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 157 - Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#51-Design Nutrient Management for less than or equal to 100 Acres Fertilizer and Manure

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. Manure may be imported.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for the application and management of nutrients. The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, improve profit margins, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Nutrient Management conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 157 and 590 Nutrient Management. The DIA criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for Soils, Water Quality and Air Quality resource concerns and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The design may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. Meets the basic quality criteria for the DIA 157 as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,425.50$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,425.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 50 | \$5,425.50 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 157 - Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#67-Design Nutrient Management for less than or equal to 100 Acres and No Manure

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for the application and management of nutrients. The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, improve profit margins, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Nutrient Management conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 157 and 590 Nutrient Management. The DIA criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for Soils, Water Quality and Air Quality resource concerns and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The design may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. Meets the basic quality criteria for the DIA 157 as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,255.30$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,255.30$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 30 | \$3,255.30 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 157-Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity
Scenario: \#83-Design Nutrient Management for greater than 300 Acres Fertilizer and Manure

## Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns. Manure may be imported.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for the application and management of nutrients. The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, improve profit margins, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Nutrient Management conservation activity plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 157 and 590 Nutrient Management. The DIA criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for Soils, Water Quality and Air Quality resource concerns and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The design may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. Meets the basic quality criteria for the DIA 157 as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide and CPS 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,223.35

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,223.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 85 | \$9,223.35 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

## Practice: 158 - Feed Management Design

## Scenario: \#3 - Feed Management Plan

## Scenario Description:

The owner/operator of an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) has not received a written Feed Management Plan that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred in the operation. Little documentation of the methods of feed management used and practices installed exists, and the producer is not likely to developed a complete forage inventory or nutrient analysis. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Nutrient management related resource concerns on the operation remain to be addressed through the development of a complete activity plan including management and conservation practices for proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock or poultry that may be present on the operation. Present operation and feed methodology poses risk of feeding excessive amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and odor resource concerns. Negative water and air quality impacts as well as farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and inadequate record-keeping nutrient, inspection and monitoring of the existing operation may need further improvement.

## Before Situation:

Producer does not have a plan or has limited knowledge of management of feed, nutrients, feedstuffs, or nutritional additives provided to domestic livestock and poultry. The producer currently manages feed without a plan which would address livestock production limitations and water and air quality resource concern impacts. Producer currently lacks plan to provide proper balance of forage, grains or other feeds and supplements to assure domestic animal nutritional needs are met without negatively impacting water and air quality. Producer is interested in management of feed for domestic animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address livestock production opportunities, and for other environmental benefits. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to develop a plan, and to collect/coordinate data and records to determine current nutritional needs. Associated Practice(s): 590-Nutrient Management

## After Situation:

Participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Feed Management plan (CAP). The criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592 - Feed Management. If applicable, the plan may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) or to help meet requirements of NRCS practice standard 590 - Nutrient Management. The plan may include recommendations for addressing associated natural resource concerns with other conservation practices.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,340.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 4,340.40$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 |

physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 159-Grazing Management Design
Scenario: \#99 - Design and Implementation Activities for Grazed Lands <100 acres

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation activities for agricultural operation with less than 100 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of conservation practices to effectively manage livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan or implemented conservation practices to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals and implementing conservation practices to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to design and implement a plan and/or conservation practices to meet resource concerns. In addition to the Prescribed Grazing Plan (CPS 528) practice, other associated conservation practices standards maybe designed and implemented to meet resource concerns identified in the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) for grazed lands.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Grazing Management DIA. The DIA criteria requires the design and implementation of grazing activities as a component of the CPA to address resouce concerns and to meet criteria for applicable conservation practices including practices such as: Prescribed Grazing Management (528), Brush Management (314), Fencing (382), Forage Harvest Management (511), Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548), Herbaceous Weed Treatment (315), Pasture and Hay Planting (512), Range Planting (550), and any additional conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 159 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$1,672.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,672.64

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 16 | \$1,672.64 |

Practice: 159-Grazing Management Design
Scenario: \#115 - Design and Implementation Activities for Grazed Lands 101 to 500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation activities for an agricultural operation with 101 to 500 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of conservation practices to effectively manage livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan or implemented conservation practices to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals and implementing conservation practices to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to design and implement a plan and/or conservation practices to meet resource concerns. In addition to the Prescribed Grazing Plan (CPS 528) practice, other associated conservation practices standards maybe designed and implemented to meet resource concerns identified in the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) for grazed lands.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Grazing Management DIA. The DIA criteria requires the design and implementation of grazing activities as a component of the CPA to address resouce concerns and to meet criteria for applicable conservation practices including practices such as: Prescribed Grazing Management (528), Brush Management (314), Fencing (382), Forage Harvest Management (511), Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548), Herbaceous Weed Treatment (315), Pasture and Hay Planting (512), Range Planting (550), and any additional conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 159 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,090.80$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 2,090.80$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 20 | \$2,090.80 |

Practice: 159-Grazing Management Design
Scenario: \#131 - Design and Implementation Activities for Grazed Lands 501 to 1,500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation activities for agricultural operation with 501 to 1,500 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of conservation practices to effectively manage livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan or implemented conservation practices to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals and implementing conservation practices to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to design and implement a plan and/or conservation practices to meet resource concerns. In addition to the Prescribed Grazing Plan (CPS 528) practice, other associated conservation practices standards maybe designed and implemented to meet resource concerns identified in the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) for grazed lands.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Grazing Management DIA. The DIA criteria requires the design and implementation of grazing activities as a component of the CPA to address resource concerns and to meet criteria for applicable conservation practices including practices such as: Prescribed Grazing Management (528), Brush Management (314), Fencing (382), Forage Harvest Management (511), Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548), Herbaceous Weed Treatment (315), Pasture and Hay Planting (512), Range Planting (550), and any additional conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 159 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,508.96$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,508.96

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 24 | \$2,508.96 |

Practice: 159-Grazing Management Design
Scenario: \#147 - Design and Implementation Activities for Grazed Lands 1,501 to 5,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation activity for an agricultural operation with 1,501 to 5,000 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of conservation practices to effectively manage livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan or implemented conservation practices to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals and implementing conservation practices to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to design and implement a plan and/or conservation practices to meet resource concerns. In addition to the Prescribed Grazing Plan (CPS 528) practice, other associated conservation practices standards maybe designed and implemented to meet resource concerns identified in the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) for grazed lands.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Grazing Management DIA. The DIA criteria requires the design and implementation of grazing activities as a component of the CPA to address resource concerns and to meet criteria for applicable conservation practices including practices such as: Prescribed Grazing Management (528), Brush Management (314), Fencing (382), Forage Harvest Management (511), Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548), Herbaceous Weed Treatment (315), Pasture and Hay Planting (512), Range Planting (550), and any additional conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 159 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,927.12$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,927.12

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 28 | \$2,927.12 |

Practice: 159-Grazing Management Design
Scenario: \#163 - Design and Implementation Activities for Grazed Lands 5,001 to 10,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation activities for an agricultural operation with 5,001 to 10,000 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of conservation practices to effectively manage livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan or implemented conservation practices to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals and implementing conservation practices to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to design and implement a plan and/or conservation practices to meet resource concerns. In addition to the Prescribed Grazing Plan (CPS 528) practice, other associated conservation practices standards maybe designed and implemented to meet resource concerns identified in the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) for grazed lands.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Grazing Management DIA. The DIA criteria requires the design and implementation of grazing activities as a component of the CPA to address resouce concerns and to meet criteria for applicable conservation practices including practices such as: Prescribed Grazing Management (528), Brush Management (314), Fencing (382), Forage Harvest Management (511), Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548), Herbaceous Weed Treatment (315), Pasture and Hay Planting (512), Range Planting (550), and any additional conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 159 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,345.28$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 3,345.28$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 32 | \$3,345.28 |

Practice: 159-Grazing Management Design
Scenario: \#179 - Design and Implementation Activities for Grazed Lands >10,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation activities for an agricultural operation with greater than 10,000 acres grazed land. The following natural resource concerns will be addressed: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of conservation practices to effectively manage livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without a plan or implemented conservation practices to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals and implementing conservation practices to maximize profit margins, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to design and implement a plan and/or conservation practices to meet resource concerns. In addition to the Prescribed Grazing Plan (CPS 528) practice, other associated conservation practices standards maybe designed and implemented to meet resource concerns identified in the Conservation Planning Activity (CPA) for grazed lands.

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Grazing Management DIA. The DIA criteria requires the design and implementation of grazing activities as a component of the CPA to address resource concerns and to meet criteria for applicable conservation practices including practices such as: Prescribed Grazing Management (528), Brush Management (314), Fencing (382), Forage Harvest Management (511), Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548), Herbaceous Weed Treatment (315), Pasture and Hay Planting (512), Range Planting (550), and any additional conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. The DIA meets the basic quality criteria for the 159 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,763.44$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 3,763.44$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 36 | \$3,763.44 |

Practice: 160 - Prescribed Burning Design
Scenario: \#3 - Prescribed Burning Plan DIA less than or equal to 20 acres

## Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically less than or equal to 20 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

## Before Situation

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. A Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, $384,528,314,315,550,644,645,659,342,647,460,643,666,595$

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the Prescribed Burning DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan DIA is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The DIA plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e., site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e., fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e., method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e., wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional DIA plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,268.85
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,268.85
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 15 | \$1,268.85 |

Practice: 160 - Prescribed Burning Design
Scenario: \#19-Prescribed Burning Plan (DIA) greater than 1,000 acres
Scenario Description:
Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater than 1,000 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.
Before Situation:
Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. A Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the Prescribed Burning Plan (DIA). The DIA criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan DIA is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The DIA plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e., site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e., fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e., method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e., wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional DIA plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,075.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,075.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 60 | \$5,075.40 |

Practice: 160 - Prescribed Burning Design
Scenario: \#35-Prescribed Burning Plan-DIA greater than 501 acres and less than 1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater than 501 acres and less than 1,000 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. A Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, $384,528,314,315,550,644,645,659,342,647,460,643,666,595$

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the Prescribed Burning Plan DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan DIA is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The DIA plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e., site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e., fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e., method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e., wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional DIA plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Feature Measure: 1
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,383.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,383.60

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 40 | \$3,383.60 |

Practice: 160 - Prescribed Burning Design
Scenario: \#51 - Prescribed Burning Plan -DIA greater than 251 acres and less than 500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater than 251 acres and less than 500 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:
Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. A Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan DIA is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The DIA plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e., site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e., fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e., method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e., wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional DIA plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,537.70$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,537.70

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 30 | \$2,537.70 |

Practice: 160 - Prescribed Burning Design
Scenario: \#67-Prescribed Burning Plan (DIA) greater than 101 acres and less than 250 acres

## Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater that 101 acres in size and less than 250 acres and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

## Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. A Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, $384,528,314,315,550,644,645,659,342,647,460,643,666,595$

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the Prescribed Burning Plan DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan DIA is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The DIA plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e., site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e., fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e., method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e., wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional DIA plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,114.75$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,114.75

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 25 | \$2,114.75 |

Practice: 160 - Prescribed Burning Design
Scenario: \#83-Prescribed Burning Plan (DIA) greater than 21 acres and less than 100 acres

## Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater than 21 acres and less than 100 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:
Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. A Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, $384,528,314,315,550,644,645,659,342,647,460,643,666,595$

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the Prescribed Burning Plan or DIA. The DIA criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan DIA is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The DIA plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e., site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc.), site conditions (i.e., fuel load, fuel type, etc.), implementation strategies (i.e., method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc.), tolerable weather parameters (i.e., wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc.) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional DIA plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

## Feature Measure: 1

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,691.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,691.80

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 20 | \$1,691.80 |

Practice: 161 - Pest Management Conservation System Design
Scenario: \#3 - High Complexity, 5+ CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will implement high complexity conservation practices and PAMS activities as part of an overall Pest Management Conservation System. Natural resources relating to CPS 595 Pest Management Conservation System will be addressed. Will address resource concerns with 5 or more, high complexity conservation practices and/or PAMS activities.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using few pest management strategies. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices and PAMS activities to address resource concerns. High complexity conservation practices may include: prescribed grazing, irrigation water management, diverse conservation plantings and complex practices for such as Agrichemical Handling Facility and Vegetated Treatment Area. High Complexity PAMS activities include: field sanitation , intensive scouting etc.

## After Situation:

After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to address resource concerns. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. PAMS activities according to IPM plan and Land Grant University guidelines. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,409.84$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,409.84$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 40 | \$3,432.80 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 8 | \$499.84 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |

Practice: 161 - Pest Management Conservation System Design
Scenario: \#19-High Complexity, 1-4 CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will implement high complexity conservation practices and PAMS activities as part of an overall Pest Management Conservation System. Natural resources relating to CPS 595 Pest Management Conservation System will be addressed. Will address resource concerns with $1-4$, high complexity conservation practices and/or PAMS activities.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using few pest management strategies. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices and PAMS activities to address resource concerns. High complexity conservation practices may include: prescribed grazing, irrigation water management, diverse conservation plantings and complex practices for such as Agrichemical Handling Facility and Vegetated Treatment Area. High Complexity PAMS activities include: field sanitation , intensive scouting etc.

## After Situation:

After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to address resource concerns. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. PAMS activities according to IPM plan and Land Grant University guidelines. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,821.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,821.00

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 32 | \$3,472.32 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 32 | \$2,746.24 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 8 | \$499.84 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 161 - Pest Management Conservation System Design
Scenario: \#35-Low Complexity, 5+ CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will implement low complexity conservation practices and PAMS activities as part of an overall Pest Management Conservation System. Natural resources relating to CPS 595 Pest Management Conservation System will be addressed. Will address resource concerns with 5 or more, low complexity conservation practices.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using few pest management strategies. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices and PAMS activities to address resource concerns. Low complexity conservation practices may include: cover crop, crop rotation, reduced tillage, conservation plantings and minor structural practices for erosion control such as grass waterways and diversions. Low Complexity PAMS activities include: using pest resistant varieties, trap crops, scouting etc.

## After Situation:

After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to address resource concerns. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. PAMS activities according to IPM plan and Land Grant University guidelines. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,800.72$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 4,800.72$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 24 | \$2,604.24 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 24 | \$2,059.68 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |

Practice: 161 - Pest Management Conservation System Design
Scenario: \#51-Low Complexity 1-4 CPS

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will implement low complexity conservation practices and PAMS activities as part of an overall Pest Management Conservation System. Natural resources relating to CPS 595 Pest Management Conservation System will be addressed. Will address resource concerns with $1-4$, low complexity conservation practices.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using few pest management strategies. The producer will collaborate with a certified TSP to develop implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices and PAMS activities to address resource concerns. Low complexity conservation practices may include: cover crop, crop rotation, reduced tillage, conservation plantings and minor structural practices for erosion control such as grass waterways and diversions. Low Complexity PAMS activities include: using pest resistant varieties, trap crops, scouting etc.

## After Situation:

After NRCS program contract is approved, participant will obtain services from a certified TSP to develop the required implementation requirements and/or designs and specifications for all conservation practices required to address resource concerns. All practices installed according to field office technical guide requirements. PAMS activities according to IPM plan and Land Grant University guidelines. Implementation requirements, designs and specifications all complete.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,211.88$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 3,211.88$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#3-Organic Crops + Livestock, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for up to 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU and can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,944.64$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,944.64$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 64 | \$6,944.64 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#19-Crops, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for more than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has a written conservation plan including core soil health practices or has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (CPA 116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,991.46$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,991.46

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 46 | \$4,991.46 |

physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#35-Crops + Livestock, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has a written conservation plan including core soil health practices or has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (CPA 116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,425.50$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 5,425.50$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 50 | \$5,425.50 |

physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#51-Small Farm

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for a small farm operation of less than 10 acres.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,255.30$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,255.30

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 30 | \$3,255.30 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#67-Crops, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU and can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,123.38

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,123.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 38 | \$4,123.38 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#83-Organic Crops, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU and can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (CPA 116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,774.44$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,774.44
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 44 | \$4,774.44 | physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#99-Crops + Livestock, <5

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for fewer than 5 Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has a written conservation plan including core soil health practices or has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (CPA 116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,340.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,340.40

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 |

physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#115- Organic Crops, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has a written conservation plan including core soil health practices or has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (CPA 116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,510.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,510.60$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 60 | \$6,510.60 |

physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 162 - Soil Health Management System Design
Scenario: \#131-Organic Crops + Livestock, 5 or more

## Scenario Description:

Evaluate soil health concerns and develop a transitional cropping management plan to improve overall soil health and address all 4 soil health principles. The plan includes management activities or land management practices associated with crop and forage production. The soil health management plan ensures that the purposes of crop and forage production and preservation of natural resources related to soil health are compatible. May simultaneously implement 216 Soil Health Testing CEMA to evaluate baseline soil health and inventory basic or additional soil health indicators. The plan is developed for 5 or more Soil Health Management Units (SHMU) for organic crops and livestock. A SHMU is 1 or more planning land units with similar soil type, land use, and management. A SHMU can vary in size or acreage depending on soil texture, topography, and cropping system.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management. Producer has a written conservation plan including core soil health practices or has collaborated with a certified TSP to develop a written Soil Health Management Plan (CPA 116).

## After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Soil Health Management Plan consistent with the criteria in DIA 162. The DIA criteria requires the plan address all 4 soil health principles. Meets the planning criteria for DIA 162 and facilitating soil health practices as referenced in FOTG.

Feature Measure: each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,680.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,680.80$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, | Hours | \$108.51 | 80 | \$8,680.80 |

physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Practice: 163 - Irrigation Water Management Design
Scenario: \#3-1-2 Designs - Without Pump Test

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address irrigation water use inefficiency and all other appropriate resource concerns through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) irrigation practice scenario. The pump for the irrigation system is of known performance and less then 3 years old. Each 'Design' indicates that new devices or components is closely related to other devices or components of the irrigation water management system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Irrigation Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Irrigation Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated irrigation practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Insufficient Water - Inefficient Irrigation Water Use; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, Nutrients transported to surface and groundwater, pesticides transported to surface and groundwater, pathogens and chemicals from manure, and biosolids or compost applications transported to surface and groundwater, excess salts in surface and groundwater; Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health; Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to improve irrigation water management on their agricultural operation to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria incorporates recommended measures to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. Associated Practices: Code 449-Irrigation Water Management, Code 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, Code 442-Sprinkler System, Code 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface, Code 430-Irrigation Pipeline, Code 428-Irrigation Ditch Lining, Code 388-Irrigation Field Ditch, Code 320-Irrigation Canal or Lateral, Code 587-Structure for Water Control, Code 436-Irrigation Reservoir, Code 447-Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery, Code 533-Pumping Plant, Code 464-Irrigation Land Leveling, Code 450-Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application, Code 610-Saline and Sodic Soil Management, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use. The Irrigation Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 164 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,006.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 7,006.56$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 8 | \$983.12 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 8 | \$686.56 |


| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 16 | \$999.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |

Practice: 163 - Irrigation Water Management Design
Scenario: \#19-3 or More Designs - Without Pump Test
Scenario Description:
An agricultural producer wishes to address irrigation water use inefficiency and all other appropriat resource concerns through an EQIP contract with multiple irrigation practice scenario. The pump for the irrigation system is of known performance and less then 3 years old. Each 'Design' indicates that new devices or components is closely related to other decives or components of the irrigation water management system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Irrigation Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Irrigation Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated irrigation practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Insufficient Water - Inefficient Irrigation Water Use; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, Nutrients transported to surface and groundwater, pesticides transported to surface and groundwater, pathogens and chemicals from manure, and biosolids or compost applications transported to surface and groundwater, excess salts in surface and groundwater; Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health; Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to improve irrigation water management on their agricultural operation to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria incorporates recommended measures to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. Associated Practices: Associated Practices: Code 449-Irrigation Water Management, Code 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, Code 442-Sprinkler System, Code 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface, Code 430-Irrigation Pipeline, Code 428Irrigation Ditch Lining, Code 388-Irrigation Field Ditch, Code 320-Irrigation Canal or Lateral, Code 587-Structure for Water Control, Code 436-Irrigation Reservoir, Code 447Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery, Code 533-Pumping Plant, Code 464-Irrigation Land Leveling, Code 450-Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application, Code 610Saline and Sodic Soil Management, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address water quality, plant condition, or soil health. The Drainage Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 164 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 11,417.64$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 11,417.64$ |


| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 16 | \$1,966.24 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 56 | \$5,976.32 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.


| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 32 | \$1,999.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 163 - Irrigation Water Management Design
Scenario: \#35-1-2 Designs - With Pump Test

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address irrigation water use inefficiency and all other appropriat resource concerns through an EQIP contract with multiple irrigation practice scenario through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) irrigation practice scenario. The pump for the irrigation system is of unknown performance and older than 3 years. Each 'Design' indicates that new devices or components is closely related to other devices or components of the irrigation water managment system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Irrigation Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Irrigation Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated irrigation practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Insufficient Water - Inefficient Irrigation Water Use; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, Nutrients transported to surface and groundwater, pesticides transported to surface and groundwater, pathogens and chemicals from manure, and biosolids or compost applications transported to surface and groundwater, excess salts in surface and groundwater; Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health; Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to improve irrigation water management on their agricultural operation to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. The pump for the irrigation system is of unknown performance and older than 3 years. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria incorporates recommended measures to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. Associated Practices: Code 449-Irrigation Water Management, Code 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, Code 442-Sprinkler System, Code 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface, Code 430-Irrigation Pipeline, Code 428-Irrigation Ditch Lining, Code 388-Irrigation Field Ditch, Code 320-Irrigation Canal or Lateral, Code 587-Structure for Water Control, Code 436-Irrigation Reservoir, Code 447-Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery, Code 533-Pumping Plant, Code 464-Irrigation Land Leveling, Code 450Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application, Code 610-Saline and Sodic Soil Management, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use. The Irrigation Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 163 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,339.96
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8,339.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 8 | \$983.12 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 48 | \$5,122.56 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 8 | \$686.56 |


| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 16 | \$999.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 12 | \$479.64 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |

Practice: 163 - Irrigation Water Management Design
Scenario: \#51-3 or More Designs - With Pump Test

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address irrigation water use inefficiency and all other appropriat resource concerns through an EQIP contract with multiple irrigation practice scenario through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) irrigation practice scenario. The pump for the irrigation system is of unknown performance and older than 3 years. Each 'Design' indicates that new devices or components is closely related to other devices or components of the irrigation water management system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Irrigation Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Irrigation Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated irrigation practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Insufficient Water - Inefficient Irrigation Water Use; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, Nutrients transported to surface and groundwater, pesticides transported to surface and groundwater, pathogens and chemicals from manure, and biosolids or compost applications transported to surface and groundwater, excess salts in surface and groundwater; Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health; Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to improve irrigation water management on their agricultureal operation to address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. The pump for the irrigation system is of unknown performance and older than 3 years. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria incorporates recommended measures toaddress insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use concerns. Associated Practices: Code 449-Irrigation Water Management, Code 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, Code 442-Sprinkler System, Code 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface, Code 430-Irrigation Pipeline, Code 428-Irrigation Ditch Lining, Code 388-Irrigation Field Ditch, Code 320-Irrigation Canal or Lateral, Code 587-Structure for Water Control, Code 436-Irrigation Reservoir, Code 447-Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery, Code 533-Pumping Plant, Code 464-Irrigation Land Leveling, Code 450Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application, Code 610-Saline and Sodic Soil Management, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Irrigation Water Management DIA. The DIA 163 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address insufficient water, water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, or inefficient energy use. The Irrigation Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 163 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,230.68
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13,230.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 16 | \$1,966.24 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 64 | \$6,830.08 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 |


| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 32 | \$1,999.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 24 | \$959.28 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 164 - Improved Management of Drainage Water Design
Scenario: \#3-1-2 Designs - Tile Map Available

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address water quality degradation, poor plant productivity and health, and/or oxidation of organic matter in soils on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) drainage practice scenario. A map of the tile system is available. Each 'Design??? indicates that each new device or component is closely related to other devices or components of the drainage water management system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Drainage Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Drainage Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated drainage practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Water Quality - Excess nutrients in surface and groundwaters, Plant Condition - Plant Productivity and Health, and Soil Health - Subsidence.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to improve drainage water management on their agricultural operation to address water quality, plant condition, or soil health concerns. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase water quality, plant condition, or soil health. Associated Practices: 554-Drainage Water Management, 604-Saturated Buffer, 605-Denitrifying Bioreactor, 606-Subsurface Drain, 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch, 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral, 587Structure for Water Control, 590-Nutrient Management, 340-Cover Crop, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address water quality, plant condition, or soil health. The Drainage Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 164 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,839.36$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,839.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 8 | \$983.12 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 32 | \$3,415.04 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 16 | \$999.68 |

Practice: 164 - Improved Management of Drainage Water Design
Scenario: \#19-3 or More Designs - Tile Map Available

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address water quality degradation, poor plant productivity and health, and/or oxidation of organic matter in soils on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system through an EQIP contract with multiple drainage practice scenario. A map of the tile system is available. Each 'Design??? indicates that each new device or component is closely related to other devices or components of the drainage water management system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Drainage Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Drainage Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated drainage practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Water Quality - Excess nutrients in surface and groundwaters, Plant Condition - Plant Productivity and Health, and Soil Health - Subsidence.

Before Situation:
Producer wants to improve drainage water management on their agricultural operation to address water quality, plant condition, or soil health concerns. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase water quality, plant condition, or soil health. Associated Practices: 554-Drainage Water Management, 604-Saturated Buffer, 605-Denitrifying Bioreactor, 606-Subsurface Drain, 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch, 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral, 587Structure for Water Control, 590-Nutrient Management, 340-Cover Crop, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address water quality, plant condition, or soil health. The Drainage Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 164 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,750.60$

Cenario Cost/Unit:
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 16 | \$1,966.24 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 48 | \$5,122.56 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 24 | \$2,059.68 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 24 | \$1,499.52 |

Practice: 164 - Improved Management of Drainage Water Design
Scenario: \#35-1-2 Designs - No Tile Map Available

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address water quality degradation, poor plant productivity and health, and/or oxidation of organic matter in soils on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system through an EQIP contract with at least one (1) drainage practice scenario. A map of the tile system is not available. Each 'Design??? indicates that each new device or component is closely related to other devices or components of the drainage water management system even if numerous practices are contracted. The Drainage Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Drainage Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated drainage practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Water Quality - Excess nutrients in surface and groundwaters, Plant Condition - Plant Productivity and Health, and Soil Health - Subsidence.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to improve drainage water management on their agricultural operation to address water quality, plant condition, or soil health concerns. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase water quality, plant condition, or soil health. Associated Practices: 554-Drainage Water Management, 604-Saturated Buffer, 605-Denitrifying Bioreactor, 606-Subsurface Drain, 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch, 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral, 587Structure for Water Control, 590-Nutrient Management, 340-Cover Crop, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address water quality, plant condition, or soil health. The Drainage Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 164 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,315.76$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,315.76
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 16 | \$1,966.24 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 16 | \$999.68 |carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involvedwith data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, schedulingappointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, orproviding information to callers.

Practice: 164 - Improved Management of Drainage Water Design
Scenario: \#51-3 or More Designs - No Tile Map Available

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to address water quality degradation, poor plant productivity and health, and/or oxidation of organic matter in soils on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system through an EQIP contract with multiple drainage practice scenario. A map of the tile system is not available. Each 'Design' indicates that new devices or components are closely related to other devices or components of the drainage water management system even if numerous designs are contracted. If more than one practice is contracted, then '2-5 Designs' shall be contracted for the Drainage Water Management DIA. The Drainage Water Management DIA includes reviewing, and, when needed, revising alternatives to address the identified concern(s). The Drainage Water Management DIA documents: a) the client???s final decisions related to the associated drainage practice scenarios; and b) design deliverables described in the associated NRCS Conservation Practice Statements of Work. Natural Resource Concern(s): Water Quality - Excess nutrients in surface and groundwaters, Plant Condition - Plant Productivity and Health, and Soil Health - Subsidence.

## Before Situation:

Producer wants to improve drainage water management on their agricultural operation to address water quality, plant condition, or soil health concerns. Producer intends to work with a certified TSP to develop designs to implement one or more practice scenarios to address identified resource concerns using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria incorporates recommended measures to increase water quality, plant condition, or soil health. Associated Practices: 554-Drainage Water Management, 604-Saturated Buffer, 605-Denitrifying Bioreactor, 606-Subsurface Drain, 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch, 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral, 587Structure for Water Control, 590-Nutrient Management, 340-Cover Crop, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:
The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop practice scenario designs using the Drainage Water Management DIA. The DIA 164 criteria include tasks needed to document the client???s decisions and design of conservation practices which address water quality, plant condition, or soil health. The Drainage Water Management DIA meets the quality criteria for the DIA 164 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 11,709.88$

Cenario Cost/Unit:
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 16 | \$1,966.24 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 48 | \$5,122.56 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 24 | \$2,059.68 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hours | \$62.48 | 24 | \$1,499.52 |


| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 24 | \$959.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |

Practice: 165 - Forest Management Practice Design
Scenario: \#3 - DIA Less Than or Equal to 20 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 1 to 20 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.
Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Design and Implementation Activities is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implementation requirements for conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, $314,315$.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Design and Implementation Activities (DIA). The DIA criteria requires the design of site-specific forestry activities as a component of a forest management plan to address identified resource concerns. Additional DIA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$422.95

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$422.95
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 5 | \$422.95 |

Practice: 165 - Forest Management Practice Design
Scenario: \#19-DIA 501 to 1000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 501 to 1000 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Design and Implementation Activities is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implementation requirements for conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, $314,315$.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Design and Implementation Activities (DIA). The DIA criteria requires the design of site-specific forestry activities as a component of a forest management plan to address identified resource concerns. Additional DIA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,607.21$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,607.21

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 19 | \$1,607.21 |

Practice: 165 - Forest Management Practice Design
Scenario: \#35-DIA 101 to 250 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 101 to 250 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Design and Implementation Activities is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implementation requirements for conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, $314,315$.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Design and Implementation Activities (DIA). The DIA criteria requires the design of site-specific forestry activities as a component of a forest management plan to address identified resource concerns. Additional DIA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,015.08
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,015.08

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 12 | \$1,015.08 |


| Practice: 165 - Forest Management Practice D <br> Scenario: \#51 - DIA Greater Than 1000 acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 1001 acres or greater in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Design and Implementation Activities is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implementation requirements for conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, $314,315$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Design and Implementation Activities (DIA). The DIA criteria requires the design of site-specific forestry activities as a component of a forest management plan to address identified resource concerns. Additional DIA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,945.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,945.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID |  | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Cons <br> lands inven appra wild to co plant deter and | bor to manage mic, and recre and location of on. Will determ ter quality and al regulations. es, monitoring schedules, an | Hours | \$84.59 | 23 | \$1,945.57 |

Practice: 165 - Forest Management Practice Design
Scenario: \#67-DIA 251 to 500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 251 to 500 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Design and Implementation Activities is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implementation requirements for conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, $314,315$.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Design and Implementation Activities (DIA). The DIA criteria requires the design of site-specific forestry activities as a component of a forest management plan to address identified resource concerns. Additional DIA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,353.44
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,353.44

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 16 | \$1,353.44 |

Practice: 165 - Forest Management Practice Design
Scenario: \#83-DIA 21 to 100 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 21 to 100 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.
Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Design and Implementation Activities is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implementation requirements for conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, $314,315$.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the Forest Management Design and Implementation Activities (DIA). The DIA criteria requires the design of site-specific forestry activities as a component of a forest management plan to address identified resource concerns. Additional DIA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$676.72

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$676.72
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest | Hours | \$84.59 | 8 | \$676.72 | lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage.

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#19-Small Farm - less than or equal to 10 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The small farm planning scenario involves combinations of various specialty crops, small fruits, tree and vine crops, and small livestock enterprises on less than or equal to 10 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, or (if applicable to the enterprise) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,311.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,311.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 10 | \$1,085.10 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 8 | \$853.76 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 16 | \$1,373.12 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#35 - Low Complexity Plan, <200 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use and one agricultural enterprise covering up to less than 200 acres.

Before Situation:
Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, or (if applicable to the enterprise) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,208.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 4,208.40$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 8 | \$868.08 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 12 | \$1,280.64 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 24 | \$2,059.68 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#51 - Low Complexity Plan, 200-1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use and one agricultural enterprise covering 200-1,000 acres.

Before Situation:
Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, or (if applicable to the enterprise) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 6,189.92$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 6,189.92$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 32 | \$2,746.24 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#67 - Low Complexity Plan, >1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use and one agricultural enterprise covering more than 1,000 acres.

Before Situation:
Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, or (if applicable to the enterprise) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 8,249.60$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 8,249.60$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 56 | \$4,805.92 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#83-Medium Complexity Plan, <200 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use with two agricultural enterprises, or two land uses with one agricultural enterprise (ex. farmstead and cropland used for a dairy enterprise) covering less than 200 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, and/or (if applicable to the enterprises) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,189.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,189.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 32 | \$2,746.24 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#99 - Medium Complexity Plan, 200-1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use with two agricultural enterprises, or two land uses with one agricultural enterprise (ex. farmstead and cropland used for a dairy enterprise) covering 200-1000 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, and/or (if applicable to the enterprises) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,249.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8,249.60
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 56 | \$4,805.92 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#115 - Medium Complexity Plan, >1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use with two agricultural enterprises, or two land uses with one agricultural enterprise (ex. farmstead and cropland used for a dairy enterprise) covering more than 1,000 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, and/or (if applicable to the enterprises) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,053.18

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10,053.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 18 | \$1,953.18 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 18 | \$1,920.96 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 72 | \$6,179.04 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#131-High Complexity Plan, <200 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use supporting three or more agricultural enterprises, two land uses supporting two or more agricultural enterprises, or three or more land uses and any number of enterprises on up to less than 200 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, and/or (if applicable to the enterprises) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,249.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8,249.60
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 16 | \$1,736.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 56 | \$4,805.92 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#147 - High Complexity Plan, 200-1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves three or more agricultural enterprises, two land uses supporting two or more agricultural enterprises, or three or more land uses and any number of enterprises on 200-1000 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, and/or (if applicable to the enterprises) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,053.18

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10,053.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 18 | \$1,953.18 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 18 | \$1,920.96 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 72 | \$6,179.04 |

Practice: 199-Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#163 - High Complexity Plan, >1,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a Farm Bill program contract. The TSP completes NRCS conservation planning process, steps 1 through 7 as described in NRCS National Planning Procedures Handbook. The steps identify problems and opportunities (step 1), determine objectives (step 2), include inventory and analyze resources (steps 3 and 4), formulate and evaluate alternatives (steps 5 and 6) and document client???s preferred alternative(s) (step 7). The Planning Land Unit involves one land use supporting three or more agricultural enterprises, two land uses supporting two or more agricultural enterprises, or three or more land uses and any number of enterprises on more than 1,000 acres.

## Before Situation:

Client and NRCS have identified a need to develop a conservation plan to address resource concern(s) using a Technical Service Provider.
After Situation:
TSP has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop at least one conservation system alternative for each planning land unit that meet the producer's objectives; and obtain the client's decision for a schedule of practices to implement. TSP provides deliverables that meet the requirements of the CPA 199, and/or (if applicable to the enterprises) the requirements of conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,600.66
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,600.66

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 22 | \$2,387.22 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 22 | \$2,347.84 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 80 | \$6,865.60 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#56-Data Collect Surface Year 1-QAPP

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 20 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=240$ total water quality tests). The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site a monitoring station, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will not have a plan or quality assurance project plan prepared for installing equipment nor collecting data for sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field.

## After Situation:

This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared prior to installation under Edge-ofField Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring Sites
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$33,274.08
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$33,274.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 130 | \$5,218.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 136 | \$16,160.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 4 | \$195.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 240 | \$11,700.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation

## Scenario: \#57-Data Collect Surface Year 1 - NO QAPP

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average sample collection of 20 per year for surface sytems. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will be not prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system that has been accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202 . THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

## After Situation:

This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

## Feature Measure: Measuring Site

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 23,601.70$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$23,601.70

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 60 | \$6,510.60 |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 130 | \$5,196.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 4 | \$195.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 40 | \$1,950.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 40 | \$1,950.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 40 | \$1,950.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 40 | \$1,950.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 20 | \$975.00 |


| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 40 | \$1,950.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 20 | \$975.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation

## Scenario: \#58 - Data Collect Surface Year 1 plus - NO QAPP

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average sample collection of 20 per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=240$ total water quality tests). The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 to next to the last year of monitoring of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will be not prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system that has been accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202 . THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring Site
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$24,243.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$24,243.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 130 | \$5,218.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 60 | \$7,129.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 4 | \$195.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 240 | \$11,700.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation

## Scenario: \#59-Data Collect Surface Last Year

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 20 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=240$ total water quality tests). The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected to complete monitoring period.

Feature Measure: Measuring Site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 28,996.20$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28,996.20

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 130 | \$5,218.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 100 | \$11,883.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 4 | \$195.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 240 | \$11,700.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation

## Scenario: \#60 - Data Collect Tile Year 1-QAPP

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 40$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=480$ total water quality tests). A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=240$ total water quality tests). Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site a monitoring station, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will not have a plan or quality assurance project plan prepared for installing equipment nor collecting data for sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field.

## After Situation:

This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared prior to installation under Edge-ofField Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring Site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 67,934.46$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 67,934.46$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 312 | \$12,523.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 166 | \$19,725.78 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 12 | \$585.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 720 | \$35,100.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#61 - Data Collect Tile Year 1 plus - NO QAPP

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 40$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=480$ total water quality tests). A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=240$ total water quality tests). Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual reports, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 to next to the last year of monitoring of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will be not prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system be accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring Site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$58,903.38
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$58,903.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 312 | \$12,523.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 90 | \$10,694.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 12 | \$585.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 720 | \$35,100.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation

## Scenario: \#63 - Data Collect Tile Last Year

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and one treatment site with an average of 40 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 40$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=480$ total water quality tests). A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 2 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=240$ total water quality tests). Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semiannual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.

Feature Measure: Measuring site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$63,656.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63,656.58

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 312 | \$12,523.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 130 | \$15,447.90 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 12 | \$585.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 720 | \$35,100.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#64 - Data Collect Surface Year 1-QAPP with two treatment Sites

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 20 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=360$ total water quality tests). The scenario requires the creation of a survey to site a monitoring station, preparation of monitoring plan and a quality assurance project plan to detail how data will be collected, handled and analyzed, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP need to be prepared prior to installation under Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will not have a plan or quality assurance project plan prepared for installing equipment nor collecting data for sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field.

## After Situation:

This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual report, and annual report for one control and one treatment site. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared prior to installation under Edge-ofField Water Quality Monitoring - System Installation (202). The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring Sites
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$45,969.06
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$45,969.06
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 156 | \$6,261.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 184 | \$21,864.72 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 6 | \$292.50 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 360 | \$17,550.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#65 - Data Collect Surface Year 1+ less QAPP (pre-install information) with two treatment sites

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data with an average sample collection of 20 per year for each surface system, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=360$ total water quality tests). The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will not be prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system be accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semi-annual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring site
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$34,799.04
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$34,799.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 156 | \$6,261.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 90 | \$10,694.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 6 | \$292.50 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 360 | \$17,550.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#67-Data Collect Surface Last Year with two treatment sites

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for surface run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 20 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=360$ total water quality tests). The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.

Feature Measure: Measuring site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 41,928.84$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$41,928.84

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 156 | \$6,261.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 150 | \$17,824.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 6 | \$292.50 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 360 | \$17,550.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#69-Data Collect Tile Year 1+ less QAPP (pre-install information) with two treatment sites

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 40 samples per year per station, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 40$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=720$ total water quality tests). A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year, with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=360$ total water quality tests). Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The data will be transferred through semi-annual submittal and annual reports, which include some preliminary annual analysis. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP will not be prepared as this is for an existing monitoring system be accepted as meeting both Activity 201 and 202. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. This scenario will normally be used in year 1 of the contract when a monitoring plan and QAPP have been prepared as part of an existing monitoring system installation where the QAPP and monitoring plan meets Activity 201 requirements and no major changes are needed to meet Activity 202 requirements. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201.

Feature Measure: Measuring site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$84,180.51
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$84,180.51
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 364 | \$14,610.96 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 135 | \$16,042.05 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 18 | \$877.50 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 1080 | \$52,650.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#71 - Data Collect Tile Last Year with two treatment sites

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario provides for the design and use of an edge-of-field WQ monitoring station(s) for tile and subsurface drainage run-off for one control and two treatment sites with an average of 40 samples per year per station with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 40$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=720$ total water quality tests). A subsurface system also requires the addition of a surface sampling system at the same outlet to capture overland flow with 20 samples per year with each sample analyzed for 6 separate parameters ( 3 sites $\times 20$ samples $\times 6$ parameters $=360$ total water quality tests). Without the surface system then not all runoff is captured for calculating a true event mean concentration as per the 201 Standard. The scenario requires the collection and analysis of edge-of-field water quality data along with a comprehensive report to statistically prove relationship between select conservation practices and water quality. The data will be transferred through semiannual submittal and annual report and a comprehensive report of practice effectiveness. This scenario will be used in the last year of monitoring. THIS IS PLACED IN A PAIRED SITUATION IF THE CONTROL AND TREATMENT ARE ON DIFFERENT LANDOWNERS FIELDS THEN A JOINT CONTRACT WILL BE NECESSARY.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing this practice will have an existing system for collecting water quality data but not have been operating with a long enough time frame to measure practice effectiveness.

After Situation:
This practice scenario after installation of the WQ monitoring stations, provides for the data collection, analysis, semiannual submittal, and annual report for one control and two treatment sites. The operator will be able to collect field level water quality data of sufficient quality to measure loss of nutrients as listed in 201 to provide a comprehensive report of statistical testing of data collected during to complete monitoring period.

Feature Measure: Measuring site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 91,310.31$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$91,310.31

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 364 | \$14,610.96 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 195 | \$23,171.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 18 | \$877.50 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 1080 | \$52,650.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#213 - Data Collect - Discrete Sampling, Year 1, Single Parameter

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is to be used for targeted, periodic WQ grab sampling design and implementation for evaluating and assessing conservation practice performance. This scenario provides for collection and analysis of one of the following water quality constituents: Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration, or Total Suspended Solids. A monitoring plan is created by a qualified individual to achieve monitoring goals. Event-based or regularly re-occurring grab samples are acquired from the concentrated flow streams at 2 locations, typically in a before-and-after or a side-by-side sampling design and then analyzed at a laboratory

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to implementing this activity will not have a monitoring plan prepared for evaluating and assessing the performance of a conservation practice.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after implementing this activity will have produced and implemented a water quality monitoring plan for a single water quality constituent to evaluate and assess the performance of a conservation practice with respect to that constituent.

Feature Measure: Measuring sites
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,521.36
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,521.36

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 72 | \$2,890.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 16 | \$1,901.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 8 | \$390.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 48 | \$2,340.00 |

Practice: 201 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-Data Collection and Evaluation
Scenario: \#229-Data Collect - Discrete Sampling, Single Parameter, Additional Year

## Scenario Description:

This scenario extends, by an additional year, discreet WQ grab sampling design and implementation for evaluating and assessing conservation practice performance. This scenario provides for analysis of one of the following water quality constituents: Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration, or Total Suspended Solids. A monitoring plan is created by a qualified individual to achieve monitoring goals. Event-based or regularly re-occurring synoptic grab samples are acquired from the concentrated flow streams at 2 locations, typically in a before-andafter or a side-by-side sampling design and then analyzed at a laboratory.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to implementing this activity will not have a monitoring plan prepared for evaluating and assessing the performance of a conservation practice.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after implementing this activity will have produced and implemented a water quality monitoring plan for a single water quality constituent to evaluate and assess the performance of a conservation practice with respect to that constituent.

Feature Measure: Measuring Sites
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,095.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,095.40

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 72 | \$2,890.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Blanks Samples | 2612 | Blanks or Duplicate Samples; Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 8 | \$390.00 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 48 | \$2,340.00 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#38-System Installation-Surface

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for southern latitudes where winter time heating is not required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing the monitoring equipment is guessing about the effects of the conservation system with regards to meeting practice intent of avoid, controlling, or trapping sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 28,176.54$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 60 | \$2,408.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Automated sampler with bottles and tubing | 2606 | Equipment used to collect the water samples on a flow weighted interval of 1.27 mm of runoff (volumetric depth) during a storm event. | Each | \$2,555.63 | 1 | \$2,555.63 |
| Connectors, cables, platform materials | 2607 | Miscellaneous (connectors, cables, berm, platform materials); Includes materials only. | Each | \$9,638.96 | 1 | \$9,638.96 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in $\times 25$-ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 1 | \$3,645.15 |
| Equipment shelter | 2609 | Building designed to house and reduce the risk of equipment damage from weather, animals, and vandalism. | Each | \$1,734.29 | 1 | \$1,734.29 |
| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 1 | \$3,010.00 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#39-System Installation-Surface Cold Climate

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for northern latitudes where winter time heating is required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, a calf hut or other structure with heat is required over the flume to allow sampling under northern latitude winter conditions, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing the monitoring equipment is guessing about the effects of the conservation system with regards to meeting practice intent of avoid, controlling, or trapping sediment and nutrients. Nothing is known about the volume or mass of sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field through the tile or other subsurface drainage system.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$28,966.61
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28,966.61
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 60 | \$2,408.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Heater, high efficiency | 1165 | Natural gas, propane, or fuel oil unit heater or boiler and venting materials. Based on input kBTU/hour. Includes materials and shipping only. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { BTU/Hour } \end{gathered}$ | \$22.00 | 1 | \$22.00 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Automated sampler with bottles and tubing | 2606 | Equipment used to collect the water samples on a flow weighted interval of 1.27 mm of runoff (volumetric depth) during a storm event. | Each | \$2,555.63 | 1 | \$2,555.63 |
| Connectors, cables, platform materials | 2607 | Miscellaneous (connectors, cables, berm, platform materials); Includes materials only. | Each | \$9,638.96 | 1 | \$9,638.96 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x $25-\mathrm{ft}$ vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 1 | \$3,645.15 |
| Equipment shelter | 2609 | Building designed to house and reduce the risk of equipment damage from weather, animals, and vandalism. | Each | \$1,734.29 | 1 | \$1,734.29 |


| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 1 | \$3,010.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |
| Equipment Shed | 2617 | Equipment shed ( $10 \times 10$ foot) made of steel applied over the sampling flume to allow collection of water samples during the winter in colder climates. | Each | \$768.07 | 1 | \$768.07 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#40-System Installation-Tile

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with tile or other subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for southern latitudes where winter time heating is not required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system for a subsurface collection and separate surface automated sample collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, an area velocity sensor for pipe flow and estimation of submerged flow, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing the monitoring equipment is guessing about the effects of the conservation system with regards to meeting practice intent of avoid, controlling, or trapping sediment and nutrients. Nothing is known about the volume or mass of sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field through the tile or other subsurface drainage system.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$39,632.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$39,632.19
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 100 | \$4,014.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Heater, high efficiency | 1165 | Natural gas, propane, or fuel oil unit heater or boiler and venting materials. Based on input kBTU/hour. Includes materials and shipping only. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { BTU/Hour } \end{gathered}$ | \$22.00 | 1 | \$22.00 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Automated sampler with bottles and tubing | 2606 | Equipment used to collect the water samples on a flow weighted interval of 1.27 mm of runoff (volumetric depth) during a storm event. | Each | \$2,555.63 | 2 | \$5,111.26 |
| Connectors, cables, platform materials | 2607 | Miscellaneous (connectors, cables, berm, platform materials); Includes materials only. | Each | \$9,638.96 | 1 | \$9,638.96 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in $\times 25$-ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 2 | \$7,290.30 |
| Equipment shelter | 2609 | Building designed to house and reduce the risk of equipment damage from weather, animals, and vandalism. | Each | \$1,734.29 | 2 | \$3,468.58 |


| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 1 | \$3,010.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-subsurface (pipe flow) | 2615 | Equipment used to collect runoff for ease in measure of flow, sample collection and to reduce time in constructing and calibrating of a flow structure. | Each | \$1,006.08 | 1 | \$1,006.08 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |
| Equipment Shed | 2617 | Equipment shed ( $10 \times 10$ foot) made of steel applied over the sampling flume to allow collection of water samples during the winter in colder climates. | Each | \$768.07 | 1 | \$768.07 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation
Scenario: \#41 - System Installation-Tile Cold Climate

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with tile or other subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for northern latitudes where winter time heating is required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system for a subsurface collection and separate surface automated sample collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, an area velocity sensor for pipe flow and estimation of submerged flow, a calf hut or other structure with heat is required over the flume to allow sampling under northern latitude winter conditions and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume.
Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing the monitoring equipment is guessing about the effects of the conservation system with regards to meeting practice intent of avoid, controlling, or trapping sediment and nutrients. Nothing is known about the volume or mass of sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field through the tile or other subsurface drainage system.

After Situation:
The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 39,632.19$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$39,632.19

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 100 | \$4,014.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Heater, high efficiency | 1165 | Natural gas, propane, or fuel oil unit heater or boiler and venting materials. Based on input kBTU/hour. Includes materials and shipping only. | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { BTU/Hour } \end{gathered}$ | \$22.00 | 1 | \$22.00 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Automated sampler with bottles and tubing | 2606 | Equipment used to collect the water samples on a flow weighted interval of 1.27 mm of runoff (volumetric depth) during a storm event. | Each | \$2,555.63 | 2 | \$5,111.26 |
| Connectors, cables, platform materials | 2607 | Miscellaneous (connectors, cables, berm, platform materials); Includes materials only. | Each | \$9,638.96 | 1 | \$9,638.96 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x 25 -ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 2 | \$7,290.30 |
| Equipment shelter | 2609 | Building designed to house and reduce the risk of equipment damage from weather, animals, and vandalism. | Each | \$1,734.29 | 2 | \$3,468.58 |


| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 1 | \$3,010.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-subsurface (pipe flow) | 2615 | Equipment used to collect runoff for ease in measure of flow, sample collection and to reduce time in constructing and calibrating of a flow structure. | Each | \$1,006.08 | 1 | \$1,006.08 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |
| Equipment Shed | 2617 | Equipment shed ( $10 \times 10$ foot) made of steel applied over the sampling flume to allow collection of water samples during the winter in colder climates. | Each | \$768.07 | 1 | \$768.07 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation
Scenario: \#42-System Installation-Above And Below

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable where a conservation practice has a pre- and post treatment area in the same field drainage with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for southern latitudes where winter time heating is not required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume. The actual installation will different on the subsurface flow by allowing a smaller precalibrated flume with the addition of a velocity sensor meter as in the tile alternative.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to installing the monitoring equipment is guessing about the effects of the conservation system with regards to meeting practice intent of avoid, controlling, or trapping sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 39,240.44$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 39,240.44$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 60 | \$2,408.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Materials

| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Automated sampler with bottles and tubing | 2606 | Equipment used to collect the water samples on a flow weighted interval of 1.27 mm of runoff (volumetric depth) during a storm event. | Each | \$2,555.63 | 2 | \$5,111.26 |
| Connectors, cables, platform materials | 2607 | Miscellaneous (connectors, cables, berm, platform materials); Includes materials only. | Each | \$9,638.96 | 1 | \$9,638.96 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x 25 -ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 2 | \$7,290.30 |
| Equipment shelter | 2609 | Building designed to house and reduce the risk of equipment damage from weather, animals, and vandalism. | Each | \$1,734.29 | 2 | \$3,468.58 |
| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 2 | \$6,020.00 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation
Scenario: \#43-System Installation-Above And Below cold climate

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is applicable where a conservation practice has a pre- and post treatment area in the same field drainage with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The component monitoring equipment are associated with a typical system for northern latitudes where winter time heating is required for sampling. It will allow for installation of automated sampling data collection system with protective housing to reduce potential for vandalism, battery backup for operation during periods when electricity is down or solar panels are not creating an electrical current, a calf hut or other structure with heat is required over the flume to allow sampling under northern latitude winter conditions, and a berm or other directional flow structure to guide the runoff to a sampling flume. The actual installation will different on the subsurface flow by allowing a smaller pre-calibrated flume with the addition of a velocity sensor meter as in the tile alternative.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to installing the monitoring equipment is guessing about the effects of the conservation system with regards to meeting practice intent of avoid, controlling, or trapping sediment and nutrients. Nothing is known about the volume or mass of sediment and nutrients leaving the edge of field through the tile or other subsurface drainage system.

After Situation:
The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$43,270.21
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$43,270.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 60 | \$2,408.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Heater, high efficiency | 1165 | Natural gas, propane, or fuel oil unit heater or boiler and venting materials. Based on input kBTU/hour. Includes materials and shipping only. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { BTU/Hour } \end{gathered}$ | \$22.00 | 2 | \$44.00 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Automated sampler with bottles and tubing | 2606 | Equipment used to collect the water samples on a flow weighted interval of 1.27 mm of runoff (volumetric depth) during a storm event. | Each | \$2,555.63 | 2 | \$5,111.26 |
| Connectors, cables, platform materials | 2607 | Miscellaneous (connectors, cables, berm, platform materials); Includes materials only. | Each | \$9,638.96 | 1 | \$9,638.96 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x 25 -ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 2 | \$7,290.30 |
| Equipment shelter | 2609 | Building designed to house and reduce the risk of equipment damage from weather, animals, and vandalism. | Each | \$1,734.29 | 2 | \$3,468.58 |


| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 2 | \$6,020.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 2 | \$4,899.26 |
| Equipment Shed | 2617 | Equipment shed ( $10 \times 10$ foot) made of steel applied over the sampling flume to allow collection of water samples during the winter in colder climates. | Each | \$768.07 | 2 | \$1,536.14 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#44-System Installation-Retrofit 1

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge and back-up/solar power supply be added to existing system.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to retrofit has an edge-of-field data collection system but it does not meet the present standards for accuracy or reliability as detailed in either or both of Activity 201 and Activity 202.

After Situation:
The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,376.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,376.72

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#45-System Installation-Retrofit 2

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, backup/solar power supply, communications device, and depth (stage) sensor to be added to existing system.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to retrofit has an edge-of-field data collection system but it does not meet the present standards for accuracy or reliability as detailed in either or both of Activity 201 and Activity 202.

After Situation:
The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$9,632.06

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,632.06
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x $25-\mathrm{ft}$ vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 1 | \$3,645.15 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#46-System Installation-Retrofit 3

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to a single control or treatment site that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, backup/solar power supply, communications device, pre-calibrated flow control structure, and depth (stage) sensor to be added to existing system.

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation prior to retrofit has an edge-of-field data collection system but it does not meet the present standards for accuracy or reliability as detailed in either or both of Activity 201 and Activity 202.

After Situation:
The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,123.74

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13,123.74

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 32 | \$1,284.48 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.12 | \$307.63 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x 25 -ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 1 | \$3,645.15 |
| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 1 | \$3,010.00 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 1 | \$2,449.63 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation
Scenario: \#47-System Installation-Retrofit Above and Below 1

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing above and below monitoring designed system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to an above and below system that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge and two back-up/solar power supply be added to existing paired system.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to retrofit has an edge-of-field data collection system but it does not meet the present standards for accuracy or reliability as detailed in either or both of Activity 201 and Activity 202.

After Situation:
The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,326.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,326.60

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 32 | \$1,284.48 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.24 | \$615.27 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |

Practice: 202 - Edge-of-Field Water Quality Monitoring-System Installation

## Scenario: \#49-System Installation-Retrofit Above 3

## Scenario Description:

This edge-of-field water quality monitoring system is to retrofit an existing above and below monitoring designed system that is being used in associated with the 799 interim practice or comparable system. The retrofit is applicable to an above and below system that has a field defined with surface or subsurface drainage runoff that can be captured and sampled at the edge of a field before entering a ditch or receiving water body or water course. The data represents the installation of an automated and manual backup rain gauge, two back-up/solar power supplies, two communications devices, two pre-calibrated flumes, and two depth (stage) sensors to be added to existing paired system.

Before Situation:
The agricultural operation prior to retrofit has an edge-of-field data collection system but it does not meet the present standards for accuracy or reliability as detailed in either or both of Activity 201 and Activity 202.

## After Situation:

The agricultural operation after installing the monitoring equipment will be receiving feedback in the form of edge-of-field runoff water quality samples. The samples will allow the operator to understand the relationship between rain/irrigation, practice choice, and nutrient inputs effecting nutrient and sediment loss for the field. Thus, providing an opportunity to make adaptive management changes to the agricultural operation to reduce sediment and nutrient loss and/or profitability.

Feature Measure: System installed
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$22,976.11
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$22,976.11

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.24 | \$615.27 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Depth (stage) sensor | 2608 | Device used to relay information to the Data logger about incremental increases in runoff. ISCO 730 Module with $1 / 8$-in x 25 -ft vinyl bubble line. Includes equipment only. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,645.15 | 2 | \$7,290.30 |
| Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface | 2610 | Pre-calibrated flow control structure-surface. Used for A202 water quality monitering | Each | \$3,010.00 | 2 | \$6,020.00 |
| Device, communications | 2616 | Piece of equipment or hardware designed to transmit real time data or information collected prior to site visits. Includes equipment only. | Each | \$2,449.63 | 2 | \$4,899.26 |

Practice: 206 - Feed and Forage Analysis
Scenario: \#3 - Feed or Forage Nutrient Composition Analysis

## Scenario Description:

Testing of feed or forage for nutrient composition. Each lot (forage lot or lot of feed) should be sampled and tested separately. Testing of bale or stack forage for nutrient composition. Factors to consider when determining lot size include forage species, stage of maturity, cutting schedule, soil type, soil fertility, presence of weeds, harvest conditions, storage effects. Each lot should be sampled and tested separately. Testing of standing forage for nutrient composition. Forage can be tested to determine if it is worth cutting for hay or to determine if grazing animals require supplemental feed. Select at lease eight representative locations and clip the forage at grazing or harvest height from a one square foot area at each location. In grazing situations try and select the species being selectively grazed. Cut the samples into 2 - to 3 inch pieces, combine in a bucket and mix well. Spread the sample on paper and allow it to air-dry for two days or place in a pan and dry overnight in an oven at 150 ?? $F$ before mailing it to the laboratory.Analysis of silage (fresh or silo) for nutrient composition. Remove two to three gallons of silage from different sections of a load and save about a quart using the quartering method. Freeze the samples until all loads are sampled. Combine samples, mix thoroughly, and reduce to about one quart by quartering. The final sample should be placed in the cloth forage sample bag, and the full forage bag inserted into a plastic bag to prevent moisture loss during mailing. Remove excess air from the plastic bag before sealing. Do not insert the plastic bag inside the cloth forage bag since damage may result when it is processed by the laboratory. Freeze the sample prior to mailing and mail samples early in the week to avoid weekend delays and reduce chances of molding. Upright silos- 12 handfuls of silage as it is discharged from the silo. Horizontal silos-hand grab same as upright but access the entire surface of the open face.Analysis of dietary ration, feed, or diet for nutrient composition.

Before Situation:
Producer wishes to reduce nutrient excretion or emission from livestock or poultry to air, soil, or water. To accomplish a reduction in nutrient excretion and emissions, knowledge of nutrient input from silage is required to optimally balance the diet for best nutrient utilization by the animal.

After Situation:
Animal diet is optimally balanced for nutrient composition and nutrients excreted or emitted by the animal are reduced.
Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,156.85
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,156.85

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portable Post Driver | 2722 | Gas or Hydraulic Powered Post Driver, Portable, <300 lbs, labor not included | Hours | \$17.49 | 1 | \$17.49 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Feed Analysis | 1989 | Representative sample of feed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$30.81 | 12 | \$369.72 |




| United States Department of Agriculture |  |  |  | Ohio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: 207 - Site Assessment and Soil Testing for Contaminants Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#35-Soil Testing and Subsurface Investigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This practice applies to urban sites where the desired land use is cropland. Sites may have been residential, industrial or commercial land use in the past and the risk for soil contaminants is unknown. The landowner has a prior Environmental Site Assessment completed by an Environmental Professional. The ESA report recommends further subsurface investigation. OR Landowner has NRCS report from portable Xray Flouresence screening that detected soil contaminants. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil suitability for agricultural production is unknown with potential risk of contamination from prior land use activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site history has been researched and findings indicate a potential for the presence of contaminants. The soil has been collected and tested for heavy metals, VOCs and PAHs. Final reports provide the landowner with the level of risk. Reports may be used in the conservation planning process to explore non-remedial conservation practices to reduce risk of contaminants entering the food products. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Each Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$9,506.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 6.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID |  | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labo <br> Biolo <br> plann <br> TSP | skill set: Inclu ditional technic of the practic | Hours | \$118.83 | 80 | \$9,506.40 |

Practice: 207 - Site Assessment and Soil Testing for Contaminants Activity
Scenario: \#51-Soil Testing for Contaminants on Low Risk Sites

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies to urban sites where the desired land use is cropland. Sites may have been residential, industrial or commercial land use in the past and the risk for soil contaminants is unknown. The landowner has a prior Environmental Site Assessment completed by an Environmental Professional. The ESA report does not require further investigation. OR Landowner has NRCS report from portable Xray Flouresence screening that detected soil contaminants. Screening detection levels are below the State Environmental Protection Agency or equivalent agency published safety thresholds for bare soil residential use.

Before Situation:
Soil suitability for agricultural production is unknown with potential risk of contamination from prior land use activities.

## After Situation:

Site history has been researched and findings indicate a potential for the presence of contaminants. The soil has been collected and tested for heavy metals only. Soil test reports provide the landowner with the level of risk. Reports may be used in the conservation planning process to explore non-remedial conservation practices to reduce risk of contaminants entering the food products.

Feature Measure: Area of Soil Tested
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 780.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 195.00$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Heavy Metals | 2735 | Microwave assisted acid digestion of soil for arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, and zinc using EPA Method 3051A | Number | \$123.40 | 4 | \$493.60 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 209 - PFAS Testing in Water or Soil
Scenario: \#3 - PFAS Testing: Simple (Low Complexity) Sampling - Single Sample
Scenario Description:
A single sample of water or soil is required to provide prescreening information to the landowner to determine if PFAS may be present in water or soils at their operation.

In this scenario, the environmental media being sampled by the qualified individual is of low complexity: there is little temporal or spatial variation to account for in sampling, therefore no pre-sampling planning needed. This could include a single well used for stockwater or irrigation systems. The typical number of tests is 1 , assuming that a landowner has a single well or a single field that can be represented by a single composite sample.

## Before Situation:

Water or soil on an agricultural operation are of unknown PFAS status. PFAS laboratory analysis has not been conducted on the water or soil of interest.
After Situation:
A laboratory PFAS analysis was completed, and the results were interpreted and explained to the landowner. The landowner now has pre-screening information that suggests if PFAS may be present in water (or soil) on their operation. If testing detects PFAS in water or soil at levels that exceed State or Federal screening levels, the landowner can decide to pursue non-NRCS sources for follow-up detailed PFAS assessment.

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,261.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,261.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PFAS Laboratory Testing in Water and Soils | 2801 | This component supports data collection on PFAS in soil and water. Soil or water samples are to be collected by trained environmental professionals to be analyzed using the appropriate EPA protocol at an accredited laboratory for PFAS. Includes testing and shipping costs. | Number | \$549.00 | 1 | \$549.00 |

Practice: 209 - PFAS Testing in Water or Soil
Scenario: \#19 - PFAS Testing: Simple (Low Complexity) Sampling - Multiple Samples

## Scenario Description:

Multiple samples of water or soil are needed to provide prescreening information to the landowner to determine if PFAS may be present in water or soils at their operation. In this scenario, the environmental media being sampled by the qualified individual is of low complexity. There is little temporal or spatial variation to account for in sampling, therefore no pre-sampling planning needed. This scenario could apply to small ponds or wells used for stockwater or irrigation systems, a small field, or a small number of fields of uniform soil composition. This scenario assumes that additional time is needed for each collection of multiple samples. The typical number of tests is 5 , assuming that a landowner has four fields and a well and each field can be represented by a single composite sample.

Before Situation:
Water or soil on an agricultural operation are of unknown PFAS status. PFAS laboratory analysis has not been conducted on the water or soil of interest.
After Situation:
A laboratory PFAS analysis was completed, and the results were interpreted and explained to the landowner. The landowner now has pre-screening information that suggests if PFAS may be present in water (or soil) on their operation. If testing detects PFAS in water or soil at levels that exceed State or Federal screening levels, the landowner can decide to pursue non-NRCS sources for follow-up detailed PFAS assessment.

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,408.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$881.72

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PFAS Laboratory Testing in Water and Soils | 2801 | This component supports data collection on PFAS in soil and water. Soil or water samples are to be collected by trained environmental professionals to be analyzed using the appropriate EPA protocol at an accredited laboratory for PFAS. Includes testing and shipping costs. | Number | \$549.00 | 5 | \$2,745.00 |



Practice: 216 - Soil Health Testing
Scenario: \#150-Basic Soil Health Suite + Chemical
Scenario Description:
Soil is collected and analyzed in a lab to assess soil health and fertility. A laboratory soil health assessment is conducted to evaluate and/or monitor conservation practices. Laboratory tests must include 'basic package' indicators: soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon. This scenario also includes a comprehensive chemical soil test (macronutrients + micronutrients). One basic soil health assessment is planned for on Soil Health Management Unit (SHMU). Sample collection is completed by an agricultural service provider, soil scientist, or other agriculture professional and includes time for soil sampling and submission.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management.

## After Situation:

A laboratory soil health test and nutrient analysis were completed, and the results were interpreted and explained to the producer and used to establish benchmark conditions for soil health management practices or evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice.

Feature Measure: polygon
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$361.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$361.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 4.5 | \$179.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Comprehensive | 2384 | Comprehensive Soil Testing for $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{EC}$, nitrates, ammonium, phosphorus, potassium, organic matter and other micro-nutrients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$55.45 | 1 | \$55.45 |
| Test, Soil Health, Basic Package | 2734 | Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling. | Number | \$126.15 | 1 | \$126.15 |

Practice: 216 - Soil Health Testing
Scenario: \#166-Basic Soil Health Suite

## Scenario Description:

A soil sample is collected, and laboratory soil health assessment is conducted to evaluate and/or monitor conservation practices. Laboratory tests must include 'basic package' indicators: soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon. One basic soil health assessment is planned for on Soil Health Management Unit (SHMU). This scenario assumes that a comprehensive chemical soil test (macronutrients + micronutrients) has been completed on the same management unit in the last 2 years. Sample collection is completed by an agricultural service provider, soil scientist, or other agriculture professional and includes time for soil sampling and submission.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management.

## After Situation:

A laboratory soil health test was completed and the results were interpreted (scored), explained to the producer, and used to establish benchmark conditions for soil health management practices or to evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice.

Feature Measure: polygon
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$306.02
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$306.02
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 4.5 | \$179.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Health, Basic Package | 2734 | Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling. | Number | \$126.15 | 1 | \$126.15 |

Practice: 216 - Soil Health Testing
Scenario: \#182-Single Indicator

## Scenario Description:

A laboratory soil health assessment for a single indictor is conducted to evaluate and/or monitor conservation practices. Laboratory tests for the single indicator may include soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial community structure, enzyme activity or other soil health test. One basic soil health assessment is planned for on Soil Health Management Unit (SHMU). Sample collection is completed by a Qualified Individual and includes time for soil sampling and submission.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management.

After Situation:
A laboratory soil health test of was completed for a single indicator and the results were interpreted and explained to the producer and used to establish benchmark conditions for soil health management practices or evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice.

Feature Measure: polygon

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 243.02$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 243.02$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 4.5 | \$179.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Soil Health Single Indicator | 2795 | Single soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial activity, or microbial diversity according to technical note 450-03 or standard laboratory methods. Includes shipping and handling. | Each | \$63.15 | 1 | \$63.15 |

Practice: 216 - Soil Health Testing
Scenario: \#271-Three Indicator Soil Health Measurement
Scenario Description:
A laboratory soil health assessment to measure three soil health indicators. The indicators are soil organic carbon measured by dry combustion lab methods, carbon mineralization potential measured by 24 hour carbon dioxide from rewetting air dry soils, and aggregate stability measured by the wet sieve 10 minute change slake test.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer has been farming a system that has not addressed all 4 of the soil health principles. Producer has noticed yield declines, soil degradation, or is simply interested in learning more about soil health management.

## After Situation:

A laboratory soil health test of was completed to measure the three soil health indicators and the results were interpreted (scored) and explained to the producer and used to establish benchmark conditions for soil health management practices or evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice.

Feature Measure: soil health indicator

## Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$369.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$369.32

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$39.97 | 4.5 | \$179.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Soil Health Single Indicator | 2795 | Single soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial activity, or microbial diversity according to technical note 450-03 or standard laboratory methods. Includes shipping and handling. | Each | \$63.15 | 3 | \$189.45 |

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#3-Soil Test Only

## Scenario Description:

A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect soil samples and prepare for laboratory analysis; and interpret soil nutrient needs. Typical management unit is 100 acres. Includes Comprehensive Soil Testing to provide both Macro and micro soil nutrient levels.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have soil test laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH for each field or management unit in crop production. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of soil test levels.

## After Situation:

Soil samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described and a map if sampling points is provided. Qualified individual concludes nutrients are needed or not based on soil test results. Follow up by developing a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$943.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$943.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 5 | \$70.35 |

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#19-Soil and Source Material Test

## Scenario Description:

A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect soil samples and prepare for laboratory analysis; and interpret soil nutrient needs. Typical whole field soil sampling plus collection of samples for nutrient sources needing to be tested.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have soil test laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH for each field or management unit in crop production. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of soil test levels.

## After Situation:

Soil samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described and a map if sampling points is provided. Qualified individual concludes nutrients are needed or not based on soil test results. Follow up by developing a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,777.51
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,777.51
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 25 | \$2,970.75 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 20 | \$281.40 |
| Test, Manure Analysis | 306 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$48.54 | 1.3 | \$63.10 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 1.3 | \$77.77 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 1.3 | \$63.38 |

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#35-Zone or Grid Soil Test

## Scenario Description:

A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect soil samples based on a 2.5 acre grid or zone, and prepare for laboratory analysis; and interpret soil nutrient needs. Typical management unit is 100 acres.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have soil test laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH for each field or management unit in crop production. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of soil test levels.

After Situation:
Soil samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described and a map if sampling points is provided. Qualified individual concludes nutrients are needed or not based on soil test results. Follow up by developing a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,834.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,834.56
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 40 | \$562.80 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#51-Manure or Compost Only
Scenario Description:
A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect manure or compost samples and prepare for laboratory analysis; and interpret crop nutrient needs. Sampling protocol for liquid manure includes agitation per LGU guidelines. Dry manure and compost sampling protocol are performed per LGU guidelines.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have manure or compost laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH of the organic source. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of manure or compost nutrient levels.

## After Situation:

Manure or Compost samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described. Qualified individual concludes the amount of nutrients needed for the crop based on manure or compost test results. Follow up by developing a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,112.82

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,112.82
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 4 | \$239.28 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#67-Source Water Nutrient Test
Scenario Description:
A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect source water samples and prepare for laboratory analysis; and interpret crop nutrient needs. Typical irrigation water sampling for nutrients, may include drainage water sampling for monitoring nutrient loss or if drainage water is being reused.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have Source Water Nutrient laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH of the water source. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of source water nutrient levels.

After Situation:
Water samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described. Qualified individual concludes the amount of nutrients needed for the crop based on Source Water test results. Follow up by developing a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$830.88

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$830.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 4 | \$195.00 |

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#83-Soil Test- pH Emphasis

## Scenario Description:

Soil analysis is used as a diagnostic tool to identify fields with soil acidification problems in no-till cropping systems. One soil sample is collected every 40 acres from only the top 3 inches of soil and analyzed for both pH and buffer pH . A recommended three cores should be taken from a 4 sq ft sampling area every 40 acres and composited to provide at least 100 grams of soil for the laboratory test. Test results are georeferenced on a map and can be used to build a lime application budget for the field.

## Before Situation:

Field shows crop yield decline and areas of lower pH are suspected but not tested. No-till application of nitrogen fertilizers is causing acidification. Soil is sampled to 6 inch depth, multiple sub-samples are collected from random locations in the field and are composited into one main sample, diluting potential low pH results from the top 3 -inches of soil. Samples are submitted to the lab to determine pH level in the soil. Fertilizer and liming recommendations are made based on the one composited sample for the whole field.

## After Situation:

One composited soil sample is collected in a 4 sq ft area from the top 3 inches of soil every 40 acres,. The sample is analyzed for pH and buffer pH . Sample results are georeferenced on a map and and used to identify and diagnose soil acidification problems. Follow up by developing or updating a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590. Producers can then use this diagnostic information to apply lime to raise the soil pH . Topsoil pH and plant productivity and health are both maintained at desirable levels.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$266.57

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$266.57
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 1 | \$14.07 |

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#99-Small scale - Soil and Nutrient Source Test

## Scenario Description:

A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect soil and nutrient source samples, prepare for laboratory analysis and interpret soil and crop nutrient needs. Typical field size is less than or equal to 0.5 acres ( 22000 sq ft ). Includes Comprehensive Soil Testing to provide both Macro and micro soil nutrient levels.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have soil and nutrient source laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH for the soil and nutrient source. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of soil and nutrient source test levels.

## After Situation:

Soil and nutrient source samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described. Qualified individual concludes nutrients are needed or not based on soil test results. The amount of nutrients needed is based on Nutrient Source results. Follow up by developing a nutrient management plan with DIA 157 Nutrient Management Design and Implementation Activity or implement Nutrient Management 590.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$476.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$476.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Manure Analysis | 306 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$48.54 | 0.5 | \$24.27 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 0.5 | \$29.91 |
| Test, Soil Test, Comprehensive | 2384 | Comprehensive Soil Testing for $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{EC}$, nitrates, ammonium, phosphorus, potassium, organic matter and other micro-nutrients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$55.45 | 1 | \$55.45 |
| Testing, Water Quality | 2613 | Ammonium, Nitrite plus Nitrate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Soluble Reactive P (Orthophosphate), Total Phosphorus, Suspended Sediment Concentration ??? Preferred, or Total Suspended Solids. Includes materials only. | Each | \$48.75 | 1 | \$48.75 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: 217 - Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#115-Soil Test Only Garden Plots/Raised Beds

## Scenario Description:

A qualified individual will develop a nutrient testing strategy, collect 5 soil subsamples and combine to one representative sample, prepare for laboratory analysis, and interpret soil nutrient needs. This scenario considers costs for 5 or less raised beds. Cost includes comprehensive soil test based on expected specialty crop production.

Before Situation:
Producer does not have soil test laboratory analysis documenting the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or pH for each field or management unit in crop production. Nutrients are applied without knowledge of soil test levels.

## After Situation:

Soil samples have been collected and analyzed. The strategy for sampling is described and a map if sampling points is provided. Qualified individual concludes nutrients are needed or not based on soil test results. A Nutrient Management Plan CPS 590 or DIA 157 may be developed after the report is complete.

Feature Measure: Number

## Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$595.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$595.19

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Comprehensive | 2384 | Comprehensive Soil Testing for $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{EC}$, nitrates, ammonium, phosphorus, potassium, organic matter and other micro-nutrients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$55.45 | 5 | \$277.25 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 218 - Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment
Scenario: \#3 - Low Complexity

## Scenario Description:

An evaluation of the quantifiable carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation effects using the COMET-Farm tool. The information on the type of operation, land use, and management history is collected initially as part of the planning process for a conservation plan focused on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. The carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation CEMA includes a complete COMET-Farm project designed to evaluate the current conservation plan and the baseline and historic management impacts on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. The COMET-Farm evaluation can occur concurrently or following a conservation plan. Low complexity would include simple systems of a single enterprise, low number of management units, detailed available history.

## Before Situation:

The producer's objectives are to improve soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation and to quantify the effects of a conservation plan. The quantifiable effects on soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation of the current and historic management practices are not known.

After Situation:
Producer receives a detailed report from COMET-Farm that quantifies the soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation effects of historic, baseline, and (scenario management) proposed conservation plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$950.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$950.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |

## Practice: 218 - Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment

Scenario: \#19-Medium Complexity

## Scenario Description:

An evaluation of the quantifiable carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation effects using the COMET-Farm tool. The information on the type of operation, land use, and management history is collected initially as part of the planning process for a conservation plan focused on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. The carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation CEMA includes a complete COMET-Farm project designed to evaluate the current conservation plan and the baseline and historic management impacts on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. The COMET-Farm evaluation can occur concurrently or following a conservation plan. Medium complexity would include systems with more than one enterprises, a moderate number of management units, complex or difficult to define history.

Before Situation:
The producer objectives are to improve soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation and quantify the effects of a conservation plan. The quantifiable effects on soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation of the current and historic management practices are not known.

## After Situation:

Producer receives a detailed COMET-Farm report that quantifies the soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation effects of historic, baseline, and (scenario management) proposed conservation plan.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,425.96

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,425.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 218 - Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment
Scenario: \#35-High Complexity
Scenario Description:
An evaluation of the quantifiable carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation effects using the COMET-Farm tool. The information on the type of operation, land use, and management history is collected initially as part of the planning process for a conservation plan focused on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. The carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation CEMA includes a complete COMET-Farm project designed to evaluate the current conservation plan and the baseline and historic management impacts on carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. The COMET-Farm evaluation can occur concurrently or following a conservation plan. High complexity would include systems with multiple enterprises, high number of management units, and complex or incomplete management history.

## Before Situation:

The producer objectives are to improve soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation and quantify the effects of a conservation plan. The quantifiable effects on soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation of the current and historic management practices are not known.

After Situation:
Producer receives a detailed report from COMET-Farm that quantifies the soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation effects of historic, baseline, and (scenario management) proposed conservation plan .

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,901.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,901.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 16 | \$1,901.28 |

Practice: 219 - Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity
Scenario: \#3 - Conservation, Evaluation and Monitoring Activity less than 100 acres

## Scenario Description:

Small agricultural operation with less than 100 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, or approprite resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer is not utlizing a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implentation Requirement (IR), and/or DIA 159. Information is not being gathered to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan has not been developed to ascertain whether the stragegy(s) identified in the grazing management plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives; particularly regarding the pertinent resource concern assessments identified in the Conservation Plan or CPA. Evaluation and monitoring of CPS 528 and any supporting practices has not been conducted to determine effectiveness of implemented practices.

## After Situation:

Producer will utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all grazing management practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA 159. Evaluation and monitoring activities will provide all needed information to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan will be implemented with appropriate protocols and data records that evaluate whether the grazing strategy identified in the grazing plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives. Specific evaluation activities will be chosen based on stated objectives and pertinent resource concerns assessments identified in the Conservation Plan, CPA, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA. Evaluation and monitoring will meet the applicable 'plans and specifications' and 'operation and maintenance' sections found in CPS 528. Other supporting and facilitating conservation practices will also be monitored and evaluated. The CEMA narrative will describe the overall methodology, decision support tools and recommended management actions to meet purposes and criteria within practice standards. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's FOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used.

Feature Measure: number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,045.40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,045.40$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 10 | \$1,045.40 |

Practice: 219 - Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity
Scenario: \#19-Conservation, Evaluation and Monitoring Activity between 101 and 500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation between 101 and 500 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, or appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer is not utlizing a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implentation Requirement (IR), and/or DIA 159. Information is not being gathered to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan has not been developed to ascertain whether the stragegy(s) identified in the grazing management plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives; particularly regarding the pertinent resource concern assessments identified in the Conservation Plan or CPA. Evaluation and monitoring of CPS 528 and any supporting practices has not been conducted to determine effectiveness of implemented practices.

## After Situation:

Producer will utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all grazing managment practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA 159. Evaluation and monitoring activities will provide all needed information to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan will be implemented with appropriate protocols and data records that evaluate whether the grazing strategy identified in the grazing plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives. Specific evaluation activities will be chosen based on stated objectives and pertinent resource concerns assessments identified in the Conservation Plan, CPA, Implementation Requirment (IR) and/or DIA. Evaluation and monitoring will meet the applicable 'plans and specifications' and 'operation and maintenance' sections found in CPS 528. Other supporting and facilitating conservation practices will also be monitored and evaluated. The CEMA narrative will describe the overall methodology, decision support tools and recommended management actions to meet purposes and criteria within practice standards. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's FOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used.

Feature Measure: number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,568.10$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,568.10$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$104.54 | 15 | \$1,568.10 |

Practice: 219-Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity
Scenario: \#35-Conservation, Evaluation and Monitoring Activity between 501 and 1,500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Small agricultural operation with 501 to 1,500 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, or appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:
Producer is not utilizing a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR), and/or DIA 159. Information is not being gathered to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan has not been developed to ascertain whether the stragegy(s) identified in the grazing management plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives; particularly regarding the pertinent resource concern assessments identified in the Conservation Plan or CPA. Evaluation and monitoring of CPS 528 and any supporting practices has not been conducted to determine effectiveness of implemented practices.

## After Situation:

Producer will utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all grazing management practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA 159. Evaluation and monitoring activities will provide all needed information to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan will be implemented with appropriate protocols and data records that evaluate whether the grazing strategy identified in the grazing plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives. Specific evaluation activities will be chosen based on stated objectives and pertinent resource concerns assessments identified in the Conservation Plan, CPA, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA. Evaluation and monitoring will meet the applicable 'plans and specifications' and 'operation and maintenance' sections found in CPS 528. Other supporting and facilitating conservation practices will also be monitored and evaluated. The CEMA narrative will describe the overall methodology, decision support tools and recommended management actions to meet purposes and criteria within practice standards. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's FOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used.

Feature Measure: number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,613.50

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,613.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 25 | \$2,613.50 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 219 - Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity
Scenario: \#51-Conservation, Evaluation and Monitoring Activity between 1,501 and 5,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation with 1,501 to 5,000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, or appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer is not utilizing a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR), and/or DIA 159. Information is not being gathered to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan has not been developed to ascertain whether the stragegy(s) identified in the grazing management plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives; particularly regarding the pertinent resource concern assessments identified in the Conservation Plan or CPA. Evaluation and monitoring of CPS 528 and any supporting practices has not been conducted to determine effectiveness of implemented practices.

## After Situation:

Producer will utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all grazing management practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA 159. Evaluation and monitoring activities will provide all needed information to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan will be implemented with appropriate protocols and data records that evaluate whether the grazing strategy identified in the grazing plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives. Specific evaluation activities will be chosen based on stated objectives and pertinent resource concerns assessments identified in the Conservation Plan, CPA, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA. Evaluation and monitoring will meet the applicable 'plans and specifications' and 'operation and maintenance' sections found in CPS 528. Other supporting and facilitating conservation practices will also be monitored and evaluated. The CEMA narrative will describe the overall methodology, decision support tools and recommended management actions to meet purposes and criteria within practice standards. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's FOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used.

Feature Measure: number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,658.90$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,658.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 35 | \$3,658.90 | management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 219-Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity
Scenario: \#67-Conservation, Evaluation and Monitoring Activity between 5,001 and 10,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation with 5,001 to 10,000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, or appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer is not utilizing a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR), and/or DIA 159. Information is not being gathered to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan has not been developed to ascertain whether the stragegy(s) identified in the grazing management plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives; particularly regarding the pertinent resource concern assessments identified in the Conservation Plan or CPA. Evaluation and monitoring of CPS 528 and any supporting practices has not been conducted to determine effectiveness of implemented practices.

## After Situation:

Producer will utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all grazing management practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA 159. Evaluation and monitoring activities will provide all needed information to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan will be implemented with appropriate protocols and data records that evaluate whether the grazing strategy identified in the grazing plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives. Specific evaluation activities will be chosen based on stated objectives and pertinent resource concerns assessments identified in the Conservation Plan, CPA, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA. Evaluation and monitoring will meet the applicable 'plans and specifications' and 'operation and maintenance' sections found in CPS 528. Other supporting and facilitating conservation practices will also be monitored and evaluated. The CEMA narrative will describe the overall methodology, decision support tools and recommended management actions to meet purposes and criteria within practice standards. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's FOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used.

Feature Measure: number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,704.30

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,704.30
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 45 | \$4,704.30 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 219-Prescribed Grazing Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity
Scenario: \#83 - Conservation, Evaluation and Monitoring Activity greater than 10,000 acres

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation with greater than 10,000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, or appropriate resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Producer is not utilizing a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR), and/or DIA 159. Information is not being gathered to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan has not been developed to ascertain whether the stragegy(s) identified in the grazing management plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives; particularly regarding the pertinent resource concern assessments identified in the Conservation Plan or CPA. Evaluation and monitoring of CPS 528 and any supporting practices has not been conducted to determine effectiveness of implemented practices.

## After Situation:

Producer will utilize a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to evaluate and monitor all grazing management practices planned in a Conservation Plan, CPA 110, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA 159. Evaluation and monitoring activities will provide all needed information to evaluate the effectiveness of the grazing management plan (CPS 528) and any associated practices. A monitoring plan will be implemented with appropriate protocols and data records that evaluate whether the grazing strategy identified in the grazing plan is resulting in a movement toward meeting goals and objectives. Specific evaluation activities will be chosen based on stated objectives and pertinent resource concerns assessments identified in the Conservation Plan, CPA, Implementation Requirement (IR) and/or DIA. Evaluation and monitoring will meet the applicable 'plans and specifications' and 'operation and maintenance' sections found in CPS 528. Other supporting and facilitating conservation practices will also be monitored and evaluated. The CEMA narrative will describe the overall methodology, decision support tools and recommended management actions to meet purposes and criteria within practice standards. Job sheets and implementation requirement documents found in State's FOTG Section IV Conservation practices may be used.

Feature Measure: number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,272.40

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,272.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and | Hours | \$104.54 | 60 | \$6,272.40 |

management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price).

Practice: 221 - Soil Organic Carbon Stock Monitoring
Scenario: \#3-Carbon Stock Monitoring

## Scenario Description:

Soil is collected for organic carbon testing to evaluate and monitor the change in soil carbon stocks before and after the implementation of a conservation practice or conservation plan An area of interest (AOI) of <20 acres is identified in a region that is relatively uniform and is representative of a larger management unit. There are no inclusions or small map units of dissimilar soils, and the topography and vegetation appear uniform. Soil samples are collected by a Qualified Individual (QI) from 4 different depths at 6 different locations within the AOI. Soil bulk density is measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. Payment includes time for soil sampling and sample preparation, submission to the laboratory, and interpretation/delivery of results.

Before Situation:
No recent measurements of soil organic carbon stocks have been made in the AOI. Conservation practices are planned or installed for the purpose of improving soil health and sequestering carbon.

After Situation:
Soil bulk density was measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. The results were interpreted and explained to the producer. Initial measurements are used to establish benchmark conditions for soil organic carbon stocks. Subsequent measurement are used to evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice on carbon sequestration and report the change over time.

Feature Measure: Area of Interest Polygon
Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,386.23
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,386.23

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Auger, Truck Mounted | 2049 | Truck mounted auger for large diameter excavation. Includes equipment and labor. | Hours | \$107.66 | 3 | \$322.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

## Materials

Testing, Soil Health Single Indicator

2795 Single soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial activity, or microbial diversity according to technical note 450-03 or standard laboratory methods. Includes shipping and handling.

Practice: 221 - Soil Organic Carbon Stock Monitoring
Scenario: \#35-Carbon Stock Monitoring - Intensive Data Collection

## Scenario Description:

Soil is collected for organic carbon testing following the measurement, monitoring, reporting and verification (MMRV) protocol. PODS land use and management information is collected and documented. Soil sample collection strategy is planned in an area of interest (AOI) of <10 acres. Soil samples are collected by a Qualified Individual (QI). Up to 3 soil map units will be sectioned into 3 with e sample holes/cores. Samples are collected at 4 depths. Soil bulk density is measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. Payment includes time for collecting management information, developing sampling strategy, soil sampling and sample preparation, submission to the laboratory, and interpretation/delivery of results.

Before Situation:
No recent measurements of soil organic carbon stocks have been made in the AOI. Conservation practices are planned or installed for the purpose of improving soil health and sequestering carbon.

After Situation:
Land use and management information is collected. Soil bulk density was measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. The results were interpreted and explained to the producer. Initial measurements are used to establish benchmark conditions for soil organic carbon stocks. Subsequent measurements are used to evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice on carbon sequestration and report the change over time.

Feature Measure: Area of Interest - Polygon
Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 9,933.29$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,933.29

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 11 | \$265.21 |
| Auger, Truck Mounted | 2049 | Truck mounted auger for large diameter excavation. Includes equipment and labor. | Hours | \$107.66 | 11 | \$1,184.26 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |

## Materials

Testing, Soil Health Single Indicator

2795 Single soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial activity, or microbial diversity according to technical note 450-03 or standard laboratory methods. Includes shipping and handling.

Practice: 221 - Soil Organic Carbon Stock Monitoring
Scenario: \#51 - Intensive Data Collection Carbon Monitoring 9

## Scenario Description:

Soil is collected for organic carbon testing following the measurement, monitoring, reporting and verification (MMRV) protocol. PODS land use and management information is collected and documents. Soil sample collection strategy is planned in an area of interest (AOI) of <10 acres. Soil samples are collected by a Qualified Individual ( QI ) at 9 different locations within the AOI (3 locations in 3 different strata). Soil bulk density is measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. Payment includes time for collecting management information, developing sampling strategy, soil sampling and sample preparation, submission to the laboratory, and interpretation/delivery of results.

Before Situation:
No recent measurements of soil organic carbon stocks have been made in the AOI. Conservation practices are planned or installed for the purpose of improving soil health and sequestering carbon.

After Situation:
Land use and management information is collected. Soil bulk density was measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. The results were interpreted and explained to the producer. Initial measurements are used to establish benchmark conditions for soil organic carbon stocks. Subsequent measurement are used to evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice on carbon sequestration and report the change over time.

Feature Measure: per 9 samples collected
Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 4,572.47$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 9 | \$216.99 |
| Auger, Truck Mounted | 2049 | Truck mounted auger for large diameter excavation. Includes equipment and labor. | Hours | \$107.66 | 7 | \$753.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 9 | \$1,069.47 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Soil Health Single Indicator | 2795 | Single soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial activity, or microbial diversity according to technical note 450-03 or standard laboratory methods. Includes shipping and handling. | Each | \$63.15 | 36 | \$2,273.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 221 - Soil Organic Carbon Stock Monitoring
Scenario: \#67-Intensive Data Collection 12 Carbon Samples

## Scenario Description:

Soil is collected for organic carbon testing following the measurement, monitoring, reporting and verification (MMRV) protocol. PODS land use and management information is collected and documents. Soil sample collection strategy is planned in an area of interest (AOI) of <10 acres. Soil samples are collected by a Qualified Individual (QI) at 12 different locations within the AOI). Soil bulk density is measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. Payment includes time for collecting management information, developing sampling strategy, soil sampling and sample preparation, submission to the laboratory, and interpretation/delivery of results.

Before Situation:
No recent measurements of soil organic carbon stocks have been made in the AOI. Conservation practices are planned or installed for the purpose of improving soil health and sequestering carbon.

After Situation:
Land use and management information is collected. Soil bulk density was measured before being analyzed for organic carbon by dry combustion. The results were interpreted and explained to the producer. Initial measurements are used to establish benchmark conditions for soil organic carbon stocks. Subsequent measurement are used to evaluate the effectiveness of a conservation practice on carbon sequestration and report the change over time.

Feature Measure: per 12 sample locations
Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,658.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 5,658.40$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 11 | \$265.21 |
| Auger, Truck Mounted | 2049 | Truck mounted auger for large diameter excavation. Includes equipment and labor. | Hours | \$107.66 | 9 | \$968.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 11 | \$1,307.13 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing, Soil Health Single Indicator | 2795 | Single soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, active carbon, microbial activity, or microbial diversity according to technical note 450-03 or standard laboratory methods. Includes shipping and handling. | Each | \$63.15 | 48 | \$3,031.20 |

Practice: 222 - Indigenous Stewardship Methods Evaluation

## Scenario: \#3 - ISME 301 to 1,000 Acres

## Scenario Description:

The scenario involves obtaining assistance from a Qualified Individual, designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture, to evaluate the designated planning area, then gather knowledge about indigenous knowledge, and deliver results to the client and NRCS. The resulting information can be used to inform the conservation planning and implementation processes, meet the client's objectives by addressing one or more NRCS-recognized resource concerns using techniques that align with Tribal or Indigenous knowledge.

Before Situation:
Through the NRCS conservation planning process, a conservation planner has identified client objectives for addressing natural resource concerns (Soil, Water, Animals, Plants, Air + Energy) and socio-economic considerations such as increasing capacity for Indigenous people to develop sustainable food systems. A deeper understanding of the planning area's context for Indigenous people is desired and/or greater knowledge about Indigenous Stewardship Methods (ISM) for land stewardship are desired. A Qualified Individual (QI), designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture is available for the program participant to hire (separately from the NRCS program contract)to evaluate the land, gather Indigenous knowledge, and provide results to meet the client's objectives and support the conservation planning process.

## After Situation

The client hired a QI to provide the CEMA assistance. The QI has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop an understanding of its capabilities, limitations, and needs within a culturally appropriate context. Indigenous knowledge about the planning area has been gathered from sources approved by a Tribe or Indigenous culture. The QI verifies with the Tribe's or Indigenous culture's governing body, that the information gathered is accurate- then provides a report, map and other supporting documentation of their ISM evaluation of the planning area to the client; and a copy is shared with NRCS. In the future, the information this CEMA provides can assists the participant and the planner refine conservation objectives; and realize opportunities to incorporate Indigenous knowledge into a conservation plan and/or conservation practice implementations.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 16,819.63$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 16,819.63$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 39 | \$940.29 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 40 | \$689.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 177 | \$15,190.14 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 222 - Indigenous Stewardship Methods Evaluation

## Scenario: \#19-ISME 1001 to 3,000 Acres

## Scenario Description:

The scenario involves obtaining assistance from a Qualified Individual, designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture, to evaluate the designated planning area, then gather knowledge about indigenous knowledge, and deliver results to the client and NRCS. The resulting information can be used to inform the conservation planning and implementation processes, meet the client's objectives by addressing one or more NRCS-recognized resource concerns using techniques that align with Tribal or Indigenous knowledge.

Before Situation:
Through the NRCS conservation planning process, a conservation planner has identified client objectives for addressing natural resource concerns (Soil, Water, Animals, Plants, Air + Energy) and socio-economic considerations such as increasing capacity for Indigenous people to develop sustainable food systems. A deeper understanding of the planning area's context for Indigenous people is desired and/or greater knowledge about Indigenous Stewardship Methods (ISM) for land stewardship are desired. A Qualified Individual (QI), designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture is available for the program participant to hire (separately from the NRCS program contract)to evaluate the land, gather Indigenous knowledge, and provide results to meet the client's objectives and support the conservation planing process.

## After Situation:

The client hired a QI to provide the CEMA assistance. The QI has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop an understanding of its capabilities, limitations, and needs within a culturally appropriate context. Indigenous knowledge about the planning area has been gathered from sources approved by a Tribe or Indigenous culture. The QI verifies with the Tribe's or Indigenous culture's governing body, that the information gathered is accurate- then provides a report, map and other supporting documentation of their ISM evaluation of the planning area to the client; and a copy is shared with NRCS. In the future, the information this CEMA provides can assists the participant and the planner refine conservation objectives; and realize opportunities to incorporate Indigenous knowledge into a conservation plan and/or conservation practice implementations.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 22,399.25$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$22,399.25

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 39 | \$940.29 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 60 | \$1,033.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 238 | \$20,425.16 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: 222 - Indigenous Stewardship Methods Evaluation
Scenario: \#35-ISME Less Than or Equal to 10 Acres

## Scenario Description:

The scenario involves obtaining assistance from a Qualified Individual, designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture, to evaluate the designated planning area, then gather knowledge about indigenous knowledge, and deliver results to the client and NRCS. The resulting information can be used to inform the conservation planning and implementation processes, meet the client's objectives by addressing one or more NRCS-recognized resource concerns using techniques that align with Tribal or Indigenous knowledge.

Before Situation:
Through the NRCS conservation planning process, a conservation planner has identified client objectives for addressing natural resource concerns (Soil, Water, Animals, Plants, Air + Energy) and socio-economic considerations such as increasing capacity for Indigenous people to develop sustainable food systems. A deeper understanding of the planning area's context for Indigenous people is desired and/or greater knowledge about Indigenous Stewardship Methods (ISM) for land stewardship are desired. A Qualified Individual (QI), designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture is available for the program participant to hire (separately from the NRCS program contract)to evaluate the land, gather Indigenous knowledge, and provide results to meet the client's objectives and support the conservation planing process.

## After Situation

The client hired a QI to provide the CEMA assistance. The QI has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop an understanding of its capabilities, limitations, and needs within a culturally appropriate context. Indigenous knowledge about the planning area has been gathered from sources approved by a Tribe or Indigenous culture. The QI verifies with the Tribe's or Indigenous culture's governing body, that the information gathered is accurate- then provides a report, map and other supporting documentation of their ISM evaluation of the planning area to the client; and a copy is shared with NRCS. In the future, the information this CEMA provides can assists the participant and the planner refine conservation objectives; and realize opportunities to incorporate Indigenous knowledge into a conservation plan and/or conservation practice implementations.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$6,776.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$6,7 | 6.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 39 | \$940.29 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 68 | \$5,835.76 |

Practice: 222 - Indigenous Stewardship Methods Evaluation
Scenario: \#51-ISME 11 to 300 Acres

## Scenario Description:

The scenario involves obtaining assistance from a Qualified Individual, designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture, to evaluate the designated planning area, then gather knowledge about indigenous knowledge, and deliver results to the client and NRCS. The resulting information can be used to inform the conservation planning and implementation processes, meet the client's objectives by addressing one or more NRCS-recognized resource concerns using techniques that align with Tribal or Indigenous knowledge.

Before Situation:
Through the NRCS conservation planning process, a conservation planner has identified client objectives for addressing natural resource concerns (Soil, Water, Animals, Plants, Air + Energy) and socio-economic considerations such as increasing capacity for Indigenous people to develop sustainable food systems. A deeper understanding of the planning area's context for Indigenous people is desired and/or greater knowledge about Indigenous Stewardship Methods (ISM) for land stewardship are desired. A Qualified Individual (QI), designated by the governing body of a Tribe or Indigenous culture is available for the program participant to hire (separately from the NRCS program contract)to evaluate the land, gather Indigenous knowledge, and provide results to meet the client's objectives and support the conservation planing process.

## After Situation

The client hired a QI to provide the CEMA assistance. The QI has met with client and visited the planning area, in order to develop an understanding of its capabilities, limitations, and needs within a culturally appropriate context. Indigenous knowledge about the planning area has been gathered from sources approved by a Tribe or Indigenous culture. The QI verifies with the Tribe's or Indigenous culture's governing body, that the information gathered is accurate- then provides a report, map and other supporting documentation of their ISM evaluation of the planning area to the client; and a copy is shared with NRCS. In the future, the information this CEMA provides can assists the participant and the planner refine conservation objectives; and realize opportunities to incorporate Indigenous knowledge into a conservation plan and/or conservation practice implementations.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 9,042.49$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,042.49

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 39 | \$940.29 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 92 | \$7,895.44 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 223 - Forest Management Assessment
Scenario: \#3-CEMA less than or equal to 20 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 1 to 20 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity is needed to provide a forest inventory to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop and implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a qualified individual for development of the Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (CEMA) - Forest Inventory. The CEMA criteria requires a forest inventory as a component of a forest management plan to determine current site condition and identify resource concerns. Additional CEMA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$845.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$845.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 10 | \$845.90 |

Practice: 223 - Forest Management Assessment
Scenario: \#19-CEMA 21 to 100 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 21 to 100 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity is needed to provide a forest inventory to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop and implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a qualified individual for development of the Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (CEMA) - Forest Inventory. The CEMA criteria requires a forest inventory as a component of a forest management plan to determine current site condition and identify resource concerns. Additional CEMA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,607.21
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,607.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 19 | \$1,607.21 |

Practice: 223 - Forest Management Assessment
Scenario: \#35-CEMA 101 to 250 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 101 to 250 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity is needed to provide a forest inventory to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop and implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a qualified individual for development of the Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (CEMA) - Forest Inventory. The CEMA criteria requires a forest inventory as a component of a forest management plan to determine current site condition and identify resource concerns. Additional CEMA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,045.24
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,045.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 36 | \$3,045.24 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 223 - Forest Management Assessment
Scenario: \#51-CEMA 251 to 500 acres

## Scenario Description:

Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 251 to 500 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:
The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity is needed to provide a forest inventory to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop and implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a qualified individual for development of the Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (CEMA) - Forest Inventory. The CEMA criteria requires a forest inventory as a component of a forest management plan to determine current site condition and identify resource concerns. Additional CEMA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,567.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,567.86
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 54 | \$4,567.86 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 223 - Forest Management Assessment
Scenario: \#67-CEMA 501 to 1000 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 501 to 1000 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity is needed to provide a forest inventory to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a qualified individual for development of the Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (CEMA) - Forest Inventory. The CEMA criteria requires a forest inventory as a component of a forest management plan to determine current site condition and identify resource concerns. Additional CEMA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,752.12
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,752.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 68 | \$5,752.12 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 223 - Forest Management Assessment
Scenario: \#83-CEMA Greater Than 1000 acres
Scenario Description:
Nonindustrial Private Forest Land with a forest management plan. Typical site is approximately 1001 acres or greater in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

## Before Situation:

The producer currently manages forested lands with an existing forest management plan. Resource concerns exist which are not addressed by a management plan. A Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activity is needed to provide a forest inventory to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to develop implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 381, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380, 314, 315.

After Situation:
After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a qualified individual for development of the Conservation Evaluation and Monitoring Activities (CEMA) - Forest Inventory. The CEMA criteria requires a forest inventory as a component of a forest management plan to determine current site condition and identify resource concerns. Additional CEMA criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,697.69
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,697.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitoring trees for healthy growth, determining optimal thinning schedules, and increasing carbon capture and storage. | Hours | \$84.59 | 91 | \$7,697.69 |

Practice: 224 - Aquifer Flow Test
Scenario: \#4 - Aquifer Flow Test

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario supports the utilization of an existing or planned vertical turbine or submersible pump in an existing or planned water well for pressurizing an irrigation or stockwater system where water well flow rate is unknown. An aquifer flow test (e.g., step drawdown or constant rate) will be done to determine the flow rate from the well and select a pumping plant to match the pumping requirements of the irrigation or livestock system. Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water for livestock - Inefficient use of irrigation water; inefficient energy use. Associated Practices: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430-Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Micro-irrigation; 449 - Irrigation Water Management, 642 - Water Well, 516 - Livestock Pipeline

## Before Situation:

Livestock or irrigation system is delivering insufficient water due to unknown volume and flow rate of the aquifer.
After Situation:
With the completion of the aquifer flow test, a known flow rate of the well will determine the correct flow rate and TDH on which a pump can be selected to support an irrigation of stockwater system.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,200.96$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 2,200.96$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquifer Flow Test | 1817 | High-volume aquifer flow test. Includes labor and equipment. | Hours | \$184.27 | 8 | \$1,474.16 |

## Mobilization



Practice: 226-Waste Facility Site Suitability and Feasibility Assessment
Scenario: \#3 - Site Evaluation for Planned Storage- Non-dairy Operation

## Scenario Description:

Non-Dairy livestock operation. A Qualified Individual will conduct an onsite investigation. Soil data collection, investigation and interpretation of the properties and characteristics, results of tests and samples will be used to determine the appropriateness of the site for the storage facility. Scenario based on one proposed location for the planned storage.

## Before Situation:

A waste storage, handling or treatment facility is planned for the operation. The proposed location has not be investigated for determination of suitability and feasibility
After Situation:
An onsite investigation for soil properties and characteristics was conducted. The proposed location met the criteria to allow the type and size of the planned storage facility. The report documents all data and results.

Feature Measure: One site evaluated

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,279.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,2 | 79.44 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 10 | \$1,228.90 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 22 | \$2,347.84 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 5 | \$429.10 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 8 | \$273.60 |

Practice: 226-Waste Facility Site Suitability and Feasibility Assessment
Scenario: \#19-Site Evaluation for Planned Storage- Dairy Operation

## Scenario Description:

Livestock operation is Dairy. A Qualified Individual will conduct an onsite investigation. Soil data collection, investigation and interpretation of the properties and characteristics, results of tests and samples will be used to determine the appropriateness of the site for the storage facility. Scenario based on one proposed location for the planned storage.

## Before Situation:

A waste storage, handling or treatment facility is planned for the operation. The proposed location has not be investigated for determination of suitability and feasibility
After Situation:
An onsite investigation for soil properties and characteristics was conducted. The proposed location met the criteria to allow the type and size of the planned storage facility. The report documents all data and results.

Feature Measure: One site evaluated

Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,972.96$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,972.96

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hours | \$122.89 | 10 | \$1,228.90 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 24 | \$2,561.28 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 9 | \$772.38 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 12 | \$410.40 |



# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 227 - Evaluation of Existing Waste Storage Facility Components
Scenario: \#19-Evaluation of Existing Components-medium operation
Scenario Description:
A Qualified Individual conducts an on-site investigation of all manure and wastewater handling and storage structures and equipment at the facilities where the livestock are housed. The investigation report will determine whether or not an existing component is in good operating condition. Typical livestock production site has $2-5$ storage and collection structures and may include pump.

Before Situation:
A waste storage facility and associated equipment is in use on the production area. The existing structure has not been evaluated for good operating condition. New or expanded waste storage and handling facilities could fail is the existing structure is not evaluated.

After Situation:
The Qualified Individual concludes that the existing storage components are in good working order OR has identified the component needs corrective. The CEMA report contains all data and recommendations.

Feature Measure: Per Operation 2-5 Structures
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,610.80$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,610.80
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 40 | \$4,268.80 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 10 | \$342.00 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 227 - Evaluation of Existing Waste Storage Facility Components
Scenario: \#35-Evaluation of Existing Components- large operation
Scenario Description:
A Qualified Individual conducts an on-site investigation of all manure and wastewater handling and storage structures and equipment at the facilities where the livestock are housed. The investigation report will determine whether or not an existing component is in good operating condition. Typical livestock production site has 5 or more storage and collection structures and pump(s).

Before Situation:
A waste storage facility and associated equipment is in use on the production area. The existing structure has not been evaluated for good operating condition. New or expanded waste storage and handling facilities could fail is the existing structure is not evaluated.

After Situation:
The Qualified Individual concludes that the existing storage components are in good working order OR has identified the component needs corrective. The CEMA report contains all data and recommendations.

Feature Measure: Per Operation Structures
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,959.84

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,959.84
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 52 | \$5,549.44 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 12 | \$410.40 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#3 - Large size, 3 Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 3 enterprises where at least I consists of $>2500$ acres of crops, $>1000$ animal units, more than 6 irrigation pumps, or $>40,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Large operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$8,462.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$8,462.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 34 | \$3,628.48 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 24 | \$1,232.40 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 48 | \$3,498.72 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#19-Large size, 4+ Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 4 or more enterprises where at least I consists of $>2500$ acres of crops, > 1000 animal units, more than 6 irrigation pumps, or $>40,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Large operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$10,138.68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$10,138.68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 42 | \$4,482.24 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 28 | \$1,437.80 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 56 | \$4,081.84 |

Practice: 228 - Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#35-Large size, 2 Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 2 enterprises where at least I consists of $>2500$ acres of crops, > 1000 animal units, more than 6 irrigation pumps, or $>40,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Large operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$6,785.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$6,78 | 85.72 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 26 | \$2,774.72 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 20 | \$1,027.00 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 40 | \$2,915.60 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#51-Medium size, 4+ Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 4 or more enterprises where at least I consists of 301 to 2500 acres of crops, < 301 to 1000 animal units, $3-6$ irrigation pumps, or 20,001 to 40,000 sq. ft . of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Medium operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$8,915.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$8,9 | 15.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 36 | \$3,841.92 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 28 | \$1,437.80 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 48 | \$3,498.72 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#67-Small size, 4+ Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 4 or more enterprises where 1 is not larger than < 300 acres of crops, < 300 animal units, 1-2 irrigation pumps, $<20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of heated greenhouse, or maple syrup processing. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Small operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$7,905.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$7,905.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 32 | \$3,415.04 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 28 | \$1,437.80 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 4 | \$136.80 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 40 | \$2,915.60 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#83-Medium size, 3 Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 3 enterprises where at least I consists of 301 to 2500 acres of crops, < 301 to 1000 animal units, $3-6$ irrigation pumps, or 20,001 to $40,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Medium operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$7,238.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$7,238.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 28 | \$2,988.16 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 24 | \$1,232.40 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 40 | \$2,915.60 |

Practice: 228 - Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#99-Small size, 3 Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 3 enterprises where 1 is not larger than < 300 acres of crops, < 300 animal units, 1-2 irrigation pumps, $<20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse, or maple syrup processing. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Small operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$6,228.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$6,228.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 24 | \$2,561.28 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 24 | \$1,232.40 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 3 | \$102.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 32 | \$2,332.48 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#115-Medium size, 2 Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 2 enterprises where at least I consists of 301 to 2500 acres of crops, < 301 to 1000 animal units, $3-6$ irrigation pumps, or 20,001 to $40,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Medium operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$5,562.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$5,562.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 20 | \$2,134.40 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 20 | \$1,027.00 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 32 | \$2,332.48 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#131-Small size, 2 Enterprises

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has 2 enterprises where 1 is not larger than < 300 acres of crops, < 300 animal units, 1-2 irrigation pumps, $<20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse, or maple syrup processing. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. Small operations are described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,552.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,552.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 20 | \$1,027.00 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 2 | \$68.40 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 24 | \$1,749.36 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#147-Large size, 1 Enterprise
Scenario Description:
An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has either $>2500$ acres of crops, $>1000$ animal units, more than 6 irrigation pumps, or $>40,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. A large operation is described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$5,109.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$5,10 | 9.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 18 | \$1,920.96 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 16 | \$821.60 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 1 | \$34.20 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 32 | \$2,332.48 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#163-Medium size, 1 Enterprise

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has either 301 to 2500 acres of crops, < 301 to 1000 animal units, $3-6$ irrigation pumps, or 20,001 to 40,000 sq. ft. of heated greenhouse. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. A medium operation is described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,885.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$3,885.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 12 | \$1,280.64 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 16 | \$821.60 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 1 | \$34.20 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 24 | \$1,749.36 |

Practice: 228-Agricultural Energy Assessment
Scenario: \#179-Small size, 1 Enterprise

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural producer wishes to obtain an energy assessment of their agricultural operation. The operation has either < 300 acres of crops, < 300 animal units, 1 - 2 irrigation pumps, $<20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of heated greenhouse, or maple syrup processing. An enterprise is defined in the ASABE S612 Performing On-farm Energy Audits Standard. A small operation is described above. The Ag Energy CEMA is an assessment of the energy consuming activities and components of an agricultural operation and includes the requirements of a Type 2 energy audit as described in the ASABE S612 standard. An Ag Energy CEMA includes a baseline assessment of the of systems, equipment, and facilities using a typical year of energy use and recommended measures to prioritize on-farm opportunities to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy use. A Certified TSP will accomplish all work in accordance with the requirements of the CEMA 228 Agricultural Energy Assessment Activity. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities.

## Before Situation:

Producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. The producer currently manages an operation as described above. Producer intends to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an energy use assessment of their entire operation. The CEMA 228 incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. Associated Practices: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Energy Efficient Lighting System, 672 Energy Efficient Building Envelope, 533 Pumping Plant, or other applicable practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

## After Situation:

The producer has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop an energy assessment. The CEMA 228 criteria include a baseline assessment using a typical year of energy use, energy savings of recommended improvement measures, and information useful for prioritizing implementation of the measures. The documentation may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy efficiency. The Ag Energy CEMA meets the basic quality criteria for the CEMA 228 activity as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,875.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$2,875.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 8 | \$853.76 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$51.35 | 16 | \$821.60 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hours | \$34.20 | 1 | \$34.20 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hours | \$72.89 | 16 | \$1,166.24 |

Practice: 297 - Feral Swine Damage Assessment
Scenario: \#17-Assessment
Scenario Description:
200 acre tract (all land uses) on which feral swine have negatively impacted water quality (and associated aquatic organisms), soil health and vegetative conditions onsite. Wildlife habitat has been diminished due to feral swine out-competing native species for the same resources (hard and soft mast, tubers, invertebrates), as well as negatively affecting plant regeneration and production. Some species of native wildlife onsite are at risk from predation by feral swine as well as from diseases carried and transmitted either directly or indirectly by feral swine.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer currently has no plan or knowledge of how resource concerns are caused or exacerbated by the presence of feral swine. Within existing land uses, the producer is interested in management of land to reduce impacts caused by feral swine and improve condition of natural resources.

After Situation:
As a result of feral swine surveillance (coupled with resource and inventory of baseline conditions), the nature and extent of natural resource concerns caused or exacerbated by the presence of feral swine are understood through resource assessments sufficient to inform development of a plan of action to meet quality criteria for all identified resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Managment Site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,046.25
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,046.25

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 18 | \$722.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camera, Monitoring, Stationary | 2638 | Motion activated, 8 megapixel, weather proof, stationary camera with infrared capability for night operation. Trigger speed of 1 second or less with SD card storage up to 32 GB (not included in the price). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$107.91 | 3 | \$323.73 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 297 - Feral Swine Damage Assessment
Scenario: \#18-Evaluation
Scenario Description:
200 acre tract (all land uses) on which feral swine have negatively impacted water quality (and associated aquatic organisms), soil health and vegetative conditions onsite. Wildlife habitat has been diminished due to feral swine out-competing native species for the same resources (hard and soft mast, tubers, invertebrates), as well as negatively affecting plant regeneration and production. Some species of native wildlife onsite are at risk from predation by feral swine as well as from diseases carried and transmitted either directly or indirectly by feral swine.

Before Situation:
Agricultural producer is currently or soon will be implementing feral swine component of a conservation plan but the effectiveness of those activities in improving resource conditions is unknown.

After Situation:
Sufficient data and information have been collected to evaluate resource condition relative to baseline conditions and the effectiveness of the feral swine management actions. Necessary adaptive management actions are identified and implemented.(Note: All management activities directly involving feral swine, such as trapping, euthanasia and disposal of carcasses will be the responsibility of the landowner, APHIS, or other partners. NRCS will have no role in these activities.)

Feature Measure: Management Site
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,605.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,605.60

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |

Practice: 309-Agrichemical Handling Facility
Scenario: \#1-Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Concrete Block Walls

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. Due to topography, limited site space and/or geological conditions a fabricated structure is needed. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367).

Before Situation:
Agrichemicals are improperly stored on the ground or next to a well. Operator mixes the agrichemicals and fills the sprayer tank next to a hydrant. Spills or overflows of agrichemicals contaminate the soil, runoff to surface waters and leaching to ground water.

After Situation:
An agrichemical handling facility is constructed for storage of liquid agrichemicals. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for proper storage of liquid agrichemicals is in fabricated containment that is $30 \mathrm{ft} \times 40 \mathrm{ft}$ with flexible membrane lined walls. The walls are made of modular blocks stacked two high for a 4 ft wall height on four sides. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of storage area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,331.24

## Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 22 | \$877.58 |
| Synthetic Liner, 40 mil | 1387 | Synthetic 40 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$7.46 | 213 | \$1,588.98 |
| Block, pre-cast concrete, modular | 1496 | Pre-cast concrete blocks, typically $2 \mathrm{ft} \times 2 \mathrm{ft} \times 6 \mathrm{ft}$, includes installation and delivery. | Cubic Yards | \$130.31 | 42 | \$5,473.02 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 309-Agrichemical Handling Facility
Scenario: \#2 - Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Treated Timber Walls

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. Due to topography, limited site space and/or geological conditions a fabricated structure is needed. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mis-handling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water.

Associated
practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367).

## Before Situation:

Agrichemicals are improperly stored on the ground or next to a well. Operator mixes the agrichemicals and fills the sprayer tank next to a hydrant. Spills or overflows of agrichemicals contaminate the soil, runoff to surface waters and leaching to ground water.

After Situation:
An agrichemical handling facility is constructed for storage of liquid agrichemicals. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for proper storage of liquid agrichemicals is in fabricated containment that is $24^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ with flexible membrane lined walls. The walls are made of treated timber. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of storage area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 864.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,324.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.11

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 12 | \$893.04 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 24 | \$1,297.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 42 | \$1,202.88 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 24 | \$766.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 11 | \$417.12 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 16 | \$638.24 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 1088 | \$1,860.48 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 816 | \$2,749.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 309-Agrichemical Handling Facility
Scenario: \#3 - Concrete Agrichemical Handling Pad for Mixing and Loading

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for mixing and loading operations. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mishandling, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters and leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362), Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Roofs and Covers (367), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521a).

Before Situation:
Agrichemicals are improperly stored on the ground or next to a well. Operator unloads agrichemicals from a semi-trailer, mixes the agrichemicals and fills the sprayer tank next to a hydrant with no storage for spills. Spills or overflows of agrichemicals contaminate the soil, runoff to surface waters and leach into ground water.

After Situation:
This scenario is an agrichemical handling facility pad for mixing and loading operations. The average size of the agrichemical handling pad for mixing and loading is $16^{\prime} x$ 60 ' x 6' with a semi-trailer length of 53'. The handling pad for mixing and loading operations is sized to contain the length of a semi-trailer or agrichemical spray tank and its volume. The concrete is sealed and sloped to a collection sump, containment of the pad is surrounded by sloped and ramped reinforced concrete. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of Handling Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 960.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,290.75

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.80
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 18 | \$8,523.18 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 6 | \$446.52 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 6 | \$191.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 15 | \$568.80 |
| Painting, porous surface, impermeable | 1497 | Painting of concrete, foam or other porous surfaces with an impermeable coating. Includes materials and application. | Square Feet | \$1.25 | 960 | \$1,200.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 309-Agrichemical Handling Facility
Scenario: \#5 - Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Concrete Walls and 12 inch Floor

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mis-handling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground
water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362),

Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367)

## Before Situation:

Agrichemicals are improperly stored on the ground or next to a well. Operator mixes the agrichemicals and fills the sprayer tank next to a hydrant. Spills or overflows of agrichemicals contaminate the soil, runoff to surface waters and leaching to ground water.

After Situation:
An agrichemical storage and handling facility is constructed with a 12 ' concrete floor and 1' concrete perimeter walls. The 12 ' slab thickness is required to meet local regulations. Design is based on MWPS 37. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for storage is $35^{\prime} \times 40$ '. The concrete is sealed and sloped to a collection sump. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of storage area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,400.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 28,458.11$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 39 | \$18,466.89 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 10 | \$5,357.00 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 6 | \$446.52 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 22 | \$834.24 |
| Painting, porous surface, impermeable | 1497 | Painting of concrete, foam or other porous surfaces with an impermeable coating. Includes materials and application. | Square Feet | \$1.25 | 1400 | \$1,750.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 309-Agrichemical Handling Facility
Scenario: \#6 - Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Double walled tank

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mis-handling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground
water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362),

Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367)

Before Situation:
Agrichemicals are improperly stored on the ground or next to a well. Operator mixes the agrichemicals and fills the sprayer tank next to a hydrant. Spills or overflows of agrichemicals contaminate the soil, runoff to surface waters and leaching to ground water.

After Situation:
An agrichemical handling facility is constructed for storage of liquid agrichemicals. Self contained 1800 gallon double walled tank that provides secondary containment meeting NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 309 and local requirements for secondary containment. Tank is set on a 12 'x12'x6' gravel pad. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Each

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,587.85$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,587.85

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 16 | \$17.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| Tank, storage tank, double wall, 4000 gallon, horizontal, steel, above ground | 1733 | Double wall horizontal steel storage tank. Includes cradles, coating, fittings, labor, equipment. Excludes foundations, pumps or piping. | Gallons | \$5.09 | 1800 | \$9,162.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 309-Agrichemical Handling Facility
Scenario: \#7-Liquid Agrichemical Storage, Lined earthen basin

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario is an agrichemical handling facility for storage of liquid agrichemicals along with a mixing and loading pad. This practice addresses water quality degradation and due to mis-handling, storing, and mixing of agrichemicals where nutrients and/or chemicals are running off into surface waters or leaching into ground water. Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Diversion (362),
Access Road (560), Pipeline (516), Pumping Plant for Water Control (533), Nutrient Management (590), Pest Management (595), Pond Sealing or Lining Flexible Membrane (521A), Roofs and Covers (367)

Before Situation:
Agrichemicals are improperly stored on the ground or next to a well. Operator mixes the agrichemicals and fills the sprayer tank next to a hydrant. Spills or overflows of agrichemicals contaminate the soil, runoff to surface waters and leaching to ground water.

## After Situation:

An agrichemical storage and handling facility is constructed as a lined earthen basin. The average size of the agrichemical handling facility for storage is 40 ' x 40 ' (bottom dimensions).Typical depth is 30 ', and earthwork balances cut/fill. Following earthwork, 4' of sand or \#8 bank run gravel is placed on the bottom as a liner base and sloped to a collection sump. When this scenario is used, the complete installation also includes a synthetic liner under code 521A. This practice will contain agrichemicals and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of storage area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,051.08

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 12 | \$893.04 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 25 | \$948.00 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 1 \quad \$ 726.80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 30,000 pounds.

## Practice: 311 - Alley Cropping

Scenario: \#1-Single row container planting stock, 2 gallon and larger with tree shelters

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of container stock trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width, and spacing of trees within the row is based on farm equipment size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and intent of the landowner. Payment includes the trees, tree planting costs, tree shelters and foregone income for the area of land being removed from crop production and put into trees. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.

Before Situation:
The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of any perennial tree vegetation. On cropland site preparation needs may need deep ripping to eliminate any plow pan and on grass land competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

After Situation:
Trees have been established to diversify the crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is 10 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing. Associated practices may include: 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, and 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: planted seedling
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 900.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 29,408.80$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 150 | \$1,876.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.25 | \$580.96 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.25 | \$468.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 150 | \$4,296.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 900 | \$14,193.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $\times 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 900 | \$4,761.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 1800 | \$126.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 900 | \$2,187.00 |

Practice: 311 - Alley Cropping
Scenario: \#2 - Single row bareroot planting stock

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of bareroot trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width, and spacing of trees within the row is based on farm equipment size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and intent of the landowner. Payment includes the trees, tree planting costs and foregone income for the area of land being removed from crop production and put into trees. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.

## Before Situation:

The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of any perennial tree vegetation. On cropland site preparation needs may need deep ripping to eliminate any plow pan and on grass land competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

## After Situation:

Trees have been established to diversify the crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is 10 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing. Associated practices may include: 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, and 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: planted seedling
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 900.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 2,674.29$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.25 | \$30.14 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 1.25 | \$43.18 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 1.25 | \$7.09 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.25 | \$580.96 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.25 | \$468.94 |

## Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1.25 | \$35.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1.25 | \$39.93 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.25 | \$57.46 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 900 | \$684.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 311-Alley Cropping
Scenario: \#3-Single row bareroot planting stock with tree shelters

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of bareroot trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width, and spacing of trees within the row is based on farm equipment size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and intent of the landowner. Payment includes the trees, tree planting costs. tree shelters, and foregone income for the area of land being removed from crop production and put into trees. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.

Before Situation:
The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of any perennial tree vegetation. On cropland site preparation needs may need deep ripping to eliminate any plow pan and on grass land competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

## After Situation:

Trees have been established to diversify the crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is 10 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing. Associated practices may include: 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: planted seedling
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 900.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,114.89$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.25 | \$30.14 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 1.25 | \$43.18 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 1.25 | \$7.09 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.25 | \$580.96 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.25 | \$468.94 |

## Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16.25 | \$465.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1.25 | \$39.93 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.25 | \$57.46 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 900 | \$684.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. x 48 in. | 1571 | 5 inch $\times 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 900 | \$4,761.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 900 | \$63.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 60 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 900 | \$2,187.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 311-Alley Cropping

Scenario: \#251-Single row container planting stock, less than 2 gallon with tree shelters

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of container stock trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width and spacing of trees within the row is based on farm equipment size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and the intent of the landowner. Payment includes the trees, tree planting costs, tree shelters, and foregone income for the area of land being removed from crop production and put into trees. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.

## Before Situation:

The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of and perennial tree vegetation. On cropland site preparation needs may need deep ripping to eliminate any plow pan and on grass land competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

After Situation:
Trees have been established to diversify the crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is 10 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing. Associated practices may include: 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning and 484 Mulching.

Feature Measure: planted seedling
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 900.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 20,084.80$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 22.32$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 150 | \$1,876.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.25 | \$580.96 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.25 | \$468.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 150 | \$4,296.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 900 | \$4,869.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $\times 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 900 | \$4,761.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 1800 | \$126.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 900 | \$2,187.00 |

Practice: 311-Alley Cropping
Scenario: \#252-Single row container planting stock, 2 gallon and larger

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of container stock trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width, and spacing of trees within the row is based on farm equipment size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and intent of the landowner. Payment includes the trees, tree planting costs and foregone income for the area of land being removed from crop production and put into trees. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.

Before Situation:
The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of any perennial tree vegetation. On cropland site preparation needs may need deep ripping to eliminate any plow pan and on grass land competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

After Situation:
Trees have been established to diversify the crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is 10 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing. Associated practices may include: 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, and 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: planted seedling

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 900.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$19,248.55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$21.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 75 | \$938.25 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.25 | \$580.96 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.25 | \$468.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 75 | \$2,148.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 900 | \$14,193.00 |

Practice: 311-Alley Cropping
Scenario: \#253-Single row container planting stock, less than 2 gallons

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of container stock trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width, and spacing of trees within the row is based on farm equipment size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and intent of the landowner. Payment includes the trees, tree planting costs and foregone income for the area of land being removed from crop production and put into trees. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.

Before Situation:
The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of any perennial tree vegetation. On cropland site preparation needs may need deep ripping to eliminate any plow pan and on grass land competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

After Situation:
Trees have been established to diversify the crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is 10 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing. Associated practices may include: 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, and 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: planted seedling

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 900.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,924.55$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.03
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 75 | \$938.25 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.25 | \$580.96 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.25 | \$468.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 75 | \$2,148.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 900 | \$4,869.00 |

## Practice: 311-Alley Cropping

Scenario: \#268-Alley Cropping Single Row - Small Acreage

## Scenario Description:

The crop or grass land is planted with rows of trees to increase crop diversity. Final row width, and spacing of trees within the row, is based site size, growth form of trees, light needs of annual crop or grass, and intent of the landowner. The resource concerns are plant condition - inadequate structure and composition.

## Before Situation:

The landscape has been cropped or in perennial grass for many years. It is void of any perennial tree vegetation. On grassland competing vegetation control is accomplished prior to tree planting.

After Situation:
Trees have been established to diversify crop production of the field. Typically the area planted is less than 5 acres on approximately $12 \times 40$ foot spacing.
Feature Measure: Planted Seedling
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 450.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,227.67

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$31.62

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 75 | \$938.25 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 75 | \$2,148.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 450 | \$7,096.50 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. x 48 in. | 1571 | 5 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 450 | \$2,380.50 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 900 | \$63.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | 3/4 in. x $3 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. x 60 in . wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 450 | \$1,093.50 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#1 - Earthen Storage Facility

## Scenario Description:

An earthen waste impoundment constructed with cuts and fills balanced such that one half of the impoundment depth is excavated and the remainder of the storage is created with the embankment. The structure is constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure. If a roof is to be included in the installation, refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. If an earthen storage liner is to be included in the installation, refer to associated Practice Standards 521A, 521B, 521C, or 521D. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Adequately protect liner at agitation and access points.

Before Situation:
Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

An earthen storage structure constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size:total storage volume 147,000 ft3; 150'X150' (top); 3:1 inside and outside side slopes; embankment topwidth = 10'; compaction ratio = 1.1; total depth = 10'; embankment volume $=10,430$ cu ydPotential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), and Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629) .

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 147,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$29,070.34
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 4110 | \$15,782.40 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 980 | \$813.40 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 3130 | \$10,986.30 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 8 | \$34.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility

## Scenario: \#2 - Earthen Natural Storage

## Scenario Description:

An embankment is constructed in a location to utilize naturally available storage to serve as a waste impoundment structure for storing wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. If an earthen storage liner is to be included in the installation refer to associated Practice Standards 521A, 521B, 521C, or 521D. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Adequately protect liner at agitation and access points.

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

An earthen storage structure constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size: total storage volume 153,000 ft3; embankment top width = 10'; 3:1 upstream slope; 3:1 downstream slope; compaction ratio =1.1; settlement = $10 \%$; total depth $=10$ '.

Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), and Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629) .

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 153,000.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$14,436.58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$0.09 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 3260 | \$12,518.40 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 518 | \$429.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 8 | \$34.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#3-Glass Lined Steel Tank, <25,000 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

An above ground circular glass lined steel structure constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This scenario has a total storage volume of less than $25,000 \mathrm{CuFt}$. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure and support. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

After Situation:
An above ground storage structure provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size : total storage volume $14,340 \mathrm{ft} 3$; based on 31' X 19' glass lined steel tankPotential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Roof and Covers (367), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629), and Pumping Plant (533).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: $14,340.00$
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 122,865.79$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.57

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 12 | \$5,682.12 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 16 | \$8,571.20 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 80 | \$307.20 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 80 | \$280.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 20 | \$797.80 |
| Waste Storage, Glass lined steel structure (<25,000 ft3) | 1616 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to install 31' (diameter) X19' (height) steel lined structure. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Cubic Feet | \$8.80 | 12000 | \$105,600.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#4-Glass Lined Steel Tank, 25,000-99,999 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

An above ground circular glass lined steel structure constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This scenario has a total storage volume $25,000 \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{Ft} \mathrm{to} 99,999 \mathrm{CuFt}$. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure and support. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

After Situation:
An above ground storage structure provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size : total storage volume 79,520 ft3; based on 73' X 19' glass lined steel tankPotential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Roof and Covers (367), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629), and Pumping Plant (533).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 79,520.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 290,490.89$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 65 | \$30,778.15 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 38 | \$20,356.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 250 | \$960.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 250 | \$877.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 73 | \$2,911.97 |
| Waste Storage, glass lined steel structure, 25,000-100,000 cubic foot | 1620 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to install a steel glass lined structure (based on typical 73' diameter X 19' height) . Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Cubic Feet | \$3.53 | 66000 | \$232,980.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#5 - Glass Lined Steel Tank, >=100,000 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

An above ground circular glass lined steel structure constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This scenario has a total storage volume $>=100,000 \mathrm{CuFt}$. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the storage structure and support. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

An above ground storage structure provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size : Total storage volume $187,190 \mathrm{ft} 3$; based on $112^{\prime}$ X 19 ' glass lined steel tank. Volume includes the total volume of the structure which includes operating volume, emergency volume and freeboard volume.Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Roof and Covers (367), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629), and Pumping Plant (533).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 187,190.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$517,153.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.76
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 152 | \$71,973.52 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 52 | \$27,856.40 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 456 | \$1,751.04 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 456 | \$1,600.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 130 | \$5,185.70 |
| Waste Storage, glass lined steel structure, 100,000-200,000 cubic foot | 1621 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to install a steel glass lined structure (based on typical 112' diameter X 19' height) . Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Cubic Feet | \$2.61 | 156000 | \$407,160.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#6 - Dry Stack Facility, Earthen Floor with Concrete Side Walls

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with compacted earthen floor with concrete side walls. This scenario is intended for poultry litter or similar dry product. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). The purpose of this practice is to properly store manure and other agricultural by-products until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
The typical is 40 ' x 56 ' slab with walls. The earthen floor will be prepared by stripping the top $1^{\prime}$ of soil and roller compacting it back into floor. Walls are 5 ' reinforced concrete. Walls consist of three permimeter walls $\left(40^{\prime}+56^{\prime}+40^{\prime}\right)$ for a total wall length of 136 linear feet. Walls allow for greater storage volume. Volume of structure for this scenario is taken as the volume of the space formed by the walls and floor, not including any angle of repose or piling of material above the walls. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Volume of Structure

Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 11,200.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$17,895.18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi . Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 30 | \$16,071.00 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 83 | \$200.86 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 83 | \$318.72 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#7 - Dry Stack Facility, Concrete Floor without Side Walls

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with reinforced concrete floor without side walls. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geographical conditions prohibit earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). The purpose of this practice is to properly store manure and other agricultural by-products that are stackable until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water.

Before Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

## After Situation:

The typical is $75^{\prime} \times 226^{\prime}$. The facility floor is $5^{\prime}$ reinforced concrete without side walls. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 16,950.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 135,517.74$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.00

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 260 | \$123,112.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 630 | \$1,524.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 315 | \$1,209.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 206 | \$8,217.34 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#8 - Dry Stack Facility, Concrete Floor with Wood Side Walls

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with reinforced concrete Floor with pressure treated wood side walls. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geographical conditions prohibit earthen floors. The purpose of this practice is to temporarily, properly store manure and other agricultural by-products that are stackable until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water

Before Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

## After Situation:

The typical is $40^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$ concrete slab with $5^{\prime}$ high walls. The facility floor is $5^{\prime}$ reinforced concrete with $5^{\prime}$ pressure treated wood ( $2^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ boards) walls, $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ posts set $4^{\prime}$ c-c with $6^{\prime}$ concrete curbing. Walls allow for greater storage volume. Walls consist of three permimeter walls ( $40^{\prime}+56^{\prime}+40^{\prime}$ ) for a total wall length of 136 linear feet. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Potential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Cubic Foot Storage
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 11,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$30,185.51

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.70
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 4.5 | \$935.42 |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 35 | \$16,572.85 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 2 | \$1,071.40 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 83 | \$200.86 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 83 | \$318.72 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 9 | \$486.63 |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 9 | \$84.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 90 | \$2,577.60 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 9 | \$287.46 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 28 | \$1,116.92 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 1315 | \$2,248.65 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 840 | \$2,830.80 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#9 - Dry Stack Facility, Concrete Floor with Concrete Side Walls

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of a dry stack facility with reinforced concrete floor and concrete side walls. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geographical conditions prohibit earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). The purpose of this practice is to properly store manure and other agricultural by-products that are stackable until they can be hauled away from the site for proper disposal or utilization on land at agronomical rates. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water.

Before Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

## After Situation:

The typical is $40^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$ concrete slab with $5^{\prime}$ high walls. The facility floor is $5^{\prime}$ reinforced concrete with $5^{\prime}$ reinforced concrete walls. Walls allow for greater storage volume. Walls consist of three permimeter walls ( $40^{\prime}+56^{\prime}+40^{\prime}$ ) for a total wall length of 136 linear feet. Volume of structure for this scenario is taken as the volume of the space formed by the walls and floor, not including any angle of repose or piling of material above the walls. Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Note on use of concrete walls versus wood walls: different states utilize different options depending on many specific conditions which may change what is considered least cost. Each state will decide individually based on the suite of scenarios developed which meets their program policy and resource goals and needsPotential Associated practices: 342-Critical Area Planting, 362-Diversion, 561-Heavy Use Area Protection, 367-Roofs and Covers, 558-Roof Runoff Structure, 317-Composting Facility, 633-Waste Recycling, 634-Waste Transfer, 635-Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Volume of Structure

Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 11,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$35,733.95
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.19

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 35 | \$16,572.85 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 30 | \$16,071.00 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 83 | \$200.86 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 83 | \$318.72 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 28 | \$1,116.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#10 - Concrete Lid Tank, <1,000 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a small concrete tank with a solid lid and a total storage volume of less than 1,000 Cu Ft. Design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete lid tank and gravel for drainfill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 5' deep x 8' wide x 9' long, with a total storage volume of 360 cubic feet. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. Tanks associated with open lots sized to handle design storm in tank or in combination with lot as per state regulations. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), Pumping Plant (533), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: 360.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$7,089.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$19.69

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 6 | \$3,214.20 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 60 | \$359.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 10 | \$1,240.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10 | \$402.70 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Gravel, Graded
Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, 3/16 in x 1614 6 in

## Mobilization

1614

46 Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry
Cubic Yards
4
\$159.56 or pit. Placement costs are not included. Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor.
Feet $\quad \$ 6.49$\$259.60
Each \$726.80

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#11 - Concrete Lid Tank, >=1,000 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a small concrete tank with a solid lid and a total storage volume of greater than or equal to $1,000 \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{Ft}$. Design volume does not include freeboard. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete lid tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8' deep x 12' wide x 40' long, with a total storage volume of 3,840 cubic feet. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. Tanks associated with open lots sized to handle design storm in tank or in combination with lot as per state regulations. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), Pumping Plant (533), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,840.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$30,160.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.85
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 10 | \$4,735.10 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 35 | \$18,749.50 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 100 | \$599.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 20 | \$2,481.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 20 | \$805.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 14 | \$558.46 |
| Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ in $x$ 6 in | 1614 | Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Feet | \$6.49 | 120 | \$778.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#12 - Concrete Tank Open Top, <5,000 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank with or without a full width ramp that has a total storage volume less than $5,000 \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{Ft}$. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drainfill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 5' deep, with a bottom area of 880 sq ft , and a total storage volume of $4,400 \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft}$. Sizing based on volume of manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: 4,400.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 31,217.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.09

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 25.7 | \$12,169.21 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 15.2 | \$8,142.64 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 200 | \$1,198.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 30 | \$3,721.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 30 | \$1,208.10 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Gravel, Graded

Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ in $x$ 6 in

## Mobilization

46 Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry
Cubic Yards
53
\$2,114.17
or pit. Placement costs are not included.
Feet $\quad \$ 6.49 \quad 186.5$
\$1,210.39 materials, equipment and labor.

1139 Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#13-Concrete Tank Open Top, 7,500-14,999 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 7,500 to 14,999 Cu Ft. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8 ' deep, with a bottom area of 1256 SF, and a total storage volume of 10,048 cubic feet. Sizing based on volume of manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 10,048.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 46,789.52$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.66

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 20 | \$9,470.20 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 50 | \$26,785.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 200 | \$1,198.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 30 | \$3,721.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 30 | \$1,208.10 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Gravel, Graded

Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ in $x$ 6 in

## Mobilization

46 Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry
Cubic Yards
\$39.89
48
\$1,914.72 or pit. Placement costs are not included.

1614 materials, equipment and labor.
Feet $\quad \$ 6.49 \quad 160 \quad \$ 1,038.40$

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#18 - Concrete Tank Open Top, >=110,000 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume of $110,000 \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{Ft}$ or greater. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

## After Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 8' deep with a bottom area of 21,000 SF and a total storage volume of $168,000 \mathrm{CF}$. Outside dimensions $22,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ (includes 3 ' footing and 8 ' wall).Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 168,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$285,716.55
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.70
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 346 | \$163,834.46 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 115 | \$61,605.50 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 1667 | \$9,985.33 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 7000 | \$24,570.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 514 | \$20,503.46 |
| Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, 3/16 in x 6 in | 1614 | Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Feet | \$6.49 | 580 | \$3,764.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#19-Composted Bedded Pack - Gravel Floor
Scenario Description:

A composted bedded pack facility is constructed to store wastes as part of an agricultural waste management system. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

Using a bedded pack provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design: floor area 4,000 ft2, ( 40 ' X 100'); 4' concrete wall height, 3 ' footing depth with a geotextile and 6' gravel layer over an earthen floor; 20' openings on each end of structure.Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Obstruction Removal (500), and Roofs and Covers (367).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 4,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$37,100.35
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 15 | \$7,102.65 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 42 | \$22,499.40 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 444 | \$479.52 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 22 | \$53.24 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 74 | \$284.16 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 22 | \$131.78 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 102 | \$84.66 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 74 | \$259.74 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 74 | \$2,951.86 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 4 | \$2,907.20 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#20-Composted Bedded Pack, 6 inch Reinforced Concrete Floor
Scenario Description:

A composted bedded pack facility is constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geological conditions prohibit the use of earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. The walls may be constructed of concrete or wood as allowed by state policies and regulations. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

Before Situation:
Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

After Situation:
Using a bedded pack provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design: floor area 4,000 ft2, ( 40 ' X 100 '); $4^{\prime}$ concrete wall height, 3 ' footing depth with a 6 ' reinforced concrete floor; 20' openings on each end of structure. Note on 6' floor versus 5 ' floor option, 6 ' floor is the minimum requirement for Ohio.Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Obstruction Removal (500) and Roofs and Covers (367).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 61,067.28$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15.27

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 74 | \$35,039.74 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 42 | \$22,499.40 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 22 | \$53.24 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 37 | \$142.08 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 22 | \$131.78 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 102 | \$84.66 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 74 | \$259.74 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 37 | \$1,403.04 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#21-Composted Bedded Pack, 5 inch Reinforced Concrete Floor

## Scenario Description:

A composted bedded pack facility is constructed to store wastes such as manure, wastewater, and contaminated runoff as part of an agricultural waste management system. This scenario is intended for situations where consistency of manure or geological conditions prohibit the use of earthen floors. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the floor and walls. The walls may be constructed of concrete or wood as allowed by state policies and regulations. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

Using a bedded pack provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design: floor area $4,000 \mathrm{ft} 2,\left(40 ' \mathrm{X} \mathrm{100}\right.$ '); $4^{\prime}$ concrete wall height, $3^{\prime}$ footing depth with a 5 ' reinforced concrete floor; 20' openings on each end of structure. 5 ' reinforced concrete floor is the minimum requirement in Iowa.Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Obstruction Removal (500) and Roofs and Covers (367).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,000.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$55,385.16
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.85

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 62 | \$29,357.62 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 42 | \$22,499.40 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 22 | \$53.24 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 37 | \$142.08 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 22 | \$131.78 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 102 | \$84.66 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 74 | \$259.74 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 37 | \$1,403.04 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#30-Concrete Tank Open Top, 5,000-7,499 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 5,000 to 7,499 Cu Ft . Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drain fill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank typically 5 ' deep, with a bottom area of 1200 SF, and a total storage volume of 6,000 cubic feet. Sizing based on volume of manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: 6,000.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 37,755.34$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.29

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 19 | \$8,996.69 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 34 | \$18,213.80 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 203 | \$1,215.97 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 30 | \$3,721.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 30 | \$1,208.10 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Gravel, Graded

Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ in $x$ 6 in

## Mobilization

46 Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry
Cubic Yards or pit. Placement costs are not included.

1614
Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, 3/16 inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor.
Feet $\quad \$ 6.49 \quad 165 \quad \$ 1,070.85$
Each $\quad \$ 726.80 \quad 2$

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#36-Concrete Tank Open Top, 50,000-109,999 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 50,000 to 109,999 cubic feet. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drainfill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.
After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Tank typically 8 feet deep, with a bottom area of 10,000 square feet, and a storage capacity of 80,000 cubic feet. Sizing based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc. as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 80,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$161,879.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.02
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 185 | \$87,599.35 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 80 | \$42,856.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 710 | \$4,252.90 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 3477 | \$12,204.27 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 274 | \$10,929.86 |
| Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, 3/16 in x 6 in | 1614 | Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Feet | \$6.49 | 398 | \$2,583.02 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 313-Waste Storage Facility
Scenario: \#37-Concrete Tank Open Top, 15,000-49,999 Cu Ft Storage

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing an open top concrete tank that has a total storage volume from 15,000 to 49,999 cubic feet. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for construction of the concrete tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Vehicular and equipment access is addressed in Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Tank can also be installed under an animal facility using slats. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Payment includes all materials, equipment and labor to install a concrete tank and gravel for drainfill around the tank.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.
After Situation
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Tank installed is 8 feet deep, with an interior bottom area of 3,786 square feet, and a total storage volume of 30,288 cubic feet. Outside dimensions, 4,225 square feet (includes 3 feet footing and 8 inch wall). Size based on manure, other wastes, rainfall, lot runoff, etc as appropriate. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Diversion (362), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 30,288.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$80,240.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 80 | \$37,880.80 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 50 | \$26,785.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 400 | \$2,396.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 1450 | \$5,089.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 126 | \$5,026.14 |
| Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, 3/16 in x 6 in | 1614 | Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Feet | \$6.49 | 248 | \$1,609.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#1-Light Brush Management

## Scenario Description:

Light brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where less than 10\% canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesireable non-herbaceous cover, and the treatment area is less than $18 \%$ slope on average. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

Before Situation:
Non-cropland acres consisting of a percentage of undesirable species such as (but not limited to) Amur cork tree, Siberian elm, callery pear, autumn olive, multiflora rose, barberry, burning bush, honeysuckle, or periwinkle that must be controlled. Undesirable species can contribute to degraded plant condition, inadequate feed \& forage, and potential animal health issues.

## After Situation:

Undesireable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a pass with a brush hog over the treatment area followed by spot chemcial treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.

Feature Measure: Acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,338.15

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$53.53
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 6 | \$180.18 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 8 | \$622.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 2.5 | \$85.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#2-Medium Brush Management

## Scenario Description:

Medium brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where 10\% - $39 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesireable non-herbaceous cover, and the treatment area is less than $18 \%$ slope on average. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

Before Situation:
Non-cropland acres consisting of a percentage of undesirable species such as (but not limited to) Amur cork tree, Siberian elm, callery pear, autumn olive, multiflora rose, barberry, burning bush, honeysuckle, or periwinkle that must be controlled. Undesirable species can contribute to degraded plant condition, inadequate feed \& forage, and potential animal health issues.

## After Situation:

Undesireable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a pass with a brush hog over the treatment area followed by spot chemcial treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.

Feature Measure: Acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,016.51

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$80.66

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 12 | \$360.36 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 10 | \$777.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 14 | \$400.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 7.5 | \$256.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#3 - Heavy Brush Management

## Scenario Description:

High brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where 40\%-60\% canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesireable non-herbaceous cover, or the treatment area is on land with $18 \%-25 \%$ slopes on average regardless of percent cover of undesireable species. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

Before Situation:
Non-cropland acres consisting of a percentage of undesirable species such as (but not limited to) Tree of heaven, Paulownia (princess tree), honeysuckle, Japanese knotweed, privet, or wintercreeper, that must be controlled. Undesirable species can contribute to degraded plant condition, inadequate feed \& forage, and potential animal health issues.

## After Situation:

Undesireable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a combination of manual chainsawing, pass with a brush hog over the treatment area, and spot chemcial treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.

Feature Measure: Acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,110.29$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$204.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 20 | \$600.60 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 24 | \$1,866.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 65 | \$1,861.60 |

Materials
Herbicide, Triclopyor
338 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials
Acres
\$34.16
15
\$512.40

Mobilization

Mobilization, very small
1137 Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck
Each with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously.

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#4 - Very Heavy Brush Management

## Scenario Description:

High brush management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and wildlife areas) where greater than $60 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesireable non-herbaceous cover, or the treatment area is on land with greater than $25 \%$ slopes on average regardless of percent cover of undesireable species. Payment is based on impacted acres only. Treatment may consist of chemical, mechanical, manual, or a combination of methods. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

## Before Situation:

Non-cropland acres consisting of a percentage of undesirable species such as (but not limited to) Tree of heaven, Paulownia (princess tree), honeysuckle, Japanese knotweed, privet, or wintercreeper, that must be controlled. Undesirable species can contribute to degraded plant condition, inadequate feed \& forage, and potential animal health issues.

## After Situation:

Undesireable non-herbaceous species are controlled with a combination of manual chainsawing, pass with a brush hog over the treatment area, and spot chemcial treatment. The treatment area is mechanically treated early in the growing season to reduce above ground biomass. The treated plants will readily resprout, and after adequate re-sprouting occurs herbicide will be applied to the new growth. This combined treatment will allow better access for the herbicide application equipment, better coverage on target plants, and less overall herbicide applied.

Feature Measure: Acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,027.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$321.10
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 40 | \$1,201.20 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 40 | \$3,110.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 88 | \$2,520.32 |

Materials
Herbicide, Triclopyor
338 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials
Acres
\$34.16
25
$\$ 854.00$

Mobilization

Mobilization, very small
equipment

1137 Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously.

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#64 - Removal of Invasive Woody Understory, Light

## Scenario Description:

All materials, equipment and labor required to remove invasive species on woodland. Treat scattered individual invasive woody plants. Less than $1 / 10$ th of the forest understory is composed of the invasive woody plant species. Invasive woody plants are not yet interfering with understory sunlight or forest health, but these plants will impact forest health if left untreated. Cut and stump treat, stem inject (hack and squirt), or basal bark apply specific forestry herbicides to prevent re-sprouting. Use a Current and approved Forest Management Plan for estimate of infested plants per acre that are to be removed.

Before Situation:
The land is an existing woodland where the understory is lightly infested with invasive woody species and wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition ??? excessive plant pest pressure, and fish and wildlife ??? inadequate habitat (cover/shelter) and/or inadequate food.

After Situation:
The land is no longer impacted by the invasive woody species and the overall condition of the woodland stand is improved. Additionally, the wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase in sunlight reaching the woodland floor.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,136.42$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 113.64$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equipment Installation

| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 16 | \$95.84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 4 | \$311.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, stump treatment | 2769 | Herbicide treatment for Basal Bark, Dormant Stem and Cut Surface | Gallons | \$87.26 | 1 | \$87.26 |

Herbicide, stump treatment formulation at 4 pounds AE/Gallon

Treatments made directly to ungrazed parts of plants. Materials and shipping only.

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#65-Removal of Invasive Woody Understory, Medium

## Scenario Description:

All materials, equipment and labor required to remove invasive species on woodland. Between 10 and $39 \%$ of the woodland understory/midstory is compromised by woody invasive plants. The invasive woody plants are beginning to actively shade out native understory plants and forest regeneration, and/or are mature enough to start reproducing. Cut and stump treat, stem inject (hack and squirt), or basal bark apply with herbicide to prevent re-sprouting. Use a Current and approved Forest Management Plan for estimate of infested plants per acre that are to be removed.

## Before Situation:

The land is an existing woodland where the understory is moderately infested with invasive woody species and wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition ??? excessive plant pest pressure, and fish and wildlife ??? inadequate habitat (cover/shelter) and/or inadequate food.

After Situation:
The land is no longer impacted by the invasive woody species and the overall condition of the woodland stand is improved. Additionally, the wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase in sunlight reaching the woodland floor.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,922.27
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$192.23

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 5 | \$388.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, stump treatment formulation at 4 pounds AE/Gallon | 2769 | Herbicide treatment for Basal Bark, Dormant Stem and Cut Surface Treatments made directly to ungrazed parts of plants. Materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$87.26 | 7 | \$610.82 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#66-Removal of Invasive Woody Understory, Very Heavy

## Scenario Description:

All materials, equipment and labor required to remove invasive species on woodland. $60 \%+$ of the woodland understory/midstory is compromised by invasive woody plants. Both parent trees/shrubs and their sprouts or seedlings are present. Most native understory plants and forest regeneration are suppressed. Cut and stump treat, stem inject (hack and squirt), or basal bark apply specific forestry herbicides to prevent re-sprouting and suckering. This practice may also be used to mechanically shred or chip invasive woody plants with machinery like forestry mowers. Use a current and approved forest management plan for estimate of infested plants per acre that are to be removed.

## Before Situation:

The land is an existing woodland where the understory is heavily infested with invasive woody species and wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition ??? excessive plant pest pressure, and fish and wildlife ??? inadequate habitat (cover/shelter) and/or inadequate food.

## After Situation:

The land is no longer impacted by the invasive woody species and the overall condition of the woodland stand is improved. Additionally, the wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase in sunlight reaching the woodland floor.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,245.90

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$824.59

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 26 | \$155.74 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 33 | \$3,666.30 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 6 | \$466.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 26 | \$1,043.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 33 | \$1,054.02 |

## Materials

Herbicide, stump treatment formulation at 4 pounds AE/Gallon
Mobilization

2769 Herbicide treatment for Basal Bark, Dormant Stem and Cut Surface Treatments made directly to ungrazed parts of plants. Materials and shipping only.
Gallons $\quad \$ 87.26 \quad 18 \quad \$ 1,570.68$

1138 Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds.

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#80-Removal of Invasive woody, Heavy
Scenario Description:
All materials, equipment and labor required to remove invasive species on woodland. Between 40 and $60 \%$ of the woodland understory/midstory is compromised by woody invasive plants. The invasive woody plants are beginning to actively shade out native understory plants and forest regeneration, and/or are mature enough to start reproducing. Cut and stump treat, stem inject (hack and squirt), or basal bark apply with herbicide to prevent re-sprouting. Use a Current and approved Forest Management Plan for estimate of infested plants per acre that are to be removed.

## Before Situation:

The land is an existing woodland where the understory is moderately infested with invasive woody species and wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition ??? excessive plant pest pressure, and fish and wildlife ??? inadequate habitat (cover/shelter) and/or inadequate food.

After Situation:
The land is no longer impacted by the invasive woody species and the overall condition of the woodland stand is improved. Additionally, the wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase in sunlight reaching the woodland floor.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 3,145.44$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 32 | \$191.68 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 8 | \$622.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 32 | \$1,284.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, stump treatment formulation at 4 pounds AE/Gallon | 2769 | Herbicide treatment for Basal Bark, Dormant Stem and Cut Surface Treatments made directly to ungrazed parts of plants. Materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$87.26 | 12 | \$1,047.12 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#284-Linear Tree Removal for Grassland Bird Habitat

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is to open the vista and visual ranges for Prairie Chickens and other grassland dependent birds and reduce grassland habitat fragmentation by removing undesirable trees. Establishment of herbaceous vegetative cover on the cleared site is accomplished through associated practices such as 327 Conservation Cover.

Before Situation:
Grassland habitat for Prairie Chickens and other grassland dependent birds is fragmented by fencerows and other linear areas grown up in mature trees and brush.
After Situation:
Habitat is improved by removal of mature trees and brush. Typical size of area cleared is $1,800 \mathrm{ft}$ long by 30 ft wide. Due to the mature trees in the area to be cleared a dozer is typically required. Removed debris is piles and burned and the cleared area is seeded to wildlife friendly vegetation through associated practice 327 Conservation Cover. If needed, associated practice 382 Fence is utilized to protect the area from livestock.

Feature Measure: size of area cleared

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.20

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,811.36$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,509.47$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 8 | \$762.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#347-Biological Brush Management High Density

## Scenario Description:

Management of woody plant species through the use of livestock that are closely herded to concentrate grazing on targeted shrubs. Typical areas have dense stands of woody non-herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition. Undesirable non- herbaceous vegetation may be present and impairing the desired ecological site condition. Targeted grazing herd is mobilized to site. Typical herd size 100-300 head. Goal is for maximum defoliation of brush.

Before Situation:
Area consist of dense stands of woody non-herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Woody species are grazed to limit the regrowth of targeted shrubs and achieve a desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, affected hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,342.96

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,534.30
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animals used for biological weed control | 1130 | Goats, Llamas, Sheep, Cattle - Turn-key operation, includes all supporting costs: fence, water, dog, mobilization, herd labor, other labor, etc. Includes materials, equipment, labor, and mobilization. | Head per day | \$9.58 | 1500 | \$14,370.00 |

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#348-Biological Brush Management Low Density

## Scenario Description:

Management of woody plant species through the use of livestock that are closely herded to concentrate grazing on targeted shrubs. Typical areas have dense stands of woody non-herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition. Undesirable non-herbaceous vegetation may be present and impairing the desired ecological site condition. Targeted grazing herd is mobilized to site. Typical herd size less than 100 head.

Before Situation:
Area consist of dense stands of woody non-herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

Woody species are grazed to limit the regrowth of targeted shrubs and achieve a desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, affected hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels. Implementation is consistent with the Brush Management 314 plan and specifications.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,671.48$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |

## Materials

Animals used for biological weed control

1130 Goats, Llamas, Sheep, Cattle - Turn-key operation, includes all supporting costs: fence, water, dog, mobilization, herd labor, other labor, etc. Includes materials, equipment, labor, and mobilization.

Head per
$\$ 9.58$
750
\$7,185.00

Practice: 314-Brush Management
Scenario: \#367-Brush Management for 1 Ac. or less
Scenario Description:
Using hand tools and small power tools to remove or cut off invasive woody plants at or below the root collar. Typically this scenario is for woody and non-herbaceous species that are in early phases of invasion and are degrading herbaceous plant health and vigor for the 1 acre small farm.

Before Situation:
Small farm area is in various phases of woody non-herbaceous species enroachment that degrades the biotic integrity of the site resulting in poor herbaceous plant health and vigor. Continued degradation results in increased invasive woody species and poor hydrological site characteristics.

## After Situation:

Woody species are removed to achieve desirable biotic conditions for herbaceous plant health and vigor. Hydrological site characteristics and plant health and vigor are improved, and plant pest pressure from invasive woody species is reduced.

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$485.75

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$485.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 2 | \$4.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#1-Light Spot Treatment

## Scenario Description:

Light spot treatment herbaceous weed control is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where less than $10 \%$ canopy coverage across the treatment area is in undesireable herbaceous cover, or a specific area spot treatment is needed such as creating open ground under a wildlife habitat structure . Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using small equipment (such as an ATV with sprayer) to apply chemicals, or using hand tools (such as axes, shovels, hoes, nippers) to remove or cut off herbaceous plants at or below the root collar. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

## Before Situation:

Area consists of herbaceous weed species such as sericia lespedeza, japanese stilt grass, periwinkle, ironweed, ragweed, etc. that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$986.13
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$39.45
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 8 | \$622.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 2.5 | \$85.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#2-Medium Spot Treatments

## Scenario Description:

Medium spot treatment herbaceous species management is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where greater than $10 \%$ canopy coverage across the treatment area is in undesireable herbaceous cover, and spot treatment is preferred over blanket treatment to maintain the persistence of desireable broadleaf and legumes within the treatment area. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using small equipment (such as an ATV with sprayer) to apply chemicals, or using applicable mechanical methods such as hand tools (such as axes, shovels, hoes, nippers) to remove or cut off herbaceous plants at or below the root collar, and/or spot mowing. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

Before Situation:
Area consists of herbaceous weed species such as sericia lespedeza, japanese stilt grass, periwinkle, ironweed, ragweed, etc. that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 25.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,589.13$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 103.57$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 24 | \$1,866.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 13 | \$444.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#3 - Blanket Treatment One Pass

## Scenario Description:

Blanket treatment one pass herbaceous weed control is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where a blanket treatment approach is acceptable and the non-desireable weeds can be controlled with one treatment. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using a blanket chemical application or mechanical brush hog operation. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

Before Situation:
Area consists of herbaceous weed species such as sericia lespedeza, japanese stilt grass, periwinkle, ironweed, ragweed, etc. that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,542.76$
Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 25 | \$160.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 6 | \$191.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 25 | \$854.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#4-Blanket Treatment Multi Pass

## Scenario Description:

Blanket treatment multi pass herbaceous weed control is used on non-cropland acres (including forestland, pasture, and idle areas) where a blanket treatment approach is acceptable and mutiple passes or approaches are needed to control the non-desireable weeds. Payment is based on impacted acres only. The practice entails the treatment of weeds using multiple blanket chemical applications or multiple mechanical brush hog operations, or a combination of chemical and mechanical. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. For organic land, chemical applications must be OMRI approved chemicals.

## Before Situation:

Area consists of herbaceous weed species such as sericia lespedeza, japanese stilt grass, periwinkle, ironweed, ragweed, etc. that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,201.82$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 128.07$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 6 | \$180.18 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 50 | \$320.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 10 | \$319.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 50 | \$1,708.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#5 - Tree \& Shrub Post-planting Weed Control

## Scenario Description:

Treatment takes place in areas where newly planted trees and/or shrubs are experiencing encroachment by grass and weed competition. Chemcial treatment is needed to ensure the successful establishment of desirable woody species through the application of appropriate herbicides via directional spray to reduce residual effects on planted trees and/or shrubs. Mowing between rows during the growing season is needed to control residual weed growth. Areas to be treated tend to be small and isolated, resulting in high mobilization costs. Due to desirable species mixed with undesirable, caution is needed during treatment.

Before Situation:
Planted trees or shrubs are experiencing excessive grass and weed competion resulting in poor plant health, reduced growth, and some mortality.
After Situation:
Desirable vegetation is released from competing vegetation. All undesirable vegetation is removed within 2 feet of desired plants.

Feature Measure: Acres treated

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 789.70$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$157.94

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 1 | \$30.03 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron-methyl | 340 | Used for the control of annual and perennial grasses and broad leaved weeds in non-crop land. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.93 | 5 | \$99.65 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#6 - Aquatic Areas Weed Control

## Scenario Description:

Control of aquatic weed infestations, such as phragmites, reeds canary grass, or cattails, in wetland areas using multiple chemical applications. Due to moist soil conditions, herbicide is applied with an ATV and spot sprayer to avoid excessive disturbance to the site. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Payment is based on impacted acres only.

Before Situation:
Area consists of aquatic herbaceous weed species such as phragmites, reeds canary grass, cattails, etc. that exceed the desirable ecological site condition promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and wildlife habitat is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,146.10
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$429.22
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 22.5 | \$1,749.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate-ipa salt 4SL | 346 | Product is typically used for aquatic usage. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$14.88 | 15 | \$223.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#20-hand and chemical

## Scenario Description:

Using hand tools, such as axes, shovels, hoes, nippers, to remove or cut off herbaceous plants at or below the root collar. Herbicide is applied to control re-growth of target weeds. Typical area is moderate rolling to gentle sloping, moderately deep to deep soils that have herbaceous weed species that are in the early phases of invasions. Typical unit is 10 acres.

Before Situation:
Area consist of excessive stands of herbaceous weeds degrading health and vigor of native herbaceous species promoting noxious and invasive species and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and improved wildlife habitat.

Feature Measure: acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,370.03
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$137.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 10 | \$777.70 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 5 | \$86.15 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 10 | \$23.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 10 | \$126.60 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#36-Chemical, Spot

## Scenario Description:

Land unit on which weed control would be beneficial in order to set back the plant community succession, improve the ecological condition, and improve forage conditions for domestic livestock or wildlife. The practice entails the eradication of vegetation by use of weed treatment, either initial or retreatment using hand-carried equipment (such as a backpack and hand-sprayer) to apply chemicals, in order to eliminate noxious weeds, promote forage productivity, and improve ecological condition.

Before Situation:
Area consist of excessive stands of herbaceous weeds degrading health and vigor of native herbaceous species promoting noxious and invasive species and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous weeds are removed to achieve the desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels, and improved wildlife habitat.

Feature Measure: Acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,262.53
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.13
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 18 | \$310.14 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 20 | \$253.20 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 20 | \$32.20 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#56-Biological Management High Density

## Scenario Description:

Management of herbaceous plant species through the use of livestock that are closely herded to concentrate grazing on targeted plants. Typical areas have high density stands of herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition. Undesirable herbaceous vegetation may be present and impairing the desired ecological site condition. Targeted grazing herd is mobilized to site. Typical herd size 100-300 head. Goal is for maximum consumption of herbaceous plants.

## Before Situation:

Area consist of dense stands of herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous species are grazed to limit the regrowth and achieve a desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, affected hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,552.96

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,055.30
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animals used for biological weed control | 1130 | Goats, Llamas, Sheep, Cattle - Turn-key operation, includes all supporting costs: fence, water, dog, mobilization, herd labor, other labor, etc. Includes materials, equipment, labor, and mobilization. | Head per day | \$9.58 | 1000 | \$9,580.00 |

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#57-Biological Management Low Density

## Scenario Description:

Management of herbaceous plant species through the use of livestock that are closely herded to concentrate grazing on targeted plants. Typical areas have light density stands of herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition. Undesirable herbaceous vegetation may be present and impairing the desired ecological site condition. Targeted grazing herd is mobilized to site. Typical herd size< 100 head. Goal is for maximum consumption of herbaceous plants.

## Before Situation:

Area consist of dense stands of herbaceous species that exceed the desirable ecological site condition degrading forage quality, promoting noxious and invasive species, increasing risk of soil erosion and degrading wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
Herbaceous species are grazed to limit the regrowth of targeted plants and achieve a desirable plant community based on species composition, structure, density, and canopy cover or height. Ecological site condition is progressing in an upward trend, affected hydrology and plant health and vigor is returning to near normal levels. Implementation is consistent with the Herbaceous Weed Management 315 plan and specifications.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 5,276.48$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 527.65$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |

## Materials

Animals used for biological weed control

1130 Goats, Llamas, Sheep, Cattle - Turn-key operation, includes all supporting costs: fence, water, dog, mobilization, herd labor, other labor, etc. Includes materials, equipment, labor, and mobilization.

Head per
\$9.58
500
day

Practice: 315 - Herbaceous Weed Treatment
Scenario: \#79-Herbaceous Weed Treatment for One Acre or less (not to exceed 1 acre)
Scenario Description:
Using hand and small power tools to remove or cut off herbaceous invasive plants at or below the root collar. Typically this scenario is for herbaceous invasive species that are degrading the 1 acre small farm.

Before Situation:
Small farm area is in various phases of herbaceous species enroachment that degrades the biotic integrity resulting in poor plant health and vigor, and/or wildlife habitat. Continued degradation results in increased plant pest pressure, loss of plant diversity and biotic integrity, and poor hydrological characteristics.

## After Situation:

Herbaceous species are removed to achieve desirable biotic conditions and improved plant health and vigor, and/or wildlife habitat. Hydrological site characteristics are improved, and plant pest pressure from invasive herbaceous species are reduced.

Feature Measure: acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$333.78
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$333.78

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 1 | \$30.03 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 2 | \$4.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 316-Animal Mortality Facility

## Scenario: \#1-Incinerator

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a manufactured Type IV incinerator. Payment includes the incinerator, fuel tank and concrete slab to support the incinerator and fuel tank. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete incineration, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. Included is a concrete slab to set the incinerator on and a fuel tank. Ash materials to be stored in suitable containers until land disposal as per the nutrient management plan or landfilled. Potential Associated Practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Access Road (560), Waste Storage Facility (313), Nutrient Management (590), Roofs and Covers (367), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Pounds capacity of incinerator
Scenario Unit: Pounds per Day
Scenario Typical Size: 400.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$16,522.89

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$41.31

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 4 | \$1,894.04 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 8 | \$19.36 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 1 | \$124.05 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1 | \$31.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 4 | \$159.56 |
| Fuel Tank, Anchored | 1033 | Fuel tank for operating incinerators and/or gasifiers. Materials only. | Gallons | \$5.50 | 285 | \$1,567.50 |
| Incinerator, $400 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day | 1625 | Poultry and livestock incinerator with an approximate chamber capacity of 400 pounds per day. Includes equipment and after burner only. | Each | \$11,971.00 | 1 | \$11,971.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility
Scenario: \#20-Medium- High Animal Composter

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to composting of medium-sized animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a series of concrete bins, open on one end, on top of a concrete pad, to compost mortality in static piles with sufficient bulking material to allow natural aeration. The producer will be managing the composting with heavy equipment, requiring durable, concrete walls. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements, to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility. Typical scenario design uses the process outlined in the Illinois supplement to Chapter 10 of the Ag Waste Field Handbook (IL651.1007(f)), using a volume factor of 20 cubic feet. Animals being composted are grow-finish swine at an average weight of 165 lb , and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is $4 \%$, or $87 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{day}$ for a $2400-\mathrm{head}$ operation with 2 turns per year. The resulting typical design has twelve bins, each 10' x 9.8' by 5'7' high (reference standard drawing IL-ENG-149). Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal re-grading and compaction, installing gravel or sand sub base and then concrete.

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Potential Associated Practices: Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), Diversion (362), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606).

Feature Measure: pounds of dead animals per day
Scenario Unit: Pounds per Day
Scenario Typical Size: 87.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$30,956.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$355.82

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 20 | \$9,470.20 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 37 | \$19,820.90 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 48 | \$116.16 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 48 | \$184.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 16 | \$638.24 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility

## Scenario: \#21-Large Animal Composter

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to composting of larger animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a concrete pad sized for composting animal mortality in windrow(s), including equipment access to the material. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility.Typical scenario design is Example 4 from the Ohio Livestock and Poultry Mortality Composting Manual. Animals being composted are cattle at an average weight of $1,400 \mathrm{lb}$, and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is $20 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day. The windrow system includes a primary and a secondary composting operation, with 30 days' worth of storage. The resulting typical design is a $25^{\prime} \times 60$ concrete pad, 5 ' thick, with light reinforcement. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal regrading and compaction, installing gravel or sand sub base and then concrete. An earthen berm ( 2 ' tall, $4^{\prime}$ topwidth with 2:1 side slopes) around three sides of the facility captures any leachate.

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan is formulated for either normal or catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Potential Associated Practices: Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), Diversion (362), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606).

Feature Measure: pounds of dead animals per day
Scenario Unit: Pounds per Day

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,195.04
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$609.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 23 | \$10,890.73 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 56 | \$135.52 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 107 | \$410.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 19 | \$757.91 |

Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility
Scenario: \#22-Small Animal Composter

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to composting of small animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a series of concrete bins, open on one end, on top of a concrete pad, to compost mortality in static piles with sufficient bulking material to allow natural aeration. The producer will be managing the composting with heavy equipment, requiring durable, concrete walls. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements, to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility. Typical scenario design uses the Indiana NRCS composter design spreadsheet process. Animals being composted are poultry at an average weight of 3 lb , and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is $4 \%$, or $267 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day for a 100,000 -chicken operation with a 45 day cycle time. The resulting typical design has four bins, each 10 ' $x 9.8$ by 5'7' high (reference standard drawing IL-ENG-161. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal regrading and compaction, installing gravel or sand sub base and then concrete.

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Potential Associated Practices: Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), Diversion (362), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606).

Feature Measure: pounds of dead animals per day
Scenario Unit: Pounds per Day
Scenario Typical Size: 267.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,286.09$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$38.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 7 | \$3,314.57 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 11 | \$5,892.70 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 18 | \$43.56 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 18 | \$69.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 6 | \$239.34 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility
Scenario: \#23-Medium - Low Animal Composter

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to composting of medium-sized animals, regardless of technology; each state is responsible for determining the size range of the animals to which this scenario applies. The typical scenario is a series of concrete bins, open on one end, on top of a concrete pad, to compost mortality in static piles with sufficient bulking material to allow natural aeration. The producer will be managing the composting with heavy equipment, requiring durable, concrete walls. Facility sizing parameters include primary and secondary composting area requirements, to allow piles to be turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Where needed, use Practice Standard 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection adjacent to the composting facility for protected access, and Practice Standard 362 - Diversion to divert surface flow away from the facility. Typical scenario design uses the process outlined in the Illinois supplement to Chapter 10 of the Ag Waste Field Handbook (IL651.1007(f)), using a volume factor of 10 cubic feet. Animals being composted are large poultry at an average weight of 12 lbs , and the average mortality rate (death loss) for the operation is $7 \%$, or $108 \mathrm{lbs} /$ day for a $14,500-$ head operation with 3 turns per year. The resulting typical design has four bins, each 14' x 9.33' by 5' high. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, minimal re-grading and compaction, installing gravel or sand sub base and then concrete.

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Potential Associated Practices: Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), Diversion (362), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606).

Feature Measure: pounds of dead animals per day
Scenario Unit: Pounds per Day
Scenario Typical Size: 108.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 17,277.81$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$159.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 18 | \$8,523.18 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 14 | \$7,499.80 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 27 | \$65.34 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 27 | \$103.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 9 | \$359.01 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility

Scenario: \#59 - Forced Air Composting with mortality preprocessing ??? poultry/turkey Unit

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a manufactured Forced Air Composting with mortality preprocessing ??? poultry/turkey Unit designed to handle up to 1200 Ibs of average daily poultry/turkey mortality. The unit consist of a concrete slab with cut in forced aeration tubes, a mortality shredding/grinding machine, area for storage of 2nd stage compost and composting material, interior concrete wall to aid in handling the compost, and a roof over the entire system. The unit will be certified by a PE to meet state requirements. After determining average daily mortality in lbs, select the size unit needed from manufacturer supplied sizing table to determine square footage of facility needed. Payment made per unit square footage size obtained from manufacturers' product literature. This option is considered advanced mortality treatment and will require a smaller building footprint ( $75-50 \%$ less) than a roofed static compost pile with concrete floor and bins. Forced aeration compost facilities will also typically have reduced odor and process mortality in less time that static bin composting. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Access Road (560), Waste Storage Facility (313), Nutrient Management (590), Roofs and Covers (367), Critical Area Planting (342).

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Selected method for carcass treatment and disposal meet or are permitted by federal, state, and local laws, rules, regulation.

Feature Measure: Sq Feet of roof covered facility
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,970.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$203,865.30

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$68.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forced Air Composting with Mortality Preprocessing Poultry/turkey Unit | 2771 | This force air with mortality preprocessing unit includes a preprocessing mortality shredder grinder capable of processing poultry carcasses, a roof over the composting area, and a concrete pad with forced air bins and secondary storage area. The facility can treat from 600 to 1600 lbs mortality daily with the square foot size of the facility dependent on the lbs of mortality and the number of days required secondary storage (see the sizing table). | Square Feet | \$73.07 | 2790 | \$203,865.30 |

## Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility

Scenario: \#60 - Forced Air Composting with mortality preprocessing Unit for Sow-Finisher Animal Size

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a manufactured Forced Air Composting with mortality preprocessing Unit for sow/hog finisher sized animal designed to handle up to 900 lbs of average daily sow/finisher mortality. The unit consist of a concrete slab with cut in forced aeration tubes, a mortality shredding/grinding machine capable of handling larger animals, area for storage of 2nd stage compost and composting material, interior concrete wall to aid in handling the compost, and a roof over the entire system. The unit will be certified by a PE to meet state requirements. After determining average daily mortality in lbs, select the size unit needed from manufacturer supplied sizing table to determine square footage of facility needed. This typical design assumed 90 days of storage needed. Payment made per unit square footage size obtained from manufacturers' product literature. This option is considered advanced mortality treatment and will requires a smaller building footprint (75-50\% less) than a roofed static compost pile with concrete floor and bins. Forced aeration compost facilities will also typically have reduced odor and process mortality in less time than static bin composting. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Access Road (560), Waste Storage Facility (313), Nutrient Management (590), Roofs and Covers (367), Critical Area Planting (342).

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Selected method for carcass treatment and disposal meet or are permitted by federal, state, and local laws, rules, regulation.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of roof covered forced

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,510.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$263,495.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$75.07
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Materials

Forced Air Composting with
Mortality Preprocessing -
Sow/finisher Unit

2772 This Sow/Finisher force air with mortality preprocessing unit includes a $\quad$ Square Feet $\quad \$ 75.07 \quad 3510 \quad \$ 263,495.70$ preprocessing mortality shredder grinder capable of processing hog carcasses, a roof over the composting area, and a concrete pad with forced air bins and secondary storage area. The facility can treat from 300 to 1000 lbs mortality daily with the square foot size of the facility dependent on the lbs of mortality and the number of days required secondary storage (see the sizing table).

Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility
Scenario: \#77-Poultry mortality thermal dehydration - Large

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a manufactured mortality thermal dehydration unit designed to handle 2,000 lbs of average daily mortality for the species and size of the operation. System shall use simultaneous mixing and heating to 194 degrees Fahrenheit and will result in approximately $60 \%$ mortality volume reduction over a 12hour cycle time. After determining average daily mortality in lbs, select the smallest unit that meets capacity. Payment made based on the size of the unit obtained from manufacturers' product literature. This option is not typically least-cost. In most states a roofed static compost pile with concrete floor and bins would be considered least cost. Therefore consider reducing payment rate as per State Conservationist discretion. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed, however, in non-attainment areas, certain states may require a higher level of processing such as gasification or other approved methods. Potential Associated Practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Access Road (560), Waste Storage Facility (313), Nutrient Management (590), Roofs and Covers (367), Critical Area Planting (342).

Before Situation:
Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

After Situation:
Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete incineration, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Selected method for carcass treatment and disposal meet or are permitted by federal, state, and local laws, rules, regulation. Typical thermal dehydrator installed to handle $2,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ per day average mortality for a poultry operation. Included is a 20 'x20' concrete slab to set the thermal dehydrator on. Thermally dehydrated materials to be stored in suitable containers until land disposal as per the nutrient management plan or landfilled.

Feature Measure: Number of units
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$86,630.78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$86,630 | 30.78 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 8 | \$3,788.08 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 16 | \$38.72 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 2 | \$248.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 8 | \$319.12 |
| Thermal Dehydrator, Large | 2807 | Poultry mortality thermal dehydrator with an capacity greater than 1,300 pounds per day. Includes equipment, shipping, and installation. | Each | \$80,662.00 | 1 | \$80,662.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 316 - Animal Mortality Facility
Scenario: \#78-Poultry mortality thermal dehydration - Small

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of installing a manufactured mortality thermal dehydration unit designed to handle up to 1,300 lbs of average daily mortality for the species and size of the operation. System shall use simultaneous mixing and heating to 194 degrees Fahrenheit and will result in approximately $60 \%$ mortality volume reduction over a 12 hour cycle time. After determining average daily mortality in lbs, select the smallest unit that meets capacity. Payment made based on the size of the unit obtained from manufacturers' product literature. This option is not typically least-cost. In most states a roofed static compost pile with concrete floor and bins would be considered least cost. Therefore consider reducing payment rate as per State Conservationist discretion. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed, however, in non-attainment areas, certain states may require a higher level of processing such as gasification or other approved methods. Potential Associated Practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Access Road (560), Waste Storage Facility (313), Nutrient Management (590), Roofs and Covers (367), Critical Area Planting (342).

Before Situation:
Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for both normal and catastrophic mortality events.

After Situation:
Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete incineration, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. Selected method for carcass treatment and disposal meet or are permitted by federal, state, and local laws, rules, regulation. Typical thermal dehydrator installed to handle up to 1300 lbs per day average mortality for a poultry operation. Included is a 16 'x16' concrete slab to set the thermal dehydrator on. Thermally dehydrated materials to be stored in suitable containers until land disposal as per the nutrient management plan or landfilled.

Feature Measure: Number of units

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$71,384.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$71,384.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 5 | \$2,367.55 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 10 | \$24.20 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 2 | \$248.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 5 | \$199.45 |
| Thermal Dehydrator, Small | 2806 | Poultry mortality thermal dehydrator with a capacity less than or equal to 1,300 pounds per day. Includes equipment, shipping, and installation. | Each | \$66,970.00 | 1 | \$66,970.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#1 - Concrete Slab Under Wood Bin Dividers
Scenario Description:
A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a concrete slab under wooden bin dividers. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad and bin construction. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality
Facility.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

## After Situation:

Manure, litter and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario is based upon a 40 ' $\times 56^{\prime}$ concrete slab with 5 ' high bin dividers, and 5 bins (configured 2 at $20^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ and 3 at $20^{\prime} \times 18.5^{\prime}$ ). Preparation includes stripping the top $1^{\prime}$ of soil and roll compact same back into sub-floor. The bins are constructed on a $5^{\prime}$ concrete slab used to store and stabilize manure, litter and other agricultural by-products. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).

Feature Measure: Cubic Foot of Storage
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 11,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$30,550.39
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.73
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 5 | \$1,039.35 |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 35 | \$16,572.85 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 83 | \$200.86 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 83 | \$318.72 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 10 | \$540.70 |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 10 | \$94.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 90 | \$2,577.60 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 10 | \$319.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 28 | \$1,116.92 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 2085 | \$3,565.35 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 1032 | \$3,477.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#2 - Concrete Slab Under Concrete Bin Dividers

## Scenario Description:

A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a concrete slab under concrete bin dividers. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad and bin construction. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality
Facility.

Before Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

## After Situation:

Manure, litter and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario is based upon a 40 ' $\times 56^{\prime}$ concrete slab with 5 ' high bin dividers, and 5 bins (configured 2 at $20^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ and 3 at $20^{\prime} \times 18.5^{\prime}$ ). Preparation includes stripping the top $1^{\prime}$ of soil and roll compact same back into sub-floor. The bins are constructed on a 5 ' concrete slab used to store and stabilize manure, litter and other agricultural by-products. Note regarding scenario for concrete walls versus wood walls: the more sturdy concrete walls are necessary in situations where a producer is managing the composting with heavy equipment that would easily damage and compromise the integrity of wooden walls.Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).

Feature Measure: Cubic Foot of Storage
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 11,200.00


Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#3-Compacted Earth Pad

## Scenario Description:

A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a compacted earth pad. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad construction. This scenario is applicable when geological, soil, and climate conditions are appropriate for earth floors and are allowed by state and local regulations. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality
Facility.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario consists of removing and compacting back into place the top $1^{\prime}$ of soil to create a compacted, impervious earthen floor to act as a working area to compost organic material in a static pile, windrow, that has sufficient carbon based bulking material to allow natural aeration. Piles typically turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Construct a 75 'x 226 ' earthen surface on an improved compacted earthen surface. Include sufficient area for processing equipment access. Single piles or windrows to minimize runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and reinstalling topsoil, compacted.

Potential Associated Practices:
Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: $16,950.00$

| Scenario Total Cost: \$4,670.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 630 | \$1,524.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 630 | \$2,419.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 | 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 317-Composting Facility

## Scenario: \#4 - Concrete Pad

## Scenario Description:

A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a concrete pad. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad construction. This scenario is applicable when geological, soil, climate conditions or state and local regulations prohibit the use of an earthen surface. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality
Facility.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored temporarily, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario consists of removing and compacting back into place the top $1^{\prime}$ of soil to create a compacted, impervious earthen floor to act as a working area to compost organic material in a static pile, windrow, that has sufficient carbon based bulking material to allow natural aeration. Piles typically turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Construct a $75^{\prime} \times 226$ ' concrete surface 5 ' thick on an improved compacted earthen surface. Include sufficient area for processing equipment access. Single piles or windrows to minimize runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and reinstalling topsoil, compacted. Note regarding scenario for concrete versus just earthen pad: concrete pads are necessary in situations such as, but not limited to, a site with soils that are permeable, karst, frequently accessed or have regulatory requirements that do not allow for an earthen surface. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 16,950.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$134,790.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.95
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 260 | \$123,112.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 630 | \$1,524.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 315 | \$1,209.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 206 | \$8,217.34 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#5 - Compacted Gravel Pad, 6 inch compacted gravel

## Scenario Description:

A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a 6' compacted gravel pad. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad construction. This scenario is applicable when geological, soil, climate conditions or state and local regulations prohibit the use of an earthen surface, but does not require a hard working surface such as concrete. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality Facility.

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario consists of installing a gravel pad over impervious soil to act as a working area to compost organic material in a static pile, windrow, that has sufficient carbon based bulking material to allow natural aeration. Piles typically turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Construct a $75^{\prime} \times 226^{\prime}$ area on an improved gravel surface. Sub base material sufficiently compacted or improved. Include sufficient area for processing equipment access. Single piles or windrows to minimize runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and installing 6' of compacted gravel.

Potential Associated Practices:
Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 16,950.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$18,059.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 1883 | \$2,033.64 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 630 | \$1,524.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 315 | \$1,209.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 315 | \$12,565.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#6 - Compacted Gravel Pad, 8 inch compacted gravel

## Scenario Description:

A composting facility for manure and other agricultural organic by-products designed with a 8' compacted gravel pad. Composter is installed to address water quality concerns and results in a composted product that can be used in multiple ways. Payment includes materials and equipment necessary for pad construction. This scenario is applicable when geological, soil, climate conditions or state and local regulations prohibit the use of an earthen surface, but does not require a hard working surface such as concrete. If a roof is to be included in the installation refer to Practice Standard 367 - Roofs and Covers. Not to be used for animal mortality composting. All animal mortality composting shall be done using Practice Standard 316 - Animal Mortality Facility.

## Before Situation

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers.

After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled, by the collection at the source, and stored properly, at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are disposed of or utilized in a proper manner. This scenario consists of installing a gravel pad over impervious soil to act as a working area to compost organic material in a static pile, windrow, that has sufficient carbon based bulking material to allow natural aeration. Piles typically turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to final disposal, typically land application. Construct a $75^{\prime} \times 226^{\prime}$ area on an improved gravel surface. Sub base material sufficiently compacted or improved. Include sufficient area for processing equipment access. Single piles or windrows to minimize runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and installing 8' of compacted gravel. Note regarding use of 8 ' gravel versus 6 ' gravel: Required to meet specific state
standard. Potential Associated

Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for water control (587), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635).

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 16,950.00

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.31

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 1883 | \$2,033.64 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 630 | \$1,524.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 315 | \$1,209.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 421 | \$16,793.69 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#19-Small Farm Pad + Bins

## Scenario Description:

The typical facility size is 6 feet by 9 feet and is comprised of a two-bin system, NOT TO EXCEED 75 sq- ft . The composting facility is installed on a small, urban or organic farm to address water quality concerns, pest/rodent concerns, and disease vectors resulting from improper vegetative waste disposal by providing a dedicated facility for storage and treatment, and by creating a compost product that can be used in multiple ways including land application for enrichment of crop ground. Screening is provided to limit access by vermin. Cost may be higher per unit than traditional compost facilities due to construction access limitations.

Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil (520), Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner (521), Pond Sealing or Lining, Concrete (522), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for Water Control (587), Diversion (362), Livestock Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635), Stormwater Runoff Control (570).

## Before Situation:

Manure and other vegetative waste are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed. This situation poses an environmental threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters.
After Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled by collection at the source and properly stored at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. This is incorporated as part of the overall waste management system meeting the National Engineering Handbook (NEH), Part 651, Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook (AWMFH) that has been developed to also account for end use of the product from the composting facility. This scenario consists of installing a composting structure on a concrete pad. Concrete pad is 6'x9' on a compacted gravel surface. Include sufficient area for accessing compost structure. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations. Site preparation includes topsoil removal, compaction of subsoil, and installing a geotextile plus compacted gravel, concrete pad, and composting structure.

Feature Measure: Square Foot Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 54.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,066.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$75.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 6 | \$6.48 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 5 | \$120.55 |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 30 | \$1,204.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 15 | \$689.55 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 2 | \$79.78 |
| Concrete mix, bag | 1226 | Pre-mixed dry concrete mix in 60 pound bag. Materials only. | Each | \$5.20 | 42 | \$218.40 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, untreated, rot resistant | 1612 | Untreated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches, milled from rot resistant species such as cedar. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$4.84 | 264 | \$1,277.76 |

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#56-Small-Medium Farm Pad

## Scenario Description:

The typical facility size is 24 feet by 60 feet with a concrete slab. The formed wall is 108 ' in length by $6.5^{\prime}$ tall by 8 ' thick. NOT TO EXCEED 3,000 sq-ft. The composting facility is installed on a small-medium size, urban or organic farm to address water quality concerns, pest/rodent concerns, and disease vectors resulting from improper vegetative waste disposal by providing a dedicated facility for storage and treatment, and by creating a compost product that can be used in multiple ways including land application for enrichment of crop ground. Screening is provided to limit access by vermin. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil (520), Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner (521), Pond Sealing or Lining, Concrete (522), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Structure for Water Control (587), Diversion (362), Livestock Pipeline (516), Subsurface Drain (606), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Underground Outlet (620) and Vegetative Treatment Area (635), Stormwater Runoff Control (570).

## Before Situation:

Manure and other vegetative waste are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed. This situation poses an environmental threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwaters.

## After Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are being controlled by collection at the source and properly stored at an environmentally suitable location, until such time that they are utilized in a proper manner, typically in accordance with a nutrient management plan. This is incorporated as part of the overall waste management system meeting the National Engineering Handbook (NEH), Part 651, Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook (AWMFH) that has been developed to also account for end use of the product from the composting facility. This scenario consists of installing a composting structure on a concrete pad. Concrete pad is 24 'x60' on a compacted gravel surface. Include sufficient area for accessing compost structure. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area or vegetated treatment area as per regulations.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Floor Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: $1,440.00$

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$23,841.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$16.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 27 | \$12,784.77 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 18 | \$9,642.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 53 | \$128.26 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 27 | \$103.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 22.4 | \$893.54 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 317-Composting Facility
Scenario: \#65-In-vessel Composter 1 CY to 8 CY

## Scenario Description:

Installation of an in-vessel composter (rotary drum, forced air, or containerized with mechanical turning) to facilitate the decomposition of manure and/or other organic material into a final product sufficiently stable for storage, on farm use and application to land as a soil amendment. The raw inputs are primarily obtained for agricultural production or processing. The compost can be reused in the operation, utilized for crop production, soil improvement and/or marketed to the public. Typical size is for an in-vessel composter with a drum capacity of 4 CY with an approximate width of 4 ft and length of 10 ft . The drum capacity is typically $85 \%$ of the nominal dimensions of the drum. This includes a concrete foundation for the composter of $6 \mathrm{ft} \times 20 \mathrm{ft}$ to facilitate an area to collect finished compost. A secondary storage facility may require additional bin storage, which is not included. This scenario does not apply to routine disposal of livestock or poultry carcasses. Potential associated practices: Roofs and Covers (367), Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590)

Before Situation:
Raw materials are stockpiled on-site and hauled to a landfill or directly to a field without treatment. Odors and vectors are routinely an issue following rain events.
After Situation:
An in-vessel composter with a drum capacity of 4 CY is installed to facilitate the composting of the organic materials as described. Potential for runoff, vectors, and odors are significantly reduced. The compost material is more stable and can be reused as described in the standard.

Feature Measure: Drum Capacity
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 108.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$20,951.78
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$194.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 2.5 | \$1,183.78 |
| Composter, drum, 4 CY | 2036 | 4 CY drum composter unit. Includes equipment and operation controls and shipping. Labor not included. | Each | \$19,366.60 | 1 | \$19,366.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |

Practice: 318 - Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products
Scenario: \#5 - Poly Cover, Earthen Pad

## Scenario Description:

A compacted earthen pad is constructed to store wastes on a short-term basis between collection and utilization as part of an agricultural waste management system. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Potential Associated Practices: Nutrient Management (590), Waste Recycling (633)

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation and daily manure spreading operations are not possible due to weather. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being managed in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being stockpiled in environmentally vulnerable areas and not properly managed. This situation poses an environmental threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

Using a compacted earthen pad with a cover provides an environmentally safe meaure for temporarily managing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. This practice will address soil and water quality by reducing the pollution potential to soil, surface water and ground water. Typical design: volume of material temporarily stored 12,576 ft3, pad area $6,000 \mathrm{ft2}\left(60^{\prime} \mathrm{X} 100^{\prime}\right)$; $4^{\prime}$ width around edge of manure stack to properly anchor and cover the manure; footprint of manure pile: $52^{\prime} \mathrm{X} 92$ ', 6 ' manure stack height on $4: 1$ slopes; cover is a 6 mil poly film; $15^{\prime} \times 1 / 2^{\prime}$ dia auger anchors on 2 ' centers.

Feature Measure: Volume of stored manure solids
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 12,576.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,259.78
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 222 | \$852.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poly film, 6 mil. | 245 | 6 mil, polyethylene, black | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 222 | \$19.98 |
| Earthfill Material, purchased, common | 2060 | Purchased earthfill materials includes both silt or clay. Material only. | Cubic Yards | \$19.88 | 234 | \$4,651.92 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.18 | \$8.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 319-On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility
Scenario: \#8 - Double Wall Tank

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the replacement of an existing single wall fuel storage tank with a new double wall tank. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to the excessive release of organics into ground and surface waters or excessive sediment and turbidity in surface waters.Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561).

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation has an existing single wall fuel/oil storage tank(s) without any spill prevention protection. The producer has developed an SPCC plan in accordance with EPA requirements, which requires an above ground secondary containment facility for on-farm oil products.

## After Situation:

This scenario is based on the replacement of an existing single wall tank(s) with a new double wall tank(s). Installation of 'used' double wall tank(s) will not be allowed. A 3000 gallon horizontal or vertical antiroll tank (U/L 142-23 Secondary Containment Vessel) double walled which meets EPA regulations will be installed. Payment Schedule is based on the cost difference between a new single wall tank and new double wall tank of the same size. The double wall tank will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of oil products stored on the farm. Any accidental spills will be contained.

Feature Measure: Tank volume
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 3,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,191.51

## Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crane, truck mounted, hydraulic, 12 ton | 1734 | 12 ton capacity truck mounted hydraulic crane. Equipment cost only. | Hours | \$270.43 | 2 | \$540.86 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, storage tank, upgrade to a double wall from a single wall, horizontal, steel, above ground | 2260 | Replace a single wall with a double wall horizontal steel storage tank. Includes cradles, coating, fittings, labor, equipment. Excludes foundations, pumps or piping. | Gallons | \$3.04 | 3000 | \$9,120.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 319-On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility
Scenario: \#9 - Earthen Containment

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the construction of an earthen containment wall with a flexible membrane liner around an existing storage tank. The containment will not have a roof. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to the excessive release of organics into ground and surface waters or excessive sediment and turbidity in surface waters.Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561).

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation has a single walled fuel/oil storage tank(s) without any spill prevention protection. The producer has developed an SPCC plan in accordance with EPA requirements, which requires an above ground secondary containment facility for on-farm oil products.

## After Situation:

This scenario is based on containment for a 10,000 gallon tank. The containment will be lined with a flexible membrane liner. The containment volume is designed for $125 \%$ of the tank volume ( 10,000 gallons $X 125 \%=12,500$ gallons). The bottom dimensions of the containment are $40 \mathrm{ft} \times 24 \mathrm{ft}$. The wall is 2.5 feet high with a 2 ft top width and $2: 1$ sideslopes. The total volume of earthfill $=114 \mathrm{CY}$. The flexible liner size $=1,872 \mathrm{SF}$. Tanks will be moved or raised to install base materials. Hauled in earthfill will be used to construct the dike. The flexible liner will be installed in conformance with the design and specifications. The completed structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of oil products stored on the farm. Any accidental spills will be contained.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yard of compacted earthen w

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 114.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,522.28$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$65.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 126 | \$483.84 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 114 | \$376.20 |
| Crane, truck mounted, hydraulic, 12 ton | 1734 | 12 ton capacity truck mounted hydraulic crane. Equipment cost only. | Hours | \$270.43 | 2 | \$540.86 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 18 | \$718.02 |
| Geotextile, non-woven, heavy weight | 1210 | Non-woven greater than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.91 | 208 | \$397.28 |
| Synthetic Liner, 40 mil | 1387 | Synthetic 40 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$7.46 | 208 | \$1,551.68 |
| Fuel Containment Facility, Gate valve 2 inch diameter | 1735 | Metal 2 inch diameter gate valve. Materials only. | Each | \$755.11 | 1 | \$755.11 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 319-On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility
Scenario: \#10-Corrugated Metal Wall Containment

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the installation of a corrugated metal ring containment with a flexible membrane liner around an existing storage tank. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to the excessive release of organics into ground and surface waters or excessive sediment and turbidity in surface waters.Associated practices: Heavy Use Area Protection (561)

## Before Situation:

The agricultural operation has a single walled fuel/oil storage tank(s) without any spill prevention protection. The producer has developed an SPCC plan in accordance with EPA requirements, which requires an above ground secondary containment facility for on-farm oil products.

## After Situation:

This scenario is based on containment for a 10,000 gallon tank. The containment will be lined with a flexible membrane liner. The containment volume is designed for $125 \%$ of the tank volume ( 10,000 gallons $\times 125 \%=12,500$ gallons). The bottom dimensions of the containment are $26 \mathrm{ft} \times 24 \mathrm{ft}$. The corrugated panel wall is 2.75 feet high. The total area of wall $=275$ SF. The flexible liner size $=930$ SF. Tanks will be moved or raised to install base materials. The corrugated wall and flexible liner will be installed in conformance with the design and specifications. The completed structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of oil products stored on the farm. Any accidental spills will be contained.

Feature Measure: Square Ft of Corrugated Metal Wall
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 275.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 7,830.92$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28.48
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 1.5 | \$311.81 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 35 | \$134.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 2 | \$248.10 |
| Crane, truck mounted, hydraulic, 12 ton | 1734 | 12 ton capacity truck mounted hydraulic crane. Equipment cost only. | Hours | \$270.43 | 2 | \$540.86 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 96 | \$2,749.44 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 12 | \$478.68 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 27.6 | \$64.03 |
| Deactivated. Fuel Containment Facility, corrugated metal panel wall with membrane liner, variable cost portion | 1732 | Variable cost portion of a secondary fuel containment facility including metal panels, support posts and flexible liner. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$0.00 | 275 | \$0.00 |
| Fuel Containment Facility, Gate valve 2 inch diameter | 1735 | Metal 2 inch diameter gate valve. Materials only. | Each | \$755.11 | 1 | \$755.11 |
| Deactivated. Fuel Containment Facility, corrugated metal panel wall with membrane liner, fixed | 2061 | Fixed cost portion of a secondary fuel containment facility including metal panels, support posts and flexible liner. This portion is the base cost for the system. Materials only. | Each | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 319-On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility

## Scenario: \#11-Concrete Containment Wall

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the installation of a reinforced concrete wall containment with a concrete slab around an existing storage tank. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to the excessive release of organics into ground and surface waters or excessive sediment and turbidity in surface waters. Due to topography, limited site space and/or geological conditions a fabricated structure is needed. Structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of these products. Associated practices may include: Heavy Use Area Protection (561).

Before Situation:
Existing agricultural operation that has single walled fuel/oil storage tank(s) not protected. The producer has developed an SPCC plan in accordance with EPA requirements, that requires an above ground secondary containment facility for on-farm oil products, in order to control the excessive release of organics into ground and surface waters, or to control the excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water.

## After Situation:

This scenario is based on containment for a 4,700 gallon tank. The containment volume is designed for $125 \%$ of the tank volume ( 4,700 gallons $\mathrm{X} 125 \%=5,875$ gallons). Structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of these products. Typical containment dimensions are 196 sqft bottom x 6 thick slab with 6 ' thick x 4' tall formed sidewalls. Tanks will be moved or raised to install base materials. The fabricated containment structure will be installed in conformance with the design and specifications. The on-farm oil products stored on the farm have secondary containment of accidental release that controls the excessive release of organics, suspended sediments, and turbidity. Structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of these products.

Feature Measure: Volume of concrete in the wall

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 4.30
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,799.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,813.93
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 4.2 | \$1,988.74 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 4.3 | \$2,303.51 |
| Crane, truck mounted, hydraulic, 12 ton | 1734 | 12 ton capacity truck mounted hydraulic crane. Equipment cost only. | Hours | \$270.43 | 2 | \$540.86 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 4.2 | \$167.54 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 20.7 | \$48.02 |
| Fuel Containment Facility, Gate valve 2 inch diameter | 1735 | Metal 2 inch diameter gate valve. Materials only. | Each | \$755.11 | 1 | \$755.11 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 319-On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility

## Scenario: \#12 - Modular Block Containment Wall

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the installation of a modular block concrete wall containment with a flexible membrane liner over a 6 ' concrete floor. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to the excessive release of organics into ground and surface waters or excessive sediment and turbidity in surface waters. Due to topography, limited site space and/or geological conditions a fabricated structure is needed. Structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of these products. Associated practices may include: Heavy Use Area Protection (561),

Before Situation:
Existing agricultural operation that has single walled fuel/oil storage tank(s) without any spill prevention protection. The producer has developed an SPCC plan in accordance with EPA requirements, that requires an above ground secondary containment facility for on-farm oil products.

After Situation:
This scenario is based on containment for a 6,000 gallon tank. The containment volume is designed for $125 \%$ of the tank volume ( 6,000 gallons $\times 125 \%=7,500$ gallons). Structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of these products. The bottom dimensions of the containment are $26 \mathrm{ft} \times 24 \mathrm{ft}$. The $2 \mathrm{ft} \times 2 \mathrm{ft}$ $x 6 \mathrm{ft}$ modular blocks are stacked 2 high for a wall height of 4 ft . The containment area is $624 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. The flexible liner size with a 2 ft overlap and achored at the top of the modular block is 1224 sf . Tanks will be moved or raised to install base materials. The fabricated containment structure will be installed in conformance with the design and specifications. The on-farm oil products stored on the farm have secondary containment of accidental release that controls the excessive release of organics, suspended sediments, and turbidity. Structure will provide an environmentally safe facility for handling and storage of these products.

Feature Measure: secondary containment area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 624.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,623.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 16 | \$7,576.16 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 13 | \$77.87 |
| Crane, truck mounted, hydraulic, 12 ton | 1734 | 12 ton capacity truck mounted hydraulic crane. Equipment cost only. | Hours | \$270.43 | 2 | \$540.86 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 13 | \$492.96 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 12 | \$478.68 |
| Synthetic Liner, 40 mil | 1387 | Synthetic 40 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$7.46 | 136 | \$1,014.56 |
| Block, pre-cast concrete, modular | 1496 | Pre-cast concrete blocks, typically $2 \mathrm{ft} \times 2 \mathrm{ft} \times 6 \mathrm{ft}$, includes installation and delivery. | Cubic Yards | \$130.31 | 36 | \$4,691.16 |
| Fuel Containment Facility, Gate valve 2 inch diameter | 1735 | Metal 2 inch diameter gate valve. Materials only. | Each | \$755.11 | 1 | \$755.11 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 324 - Deep Tillage
Scenario: \#1-Deep Tillage less than 20 inches

## Scenario Description:

Fields ( 80 acres) with adverse soils conditions that restrict plant growth such as compacted layers caused by tillage operations or restrictive layers such as hardpans (duripans) in the root zone. This practice does not apply to normal tillage practices to prepare a seedbed but is meant to fracture the restrictive soil layer.

## Before Situation:

In this geographic area, crop plants are observed as having reduced yield, water is not infiltrating into the soil. Soil layers have been compacted by shallow tillage operations, or soils have a hardpan (duripan) layer that is restricting root growth. Typical field size is 80 acres with crop rotations consisting of annual row crops or small grains with conventional tillage or when the harvesting of row crops (onions, sugar beets, potato, and corn silage) use heavy trucks to assist with the harvest. Compaction has been caused when soil moisture is too wet for normal field operations or by excessive shallow tillage or field harvest haul traffic throughout the entire field. Soil structure has been reduced, aggregate strength is weak and soil biological activity is low. Soil organic matter is not adequate and the water holding capacity of the soil is limited for the desired root zone.

After Situation:
Soil compaction is measured with a penetrometer and visual observation of limiting root growth. Deep tillage operations such as subsoiling, paratilling or ripping are performed not as a part of the normal tillage operation for seedbed preparation, but used to relieve compaction at depths less than 20 inches. Soil moisture is less than 30 percent when deep tillage is used. The fractured zone will be sufficient to permit root penetration below the restrictive soil layer. Penetrometers are used to identify the severity (psi) of the compaction and the depth of the restrictive layer. Deep tillage is generally performed in the fall after crop harvest when soil conditions are dry. After deep tillage, harvest operations should be avoided when soil moisture is greater than $50 \%$ of field capacity. Field harvest haul traffic should be limited to end rows or haul roads. Using dual tires or tracks beneath tractors or grain wagons can help spread the weight load.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,114.25

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$26.43
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Ripper or subsoiler, 16 to 36 inch depth | 1235 | Deep ripper or subsoiler, (16-36 inches depth) includes tillage implement, power unit and labor. | Acres | \$22.44 | 80 | \$1,795.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

Practice: 324 - Deep Tillage
Scenario: \#2 - Deep Tillage more than 20 inches

## Scenario Description:

Fields ( 80 acres) with adverse soils conditions that restrict plant growth such as compacted layers caused by tillage operations or restrictive layers such as hardpans (duripans) in the root zone. This practice does not apply to normal tillage practices to prepare a seedbed but is meant to fracture the restrictive soil layer.

## Before Situation:

In this geographic area, crop plants are observed as having reduced yield, water is not infiltrating into the soil. Soil layers have been compacted by shallow tillage operations, or soils have a hardpan (duripan) layer that is restricting root growth. Typical field size is 80 acres with crop rotations consisting of annual row crops, orchard /vineyards or small grains with conventional tillage or when the harvesting of row crops (onions, sugar beets, potato, and corn silage) use heavy trucks to assist with the harvest. Orchards and vineyards may be deep ripped prior to establishment of perennial crop. Compaction has been caused when soil moisture is too wet for normal field operations or by excessive shallow tillage or field harvest haul traffic throughout the entire field. Soil structure has been reduced, aggregate strength is weak and soil biological activity is low. Soil organic matter is not adequate and the water holding capacity of the soil is limited for the desired root zone.

## After Situation:

Soil compaction is measured with a penetrometer and visual observation of limiting root growth. Deep tillage operations such as subsoiling, paratilling or ripping are performed not as a part of the normal tillage operation for seedbed preparation, but used to relieve compaction at depths more than 20 inches. Soil moisture is less than 30 percent when deep tillage is used. The fractured zone will be sufficient to permit root penetration below the restrictive soil layer. Penetrometers are used to identify the severity ( psi ) of the compaction and the depth of the restrictive layer. Deep tillage is generally performed in the fall after crop harvest when soil conditions are dry. When possible, harvest operations should be avoided when soil moisture is greater than $50 \%$ of field capacity. Field harvest haul traffic should be limited to end rows or haul roads. Using dual tires or tracks beneath tractors or grain wagons can help spread the weight load.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost:

## \$4,916.65

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$61.46
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Ripper or subsoiler, > 36 inch depth | 1236 | Deep ripper or subsoiler, (>36 inches depth) includes tillage implement, power unit and labor. | Acres | \$57.47 | 80 | \$4,597.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#72 - Small High Tunnel, Snow and Wind
Scenario Description:
Use in areas with expected snow and wind loads on sites less than 1 acre. Gothic-style (arched) manufactured frame of tubular steel (less than or equal to 20 ft x 30 ft .) covered with 4-year warrantee, 6 mil UV resistant plastic. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. Associated practices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Primary resource concern addressed will be plant health and vigor.
After Situation:
High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved.

Feature Measure: Area of High Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,948.70$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.25
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 57 | \$1,632.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Tunnel, Gothic Style, Fixed Cost | 2791 | Fixed cost portion of a gothic style high tunnel. Includes heavy-duty, gothic framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, rollup sides, lumber, and polylock for sides and ends for a gothic style (peaked top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$2,437.62 | 1 | \$2,437.62 |
| High Tunnel, Gothic Style, Variable Cost | 2792 | Variable cost portion of a Gothic style high tunnel. Includes heavy-duty, gothic framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, rollup sides, lumber, and polylock for sides and ends for a gothic style (peaked top) hoop house. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.83 | 600 | \$2,298.00 |
| High Tunnel, End Walls | 2799 | Includes frame, polyvinyl covering, and appurtenances. Price is for two end walls based on the width of the structure. Manufactured doors not included. Includes material and shipping only. | Feet | \$52.93 | 20 | \$1,058.60 |
| High Tunnel, Truss Supports | 2800 | Rafter or truss support system on Seasonal High Tunnels to add strength for wind or snow load. Based on the area of the structure (square feet). Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.87 | 600 | \$522.00 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#121-High Tunnel System

## Scenario Description:

A manufactured frame of tubular steel ( $30 \times 72 \mathrm{ft}$.) covered with 4 -year 6 mil plastic. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. Associatedpractices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Additional resource concerns that may need to be addressed include; soil erosion, soil condition, water quality, water quantity, plant condition, and energy use.

## After Situation:

High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved. Plant health and vigor is improved and there is decreased energy use by producing food locally.

Feature Measure: Area of Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$16,468.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.62
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Tunnel, Gothic Style, Fixed Cost | 2791 | Fixed cost portion of a gothic style high tunnel. Includes heavy-duty, gothic framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4 -year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, rollup sides, lumber, and polylock for sides and ends for a gothic style (peaked top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$2,437.62 | 1 | \$2,437.62 |
| High Tunnel, Gothic Style, Variable Cost | 2792 | Variable cost portion of a Gothic style high tunnel. Includes heavy-duty, gothic framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, rollup sides, lumber, and polylock for sides and ends for a gothic style (peaked top) hoop house. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.83 | 2160 | \$8,272.80 |
| High Tunnel, End Walls | 2799 | Includes frame, polyvinyl covering, and appurtenances. Price is for two end walls based on the width of the structure. Manufactured doors not included. Includes material and shipping only. | Feet | \$52.93 | 30 | \$1,587.90 |
| High Tunnel, Truss Supports | 2800 | Rafter or truss support system on Seasonal High Tunnels to add strength for wind or snow load. Based on the area of the structure (square feet). Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.87 | 2160 | \$1,879.20 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#123-Small High Tunnel, Low Snow and Wind
Scenario Description:
Use in areas with low expected snow and wind loads on sites less than 1 acre. Quonset-style (round) manufactured frame of tubular steel (less than or equal to 20 ft x 30 ft.) covered with 4 -year warrantee, 6 mil UV resistant plastic. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. Associated practices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Primary resource concern addressed will be plant health and vigor.
After Situation:
High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved.

Feature Measure: Area of High Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,439.49$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.07
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 57 | \$1,632.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Tunnel, Quonset Style, Fixed Cost | 2789 | Fixed cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$1,947.01 | 1 | \$1,947.01 |
| High Tunnel, Quonset style, Variable Cost | 2790 | Variable cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.10 | 600 | \$1,860.00 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#124-Small High Tunnel, Intensive Sun

## Scenario Description:

Use in areas with low expected snow and wind loads on sites less than 1 acre. Quonset-style (round) manufactured frame of tubular steel (less than or equal to 20 ft x 30 ft.) covered with 4 -year warrantee, 6 mil UV resistant plastic. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. Associated practices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Primary resource concern addressed will be plant health and vigor.
After Situation:
High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved.

Feature Measure: Area of High Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,619.49$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 57 | \$1,632.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Tunnel, Quonset Style, Fixed Cost | 2789 | Fixed cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$1,947.01 | 1 | \$1,947.01 |
| High Tunnel, Quonset style, Variable Cost | 2790 | Variable cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.10 | 600 | \$1,860.00 |
| Shade cloth | 2793 | Knitted or woven, high tensile, UV resistant shade cloth of a minimum of $30 \%$ sunlight control. Includes grommets with reinforced edging. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.30 | 600 | \$180.00 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#125-High Tunnel Round with Gutters

## Scenario Description:

Use in areas with low expected snow and wind loads. Quonset-style (round) manufactured frame of tubular steel ( $30 \times 72 \mathrm{ft}$.) covered with 4 -year 6 mil plastic. Gutters and downspout on each side direct water away from high tunnel. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications.Associated practices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Primary resource concern addressed will be plant health and vigor.
After Situation:
High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved.

Feature Measure: Area of Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,160.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,987.65$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 71 | \$2,033.44 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gutter, Downspout, PVC, 5 in. | 1388 | 5 inch PVC guttering. Materials only. | Feet | \$1.28 | 12 | \$15.36 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 48 | \$161.76 |
| Gutter, Galvanized Steel, Medium | 1693 | Galvanized Steel gutter, 7 to 9 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$14.82 | 144 | \$2,134.08 |
| High Tunnel, Quonset Style, Fixed Cost | 2789 | Fixed cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$1,947.01 | 1 | \$1,947.01 |
| High Tunnel, Quonset style, Variable Cost | 2790 | Variable cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.10 | 2160 | \$6,696.00 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#126-Small Tunnel with Gutter

## Scenario Description:

Use in areas with low expected snow and wind loads. Quonset-style (round) manufactured frame of tubular steel ( $30 \times 72 \mathrm{ft}$.) covered with 4 -year 6 mil plastic. Runoff is captured in gutters placed on tunnel sides and conveys water away from the high tunnel reducing erosion or to catch and reuse water. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. Associated practices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Primary resource concern addressed will be plant health and vigor.

## After Situation:

High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved. Gutters convey water to reduce soil erosion, ponding near the high tunnel, and or catchment for water reuse.

Feature Measure: Area of High Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,447.83$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 57 | \$1,632.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gutter, Downspout, PVC, 5 in. | 1388 | 5 inch PVC guttering. Materials only. | Feet | \$1.28 | 12 | \$15.36 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 22 | \$74.14 |
| Gutter, Galvanized Steel, Medium | 1693 | Galvanized Steel gutter, 7 to 9 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$14.82 | 62 | \$918.84 |
| High Tunnel, Quonset Style, Fixed Cost | 2789 | Fixed cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$1,947.01 | 1 | \$1,947.01 |
| High Tunnel, Quonset style, Variable Cost | 2790 | Variable cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.10 | 600 | \$1,860.00 |

Practice: 325 - High Tunnel System
Scenario: \#127-Small Gothic HT with Gutter

## Scenario Description:

Use in areas with low expected snow and wind loads on sites less than 1 acre. Gothic-style (arched) manufactured frame of tubular steel (less than or equal to 20 ft $\times 30 \mathrm{ft}$.) covered with 4 -year warrantee, 6 mil UV resistant plastic. Gutter placed on each side to capture and convey runoff away from the tunnel. Costs are based on purchase of manufactured kit and landowner installation of structure. Structure must be installed to manufacturer's specifications. Associated practices might include CPS Roof Runoff Structure (588), Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342), Mulching (484).

Before Situation:
Cropland where extension of the growing season is needed. Primary resource concern addressed will be plant health and vigor.

## After Situation:

High Tunnel structure has been installed and the growing season has been extended for 1-4 months on average. Plant health and vigor is improved. Gutter system reduces soil erosion and ponding and or conveys water to a catchment for reuse.

Feature Measure: Area of High Tunnel Installed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,373.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.29
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 57 | \$1,632.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gutter, Downspout, PVC, 5 in. | 1388 | 5 inch PVC guttering. Materials only. | Feet | \$1.28 | 12 | \$15.36 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 21 | \$70.77 |
| Gutter, Galvanized Steel, Medium | 1693 | Galvanized Steel gutter, 7 to 9 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$14.82 | 62 | \$918.84 |
| High Tunnel, Gothic Style, Fixed Cost | 2791 | Fixed cost portion of a gothic style high tunnel. Includes heavy-duty, gothic framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4-year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, rollup sides, lumber, and polylock for sides and ends for a gothic style (peaked top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only. | Number | \$2,437.62 | 1 | \$2,437.62 |
| High Tunnel, Gothic Style, Variable Cost | 2792 | Variable cost portion of a Gothic style high tunnel. Includes heavy-duty, gothic framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4 -year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, rollup sides, lumber, and polylock for sides and ends for a gothic style (peaked top) hoop house. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$3.83 | 600 | \$2,298.00 |

Practice: 326-Clearing and Snagging
Scenario: \#1-Clearing and Snagging

## Scenario Description:

Removal of vegetation, logs, or other material that impedes the proper functioning along a length of stream channel or water course to restore flow capacity; prevent bank erosion by eddies; reduce the formation of sediment bars; and/or minimize blockages by debris. Addresses resource concerns such as water quantity and soil erosionstreambanks.

Before Situation:
Vegetation, logs, or other material provide a flow restriction or divert flowing water against the streambank causing excess erosion. Approximately one-third to two-thirds of the channel flow capacity is obstructed. The flow blockage may encourage depostion in the main channel and may alter the established flow channel.

## After Situation:

Vegetation, logs, or other material have been removed to allow unrestricted flow in the channel and appurtenant structures. Material that poses no blockage threat is left in place to enhance aquatic habitat. Channel bed and banks are in equillibrium with the flow.

Feature Measure: Length of Channel
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,505.02
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$18.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 10 | \$953.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 10 | \$1,240.50 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Truck, dump, 8 CY | 1401 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 12 ton or 8 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$52.49 | 10 | \$524.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 32 | \$1,022.08 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#1-Introduced Species

## Scenario Description:

The land is covered with permanent non-native grass vegetation resulting in reduced soil erosion and water/sediment runoff, and the elimination of dust emissions which improves air quality significantly. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton may be conventionally or organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceed allowable tolerance, sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent non-native grass vegetation resulting in reduced soil erosion and water/sediment runoff, and the elimination of significant dust emissions which improves air quality. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,562.50$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 150 | \$2,106.00 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 50 | \$361.50 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 50 | \$1,286.50 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Nitrate | 69 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Nitrate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.70 | 2500 | \$1,750.00 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 2000 | \$1,620.00 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 50 | \$2,388.00 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#2 - Native Species

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) intensive cropping system to permanent native vegetation (scenario includes native grass). The typical size of the practice is 50 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts. Applies to conventional or organic systems

Before Situation:
Crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton may be conventionally or organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent native grass vegetation which reduces soil erosion and water/sediment runoff, and eliminates dust emissions which improves air quality. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,478.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$249.56

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 150 | \$2,106.00 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 100 | \$2,573.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 50 | \$6,748.50 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#3 - Orchard or Vineyard Alleyways

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on orchards and vineyards needing permanent protective cover in the alleyways between tree and vine rows. The typical size of this practice is 20 acres. This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) intensive cropping system to permanent vegetation (scenario includes non-native grass and legume mix). This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, enhance wildlife and/or pollinator habitat, manage plant pests, and reduce air quality impacts. Typically $60 \%$ of the surface area is conservation cover per acre.

Before Situation:
Orchard or vineyard with bare soil between vine/tree rows. Bare soil is exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter sediment/nutrient runoff from orchards/vineyards increases. Soil erosion exceeds tolerable levels. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of long periods of bare soil. Little to no wildlife/pollinator habitat is present.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and has been applied. Orchard or Vineyard area between vine/tree rows are planted with permanent introduced grass/legume mix. Area covered has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and improved air quality as a result of the elimination of significant amounts of dust emissions.. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects, pollinators, and wildlife.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,001.68$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$150.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 24 | \$336.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 12 | \$86.76 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 24 | \$617.52 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 12 | \$252.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Nitrate | 69 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Nitrate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.70 | 600 | \$420.00 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 480 | \$388.80 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 480 | \$326.40 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 12 | \$573.12 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover

## Scenario: \#4 - Pollinator Species

## Scenario Description:

Permanent vegetation, including a mix of native grasses, legumes, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species), established on any land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides habitat for pollinators. Typical practice size is variable depending on site; this scenario uses 1 ac as the typical size. In addition to providing pollinator habitat, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet, rill, and wind erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

Before Situation:
Crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton may be conventionally or organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Erosion exceeds tolerable rates and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife or pollinator habitat.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. Land is covered with permanent pollinator habitat including a mix of native grasses, legumes, forbs (mix may also include non-native species). This practice may also have reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and improved air quality as a result of the elimination of dust emissions. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$769.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$769.07
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 1 | \$469.81 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#10-Conservation Cover for Water Quality and Wildlife, Foregone Income - Level 1 (Year 1)
Scenario Description:
Permanent vegetation, including a mix of introduced cool season grasses and legumes, established on cropped wetland area needing permanent vegetative cover that improves water quality and provides wetland wildlife habitat. Typical practice size is 2 acres. Practice applicable on cropland.

Before Situation:
Setting is any prairie pothole. The wetlands must be wholly or partially in cropland. These wetlands are currently cropped, and hydrology has or could be diverted from the wetland by way of tiling, field or road ditching, diking or any other feature that removes wetland hydrology. These wetter or more water saturated portions of cropland fields degrade water quality by nutrients carried through surface inlets. These areas also have the potential to produce a significant amount of moist soil plants which are valuable source of forage and cover for many waterfowl, shorebird and wading bird species. The current system provides little to no wildlife habitat with habitat limiting factors such as quality, quantity and continuity of forage, cover, shelter and space being identified. Drainage could also result in inadequate wildlife water and inadequate habitat.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The permanent grass/legume mix vegetation replacing the previously cropped wetland has improved water quality and wetland wildlife habitat.

Feature Measure: Area Planted

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,085.08
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$542.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 4 | \$56.16 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 2 | \$42.02 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1 | \$375.15 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 2 | \$95.52 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover

## Scenario: \#22-Monarch Species Mix

## Scenario Description:

Establish permanent vegetative cover for pollinator habitat according to state specifications. Typically used for high quality nectar and pollen species. Assumes seed/plugs, equipment and labor for seed bed prep/planting, and weed management during establishment. Used for conventional or organic land on small, intensive areas that are central to specialty crop production. Not typically used for large-scale plantings. This is applicable to both organic and non-organic conditions.

Before Situation:
Old hayfields that are mowed typically in the fall lack milkweed needed for monarchs. Other crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton are conventionally grown and harvested. The system provides little to no wildlife or pollinator habitat.

## After Situation:

The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. Land covered with permanent monarch habitat including a mix of milkweed species, native grasses, legumes, and forbs. Plants sown for monarch habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife.

Feature Measure: area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$956.99

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$956.99
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#23-PIA - Grass/Legume Establishment

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) intensive cropping system to permanent native vegetation species on both organic and non-organic operations. The typical size of the practice is 1 acre. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts.

Before Situation:
Crops such as corn, soybeans, or vegetables are conventionally grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceed allowable tolerance, sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and has been applied. The land is covered with permanent native grass vegetation and has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Acres Established
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 484.40$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$484.40

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 2 | \$42.66 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 1 | \$25.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |

Materials

Herbicide, Glyphosate
334 A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST
Acres for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.
Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density

Mobilization islands.

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#24-Caribbean Area Conservation Cover Introduced Species

## Scenario Description:

After applying the practice the land is covered with permanent non-native grass vegetation and has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, improved wildlife habitat (including pollinator habitat), improved water quality, and improved soil health.

Before Situation:
The land is eroding above the soil loss tolerance, water quality is impaired due to sediment and nutrients, habitat for wildlife is unsuitable, and there is limited pollinator habitat.

After Situation:
The practices is applied per the specification in the 327 Implementation Requirements. Permanent non-native vegetation is established that is suitable to address the planned resource concern(s).

Feature Measure: Acres Planted

Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,682.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$213.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 50 | \$702.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 50 | \$1,066.50 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen ( N ), Ammonium Nitrate | 69 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Nitrate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.70 | 2500 | \$1,750.00 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 2500 | \$2,025.00 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 2500 | \$1,700.00 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 50 | \$2,388.00 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#25-Caribbean Orchard or Vineyard Alleyways

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on orchards and vineyards needing permanent protective cover in the alleyways between tree and vine rows. The typical size of this practice is 20 acres. This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) intensive cropping system to permanent vegetation (scenario includes non-native grass and legume mix). This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, enhance wildlife and/or pollinator habitat, manage plant pests, and reduce air quality impacts.

Before Situation:
Orchard or vineyard with bare soil between vine/tree rows. Bare soil is exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter sediment/nutrient runoff from orchards/vineyards increases.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and has been applied. Orchard or Vineyard area between vine/tree rows are planted with permanent introduced grass/legume mix. Area covered has reduced soil erosion, improved soil quality, improved water quality, and enhanced wildlife and/or pollinator habitat.

Feature Measure: Acres Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$4,272.80

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$213.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 20 | \$280.80 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 20 | \$426.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Nitrate | 69 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Nitrate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.70 | 1000 | \$700.00 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 1000 | \$810.00 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1000 | \$680.00 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 20 | \$955.20 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover

## Scenario: \#26-Pacific Islands Conservation Cover

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) intensive cropping system to permanent native vegetation species on both organic and non-organic operations. The typical size of the practice is 40 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts.

Before Situation:
Crops such as corn, soybeans, vegetables, or cotton are conventionally grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceed allowable tolerance, sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and has been applied. The land is covered with permanent native grass vegetation and has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and significant dust emissions are eliminated therefore, air quality is improved. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Acres Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,654.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$191.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 40 | \$561.60 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 40 | \$853.20 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 40 | \$840.40 |

Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density

2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#55 - Interseeding Native Forbs, Pollinator or Monarch Mixes

## Scenario Description:

Enhance existing perennial vegetative cover with the interseeding of native forbs, and/or milkweeds and/or other high quality nectar and pollen species to enhance beneficial organism habitat. Scenario is appropriate for conventional or organic production. Payment includes seed, seeding and fertility for interseeding establishment.

Before Situation:
Existing grass/legume stand that lacks the species diversity needed to meet the producer's goals such as attracting targeted species such as the Monarch Butterfly.
After Situation:
A more diverse mix of forbs, milkweed species, native grasses, legumes, and/or forbs provides improved habitat. Payment scenario is based on running a no till drill through ?? of the area to enhance the current perennial vegetation.

Feature Measure: area interseeded
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,048.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$252.43
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 10 | \$4,698.10 |

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#72 - Introduced with Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on organically managed land needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from an intensive organic cropping system to permanent non-native vegetation (scenario includes non-native grass/legume mix). The typical size of the practice is 20 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as vegetables and small fruit crops are organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled mainly by cultivation. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Erosion exceeds tolerable rates and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and has been applied. Organically managed land covered with permanent non- native grass/legume mix vegetation has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and improved air quality due to the elimination of dust emissions. . Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area planted

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$29,070.50
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$581.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 150 | \$2,106.00 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 50 | \$361.50 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 50 | \$1,286.50 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 25 | \$11,619.25 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 25 | \$9,378.75 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen, Organic | 266 | ORGANIC Nitrogen | Pound | \$0.28 | 2500 | \$700.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, Organic | 267 | ORGANIC Phosphorus | Pound | \$0.09 | 2000 | \$180.00 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a | Acres | \$47.76 | 50 | \$2,388.00 |

Legumes and/or Forbs, Low
Density
small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover

## Scenario: \#73 - Native Species with Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on conventional or organically managed land needing permanent protective cover. This practice typically involves conversion from an intensive cropping system to permanent native vegetation (scenario includes native grass/legume mix). The typical size of the practice is 50 acres. This practice scenario is typically used to reduce soil erosion, reduce soil quality degradation, improve water quality, develop wildlife habitat, and reduce air quality impacts. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

Before Situation:
Crops such as vegetables and small fruit crops may be conventionally or organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled mainly by cultivation. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds tolerable rates and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. Managed land covered with permanent native grass/legume mix vegetation has reduced soil erosion, reduced water/sediment runoff, and improved air quality due to the elimination of dust emissions. Plants sown for conservation cover may provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 33,476.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$669.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 150 | \$2,106.00 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 100 | \$2,573.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 25 | \$11,619.25 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 25 | \$9,378.75 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density

2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#74-Pollinator Species with Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

Permanent vegetation, including a mix of native grasses, legumes, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species), established on land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides habitat for pollinators. Typical practice size is variable depending on site; this scenario uses 1 ac as the typical size. In addition to providing pollinator habitat, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

Before Situation:
Crops such as vegetables and small fruit crops may be conventionally or organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled mainly by cultivation. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds tolerable rates and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife or pollinator habitat.

After Situation:
The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. Managed land covered with permanent pollinator habitat including a mix of native grasses, legumes, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species). This practice may also reduce soil erosion, reduce water/sediment runoff, and improve air quality due to the elimination of dust emissions. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,004.36
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,004.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than | Acres | \$469.81 | 1 | \$469.81 |

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability
$50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#84-Monarch Species Mix with Foregone Income

## Scenario Description:

Permanent vegetation, including a mix of native grasses, legumes, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species), established on land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides habitat for pollinators such as the Monarch butterfly. Typical practice size is variable depending on site, but is most typical in smaller-scale plantings. As such, this scenario uses 1 ac as the typical size. In addition to providing pollinator habitat, this practice scenario may also reduce sheet and rill erosion, improve soil quality, improve water quality, and improve air quality. The practice may also provide wildlife habitat. Practice applicable on cropland, odd areas, corners, etc. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

## Before Situation:

Row crops such as corn and soybeans may be conventionally or organically grown and harvested. Full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled mainly by cultivation. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds tolerable rates and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife or pollinator habitat.

## After Situation:

The 327 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. Managed land covered with permanent pollinator habitat established to specifically promote Monarch butterfly habitat. Vegetation includes a mix of milkweed species, native grasses, legumes, and forbs (mix may also include non-native species). This practice may also reduce soil erosion, reduce water/sediment runoff, and improve air quality due to the elimination of dust emissions. Plants sown for pollinator habitat may also provide cover for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: area planted

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,004.36$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,004.36

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 327-Conservation Cover
Scenario: \#90-Pollinator Mix-Small Footprint

## Scenario Description:

Permanent vegetation, including a mix of grasses, legumes and forbs established on any land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides habitat, cover, and food for pollinators. Typical size varies depending on the site feasibility for length and width. Urban sites typical size is 2000 square feet ( $20 \times 100 \mathrm{ft}$ ). This scenario included mechanical site preparation. This practice scenario may also reduce wind and water erosion, improve soil quality, reduce water quality degradation and reduce air emissions of particulate matter or greenhouse gases. Applies to conventional and organic systems. This scenario does not applied to areas needing Critical Area Planting.

Before Situation:
Crop rotation include specialty crops such as vegetable and fruit/berry production that benefit from pollinator activity. Urban agricultural sites do not provide for pollinator habitat at this time. Planting operations include mechanical removal of weeds. Land adjacent to the planting beds is not managed for resource concerns.

After Situation:
The 327 implementation requirements have been developed for the site and applied. Land is in permanent vegetative cover reducing erosion and sediment delivery to water. Pollinator habitat has successfully established providing habitat and cover for pollinators and beneficial insects.

Feature Measure: Area of conservation Cover Installe
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$282.82
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$141.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 0.5 | \$12.87 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 0.5 | \$234.91 |

Practice: 328-Conservation Crop Rotation
Scenario: \#1 - Basic Rotation Organic and Non-Organic

## Scenario Description:

In this region this practice may be part of a conservation management system on both organic and non-organic operations to: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting. This practice payment is provided to the producer for the time needed to plan and implement the logistics of changing the rotation to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a typical 200 acre cropland farm. No foregone income. Cost represents typical situations for conventional and organic producers.

Before Situation:
The rotation consists primarily of low residue producing row crops. Fields range from nearly flat to C and D slopes. Erosion, soil quality, and pest management are the primary concerns.

## After Situation:

A rotation is established that provides additional high residue and/or perennial crops that may treat one or more of the following purposes: reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, improve soil moisture efficiency, reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, reduce plant pest pressures, provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, or provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,379.10
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.79
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |

Practice: 328-Conservation Crop Rotation
Scenario: \#5 - Specialty Crops Organic and Non-Organic

## Scenario Description:

In this region a rotation of organic or non-organic specialty crops (fruits and vegetable) are produced as part of a conservation management system to treat one or more of the following resource concerns: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting. This practice payment is provided to acquire the technical knowledge and skills necessary to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a typical 50 acre specialty crop farm. No foregone income. Cost represents typical situations for organic and non-organic producers.

Before Situation:
This rotation consisted of growing specialty crops. Fields range from nearly flat to B and C slopes. Erosion, soil quality, and pest management are the primary concerns.

## After Situation:

The rotation established adds higher residue crop(s) to the rotation that will treat one or more of the following resource concerns on organic and non- organic farms: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,838.80$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$36.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: 328-Conservation Crop Rotation
Scenario: \#63-Rice Residue Management for Waterfowl
Scenario Description:
The resource concern is food and cover for waterfowl where rice is grown in the waterfowl flyway zones. This scenario manages the rice residue after rice harvest toenhance the food and cover for waterfowl. The payment for the practice scenario is based on the cost to roll alternate strips of rice residue flat while leaving the alternatestrips of rice residue left undisturbed after rice harvest.
Before Situation:
The typical situation after rice harvest is tilling the soil to bury or mix the rice residue remaining after harvest into the soil. This results in virtually no food or cover for thewaterfowl that traverse the waterfowl flyways.
After Situation:
The rice residue after rice harvest will remain standing except for the alternate strip of the rice residue rolled almost flat to provide alternate strip of both cover and food
The rice residue will be left in this condition until the following spring.
Feature Measure: Residue Cover
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$484.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$4.84
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 50 | \$484.00 |

Practice: 328-Conservation Crop Rotation
Scenario: \#84-Specialty Crop Rotations-Small Scale

## Scenario Description:

Scenario applies to Urban sites less than a $1 / 2$ acre with a rotation of organic or non-organic specialty crops (fruits and vegetable) are produced as part of a conservation management system to treat one or more of the following resource concerns: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Reduce water quality degradation due to excess nutrients, 4) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 5) Reduce the concentration of salts and other chemicals from saline seeps, 6) Reduce plant pest pressures, 7) Provide feed and forage for domestic livestock, and 8) Provide food and cover habitat for wildlife, including pollinator forage, and nesting. This practice payment is provided to acquire the technical knowledge and skills necessary to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a typical urban specialty crop farm. Cost represents typical situations for organic and non-organic producers.

Before Situation:
This rotation consisted of growing specialty crops. Fields range from nearly flat to B and C slopes. Erosion, soil quality, and pest management are the primary concern. Removal of residue from the planted area is common leaving bare soil.

## After Situation:

The rotation established adds diversity of plant material organic matter, higher residue amounts that will treat one or more of the following resource concerns on organic and non- organic farms: reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, improve soil moisture efficiency or reduce plant pest pressure.

Feature Measure: area planned
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$549.74

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$36.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 0.34 | \$8.75 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 0.34 | \$7.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 9 | \$257.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |

Practice: 328-Conservation Crop Rotation
Scenario: \#104 - Add crop -transition to organic

## Scenario Description:

Current crop rotation is conventional nonorganic and crop rotation includes at least two different crop types. Producer is transitioning to organic. New crop types will be added to the conservation crop rotation to facilitate building soil organic matter, capturing nitrogen, breaking pest cycles, or other purposes that maintain or enhance the natural resources. Payment includes labor of the supervisor/decision maker and acquisition of knowledge for new crop types.

## Before Situation:

Crops are grown nonorganically. Conventional operations and chemical use require mitigation measures to protect natural resources from risk. Chemicals may degrade soil health resource concerns. Crop rotation is not designed to be resource conserving.

## After Situation:

Implementation requirements were delivered to the producer. Crop types were added to the crop rotation. Operations and management decisions for transition align with NOP requirements. Crop rotation has improved diversity improving soil resource concerns.

Feature Measure: acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,912.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$97.82
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 2 | \$232.78 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 40 | \$1,029.20 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 40 | \$872.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |

Practice: 329-Residue and Tillage Management, No Till

## Scenario: \#1-No-Till/Strip-Till

## Scenario Description:

This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) system to no-till or strip-till system on 100 acres of cropland. This involves managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting soil-disturbing activities used to establish and harvest crops. The practice is used to reduce sheet and rill erosion, reduce wind erosion, improve soil quality, reduce CO2 losses from the soil, reduce energy use, increase plant available moisture and provide food and escape cover for wildlife. The no-till/strip-till system includes non-tillage types of weed control and may also include a period of no till fallow. System is applicable in both irrigated and non-irrigated fields of organic and non-organic operations.

## Before Situation:

Row crops or small grains are grown and harvested. Full width tillage is performed prior to planting and weed control during crop production is typically cultivation and chemical application. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with additional operations in some fields to facilitate drainage, seedbed preparation or additional weed control. Residue amounts after tillage operations average $10 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall. Any crop residue that is present degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases during rainfall events. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visible rills by spring. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. This system will typically have a negative Soil Conditioning Index (SCI) and a high Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR).

## After Situation:

The Implementation Requirements for 329 Residue Management, No Till is prepared and installed. Managing crop residue on the surface of a field (typical 100 acre) year around according to the 329 practice plan while limiting soil disturbing activities to those which place nutrients, and plant crops that meet the minimum criteria in the 329 practice standard. All crops are seeded/planted with a no-till drill or no-till/strip-till planter, which minimizes soil disturbance while establishing good seed-soil contact. All residues are to be maintained on the soil surface in a uniform distribution over the entire field and not burned or removed. Crop residues provide soil surface cover throughout the year. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues and surface cover. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass (crop residues), ground cover, and soil infiltration. Crop residues and/or cover crop residues left on the soil surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect, and provides cover for wildlife. The practice would require reducing soil disturbance and erosion and increasing biomass returned to the soil in sufficient amounts to achieve increased SCI and decreased STIR.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,101.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 100 | \$2,101.00 |

Practice: 329-Residue and Tillage Management, No Till

## Scenario: \#3 - No Till Adaptive Management

## Scenario Description:

The practice scenario is for the implementation of no till in small replicated plots to allow the producer to learn how to manage no till on their operation. Scenario includes implementing replicated strip trials on a field plot to evaluate, identify and implement a particular no till management strategy (e.g., no till vs conventional till, drill vs planter, strip till vs no till, residue row cleaners, vs no row cleaners, etc.) This will be done by following the Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management.

## Before Situation:

Row crops or small grains are grown and harvested. Full width tillage is performed prior to planting and weed control during crop production is typically cultivation and chemical application. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with additional operations in some fields to facilitate drainage or additional weed control. Residue amounts after tillage operations average $10 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall. Any crop residue that is present degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases during rainfall events. Sheet and rill erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. This system will typically have a negative Soil Conditioning Index (SCI) and a high Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR). The producer is considering using no till technology, but is unsure how to manage on their operation or needs to improve the management of no till to be successful.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements are prepared and an Adaptive Management Plan for the plots is developed and implemented. Installation of this scenario will result in establishment of no till replicated plots to compare to different management strategies for no till and other residue management strategies following the guidance in the Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management Process. Implementation involves establishing the replicated plots to evaluate one or more no till management strategies. The plot will consist of at least 4 replicated plots designed, laid out, managed and evaluated with the assistance of a consultant knowledgeable in no till management. Results are used to make no till management decisions to address erosion, soil health, and water quality issues. Yields will be measured and statistically summarized following the procedures in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management. The yields for each plot will be adjusted to the appropriate moisture content. This would be repeated for 3 years.

Feature Measure: Based on 15 acre plots

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,843.35$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 3,843.35$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 7.5 | \$157.58 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 7.5 | \$163.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 20 | \$2,376.60 |

Practice: 329-Residue and Tillage Management, No Till

## Scenario: \#20-Small Scale No Till

## Scenario Description:

Scenario applies to Urban sites less than a $1 / 2$ acre with a rotation of organic or non-organic specialty crops (fruits and vegetable) are produced as part of a conservation management system to treat one or more of the following resource concerns: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 4) Reduce plant pest pressures. This practice payment is provided to effectively implement no-till or strip-till management on a typical urban specialty crop farm. Cost represents typical situations for organic and non-organic producers.

## Before Situation:

This rotation consisted of growing specialty crops. Fields range from nearly flat to $B$ and $C$ slopes. Erosion, soil quality, and pest management are the primary concern. Removal of residue from the planted area is common leaving bare soil-residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Full width tillage is performed prior to planting . Weed control typically cultivation.

## After Situation:

The implementation requirements are written following CPS 329 Residue and Tillage Management to will treat one or more of the following resource concerns on organic and non- organic farms: reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, improve soil moisture efficiency or reduce plant pest pressure. Soil disturbance is minimized with no-till drill or planter use. May include single slot opener and seedling or plugs follow. When pest management requires the removal of crop residue then planting beds are covered with cover crop using the 340 Cover Crop conservation practice. Runoff and erosion are reduced below T. No observed rills. Wind erosion reduced by maintaining surface cover. They system meets the soil condition index and STIR requirements.

Feature Measure: area planted
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$626.78

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$41.79

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.17 | \$3.57 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 0.17 | \$3.71 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |

Practice: 329-Residue and Tillage Management, No Till
Scenario: \#36-No-Till/Strip-Till with Herbicide and No Cover Crop

## Scenario Description:

This practice typically involves conversion from a clean-tilled (conventional tilled) system to no-till or strip-till system on 100 acres of cropland. This involves managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting soil-disturbing activities used to establish and harvest crops. The practice is used to reduce sheet and rill erosion, reduce wind erosion, improve soil quality, reduce CO2 losses from the soil, reduce energy use, increase plant available moisture and provide food and escape cover for wildlife. The no-till/strip-till system includes non-tillage types of weed control, which may include the use of herbicides and may also include a period of no till fallow. System is applicable in both irrigated and non-irrigated fields organic and non-organic operations. Herbicide treatment is to burndown weeds in the residue prior to planting the crop. This treatment is only when a cover crop is not present. Follow the Cover Crop (340) practice for herbicide burn down.

## Before Situation:

Row crops or small grains are grown and harvested. Full width tillage is performed prior to planting and weed control during crop production is typically cultivation and chemical application. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with additional operations in some fields to facilitate drainage, seedbed preparation or additional weed control. Residue amounts after tillage operations average $10 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall. Any crop residue that is present degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases during rainfall events. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visible rills by spring. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. This system will typically have a negative Soil Conditioning Index (SCI) and a high Soil Tillage Intensity Rating (STIR).

## After Situation:

The Implementation Requirements for 329 Residue Management, No Till/Strip Till is prepared and installed. Managing crop residue on the surface of a field (typical 100 acre) year around according to the 329 practice plan while limiting soil disturbing activities to those which place nutrients, and plant crops that meet the minimum criteria in the 329 practice standard. All crops are seeded/planted with a no-till drill or no-till/strip-till planter, which minimizes soil disturbance while establishing good seed-soil contact. All residues are to be maintained on the soil surface in a uniform distribution over the entire field and not burned or removed. Crop residues provide soil surface cover throughout the year. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues and surface cover. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass (crop residues), ground cover, and soil infiltration. Crop residues and/or cover crop residues left on the soil surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect, and provides cover for wildlife. The practice would require reducing soil disturbance and erosion and increasing biomass returned to the soil in sufficient amounts to achieve increased SCI and decreased STIR.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,047.00

## Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 40.47$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 100 | \$640.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 50 | \$1,090.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 100 | \$1,266.00 |

Practice: 330-Contour Farming
Scenario: \#3 - Contour Farming

## Scenario Description:

This scenario meets the specifications of the NRCS Contour Farming Standard. This scenario applies to fields greater than 5 acres. Payment reflects the extra labor and initial supervision costs in laying out and implementing contour farming. Annual erosion rates for the rotation exceeds tolerance levels. Excessive runoff leads to sedimentation of waterways

## Before Situation:

The typical field size in this geographical region for this scenario is 30 acres. The field slope averages $6 \%$ while the slope length averages 160 feet. All farming operations on this cropland field including disking, bedding, planting, and cultivation are performed generally up and down the the slope. Annual erosion rates for the rotation exceeds tolerance levels. Excessive runoff leads to sedimentation of waterways.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared according to 330 Contour Farming and implemented. This practice is installed on the entire field. A survey is completed by trained and certified Federal, State, local personnel or consultant to determine and 'stake' contour row arrangement. Permanent row markers are established to ensure that this practice is maintained for the life of this practice. All field operations including: disking, bedding, planting, and cultivation are performed on the contour which is near perpendicular to the field slope. The farm manager is initially on site to ensure that equipment operator is properly following contour methods. Soil erosion rates are reduced by nearly half and may be below tolerance depending on the rotation. Likewise, sedimentation has be significantly reduced.

Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$321.72

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.72
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 331-Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crops
Scenario: \#1-Contour Orchards/Vineyards

## Scenario Description:

This scenario meets the specifications of the NRCS 331 Contour Orchards and Perennial Crops Standard. This scenario applies to fields greater than 5 acres. Payment reflects the extra labor and initial supervision costs in implementing and following contour operations compared to other methods. More time is usually needed when following contour operations due to more equipment time in shorter rows and more equipment turning. Annual erosion rates for the rotation exceeds tolerance levels. Excessive runoff leads to sedimentation of waterways.

Before Situation:
The typical field size in this geographical region for this scenario is 10 acres. The field slope averages $6 \%$ while the slope length averages 160 feet. All farming operations are performed up and down the slope. Annual erosion rates for the rotation exceeds tolerance levels. Excessive runoff leads to sedimentation of waterways.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared and implemented according to the Contour Orchards and Perennial Crops Standard (331). This practice is installed on the entire field. All field operations including: harvesting, disking, bedding, and planting are performed on the contour which is near perpendicular to the field slope. The farm manager is initially on site to ensure that equipment operators are properly following contour methods. Soil erosion rates are reduced to tolerable soil loss levels.
Likewise, sedimentation has be significantly reduced.
Feature Measure: acre

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$321.72

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$32.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |

Practice: 332-Contour Buffer Strips
Scenario: \#74 - Native Species, Foregone Income (Organic and Non-organic)

## Scenario Description:

Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are organically or non-organically farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. The area of the contour grass strip is taken out of production.

## Before Situation:

The NRCS water erosion prediction software indicates that there is a significant amount of sheet and rill erosion and/or a significant amount of sediment potentially delivered to the downslope edge of the field. A secondary concern is that there may not be enough wildlife/pollinator habitat, food source or refugia in the field or farm.

## After Situation:

Native grasses, legumes and forbs will be established in strips in the field to meet the Contour buffer Strips (332) criteria, resource needs, and producer objectives.
Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.

Feature Measure: number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$639.81

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$639.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |

Materials
Herbicide, Glyphosate
334 A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST
Acres
\$12.66
1
\$12.66
for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.
 Density species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 332-Contour Buffer Strips
Scenario: \#75-Introduced Species, Foregone Income (Organic and Non-Organic)

## Scenario Description:

Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. The area of the contour grass strip is taken out of production. This applies to both organic and non-organic.

Before Situation:
The NRCS water erosion prediction software indicates that there is a significant amount of sheet and rill erosion and/or a significant amount of sediment potentially delivered to the downslope edge of the field. A secondary concern is that there may not be enough wildlife/pollinator habitat, food source or refugia in the field or farm.

After Situation:
Introduced grasses and legumes will be established in strips in the field to meet the Contour buffer Strips (332) criteria, resource needs, and producer objectives. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Introduced species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control water erosion to tolerable levels in the cropped area of the field.

Feature Measure: Number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$607.20

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$607.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |

Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 20 | \$16.20 |
| Sulfate of Potash | 263 | Approved for Organic Systems - Muriate of Potash | Pound | \$0.90 | 20 | \$18.00 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 1 | \$47.76 |

Practice: 332-Contour Buffer Strips
Scenario: \#76-Wildlife/Pollinator, Foregone Income (Organic and Non-Organic)
Scenario Description:
Narrow strips of permanent, herbaceous vegetative cover established around the hill slope and alternated down the slope with wider cropped strips in between that are farmed on the contour. This practice applies to all cropland. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of mainly pollinator friendly species. The area of the field border is taken out of production. This applies to organic and no-organic.

Before Situation:
Water Erosion Calculator (e.g. RUSLE2) indicates that there is a significant amount of sheet and rill erosion and/or a significant amount of sediment potentially delivered to the downslope edge of the field. A secondary concern is that there may not be enough wildlife/pollinator habitat, food source or refugia in the field or farm.

After Situation:
Plant species will be established in strips in the field to meet the Contour buffer Strips (332) criteria, resource needs, producer objectives, and the targeted wildlife/pollinators necessary food and/or cover. Minimum widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall meet the wildlife/pollinator habitat requirements of the state and be adapted to site; not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and; have physical characteristics necessary to control sheet and rill erosion to tolerable levels on the cropped area of the field.

Feature Measure: Number of acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$639.81
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$639.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 1 | \$134.97 |

Practice: 333 -Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products
Scenario: \#1 - Gypsum greater than 1 ton rate

## Scenario Description:

Gypsum application of more than one ton/acre rate (typical average 1.5 tons/acre) to improve surface water quality due to phosphorus, pathogens, and soil health (Ca/Mg ratio). Scenario to be used in combination with an implemented nutrient management plan. The producer will use gypsum to improve soil surface structure and reduce concentration of dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) in runoff. Scenario includes the cost of material, application, and supervisor/management time to establish and manage new application methodology, including rates, timing, and sequence of application with other nutrient materials (i.e., manures, bio-solids, and fertilizers). The addressed resource concern is water quality and soil health. Associated practices are Nutrient Management (590), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Residue and Tillage Management, No-till (329) and Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till (345).

Before Situation:
Cropland in continuous production having relatively low soil organic matter and moderately high clay content with application of manure with a risk of pathogens. Soil in these fields has poor soil structure and a high risk of phosphorus and pathogen runoff. The soils are susceptible to soil crusting and as a result of long term tillage systems have a high concentration of phosphorous near the soil surface. The combination of poor soil structure and high nutrient levels at the soil surface results in runoff events with high concentrations of DRP that may contribute to degraded water quality.

After Situation:
A determination based on existing soil samples used in normal nutrient management has been made. The Implementation Requirements for Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum (333) has been developed for the site. The application of gypsum to the field based on the existing soil samples will result in reduced runoff and improved runoff water quality. This condition over time in combination with an implemented nutrient management plan and supporting practices to improve soil health will improve surface water quality.

Feature Measure: Acres with a gypsum product applic
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,632.37
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$240.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 40 | \$351.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gypsum, Ground Ag Grade, Bulk | 1224 | Agricultural grade quarry ground gypsum (CaCO4) for dispersive soil treatment. Materials and delivery only. | Ton | \$153.92 | 60 | \$9,235.20 |

Practice: 333 -Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products
Scenario: \#2-Gypsum less than 1 ton per acre

## Scenario Description:

Gypsum application of less than or equal to one ton/acre rate (typical average 1 tons/acre) to improve surface water quality due to phosphorus, pathogens, and soil health ( $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio). Scenario to be used in combination with an implemented nutrient management plan. The producer will use gypsum to improve soil surface structure and reduce concentration of dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) in runoff. Scenario includes the cost of material, application, and management time to establish and manage new application methodology, including rates, timing, and sequence of application with other nutrient materials (i.e., manures, bio-solids, and fertilizers). . The addressed resource concern is water quality and soil health. Associated practices are Nutrient Management (590), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Residue and Tillage Management, No-till (329) and Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till (345).

Before Situation:
Cropland in continuous production having relatively low soil organic matter and moderately high clay content. Soil in these fields have poor soil structure and a high risk of phosphorus and pathogen runoff. The soils are susceptible to soil crusting and as a result of long term tillage systems have high concentration of phosphorous near the soil surface. The combination of poor soil structure and high nutrient levels at the soil surface results in runoff events with high concentrations of DRP that may contribute to degraded water quality.

## After Situation:

A determination based on existing soil samples used in normal nutrient management has been made. The Implementation Requirements for Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum (333) has been developed for the site. The application of gypsum to the field is based on the existing soil samples and will result in reduce runoff and improve runoff water quality. This condition over time in combination with the implemented nutrient management plan and supporting practices to improve soil health will improve surface water quality.

Feature Measure: Acres with a gypsum product applic
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,014.77

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$125.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 40 | \$351.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gypsum, Ground Ag Grade, Bulk | 1224 | Agricultural grade quarry ground gypsum (CaCO4) for dispersive soil treatment. Materials and delivery only. | Ton | \$153.92 | 30 | \$4,617.60 |

Practice: 334-Controlled Traffic Farming
Scenario: \#1 - Controlled Traffic
Scenario Description:
This practice must be part of a conservation management system to reduce soil compaction. This scenario considers the time needed to modify equipment, develop the technical skills necessary to effectively implement a controlled traffic farming system on a typical 200 acre cropland farm. The controlled traffic generally utilizes RTK automatic steering technology to locate and maintain high load field traffic. This scenario represents the costs associated with reducing the amount of surface area tracked/compacted to $33 \%$ or less. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.

## Before Situation:

The typical scenario for this practice is a 200 acre row crop operation on high clay, poorly drained soils. Studies show that when high wheel load traffic is not controlled, up to $85 \%$ of the field is tracked causing some degree of soil compaction. Before the practice is installed traffic is uncontrolled tracking and $85 \%$ of the field has compacted soil which limits soil health.

## After Situation:

An Implementation Requirement for Controlled Traffic (334) is developed and the controlled traffic lanes installed per the implementation requirements. After the practice is installed wheel/track traffic is confined to designated traffic lanes/tramlines. Wheel/track soil compaction is confined to the traffic lanes to protect the remaining surface area and subsoil from wheel/track compaction. The wheel/track traffic follows the installed traffic lanes/tramlines each year.

Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,717.60

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 80 | \$3,211.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 80 | \$9,506.40 |

Practice: 334-Controlled Traffic Farming
Scenario: \#7-Controlled Traffic -Annual
Scenario Description:
This practice must be part of a conservation management plan to reduce soil compaction. The scenario considers the time needed to modify equipment, develop the technical skills necessary to effectively implement a control traffic farming system on a typical 200 acre cropland farm. The controlled traffic generally utilizes RTK automatic steering technology to locate and maintain high load field traffic. This scenario represents the cost associated with reducing the amount of surface area tracked/compacted to $33 \%$ or less. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. THIS SCENARIO CAN ONLY BE USED BY THOSE STATES THAT HAVE AN APPROVED VARIANCE FOR MODIFYING THE LIFESPAN OF THIS PRACTICE TO 1 YEAR!

Before Situation:
The typical scenario for this practice is a 200 acre row crop operation on high clay, poorly drained soils. Studies show that when high wheel load traffic is not controlled, up to $85 \%$ of the field is tracked causing some degree of soil compaction. Before the practice is installed traffic is uncontrolled tracking and $85 \%$ of the field has compacted soil health.

After Situation:
An Implementation Requirement for Controlled Traffic (334) is developed and the controlled traffic lanes installed per the implementation requirements. After the practice is installed wheel/track traffic is confined to designated traffic lanes/tramlines. Wheel/track soil compaction is controlled to the traffic lanes/tramlines for the crop year.

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,252.05

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.26
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 26 | \$1,043.64 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 27 | \$3,208.41 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#115-Compost - On Site

## Scenario Description:

This scenario uses compost of known origin and production methods to maintain, increase, or improve organic matter content and improve aggregate stability, habitat for soil organisms, and plant productivity and health. Compost produced in a compost facility on farm has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified compost is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
Compost was tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: area treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 6.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$684.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$114.15
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 1.5 | \$195.77 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 1 | \$59.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#116-Compost - Off Site

## Scenario Description:

This scenario uses compost from an offsite source to maintain, increase, or improve organic matter content and improve aggregate stability, habitat for soil organisms, and plant productivity and health. Compost has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods. Compost is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns. Typical application rate is 3 ton compost/acre.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified compost is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
Compost was tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 6.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,623.59$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 270.60$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 1.5 | \$195.77 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 18 | \$939.60 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 1 | \$59.82 |

## Mobilization

| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yard- | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery | Cubic Yard | $\$ 0.40$ | 720 | $\$ 288.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#117-100\% Biochar

## Scenario Description:

Apply 100\% biochar to sequester carbon, reduce N losses, and improve other soil health related resource concerns. Biochar has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods and is imported from an outside source. Biochar is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns. Typical application is 4 cubic yards per acre.

## Before Situation:

An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified biochar is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

## After Situation:

Biochar was applied at the recommended rate and proportion. Soil health resource concerns were treated. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the biochar application.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,015.24
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,015.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 1 | \$59.82 |
| Biochar | 2743 | Solid material obtained from thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment (pyrolysis). Biochar is typically produced from woody biomass, but other carbon sources may be used. Materials only. | Cubic Yards | \$201.87 | 4 | \$807.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul). | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.40 | 100 | \$40.00 |

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#118-Other Carbon Amendment

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is used for the application of different types of other carbon amendments, such as woodchips, bagasse, high carbon wood ash or distillation residue that are obtained at a negligible cost. The primary purpose of this scenario is to facilitate transport and application of the other carbon amendment. The carbon amendment is


## Before Situation:

An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified amendment is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

## After Situation:

The carbon amendment was applied at the recommended rate based on the product analysis and the purpose for the application. Soil health resource concerns were treated. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$905.92

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$905.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 1 | \$59.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul). | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.40 | 100 | \$40.00 |

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#119-Compost - Small Areas

## Scenario Description:

This scenario uses compost from an offsite source to maintain, increase, or improve organic matter content and improve aggregate stability, habitat for soil organisms, and plant productivity and health. This scenario is used for situations where manual labor is typically used to apply or incorporate compost amendments. Compost has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods. Compost is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified compost is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
Compost was tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the compost application.

Feature Measure: Area treated.
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$531.97

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$53.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.25 | \$3.51 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 1 | \$52.20 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 1 | \$59.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul). | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.40 | 18.75 | \$7.50 |

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#120-Compost + Biochar - Small Areas

## Scenario Description:

Apply a blend of $>=50 \%$ biochar and $<=50 \%$ compost pr manure (by volume) to sequester carbon, reduce nitrogen loss, improve organic matter content and improve aggregate stability, habitat for soil organisms, and plant productivity and health. Biochar and compost has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods and is imported from an outside source. The bland contains at least $50 \%$ biochar and is applied at the recommended rates to treat the identified resource concerns.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified compost or manure and biochar is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

## After Situation:

Compost or manure and biochar were tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: Area treated
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 642.40$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$64.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.25 | \$3.51 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 0.5 | \$26.10 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 2 | \$119.64 |
| Biochar | 2743 | Solid material obtained from thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment (pyrolysis). Biochar is typically produced from woody biomass, but other carbon sources may be used. Materials only. | Cubic Yards | \$201.87 | 0.38 | \$76.71 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul). | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.40 | 18.75 | \$7.50 |

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#121-40\% Biochar-60\% Compost

## Scenario Description:

Apply a blend of $>=40 \%$ biochar and $<=60 \%$ compost or manure (by volume) to sequester carbon, reduce nitrogen loss, and improve other soil health related resource concerns. Biochar and compost has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods and is imported from an outside source. The blend contains at least 40\% biochar and is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified biochar and compost or manure is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
Biochar and compost or manure were tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: Area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$734.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$734.19

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 3.3 | \$172.26 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 2 | \$119.64 |
| Biochar | 2743 | Solid material obtained from thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment (pyrolysis). Biochar is typically produced from woody biomass, but other carbon sources may be used. Materials only. | Cubic Yards | \$201.87 | 1.6 | \$322.99 |

## Mobilization

Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile

2360 Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul).
ubic Yard
Mile

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#122-20\% Biochar-80\% Compost

## Scenario Description:

Apply a blend of $>=20 \%$ biochar and <=80\% compost or manure (by volume) to sequester carbon, reduce nitrogen loss, and improve other soil health related resource concerns. Biochar and compost or manure has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods and is imported from an outside source. The blend contains at least 20\% biochar and is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified biochar and compost or manure is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
Biochar and compost or manure was tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: Area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$630.11

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$630.11
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 4.4 | \$229.68 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 2 | \$119.64 |
| Biochar | 2743 | Solid material obtained from thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment (pyrolysis). Biochar is typically produced from woody biomass, but other carbon sources may be used. Materials only. | Cubic Yards | \$201.87 | 0.8 | \$161.50 |

## Mobilization

Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile

2360 Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul).
ubic Yard
Mile

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#123-60\% Biochar-40\% Compost

## Scenario Description:

Apply a blend of >=60\% biochar and <=40\% compost or manure (by volume) to sequester carbon, reduce nitrogen loss, and improve other soil health related resource concerns. Biochar and compost has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods and is imported from an outside source. The blend contains at least 60\% biochar and is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified biochar and compost or manure is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
Biochar and compost or manure were tested and applied at the recommended rate that will improve soil organic matter and organism habitat without creating unacceptable risk of N or P loss. A follow up assessment is planned to determine the effect of the application.

Feature Measure: Area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$838.26

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$838.26
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 2.2 | \$114.84 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 2 | \$119.64 |
| Biochar | 2743 | Solid material obtained from thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment (pyrolysis). Biochar is typically produced from woody biomass, but other carbon sources may be used. Materials only. | Cubic Yards | \$201.87 | 2.4 | \$484.49 |

## Mobilization

Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile

2360 Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul).
ubic Yard
Mile

Practice: 336-Soil Carbon Amendment
Scenario: \#124-80\% Biochar-20\% Compost

## Scenario Description:

Apply a blend of >=80\% biochar and <=20\% compost or manure (by volume) to sequester carbon, reduce nitrogen loss, and improve other soil health related resource concerns. Biochar and compost has been tested according to the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC), or by other Land Grant University (LGU) recognized methods and is imported from an outside source. The blend contains at least 80\% biochar and is applied at the recommended rate to treat the identified resource concerns.

Before Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified biochar and compost or manure is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

After Situation:
An in-field assessment or a site-specific resource assessment tool or test indicates that soil health resource concerns are present and the addition of analyzed and verified biochar and compost or manure is needed to improve the condition of the soil.

Feature Measure: Area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$942.34

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$942.34
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 0.5 | \$65.26 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 1.1 | \$57.42 |
| Test, Compost Analysis | 307 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.82 | 2 | \$119.64 |
| Biochar | 2743 | Solid material obtained from thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment (pyrolysis). Biochar is typically produced from woody biomass, but other carbon sources may be used. Materials only. | Cubic Yards | \$201.87 | 3.2 | \$645.98 |

## Mobilization

Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile

2360 Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul).
ubic Yard
Mile

Practice: 338 - Prescribed Burning
Scenario: \#1-Grassland, > 10 acres

## Scenario Description:

Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications in order to control undesirable species, improve wildlife habitat, improve plant productivity and/or quality, facilitate grazing distribution and maintain ecological processes. This scenario is based on a burn area consisting of herbaceous and/or low volatile woody fuel. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios.

Before Situation:
Desirable plant composition is lacking due to reduced plant vigor, invasive species or improper livestock distribution.
After Situation:
Desirable plant composition is restored, plant vigor improved and invasive species reduced. Forage production and quality for livestock and /or wildlife is improved.
Feature Measure: Acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,532.21

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$38.31

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 4 | \$48.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 338 - Prescribed Burning
Scenario: \#2 - Grassland, Small acreage (<=10 acres)

## Scenario Description:

Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications in order to control undesirable species, improve wildlife habitat, improve plant productivity and/or quality, facilitate grazing distribution and maintain ecological processes. This scenario is based on a burn area consisting of herbaceous and/or low volatile woody fuel. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios.

Before Situation:
Desirable plant composition is lacking due to reduced plant vigor, invasive species or improper livestock distribution.
After Situation:
Desirable plant composition is restored, plant vigor improved and invasive species reduced. Forage production and quality for livestock and /or wildlife is improved.
Feature Measure: Acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$568.09
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$56.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1 | \$17.23 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 1 | \$12.21 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 338-Prescribed Burning
Scenario: \#3 - Woodland, >10 acres

## Scenario Description:

Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications. A woodland burn can consume debris or leaf litter under controlled conditions that otherwise could burn uncontrollably and devastatingly. Prior to burning, unit may need to be treated to reduce slash height and quantities. Burn should be cool enough to not cause mortality to residual stand but still reduce litter and debris and promote desired plant community. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios.

Before Situation:
Light slash accumulation in a open forest stand. Leaf litter and debris throughout stand. Small seedlings of various quantities may be present. Desirable plant composition is lacking due to reduced plant vigor and/or invasive species are becoming established.

After Situation:
Litter, debris and slash are consumed, small seedlings may be killed during active burning. Residual larger trees have little to no scorching. Post treatment fire danger is significantly reduced and desired plant community is promoted/restored.

Feature Measure: Acres planned

Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,197.77$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 104.94$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Trailer, water tank | 1598 | Mobile 5,000 gal water tank mounted on a trailer. Equipment only. Does not include towing equipment. | Hours | \$21.27 | 8 | \$170.16 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 8 | \$97.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 48 | \$1,926.72 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 10 | \$37.10 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 338-Prescribed Burning
Scenario: \#4 - Woodland, Small acreage (<=10 acres)

## Scenario Description:

Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications. A woodland burn can consume debris or leaf litter under controlled conditions that otherwise could burn uncontrollably and devastatingly. Prior to burning, unit may need to be treated to reduce slash height and quantities. Burn should be cool enough to not cause mortality to residual stand but still reduce litter and debris and promote desired plant community. Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios.

Before Situation:
Light slash accumulation in a open forest stand. Leaf litter and debris throughout stand. Small seedlings of various quantities may be present. Desirable plant composition is lacking due to reduced plant vigor and/or invasive species are becoming established.

After Situation:
Litter, debris and slash are consumed, small seedlings may be killed during active burning. Residual larger trees have little to no scorching. Post treatment fire danger is significantly reduced and desired plant community is promoted/restored.

Feature Measure: Acres planned

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,851.64$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 2 | \$24.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 338-Prescribed Burning
Scenario: \#79 - Prescribed Burning, Woodland with Topography
Scenario Description:
Applying a prescribed burn according to designed burn plan and NRCS Prescribed Burning (338) standard and specifications in order to control undesirable species, improve wildlife habitat, improve plant productivity and/or quality, facilitate grazing distribution, to encourage natural seeding or to permit reforestation by planting or direct seeding and maintain ecological processes. An Understory burn can consume debris or leaf litter under controlled conditions that otherwise could burn uncontrollably and devastatingly. Prior to burning unit may need to be treated to reduce slash height and quantities. Burn should be cool enough to not cause mortality to residual stand but also must reduce litter and debris. Burned firebreaks used to achieve total firebreak width are part of these burns. (Constructed firebreak cost is not included in cost of burn. Refer to Firebreak (394) standard and cost scenarios)

Before Situation:
Moderate slash accumulation in a open forest stand. Leaf litter and debris throughout stand. Small seedlings of various quantities may be present. Desirable plant composition is lacking due to reduced plant vigor, invasive species or improper livestock distribution.

After Situation:
Litter, debris and slash are consumed, small seedlings may be killed during active burning. Residual larger trees have little to no scorching. Post treatment fire danger is significantly reduced. Desirable plant composition is restored, plant vigor improved and invasive species reduced. Forage production and quality for livestock and /or wildlife is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,551.39
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$855.14
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Trailer, water tank | 1598 | Mobile 5,000 gal water tank mounted on a trailer. Equipment only. Does not include towing equipment. | Hours | \$21.27 | 10 | \$212.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 100 | \$4,014.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 15 | \$1,782.45 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 12 | \$44.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#1 - Cover Crop - Basic (Organic and Non-organic)

## Scenario Description:

Typically a small grain or legume (may also use forage sorghum, radishes, turnips, buckwheat, etc.) will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of a row crop, and will be followed by a row crop that will utilize the residue as a mulch. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted with a drill. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible, without delaying planting of the following crop. The cover crop will be terminated using an approved herbicide prior to planting the subsequent crop.

Before Situation:
Row crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements according to Cover Crop (340) are prepared and implemented. Within 30 days after harvest of the row crop, fields are planted with a small grain or legume cover crop (may also use forage sorghum, radishes, turnips, buckwheat, etc.), typically rye or clover. The average field size is 40 acres. The cover crop is seeded with a drill. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is terminated with an approved herbicide prior to spring planting as late as feasible to maximize plant biomass production. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.

Feature Measure: Area planted

Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,234.40$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$80.86
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 40 | \$840.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 40 | \$1,631.60 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#6 - Cover Crop - Adaptive Management

## Scenario Description:

The practice scenario is for the implementation of cover crops in small replicated plots to allow the producer to learn how to manage cover crops on their operation. Scenario includes implementing replicated strip trials on a field plot to evaluate, identify and implement a particular cover crop management strategy (e.g., cover crop vs no cover crop, multiple species vs, single species, evaluate different termination methods or timings, using a legume vs no legume for nitrogen credits). This will be done following the guidance in the NRCS Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management.

## Before Situation:

Row crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visible rills by spring. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil. The producer is considering the use of cover crops but is unsure how to manage on their unique operation or is seeking a way to better manage cover crops in the operation.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements for Cover Crop (340) will be prepared along with the Adaptive Management plan for the replicated cover crop plots and implemented. Installation of this scenario will result in establishment of a cover crop replicated plots to compare to different management strategies for cover crop management following the guidance in the Agronomy Technical Note 10-Adaptive Management. Implementation involves establishing the replicated plots to evaluate one or more cover crop management strategies. The plot will consist of at least 4 replicated plots designed, laid out, managed and evaluated with the assistance of a consultant knowledgeable in cover crop management. Results are used to make cover crop management decisions to address erosion and water quality issues. Yields will be measured and statistically summarized following the procedures in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management. The yields for each plot will be adjusted to the appropriate moisture content. This would be repeated for 3 years.

Feature Measure: Based on 10 acres
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,962.70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$2,9 | 62.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 10 | \$64.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 10 | \$126.60 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 10 | \$613.60 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#11-Cover Crop - Multiple Species (Organic and Non-organic)
Scenario Description:
Typically the multi-species cover crop (two or more species) mix includes a small grain, a legume, and may include other species such as forage sorghum, radishes, turnips, buckwheat, etc.). This mix will address all the purposes of the Cover Crop (340) standard. Typically the cover crop is seeded immediately after harvest of a row crop, but may be inter-seeded into a row crop using a broadcast seeder, drill, or similar device. The cover crop will be followed by another row crop and will utilize the residue as a mulch. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible without delaying planting of the following crop. The cover crop will be terminated using an approved herbicide or tillage prior to planting the subsequent crop and terminated per the NRCS Cover Crop Termination Guidelines.

## Before Situation:

Row crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements according to Cover Crop (340) are prepared and implemented. Within 30 days after the harvest of row crop, fields are planted with a multispecies ( 2 or more species) cover crop mix that generally includes a small grain, a legume, and may include other species such as forage sorghum, radishes, turnips, buckwheat, etc. The average field size is 40 acres. The cover crop is seeded with a drill, broadcast seeder, aerial broadcast, or other method. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is terminated with an approved herbicide prior to spring planting as late as feasible to maximize plant biomass production. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.

Feature Measure: Area planted

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$4,057.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 1.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 40 | \$840.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 40 | \$2,454.40 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#12-Pac. Island Area Cover Crop

## Scenario Description:

A one or more species cover crop mix is planted soon after harvest for either and organic or inorganic operation. Seed is planted using a a drill or broadcast seeder. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible without delaying planting of the following crop as permitted by the NRCS Cover Crop Termination Guidelines. The cover crop will be terminated using an approved herbicide and/or by mechanical operations prior to planting the subsequent crop. The cover crop will treat erosion, improve soil quality, reduce water quality degradation by utilizing excessive soil nutrients, suppress excessive weed pressures and break pest cycles, improve soil moisture use efficiency, or minimize soil compaction.

## Before Situation:

Row crops such as corn, soybeans, or vegetables are grown and harvested. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall. After harvest residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visible rills. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared for the site specific conditions and desired purpose(s). After harvest of row crop, fields are planted with a one or more species cover crop to address erosion, improve soil quality, reduce water quality degradation by utilizing excessive soil nutrients, suppress excessive weed pressures and break pest cycles, improve soil moisture use efficiency, or minimize soil compaction. The cover crop provides soil cover until the following crop. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is terminated with an approved herbicide or tillage or crimper rolling prior to establishing the next crop. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.

Feature Measure: Acres Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$215.69
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$215.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 1 | \$25.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 1 | \$61.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Pacific Island | 2679 | Mobilization cost of materials for sea or air freight services between islands. | Pound | \$0.00 | 50 | \$0.00 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#13-Caribbean Legume Cover Crop

## Scenario Description:

A legume will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of a row crop, and will be followed by a row crop that will utilize fixed nitrogen and cover crop biomass as a mulch, provide erosion reduction, improve water quality, and soil health. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted with a drill. Legume seeds must be inoculated with the proper inoculant prior to planting. The cover crop should be allowed to reach early to mid-bloom before it is terminated, using an approved herbicide, in order to maximize nitrogen fixation.

Before Situation:
Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in high erosion, impaired water quality, and degraded soil health.

After Situation:
The 340 Implementation Requirements is completed per the needed specifications for the field site. Harvest fields are planted immediately with a legume cover crop. The average field size is 5 acres. The cover crop is seeded with a drill. No fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover to reduce erosion, improve water quality, and improve soil health.

Feature Measure: acres planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$533.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$106.74

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 5 | \$105.05 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, 2,4-D | 330 | Broadleaf herbicide labeled for cropland and pasture. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$10.10 | 5 | \$50.50 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 5 | \$306.80 |

## Scenario: \#20 - Winter Kill Cover Crop Species

## Scenario Description:

Typically a single species grass/legume/brassica or multiple species mix of grass/legume/brassica cover will be planted as a cover crop using appropriate methods into standing crop or immediately after harvest of a row crop, and will be followed by a row crop that will utilize fixed nitrogen, and cover crop biomass as a mulch. This scenario reflects costs associated with aerial seeding, but any appropriate seeding method may be used. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible before the crop is winter killed. This scenario assumes the cover crop species will 'winter kill', therefore no additional termination measures are included. However, appropriate termination methods should be used as needed based on the specific situation, prior to planting the subsequent crop.

## Before Situation:

Row crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage (in some cases). Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visiblerills by spring. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

## After Situation:

Implementation requirements according to Cover Crop (340) are prepared and implemented. Within 30 days after harvest of row crop, fields are planted with a single species or mix species cover crop, as outlined in the plan details. The average corn belt field size is 100 acres. The cover crop is seeded with a no-till drill, broadcast seeder, aerial seeding, or other method. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, into or throughout the winter, and potentially into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. The cover crop is established using winter kill species which should not require termination in the spring. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.

Feature Measure: Area Planted

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 5,566.00$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, Aerial | 958 | Broadcast seed via aerial operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.87 | 100 | \$1,487.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 100 | \$4,079.00 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#29-Cover Crop - Basic Organic

## Scenario Description:

'Typically a small grain or small grain-legume mix (may also use forage sorghum, radishes, turnips, buckwheat, etc) will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of an organically grown crop, and will be followed by an organically grown crop that will utilize the residue as a mulch. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted with a no-till drill. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible, without delaying planting of the following crop. The cover crop will be terminated using a mechnical kill method (mowing, rolling, undercutting, etc.), within weeks prior to planting the subsequent crop. This scenario REQUIRES use of Certified Organic Seed. Associated practices: Conservation Cover (327), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till/Strip Till/Direct Seed (329), Critical Area Planting (342), Residue Management, Seasonal (344), Residue and Tillage Management, Mulch Till (345), Residue and Tillage Management, Ridge Till (346), Nutrient Management (590), Integrated Pest Management (595).'

## Before Situation:

Organically grown crops such as various vegetable and fruit crops (along with organically produced row crops) are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visible rills by spring. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

## After Situation:

Within 30 days after harvest of organic crop, fields are planted with a small grain-legume mix cover crop, typically rye and clover. The average field size is 25 acres. The cover crop is seeded with a no-till drill. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced and no rills are visible on the soil surface in the spring. The cover crop is terminated with using a mechnical kill method (mowing, rolling, undercutting, etc.), prior to spring planting as late as feasible to maximize plant biomass production. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Wind erosion is reduced by standing resides. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,684.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$122.80
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 30 | \$771.90 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 30 | \$630.30 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certified Organic, Annual Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2343 | Annual grasses, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$76.06 | 30 | \$2,281.80 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#47-Cover Crop - 1 acre or less

## Scenario Description:

Typically a small grain or legume will be planted as a cover crop immediately after harvest of a crop, and will be followed by a crop. This scenario assumes that seed will be planted by hand. The cover crop should be allowed to generate as much biomass as possible, without delaying planting of the following crop. The cover crop will typically be terminated by mowing or tilling prior to planting the subsequent crop.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as sweet corn, vegetables, or root crops are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements according to Cover Crop (340) are prepared and implemented. Within 10 days after harvest of the crop, fields are planted with a small grain or legume cover crop, typically rye or clover. The average field size is 0.25 acres. The cover crop is seeded by hand. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover by late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is terminated with an approved herbicide prior to spring planting as late as feasible to maximize plant biomass production. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping system. Cover crop residues left on the surface may maximize weed control by increasing allelopathic and mulching effect.

Feature Measure: area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.25
Scenario Total Cost: \$135.62

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$542.48
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Walk-behind Rototiller | 2723 | 8 hp walk-behind rototiller, one-day rental | Day | \$155.56 | 0.25 | \$38.89 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 0.25 | \$15.34 |

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#65-Mechanical Termination of Cover Crop per 1000 square feet

## Scenario Description:

Typical cover crop is more than one plant species, planted immediately after harvest of a crop and will be followed by a new crop. Cover crops are planted in the production bed typically 4000 square feet. Implementation is mostly hand labor or labor intensive. Cover crop is mechanically terminated in urban agricultural sites with State and local laws, ordinance and zoning restrictions on use of agrichemicals.

## Before Situation:

Crop rotation include specialty crops such sweet corn, vegetables, or root crops are grown and harvested through out growing season and into mid-late fall. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements according to Cover Crop (340) are prepared and implemented. The cover crop is seeded by hand. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover at the critical period when cover is needed usually late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is mechanically terminated as late as feasible to maximize cover crop biomass production and meet the planting date needs of the next crop. Over time, soil health is improved due to additions of biomass, improvement of aggregate stability and infiltration/aeration.

Feature Measure: Area of Cover Crop Installed
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$116.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$29.15

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 1.5 | \$38.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but | Acres | \$61.36 | 0.7 | \$42.95 | may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 340-Cover Crop
Scenario: \#66-Multi-species Cover Crop per 1000 square feet

## Scenario Description:

Typical cover crop is more than one plant species, planted immediately after harvest of a crop and will be followed by a new crop. Cover crops are planted in the production bed typically 4000 square feet. Implementation is mostly hand labor or labor intensive. Cover crop is mechanically terminated in urban agricultural sites with State and local laws, ordinance and zoning restrictions on use of agrichemicals.

Before Situation:
Crop rotation include specialty crops such sweet corn, vegetables, or root crops are grown and harvested through out growing season and into mid-late fall. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue crops, and long periods of bare soil.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements according to Cover Crop (340) are prepared and implemented. The cover crop is seeded by hand. No additional fertilizer is applied with the cover crop. The cover crop provides soil cover at the critical period when cover is needed usually late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and erosion are reduced. Wind erosion is reduced by standing residues. The cover crop is mechanically terminated as late as feasible to maximize cover crop biomass production and meet the planting date needs of the next crop. Over time, soil health is improved due to additions of biomass, improvement of aggregate stability and infiltration/aeration.

Feature Measure: Area of Cover Crop Installed
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$246.20

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$61.55

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 0.7 | \$42.95 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#1 - Native or Introduced Vegetation - Normal Tillage (Organic and Non-Organic)

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation (Native and Introduced) on a site (both organic and non-organic) that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application.

## Before Situation:

Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from recent natural occurrences (fire, flood, wind, etc.) or due to newly constructed conservation practices such as waterways, terraces, water and sediment basins or dams. The exposed areas will be subject to wind and water erosion that exceed soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements are prepared and implemented according to the Critical Area Planting (342) standard. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil amendments will be incorporated at a depth of four to six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: area seeded

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$316.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$316.86

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#4 - Native or Introduced Vegetation - Moderate Grading (Organic and Non-Organic)

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation (native and introduced) on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of small gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application.

## Before Situation:

Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from natural occurrences (fire, flood, etc.) or human disturbance. The exposed areas have visible rills and small gullies averaging 1 foot in depth and 1 foot in width that requires some moderate grading to prepare a seedbed. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements are prepared and implemented according to the Critical Area Planting (342) standard.. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the small gullies with a dozer and then applying fertilizer, lime and seed. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: area seeded
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$816.41

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$816.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 1 | \$40.79 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#6 - Native or Introduced Vegetation - Heavy Grading (Organic and Non-Organic)

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of moderate to severe gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application.

Before Situation:
Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from natural occurrences (fire, flood, etc.) or human disturbance. The exposed areas have visible rills and moderate to severe gullies averaging 3 feet in depth and 3 feet in width. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements are prepared and implemented according to the Critical Area Planting (342) standard. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the moderate to severe gullies with a dozer and then applying fertilizer, lime and seed. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: area seeded

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,207.04$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |

Equipment Operators, Heavy
Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons.

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 1 | \$61.36 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#13-Caribbean Critical Area Planting Heavy Grading

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of moderate to severe gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, seeding, and mulching as needed by the unique site.

Before Situation:
Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from natural occurrences (fire, flood, etc) or human disturbance. The exposed areas have visible rills and moderate gullies.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements for 342 Critical Area Planting is prepared for the unique site conditions. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the moderate to severe gullies with a dozer, seedbed preparation, applying fertilizer, lime and seed. The site is stabilized by permanent vegetation which controls soil erosion and mitigates offsite sedimentation.

Feature Measure: Acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,183.05

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,183.05
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen ( N ), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 50 | \$51.50 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 50 | \$40.50 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 50 | \$34.00 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 1 | \$26.18 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2748 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$68.23 | 1 | \$68.23 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#14-Caribbean Critical Area Planting - Normal Tillage

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, fertilizer, and mulch.

Before Situation:
Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from recent natural occurrences (fire, flood, wind, etc.) or due to newly constructed conservation practices such dams.

## After Situation:

The Implementation Requirements with site specific specifications is prepared for each site. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime, seed, and mulch. Vegetation is established, the soil is stabilized.

Feature Measure: Areas treated

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$539.53

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$539.53
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |

Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 50 | \$51.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 50 | \$40.50 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 50 | \$34.00 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 1 | \$26.18 |
| Straw | 1237 | Small grain straw (non organic and certified organic). Includes materials only. | Ton | \$137.00 | 2 | \$274.00 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2748 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$68.23 | 1 | \$68.23 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#15-US Virgin Island Critical Area Planting - Normal Tillage

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include tillage for seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application, and mulch.

Before Situation:
Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from natural occurrences (fire, flood, etc) or human disturbance. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared according to the 342 Critical Area Planting standard and implemented. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime, seed, and mulch. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: Acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$893.61

Scenario Cost/Unit:
\$893.61
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 50 | \$51.50 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 50 | \$40.50 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 50 | \$34.00 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 1 | \$26.18 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2748 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$68.23 | 1 | \$68.23 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles

1043 Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price.

Dollars
\$1.00
600
$\$ 600.00$

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#16 - US Virgin Islands Critical Area Planting - Heavy Grading

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of moderate to severe gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop,fertilizer and lime with application, and mulch.

Before Situation:
Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from natural occurrences (fire, flood, etc) or human disturbance. The exposed areas have visible rills and moderate to severe gullies averaging 3 feet in depth and 3 feet in width. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements are prepared according to the 342 Critical Area Planting standard for the unique site requirements and implemented. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the moderate to severe gullies with a dozer and then applying fertilizer, lime, seed, and mulch. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$1,811.13

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,811.13
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Tota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |

Materials

| Nitrogen ( N ), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of N supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 50 | \$51.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 50 | \$40.50 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 50 | \$34.00 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 1 | \$26.18 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2748 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$68.23 | 1 | \$68.23 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 600 | \$600.00 |

Practice: 342 - Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#17-Pacific Island Critical Area Planting

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation (Native or Introduced) on a site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural or human disturbance. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping of moderate to severe gullies, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime with application.

## Before Situation:

Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from natural occurrences (fire, flood, etc) or human disturbance. The exposed areas have visible rills and moderate to severe gullies averaging 3 feet in depth and 3 feet in width. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared according to the 342 Critical Area Planting standard and implemented. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by grading and shaping the small gullies with a dozer and then applying fertilizer, lime and seed. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,564.06
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,564.06

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Medium Density | 2751 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$192.81 | 1 | \$192.81 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#18-PIA - Criteria Area Planting

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a (Organic and Non-Organic) site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, native grass seed, and trees/shrubs.

Before Situation:
Areas that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from recent natural occurrences (fire, flood, wind, etc.) or due to newly constructed conservation practices such as waterways, terraces, water and sediment basins or dams. The exposed areas will be subject to wind and water erosion that exceed soil loss tolerances. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared according to the 342 Critical Area Planting Standard and implemented. This typical 1.0 acre critical area is stabilized by applying seed and some trees and shrubs. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced, and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,343.30$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,343.30

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, <br> power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | $\$ 9.68$ | 1 | $\$ 9.68$ |

Labor
General Labor 231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and Hours $\$ 28.64 \quad 24$ other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.
Materials

| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 50 | \$389.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2757 | A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$228.68 | 1 | \$228.68 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Pacific Island | 2679 | Mobilization cost of materials for sea or air freight services between islands. | Pound | \$0.00 | 55 | \$0.00 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#22-Small Area Disturbance

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a small site that is void or nearly void of vegetation due to a natural occurrence or a newly constructed conservation practice. Costs include seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass seed, fertilizer and lime with application.
Before Situation:
Small area that are void or nearly void of vegetation, resulting in bare soil being exposed to erosive processes. The exposed areas may be caused from recent natural occurrences (fire, flood, wind, etc.) or due to newly constructed conservation practices such as pipeline or seasonal high tunnel. The exposed areas will be subject to wind erosion, sheet and rill erosion, or visible rills may have already occurred. Runoff from the area flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. The soil typically has a pH imbalance and low fertility.

## After Situation:

This typical 1000 sq ft critical area is stabilized by applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Soil amendments will be incorporated at an depth of six inches to improve fertility and ensure establishment of permanent vegetative cover. Apply 90 lbs of nitrogen, 90 lbs of phosphorus, and 90 lbs of potassium, along with an application of 2 tons of lime. Prepare a firm, weed free seedbed so that proper germination and stand establishment are ensured. Once the seedbed has been prepared, broadcast the following mixture for a vegetative cover: Tall Fescue ( $40 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ), Perennial Ryegrass ( $25 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ), and Kentucky Blue ( $20 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ).

Feature Measure: Area of Planting
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 8.64$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.02 | \$0.28 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 0.02 | \$0.14 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 0.02 | \$0.18 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 0.02 | \$0.27 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 0.02 | \$0.19 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 2.07 | \$1.41 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 2.07 | \$1.68 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 2.07 | \$1.41 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 0.05 | \$1.31 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 0.02 | \$1.77 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#51-Gully Repair and Seeding with Native or Introduced Vegetation

## Scenario Description:

Repair and seeding of an area with gully erosion where repair requires a dozer for earthmoving to fill in and reshape the area followed by seeding. Scenario is generally for locations in pasture/hayland or farmsteads with watershed areas 5 acres or less; however scenario may also be applicable to other locations. This scenario is not to be used in a location with a well defined channel. Costs include a dozer for grading and shaping, seedbed preparation with typical tillage implements, grass/legume seed, companion crop, and fertilizer and lime.

Before Situation:
Gullies have formed in a pasture that needs to be repaired to prevent further soil loss. Site assessment has indicated that the drainage area is small enough that a repair through Critical Area Planting will be sufficient.

After Situation:
Implementation requirements are prepared and implemented according to the Critical Area Planting (342) standard. The area is stabilized by grading and shaping the area with gully erosion with a dozer and then applying fertilizer, lime and seed. Livestock will be excluded or have limited access to the area until vegetation is established. The site will be stabilized, erosion reduced and offsite damages reduced/eliminated.

Feature Measure: Area repaired and seeded
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$3,198.74

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,198.74
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QT | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 16 | \$1,524.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving | Hours | \$40.27 | 16 | \$644.32 |

Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 342-Critical Area Planting
Scenario: \#66-Permanent Cover

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of permanent vegetation on a site that is void of vegetation or needs to improve the vegetation to adequately cover the existing site soil to reduce particulate matter dust emissions. Costs include seedbed prep with light tillage, seed, fertilizer and lime. Small Scale fields and urban sites have soil conditions limiting vegetation growth or sensitive areas that need protection.

## Before Situation:

Fields are bare or sparsely vegetated exposed to wind and water erosion. Soil physical of chemical properties limit vegetative growth. Urban site soils contain heavy metal contaminants at risk of emissions as particulate dust from field activities.

After Situation:
The Implementation Requirement with site specific instruction is prepared for each treatment site. The establishment of permanent vegetation will stabilize the soil. Sensitive areas are protected. Particulate dust is reduced. Wind and water erosion loss is within tolerance levels ( $T$ ).

Feature Measure: planted area
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$315.55
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.8 | \$11.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.4 | \$8.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |

Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 5 | \$5.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 5 | \$4.05 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 5 | \$3.40 |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 1 | \$14.07 |
| Straw | 1237 | Small grain straw (non organic and certified organic). Includes materials only. | Ton | \$137.00 | 0.1 | \$13.70 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 0.4 | \$35.48 |

Practice: 345 - Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till
Scenario: \#2 - Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till

## Scenario Description:

Mulch-till is managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting the soil-disturbing activities used to grow crops in systems where the entire field surface is tilled by the planter/drill or tillage tools prior to planting. This practice includes tillage methods commonly referred to as mulch tillage, vertical tillage, chiseling and disking, or the use of high disturbance drills without additional tillage. It applies to stubble mulching on summerfallowed land, to tillage for annually planted crops, to tillage for planted crops and to tillage for planting perennial crops. All residue shall be uniformly spread or managed over the surface throughout the critical erosion period(s). All residue shall be uniformly distributed over the entire field and not burned or removed. These periods of intensive tillage have led to excessive soil loss, often above the soil loss tolerance ( T ), due to the loss of crop residue on the soil surface. The NRCS erosion prediction model(s) will be used to review the farming operations and determine the amount of surface residue to manage throughout the rotation to keep soil loss below T . The producer will adopt a reduced till system to meet one or more of the practice purposes.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as corn, soybeans, small grains, or cotton are grown and harvested. Fields are tilled immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increase. Sheet, rill and wind erosion occurs. Spring tillage and seedbed preparation activities occur as early as possible in the late winter and early spring. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing water quality degradation. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue monocultures, and long periods of bare soil.
After Situation:
The Implementation Requirements are prepared following the criteria in the 345 Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till conservation practice standard. Reduced till applies to all cropland and other lands where crops are planted. This scenario includes the use of a reduce till systems and high disturbance drills, such as a hoe drill, air seeder, or no-till drill that disturbs a large percentage of soil surface during the planting operation. The residue that remains on the soil surface provides soil cover during late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and water/wind erosion are reduced and water quality improves. Over time, soil health is improved due to less tillage, the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity in the cropping system.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,181.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.81

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for | Acres | \$21.81 | 100 | \$2,181.00 |

Practice: 345 - Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till
Scenario: \#3 - Mulch till-Adaptive Management

## Scenario Description:

The practice scenario is for the implementation of mulch till in small replicated plots to allow the producer to learn how to manage mulch till on their operation. Scenario includes implementing replicated strip trials on a field plot to evaluate, identify and implement a particular mulch till management strategy (e.g., mulch till vs. conventional till, two different mulch till systems, etc.). This will be done following the guidelines outlined in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management.

## Before Situation:

Row crops such as corn, soybeans, or cotton are grown and harvested in mid-late fall. Fields are disked immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases. Erosion exceeds soil loss tolerances. Spring tillage and seedbed preparation activities occur as early as possible in the late winter and early spring prior to planting. Weed control is accomplished primarily through tillage, requiring multiple operations. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing degradation to the receiving waters. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue monocultures, and long periods of bare soil. The producer is considering using mulch till technology, but is unsure how to manage on their operation or needs to improve the management of mulch till to be successful.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements and the Adaptive Management Plan is prepared for the plots and implemented. Installation of this scenario will result in establishment of mulch till replicated plots to compare to different management strategies for mulch till and other residue management strategies following the guidelines outlined in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management and the Adaptive Management Guidance 345 for Mulch Till. Implementation involves establishing the replicated plots to evaluate one or more reduced till management strategies. The plot will consist of at least four replicated plots designed, laid out, managed and evaluated with the assistance of a consultant knowledgeable in reduced till management. Results are used to make reduced till management decisions to address erosion, soil health, and water quality issues. Yields will be measured and statistically summarized following the procedures in Agronomy Technical Note 10 - Adaptive Management. The yields for each plot will be adjusted to the appropriate moisture content and residue levels measured as needed. This practice will be repeated for three years.

Feature Measure: Based on 20 acres

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,657.80$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 4,657.80$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 20 | \$280.80 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 20 | \$426.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 10 | \$218.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 20 | \$2,376.60 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 345 - Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till
Scenario: \#45-Reduced Till Sweep for No Burn/Sweep Beds - Sugarcane Production in Louisiana
Scenario Description:
In this scenario, sugarcane producers will be migrating from a system of burning residue immediately after harvest in the fall and winter to a system that discontinues burning and allows residue to be swept into furrows. No burning will take place during the management period. Adopting this system will improve soil quality, reduce erosion, and improve air quality in sensitive areas.

## Before Situation:

Sugarcane residue is typically burned immediately after harvest in the fall and early winter. After burning, beds may be reshaped with tillage. Any crop residue that is present degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increases during rainfall events. Sheet and rill erosion occurs with visible signs of soil erosion by spring. Sensitive receptors near sugarcane fields will be exposed to increased particulate matter and degraded air quality during burning events.

After Situation:
After harvest in the fall or winter, residue will be swept from the sugarcane row tops into the furrows. Residue will not be burned. In the early spring, row reshaping (offbar and lay-by tillage) will occur as necessary. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional crop residues, ground cover, and soil infiltration.

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,266.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 200 | \$4,266.00 |

Practice: 345 -Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till

## Scenario: \#54-Adoption of Reduced Tillage Management Practices

## Scenario Description:

Reduced-till is managing the amount, orientation and distribution of crop and other plant residue on the soil surface year round while limiting the soil disturbing activities used to grow crops in systems where the entire field surface is tilled by the planter/drill or tillage tools prior to planting. This practice includes tillage methods commonly referred to as mulch tillage, vertical tillage, chiseling and disking, or the use of high disturbance drills without additional tillage. It applies to stubble mulching on summerfallowed land, to tillage for annually planted crops, to tillage for planted crops and to tillage for planting perennial crops. All residue shall be uniformly spread or managed over the surface throughout the critical erosion period(s). All residue shall be uniformly distributed over the entire field and not burned or removed. These periods of intensive tillage have led to excessive soil loss, often above the soil loss tolerance ( T ), due to the loss of crop residue on the soil surface. The NRCS erosion prediction model(s) will be used to review the farming operations and determine the amount of surface residue to manage throughout the rotation to keep soil loss below T . The producer will adopt a reduced till system to meet one or more of the practice purposes.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as corn, soybeans, small grains, or cotton are grown and harvested. Fields are tilled immediately following harvest, with rows in some fields being hipped for drainage. Residue amounts after harvest average $30 \%$ or less, resulting in bare soil being exposed to wind erosion and/or intense rainfall during the fall, winter, and early spring. Over the winter residue degrades and sediment/nutrient runoff from fields increase. Sheet, rill and wind erosion occurs. Spring tillage and seedbed preparation activities occur as early as possible in the late winter and early spring. Runoff from the fields flows into streams, water courses or other water bodies causing water quality degradation. Soil health (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage practices, low residue monocultures, and long periods of bare soil.
After Situation:
The Implementation Requirements are prepared following the criteria in the 345 Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till conservation practice standard. Reduced till applies to all cropland and other lands where crops are planted. This scenario includes the use of a reduced till system that consists of a combination of no till practices for part of the rotation, and reduced-tillage practices for part of the rotation. This scenario accounts for the cost of the portion of the rotation where a no-till planter is necessary to implement the practice. The residue that remains on the soil surface provides soil cover during late fall, throughout the winter, and into the early spring. Runoff and water/wind erosion are reduced and water quality improves. Over time, soil health is improved due to less tillage, the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity in the cropping system.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,090.50

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for | Acres | \$21.81 | 50 | \$1,090.50 |

Practice: 345 - Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till
Scenario: \#64-Reduced Tillage less than 0.5 acres

## Scenario Description:

Scenario applies to Urban sites less than a $1 / 2$ acre with a rotation of organic or non-organic specialty crops (fruits and vegetable) are produced as part of a conservation management system to treat one or more of the following resource concerns: 1) Reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, 2) Maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, 3) Improve soil moisture efficiency, 4) Reduce plant pest pressures. This practice payment effectively implements a reduced tillage system on a typical urban specialty crop farm. Cost represents typical situations for organic and non-organic producers.

## Before Situation:

This rotation consisted of growing specialty crops. Fields range from nearly flat to $B$ and $C$ slopes. Erosion, soil quality, and pest management are the primary concern. Removal of residue from the planted area is common leaving bare soil-residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Full width tillage is performed prior to planting . Weed control is typically by cultivation.

## After Situation:

The implementation requirements are written following CPS 345 Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Tillage to treat one or more of the following resource concerns on organic and non- organic farms: reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, maintain or increase soil health and organic matter content, improve soil moisture efficiency or reduce plant pest pressure. Soil disturbance is minimized with no-till drill or planter use. May include single slot opener and seedling or plug planting follows. When pest management requires the removal of crop residue then planting beds are covered with cover crop using the 340 Cover Crop conservation practice. Runoff and erosion are reduced below T. No observed rills. Wind erosion reduced by maintaining surface cover. Over time, soil health is improved due to less tillage, the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity in the cropping system.

Feature Measure: area planted

Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$540.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$36.06

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.17 | \$3.57 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Strip Till Planter | 1230 | No Till/Strip Till row planters for seeding. Includes all costs for equipment, power unit, and labor. | Acres | \$21.81 | 0.17 | \$3.71 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 9 | \$257.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |

Practice: 348-Dam, Diversion
Scenario: \#20-Rock/Gravel Fill

## Scenario Description:

A rock structure with a gravel bedding on geotextile is built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream to provide water in such a manner that it can be controlled and used beneficially for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, develop renewable energy systems, or recreation, to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. This structure will address the resource concerns of inefficient water use on Irrigated Land, inadequate water for livestock, and inadequate water supply for other beneficial uses.

Before Situation:
This practice applies where a diversion dam is needed as an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, Diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse is desirable, The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted, Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice can be overcome. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. It does not apply where conservation practice standard Diversion (362), Floodwater Diversion (400), Dam (402), or Grade Stabilization Structure (410) would be used. This practice will provide beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows.

After Situation:
A rock structure of approximately 1050 cubic yards with a gravel bedding of approximately 450 cubic yards on approximately 200 square yards of geotextile, built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. This structure will be an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, or diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse as needed. The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted. Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice must be overcome. The rock structure provides beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as Channel Vegetation (322), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), Channel Stabilization (584) will be as appropriate. Any needed head gates or flap gates to control the quantity of water being diverted must use Structure for Water Control (587).

Feature Measure: Fill in Cubic Yards
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$158,551.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$105.70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1050 | \$140,238.00 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 450 | \$17,950.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 0.5 | \$363.40 |

Practice: 348 - Dam, Diversion
Scenario: \#21-Earth Fill

## Scenario Description:

An earth fill built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream to provide water in such a manner that it can be controlled and used beneficially for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, develop renewable energy systems, or recreation, to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. This structure will address the resource concerns of inefficient water use on Irrigated Land, inadequate water for livestock, and inadequate water supply for other beneficial
uses.
Before Situation:
This practice applies where a diversion dam is needed as an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, Diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse is desirable, The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted, Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice can be overcome. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. It does not apply where conservation practice standard Diversion (362), Floodwater Diversion (400), Dam (402), or Grade Stabilization Structure (410) would be used. This practice will provide beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows.

After Situation:
An earth fill structure of approximately 1500 cubic yards is built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. This structure will be an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, or diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse as needed. The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted. Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice must be overcome. The earth fill structure provides beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as Channel Vegetation (322), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), Channel Stabilization (584) will be as appropriate. Any needed head gates or flap gates to control the quantity of water being diverted must use Structure for Water Control (587).

Feature Measure: Volume of Earth Fill

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$10,040.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$6.69 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 30 | \$8,448.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 30.5 | \$1,228.24 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 0.5 | \$363.40 |

Practice: 348-Dam, Diversion

## Scenario: \#22-Earth Fill-Grouted Rock

## Scenario Description:

An earth fill and grouted rock structure built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream to provide water in such a manner that it can be controlled and used beneficially for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, develop renewable energy systems, or recreation, to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. This structure will address the resource concerns of inefficient water use on Irrigated Land, inadequate water for livestock, and inadequate water supply for other beneficial uses.

Before Situation:
This practice applies where a diversion dam is needed as an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, Diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse is desirable, The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted, Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice can be overcome. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. It does not apply where conservation practice standard Diversion (362), Floodwater Diversion (400), Dam (402), or Grade Stabilization Structure (410) would be used. This practice will provide beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows.

After Situation:
An earth fill and grouted rock structure of approximately 1050 cubic yards of earth fill with 450 cubic yards of grouted rock is built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. This structure will be an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, or diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse as needed. The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted. Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice must be overcome. The earth fill and grouted rock structure provides beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as Channel Vegetation (322), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), Channel Stabilization (584) will be as appropriate. Any needed head gates or flap gates to control the quantity of water being diverted must use Structure for Water Control (587).

Feature Measure: Volume of Total Fill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 98,833.09$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$65.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 21 | \$5,914.02 |
| Rock Riprap, grouted | 1757 | Grouted Rock Riprap, includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$203.80 | 450 | \$91,710.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment $>=150$ HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 21 | \$845.67 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 348-Dam, Diversion

## Scenario: \#23-Sheet Pile Structure

## Scenario Description:

A sheet pile structure with rock, built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream to provide water in such a manner that it can be controlled and used beneficially for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, develop renewable energy systems, or recreation, to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. This structure will address the resource concerns of inefficient water use on Irrigated Land, inadequate water for livestock, and inadequate water supply for other beneficial uses.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies where a diversion dam is needed as an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, Diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse is desirable, The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted, Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice can be overcome. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. It does not apply where conservation practice standard Diversion (362), Floodwater Diversion (400), Dam (402), or Grade Stabilization Structure (410) would be used. This practice will provide beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows.

After Situation:
A sheet pile structure of approximately 3000 square feet with approximately 660 cubic yards of riprap is built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. This structure will be an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, or diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse as needed. The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted. Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice must be overcome. The sheet pile structure provides beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as Channel Vegetation (322), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), Channel Stabilization (584) will be as appropriate. Any needed head gates or flap gates to control the quantity of water being diverted must use Structure for Water Control (587).

Feature Measure: Area of sheet pile
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,000.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$200,505.55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$66.84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheet piling, steel, 15 ft . | 1337 | Steel sheet pile, panels or barrier driven up to 15 feet and left in place. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Square Feet | \$36.83 | 3000 | \$110,490.00 |
| Portable Welder | 1407 | Portable field welder. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$18.49 | 24 | \$443.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 24.5 | \$983.43 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 660 | \$88,149.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 0.5 | \$438.76 |

Practice: 348 - Dam, Diversion

## Scenario: \#24-Reinforced Concrete Dam Diversion

## Scenario Description:

A reinforced concrete dam diversion structure built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream to provide water in such a manner that it can be controlled and used beneficially for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, develop renewable energy systems, or recreation, to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. This structure will address the resource concerns of inefficient water use on Irrigated Land, inadequate water for livestock, and inadequate water supply for other beneficial uses.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies where a diversion dam is needed as an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, Diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse is desirable, The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted, Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice can be overcome. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. It does not apply where conservation practice standard Diversion (362), Floodwater Diversion (400), Dam (402), or Grade Stabilization Structure (410) would be used. This practice will provide beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows.

After Situation:
A reinforced concrete dam diversion structure of approximately 1500 cubic yards of concrete is built to divert all or part of the water from a waterway or a stream. This standard applies to structures of a permanent nature, constructed of materials having an expected life span consistent with the purpose for which the structure is designed. This structure will be an integral part of an irrigation system or a water-spreading system designed to facilitate the conservation use of soil and water resources, or diversion of water from an unstable watercourse to a stable watercourse as needed. The water supply available is adequate for the purpose for which it is to be diverted. Adverse environmental impacts resulting from the installation of the practice must be overcome. The reinforced concrete structure provides beneficial uses for irrigation, livestock water, fire control, municipal or industrial uses, renewable energy systems, recreation, or to divert periodic damaging flows from one watercourse to another watercourse thereby reducing the damage potential of the flows. Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as Channel Vegetation (322), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), Channel Stabilization (584) will be as appropriate. Any needed head gates or flap gates to control the quantity of water being diverted must use Structure for Water Control (587).

Feature Measure: Volume of Total Fill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$806,074.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$537.38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 1500 | \$803,550.00 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 10 | \$1,738.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10.5 | \$422.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 0.5 | \$363.40 |

Practice: 350-Sediment Basin
Scenario: \#1 - Excavated Basin

## Scenario Description:

An excavated sediment basin in an existing drainage way on a farm for purpose of trapping sediment and preserving the capacity of reservoirs, ditches, canals, diversions, waterways and streams and to prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and other developed lands. The sediment basin is created solely by excavation and impounds less than 3 feet against the embankment or spoil. Excavated material is spoiled, not placed in a designed embankment. Earthen spillway is constructed as needed. Resource concerns addressed include excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. Surface water causes the sediment (and potentially pesticides and nutrients) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

Before Situation:
Disturbed areas on all land uses that have excessive erosion lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation.

## After Situation:

'The typical sediment basin is constructed by excavating 1500 cubic yards and spreading the spoil outside the pool area using a dozer or similar excavation equipment. The sediment storage capacity should be a minimum of 900 cubic feet per acre of disturbed area. The detention storage should be a minimum of 3600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. Associated practice(s): Other practices that may need to be implemented along with sediment basin to address all of the site specific resource concerns include: Critical Area Planting (342) and Mulching (484) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities, Structure for Water Control (587) if using a dewatering device, Pond Sealing or Lining (521A,521B,521C,521D).

Feature Measure: Excavated volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,844.91
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.56
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 23 | \$2,191.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 23 | \$926.21 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 350-Sediment Basin
Scenario: \#2 - Embankment earthen basin with no pipe

## Scenario Description:

An low hazard class earthen embankment sediment basin in an existing drainage way on a farm for purpose of trapping sediment and preserving the capacity of reservoirs, ditches, canals, diversions, waterways and streams and to prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and other developed lands. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principal spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. Resource concerns addressed include excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. Surface water causes the sediment (and potentially pesticides and nutrients) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

## Before Situation:

Disturbed areas on all land uses that have excessive erosion leading to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation.
After Situation:
The typical sediment basin is constructed by excavating the pool area and using the excavated material to construct the earthen embankment. The embankment will have a constructed auxiliary spillway and a core trench ( 10 ' wide, 3 ' deep, 1:1 slopes) using 1,500 cubic yards of material to create the embankment and core trench. The embankment will be designed and constructed according the Pond standard (378). The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000 . The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The sediment storage capacity should be a minimum of 900 cubic feet per acre of disturbed area. The detention storage should be a minimum of 3600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed based on Pond standard (378). No principal spillway will be used. Associated practice(s): Other practices that may need to be implemented along with sediment basin to address all of the site specific resource concerns include: Critical Area Planting (342) and Mulching (484) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities, Structure for Water Control (587) if using a dewatering device, Pond Sealing or Lining (521A,521B,521C,521D).

Feature Measure: Embankment volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,384.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 7 | \$520.94 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 18 | \$5,069.16 |
| Roller, static, towed, tamping foot | 1328 | Towed static tamping foot (sheepsfoot) roller compactor typically 60 inch diameter drum. Equipment cost only. Does not include pulling equipment. Add Tractor or Dozer. | Hours | \$15.19 | 4 | \$60.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 25 | \$1,006.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 350-Sediment Basin
Scenario: \#3 - Embankment earthen basin with pipe

## Scenario Description:

An low hazard class earthen embankment sediment basin in an existing drainage way on a farm for purpose of trapping sediment and preserving the capacity of reservoirs, ditches, canals, diversions, waterways and streams and to prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and other developed lands. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principal spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. Resource concerns addressed include excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. Surface water causes the sediment (and potentially pesticides and nutrients) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

## Before Situation:

Disturbed areas on all land uses that have excessive erosion leading to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation.
After Situation:
The typical sediment basin is constructed by excavating the pool area and using the excavated material to construct the earthen embankment. The embankment will have a constructed auxiliary spillway and a core trench ( 10 ' wide, $3^{\prime}$ deep, $1: 1$ slopes) using 1,500 cubic yards of material to create the embankment and core trench. The embankment will be designed and constructed according the Pond standard (378). The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000 . The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The sediment storage capacity should be a minimum of 900 cubic feet per acre of disturbed area. The detention storage should be a minimum of 3600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. The principal spillway is created using an approved conduit material and filter diaphragm. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed based on Pond standard (378). Associated practice(s): Other practices that may need to be implemented along with sediment basin to address all of the site specific resource concerns include: Critical Area Planting (342) and Mulching (484) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities, Structure for Water Control (587) if using a dewatering device, Pond Sealing or Lining (521A,521B,521C,521D).

Feature Measure: Embankment volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$11,115.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 7 | \$520.94 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 18 | \$5,069.16 |
| Roller, static, towed, tamping foot | 1328 | Towed static tamping foot (sheepsfoot) roller compactor typically 60 inch diameter drum. Equipment cost only. Does not include pulling equipment. Add Tractor or Dozer. | Hours | \$15.19 | 4 | \$60.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 22 | \$630.08 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 25 | \$1,006.75 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 15 | \$689.55 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 13 | \$492.96 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 443 | \$1,027.76 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 118 | \$319.78 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. => 18 in., weight priced | 1958 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters equal to or greater than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.44 | 233.8 | \$570.47 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Practice: 350-Sediment Basin
Scenario: \#15-Excavated volume

## Scenario Description:

An excavated sediment basin in an existing drainage way on a farm for purpose of trapping sediment and preserving the capacity of reservoirs, ditches, canals, diversions, waterways and streams and to prevent undesirable deposition on bottom lands and other developed lands. The sediment basin is created solely by excavation and impounds less than 3 feet against the embankment or spoil. Excavated material is spoiled, not placed in a designed embankment. Earthen spillway is constructed as needed. Resource concerns addressed include excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition. Surface water causes the sediment (and potentially pesticides and nutrients) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

Before Situation:
Disturbed areas on all land uses that have excessive erosion lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation.

## After Situation:

'The typical sediment basin is constructed by excavating 1500 cubic yards and spreading the spoil outside the pool area using a dozer or similar excavation equipment. The sediment storage capacity should be a minimum of 900 cubic feet per acre of disturbed area. The detention storage should be a minimum of 3600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. Associated practice(s): Other practices that may need to be implemented along with sediment basin to address all of the site specific resource concerns include: Critical Area Planting (342) and Mulching (484) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities, Structure for Water Control (587) if using a dewatering device, Pond Sealing or Lining (521A,521B,521C,521D).

Feature Measure: Excavated volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,885.18$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 23 | \$2,191.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 351-Well Decommissioning
Scenario: \#1-Hand Dug

## Scenario Description:

Seal and permanently close an inactive, abandoned, or unusable hand dug or shallow water well to prevent excess nutrients in surface and groundwater and to eliminate pesticides transported to surface and ground water. Well will be cleared of all equipment and materials. Residual water column must be treated with chlorine concentration of >50 ppm or according to local, State, Tribal, or Federal regulations.

Before Situation:
Hand dug or shallow well with a 30 ' diameter casing that is inactive, abandoned, or unusable.
After Situation:
Procedures and sealing materials shall conform to ASTM D5299 and be compatible with all local, State, Tribal, and Federal requirements. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in a manner that minimizes segregation and bulking to prevent surface subsidence. Typical well is hand dug. Associated practices: 342 Critical Area Seeding

Feature Measure: Length of well casing
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,657.59

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$82.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 2.73 | \$16.35 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 1 | \$62.91 |
| Grout pump | 1334 | Grout pump with tremie pipe. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$23.66 | 1 | \$23.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1 | \$40.27 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 1 | \$700.37 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 351-Well Decommissioning
Scenario: \#2-Drilled >100ft

## Scenario Description:

Seal and permanently close an inactive, abandoned, or unusable water well to prevent excess nutrients in surface and groundwater and to eliminate pesticides transported to surface and ground water. Well will be cleared of all equipment and materials. Residual water column must be treated with chlorine concentration of $>50$ ppm or according to local, State, Tribal, or Federal regulations.

## Before Situation:

Drilled well with a 6 ' diameter casing that is inactive, abandoned, or unusable.

## After Situation:

Procedures and sealing materials shall conform to ASTM D5299 and be compatible with all local, State, Tribal, and Federal requirements. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in a manner that minimizes segregation and bulking to prevent surface subsidense. Typical length of well casing is greater than 100 feet. Associated practices: 342 Critical Area Seeding

Feature Measure: Length of well casing
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,481.68

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.27
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 1 | \$62.91 |
| Grout pump | 1334 | Grout pump with tremie pipe. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$23.66 | 1 | \$23.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1 | \$40.27 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2.2 | \$1,540.81 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 351-Well Decommissioning
Scenario: \#3 - Drilled <=100 ft

## Scenario Description:

Seal and permanently close an inactive, abandoned, or unusable water well to prevent excess nutrients in surface and groundwater and to eliminate pesticides transported to surface and ground water. Well will be cleared of all equipment and materials. Residual water column must be treated with chlorine concentration of $>50$ ppm or according to local, State, Tribal, or Federal regulations.

## Before Situation:

Drilled well with a 6 ' diameter casing that is inactive, abandoned, or unusable.

## After Situation:

Procedures and sealing materials shall conform to ASTM D5299 and be compatible with all local, State, Tribal, and Federal requirements. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in a manner that minimizes segregation and bulking to prevent surface subsidense. Typical length of well casing is 100 feet or less. Associated practices: 342 Critical Area Seeding

Feature Measure: Length of well casing
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,452.14

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,452.14
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 1 | \$62.91 |
| Grout pump | 1334 | Grout pump with tremie pipe. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$23.66 | 1 | \$23.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1 | \$40.27 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 0.73 | \$511.27 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |


| United States Department of Agriculture |  |  | Ohio <br> Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resources | nser | tion Service |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 355 -Groundwater Testing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Basic Water Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typical scenario includes the professional testing for nitrates, nitrites, and coliform to confirm well water meets basic water quality standards for consumption by livestock or use in irrigation. Water samples are sent to an EPA or state certified laboratory for testing. This scenario is recommended when water quality is suspected to be acceptable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| There are no known contaminants of the well, however, neighboring wells have known issues with nitrates, or coliform, and confirmation of acceptable water quality is desired. Manure is spread near to the well, following a nutrient management plan; well contamination is unlikely but possible. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water quality results are known. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Each |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$67.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 7.82 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |

Practice: 355-Groundwater Testing
Scenario: \#2 - Specialty Water Test

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario includes the professional testing for pesticides, heavy metals, VOC's or other less common substances, in addition to the basic water test items. Tests are intended to confirm well water meets water quality standards for consumption by livestock or use in irrigation. Water samples are sent to an EPA or state certified laboratory for testing. This scenario is recommended when water quality is suspected to be degraded due to a specialized substance.

Before Situation:
There are no known contaminants of the well, however, neighboring wells have known issues with water quality, and confirmation of acceptable water quality is desired Manure, pesticides, or other potential contaminants have been spread near to the well, in an unmanaged manner; well contamination is possible.

After Situation:
Water quality results are known.
Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$278.39
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$278.39

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Test, singular specialized water test, well water | 2003 | Testing for specific pesticide, inorganic chemical or volatile organic not included in a basic well suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$210.57 | 1 | \$210.57 |

Practice: 355-Groundwater Testing
Scenario: \#3 - Full Spectrum Test

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario includes the professional comprehensive testing for all less common substances, to include: pesticides, heavy metals, VOC's or other less common substances, in addition to the basic water test items. Tests are intended to confirm well water meets water quality standards for consumption by livestock or use in irrigation. Water samples are sent to an EPA or state certified laboratory for testing. This scenario is recommended when water quality is known to be degraded due to a specialized substance but thorough analysis is warranted.

Before Situation:
There are no known contaminants of the well, however, neighboring wells have known issues with water quality, and confirmation of acceptable water quality is desired. Manure, pesticides, sewage sludge, or other potential contaminants have been spread near to the well, in an unmanaged manner; well contamination is likely.

After Situation:
Water quality results are known.
Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$362.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$362.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Irrigation Suitability | 310 | Irrigation water suitability lab analysis. Includes pH , alkalinity, carbonates/bicarbonates, EC, dissolved solids, $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{SAR}$, and hardness. | Each | \$56.80 | 1 | \$56.80 |
| Test, comprehensive specialized water test, well water | 2002 | Comprehensive testing for a broad spectrum of pesticides, inorganic chemicals or volatile organics not included in a basic well suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$291.40 | 1 | \$291.40 |

Practice: 356-Dike and Levee
Scenario: \#1-Dike

## Scenario Description:

Construction a barrier of either earth or manufatcured materials for the purpose of the protetion of people or property from floods or to control water levels in connection with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. Embankment structure to provide adequate freeboard, allowance for settlement, and foundation and embankment stability.

## Before Situation:

Site is subject to flooding or indundation which poses a potential hazard to public safety, damage to land or property. Site may also require control of water level for purposes connected with crop production; fish and wildlife managment; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing an earthen dike is available at an economical haul distance.

After Situation:
Water level is controlled by a stable earthen structure installed with compacted fill material. Material haul < 1 mile. Typical earthen dike assumed 1000 lineal feet, Class II ( 6 ft . in height, 8 ft . top width, $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ side slopes). Potential hazard to public safety, land or property mitigated; environmental benefit provided. Scenario includes component for stripping and stockpiling base of dike. Associated practices include, but are not limited to: PS327 Conservation Cover, PS656 Constructed Wetland, PS342 Critical Area Planting, PS378 Ponds, PS382 Fence, PS464 Irrigation Land Levelling, PS500 Obstruction Removal, PS528 Prescribed Grazing, PS587 Structure for Water Control, PS620 Underground Outlet, PS645 Upland Wildlife Management, PS658 Wetland Creation, PS659 Wetland Enhancement, PS657 Wetland Restoration, PS644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthmoving
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 4,444.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$20,556.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.63
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 5036 | \$19,338.24 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 592 | \$491.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 356-Dike and Levee
Scenario: \#2 - Dike with Core Trench

## Scenario Description:

Construction a barrier of either earth or manufatcured materials for the purpose of the protetion of people or property from floods or to control water levels in connection with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. Embankment structure to provide adequate freeboard, allowance for settlement, and foundation and embankment stability.

## Before Situation:

Site is subject to flooding or indundation which poses a potential hazard to public safety, damage to land or property. Site may also require control of water level for purposes connected with crop production; fish and wildlife managment; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing an earthen dike is available at an economical haul distance.

After Situation:
Water level is controlled by a stable earthen structure installed with compacted fill material. Material haul < 1 mile. Typical earthen dike assumed 1000 lineal feet, Class II ( 6 ft . in height, 8 ft . top width, $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ side slopes). Potential hazard to public safety, land or property mitigated; environmental benefit provided. This scenario includes the excavation and placement of a core trench. Scenario includes component for stripping and stockpiling base of dikeAssociated practices include, but are not limited to: PS327 Conservation Cover, PS656 Constructed Wetland, PS342 Critical Area Planting, PS378 Ponds, PS382 Fence, PS464 Irrigation Land Levelling, PS500 Obstruction Removal, PS528 Prescribed Grazing, PS587 Structure for Water Control, PS620 Underground Outlet, PS645 Upland Wildlife Management, PS658 Wetland Creation, PS659 Wetland Enhancement, PS657 Wetland Restoration, PS644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthmoving
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 4,444.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$27,081.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 6258 | \$24,030.72 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 592 | \$491.36 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 1222 | \$1,833.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 356-Dike and Levee
Scenario: \#3 - Dike with Rip Rap

## Scenario Description:

Construction a barrier of either earth or manufatcured materials for the purpose of the protetion of people or property from floods or to control water levels in connection with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. Embankment structure to provide adequate freeboard, allowance for settlement, and foundation and embankment stability. inside bank of dike is armored with riprap.

## Before Situation:

Site is subject to flooding or indundation which poses a potential hazard to public safety, damage to land or property. Site may also require control of water level for purposes connected with crop production; fish and wildlife managment; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing an earthen dike is available at an economical haul distance.

After Situation:
Water level is controlled by a stable earthen structure installed with compacted fill material. Material haul < 1 mile. Typical earthen dike assumed 1000 lineal feet, Class II ( 6 ft . in height, 8 ft . top width, $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ side slopes). Potential hazard to public safety, land or property mitigated; environmental benefit provided. Inside bank of dike armored with riprap to protect from rodent damage. Scenario includes component for stripping and stockpiling base of dike. Associated practices include, but are not limited to: PS327 Conservation Cover, PS656 Constructed Wetland, PS342 Critical Area Planting, PS378 Ponds, PS382 Fence, PS464 Irrigation Land Levelling, PS500 Obstruction Removal, PS528 Prescribed Grazing, PS587 Structure for Water Control, PS620 Underground Outlet, PS645 Upland Wildlife Management, PS658 Wetland Creation, PS659 Wetland Enhancement, PS657 Wetland Restoration, PS644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthmoving
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 4,444.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$53,361.55
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 5036 | \$19,338.24 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 592 | \$491.36 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 597 | \$32,805.15 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 356-Dike and Levee
Scenario: \#4 - Dike with 1\&2 Stone

## Scenario Description:

Construction a barrier of either earth or manufatcured materials for the purpose of the protetion of people or property from floods or to control water levels in connection with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. Embankment structure to provide adequate freeboard, allowance for settlement, and foundation and embankment stability. inside bank of dike is armored with \#1 and 2 stone.

## Before Situation:

Site is subject to flooding or indundation which poses a potential hazard to public safety, damage to land or property. Site may also require control of water level for purposes connected with crop production; fish and wildlife managment; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing an earthen dike is available at an economical haul distance.

After Situation:
Water level is controlled by a stable earthen structure installed with compacted fill material. Material haul < 1 mile. Typical earthen dike assumed 1000 lineal feet, Class II ( 6 ft . in height, 8 ft . top width, $2 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ side slopes). Potential hazard to public safety, land or property mitigated; environmental benefit provided. Inside bank of dike armored with \#1 and 2 stone to protect from rodent damage. Scenario includes component for stripping and stockpiling base of dike. Associated practices include, but are not limited to: PS327 Conservation Cover, PS656 Constructed Wetland, PS342 Critical Area Planting, PS378 Ponds, PS382 Fence, PS464 Irrigation Land Levelling, PS500 Obstruction Removal, PS528 Prescribed Grazing, PS587 Structure for Water Control, PS620 Underground Outlet, PS645 Upland Wildlife Management, PS658 Wetland Creation, PS659 Wetland Enhancement, PS657 Wetland Restoration, PS644 Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthmoving
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 4,444.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$35,435.37
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 5036 | \$19,338.24 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 592 | \$491.36 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 373 | \$14,878.97 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 356-Dike and Levee
Scenario: \#50-Shallow Water Area

## Scenario Description:

A barrier constructed of an earthen embankment, to control water level. Embankment structure to provide adequate freeboard, allowance for settlement, and foundation and embankment stability. Cost estimate is based on a 2,640 foot long 3 ft high earthen dike (Class III) with a top width of 10 ft and having $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ side slopes used to create a wetland or other shallow water area. Typical equipment to include but not limited to tractor, roller compactor, truck, dozer, and scraper. Associated practices include, but are not limited to: PS327 Conservation Cover, PS656 Constructed Wetland, PS 342 Critical Area Planting, (378) Ponds, (382) Fence, (464) Irrigation Land Levelling, (500) Obstruction Removal, (528) Prescribed Grazing, (587) Structure for Water Control, (620) Underground Outlet, (645) Upland Wildlife Management, (658) Wetland Creation, (659) Wetland enhancement, (657) Wetland Restoration, (644) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management.

Before Situation:
Site requires control of water level for purposes connected with crop production; fish and wildlife management; or wetland maintenance, improvement, restoration, or construction. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing an earthen dike is available at an economical haul distance.

After Situation:
2,640 foot long Class III dike is constructed with an average height of 3 feet, top width of 10 feet, and $3: 1$ side slopes. The water level is controlled by a stable earthen structure, and environmental benefit provided.

Feature Measure: Volume of Earthfill (including volu
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 5,573.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$24,759.68
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.44
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 5573 | \$21,400.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 3 | \$2,632.56 |

Practice: 359-Waste Treatment Lagoon
Scenario: \#1 - Waste Treatment Lagoon - Corn Belt

## Scenario Description:

A waste treatment lagoon is a component of a waste management system that provides biological treatment of manure and other byproducts of agricultural operations by reducing the pollution potential. Resource concern addressed is water quality by reducing the pollution potential to surface and groundwater by treating and storing liquid waste. Earthen lagoon liners are addressed with another standard.Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), and Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632).

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and/or other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmental threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

A waste treatment lagoon constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing and treating waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Typical design size : Design Volume 439,440 ft3; 260' X 208' (top); 3:1 inside and outside side slopes; cut/fill ratio = 1.25; total depth = 13'

Feature Measure: Total Storage Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 492,128.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$71,574.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.15
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2778 | \$10,667.52 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 8101 | \$26,733.30 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 1389 | \$1,152.87 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 9125 | \$32,028.75 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 8 | \$34.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 359-Waste Treatment Lagoon
Scenario: \#15-Waste Treatment Lagoon

## Scenario Description:

A waste treatment lagoon is a component of a waste management system that provides biological treatment of manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations by reducing the pollution potential. Resource concern addressed is water quality by reducing the pollution potential to surface and groundwater by treating and storing liquid waste. Earthen lagoon liners are addressed with another standard. This scenario intended for embankment or excavated lagoon.Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil (520), Pond Sealing or Lining, Concrete (522), Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner (521), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), and Waste Separation Facility (632).

## Before Situation:

Operator presently has a confined animal feeding operation without a waste management system adequate to handle the waste stream leaving the animal production facilities. Manure and other agricultural waste by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed. This situation poses an environmental threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

A waste treatment lagoon constructed from on-site material provides an environmentally safe facility for storing manure and other agricultural waste by-products. This facility provides the landowner a means of storing and treating waste until it can be utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. Excavated material used to build an embankment around the lagoon so this is a combination excavated/embankment design.Typical design size : Design Volume 492,129 cf $=18,227$ cy; 260' X 208' (top); 3:1 inside and outside side slopes; cut/fill ratio = 1.25; total depth $=13$ ' which includes 1' freeboard Feature Measure $=$ Design Volume [Operational Volume + emergency volume + freeboard]

Feature Measure: Design Volume (Operational + eme
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 492,129.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$96,505.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 9102 | \$34,951.68 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 8101 | \$26,733.30 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 1389 | \$1,152.87 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 9125 | \$32,028.75 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 8 | \$34.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#2 - Feedlot Closure

## Scenario Description:

Remediation of the soil on an abandoned feedlot previously used to feed animals on a bare earthen lot. Payment includes activities associated with soil remediation of the feedlot area. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

## Before Situation:

The feedlot is abandoned. Vegetation has not been reestablished. Rainfall and nutrients on the bare earth feedlot pose a risk to surface water from contaminated runoff or to ground water from seepage into the underlying soils.

## After Situation:

This scenario is based on a 3 acre feedlot. Surveys and testing have determined the manure pack and contaminated soil is 12 inches. Payment under this scenario includes only activities associated with the soil remediation. Soil remediation activities in this scenario include, but are not limited to, removing the nutrient enriched manure pack and soil, an average of 12 inches below the existing surface (130,680 CF). The excavated surface will be vegetated with a mix of salt tolerant plants in conformance with Critical Area Planting, Code 342. Nutrient level testing and field application of the removed soil shall be performed according to nutrient planning in conformance with Nutrient Management, Code 590. Shaping and crowning of the soil material on the disturbed area and critical area seeding will be done to provide drainage, complete the site remediation and establish vegetation. Operation and maintenance of the site will include nutrient testing the following year to determine if the soil has been remediated and surface and ground water resource concerns have been addressed. In this scenario, samples at four ( 4 ) locations will be taken at $6,12,18$ and 24 inches at the end of Year 1.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Square feet of closure

## Scenario Unit: Square Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: $130,680.00$

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 43,358.71$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 0.33$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 40 | \$4,962.00 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 269 | \$35,107.19 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 40 | \$1,610.80 |

## Materials



| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#3 - Demolition of Concrete Waste Storage Structure, Walls >6 ft

## Scenario Description:

Demolition of a concrete waste storage structure. Payment includes all materials and labor to demolish the structure, remove the concrete and earth fill the site. The scenario does not include payment for removal of manure as this would be accomplished as part of normal operation and maintenance when the facility was operating. A concrete structure left full of manure creates a toxic situation that would not be in compliance with normal operation and maintenance. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

## Before Situation:

An existing concrete waste storage structure is no longer functioning correctly or is not being used for its intended purpose. It poses a safety hazard for humans and livestock and is a threat to environmentally sustainability by the potential for impacts to water and air quality.

## After Situation:

This scenario assumes a concrete waste storage structure, with top dimensions of $60 \mathrm{ft} \times 60 \mathrm{ft}$ with 10 ft vertical walls. The walls are 8 inches thick and the concrete floor is 5 inches thick. The total structural storage volume equals 36,000 cubic feet. The total volume of concrete to be demolished is $3,580 \mathrm{cubic} \mathrm{feet}$ ( $[4 \times 60 \mathrm{ft} \times 10 \mathrm{ft}$ ) X 8 in $/ 12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}]+[60 \mathrm{ft} \times 60 \mathrm{ft} \times 5 \mathrm{in} / 12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}]+[240 \times 2 \mathrm{sqft} / \mathrm{ft}$ footing]). The volume of earthwork (earthfill and/or excavation, final grading) required is approximately $50 \%$ of the structural volume. The concrete will be demolished and hauled off-site for recycling or disposal. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the sealing or removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). Excavated areas will be filled in. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Demolition of the concrete waste structure will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342)

Feature Measure: Square Feet of structure (plan view
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,759.82

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.99
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 667 | \$2,561.28 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 133 | \$1,473.64 |
| Hauling, bulk, highway truck | 1615 | Hauling of bulk earthfill, rockfill, waste or debris. One-way travel distance using fully loaded highway dump trucks (typically 16 CY or 20 TN capacity). Includes equipment and labor for truck only. Does not include cost for loading truck. | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.35 | 3990 | \$1,396.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 4 | \$2,907.20 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#4 - Earthen Basin Closure with Sludge Removal

## Scenario Description:

Decommissioning of an earthen liquid waste impoundment (embankment or excavated type). Payment includes the removal and spreading of accumulated sludge and the removal of contaminated soil at the soil/sludge interface, and equipment and labor required to close the impoundment in an environmentally safe manner. If present, the synthetic liner will be removed and properly disposed of. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

## Before Situation:

An existing lagoon or earthen waste storage basin is no longer functioning correctly or is not being used for its intended purpose. It poses a safety hazard for humans and livestock and is a threat to environmentally sustainability by the potential for impacts to water and air quality.

## After Situation:

This scenario assumes a waste storage basin, with top dimensions of $110 \mathrm{ft} \times 110 \mathrm{ft}(12,100$ square feet), 8 ft total depth with $3: 1$ side slopes. The 8 ft total depth is the height of the earthen berm above the bottom of the basin for a total structural storage volume equal to 63,500 cubic feet. The volume of sludge and contaminated soil is $20 \%$ of the structural volume, $12,700 \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft} .\mathrm{Decommissioning} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{liquid} \mathrm{waste} \mathrm{storage} \mathrm{impoundment} \mathrm{includes} \mathrm{agitating}, \mathrm{removing} ,\mathrm{and} \mathrm{spreading} \mathrm{liquid/slurry} \mathrm{waste}$ material, removing solid/sludge waste remaining in the bottom. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). The volume of earthwork (earthfill and excavation) required to fill in the impoundment and perform final grading of the site is approximately $40 \%$ of the structural volume, 25,400 . Structural removal, as necessary, may include the removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All inflow devices and associated appurtenances will be removed and properly disposed of. The embankment will be excavated and used for levelling or manipulating the site so not to impound surface water. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Closure of the waste impoundment will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342)

## Feature Measure: Square feet of Structure

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 12,100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,794.82
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.14

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 249 | \$602.58 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 588 | \$2,257.92 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 12 | \$1,488.60 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 940 | \$1,447.60 |
| Spreading, manure sludge | 1633 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure solids/sludge by ground equipment on nearby fields. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Cubic Feet | \$0.25 | 17056 | \$4,264.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 4 | \$2,907.20 |

Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#5 - Earthen Basin Closure no Sludge Removal

## Scenario Description:

Decommissioning of an earthen liquid waste impoundment (embankment or excavated type) where there is no accumulated sludge that needs to be removed. Payment includes equipment and labor required to close the impoundment in an environmentally safe manner. If present, the synthetic liner will be removed and properly disposed of. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

Before Situation:
An existing lagoon or earthen waste storage basin is no longer functioning correctly or is not being used for its intended purpose. It poses a safety hazard for humans and livestock and is a threat to environmentally sustainability by the potential for impacts to water and air quality.

## After Situation:

This scenario assumes a waste storage basin, with top dimensions of $110 \mathrm{ft} \times 110 \mathrm{ft}, 8 \mathrm{ft}$ total depth with $3: 1$ side slopes. The 8 ft total depth is the height of the earthen berm above the bottom of the basin for a total structural storage volume equal to 63,500 cubic feet. The volume of sludge and contaminated soil is such that removal is not necessary. The volume of earthwork (excavation) required to fill in the impoundment and perform final grading of the site is approximately $40 \%$ of the total structural storage volume $(63,500 * 0.4=25,400)$. Earthfill is assumed to be $50 \%$ of the excavation amount. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All inflow devices and associated appurtenances will be removed and properly disposed of. The embankment will be excavated and used for levelling or manipulating the site so not to impound surface water. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Closure of the waste impoundment will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342)

Feature Measure: Cubic feet of berm removed
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 25,400.00


Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#18 - Demolition of Concrete Waste Storage Structure, Walls $<=6$ Foot

## Scenario Description:

Demolition of a concrete waste storage structure. Payment includes all materials and labor to demolish the structure, remove the concrete and earth fill the site. The scenario does not include payment for removal of manure as this would be accomplished as part of normal operation and maintenance when the facility was operating. A concrete structure left full of manure creates a toxic situation that would not be in compliance with normal operation and maintenance. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

## Before Situation:

An existing concrete waste storage structure is no longer functioning correctly or is not being used for its intended purpose. It poses a safety hazard for humans and livestock and is a threat to environmentally sustainability by the potential for impacts to water and air quality.

## After Situation:

This scenario assumes a concrete waste storage structure, with plan view dimensions of $40 \mathrm{ft} \times 16 \mathrm{ft}$ with 5 ft vertical walls. The walls are 8 inches thick and the concrete floor is 5 inches thick. The total structural storage volume equals 3,200 cubic feet. The total volume of concrete to be demolished is 883 cubic feet. The volume of earthwork (earth fill and/or excavation, final grading) required is approximately $50 \%$ of the structural volume. The concrete will be demolished and hauled off-site for recycling or disposal. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the sealing or removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). Excavated areas will be filled in. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Demolition of the concrete waste structure will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Square Feet of Structure (plan view
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 640.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 5,277.92$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 8.25$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 60 | \$230.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 33 | \$365.64 |
| Hauling, bulk, highway truck | 1615 | Hauling of bulk earthfill, rockfill, waste or debris. One-way travel distance using fully loaded highway dump trucks (typically 16 CY or 20 TN capacity). Includes equipment and labor for truck only. Does not include cost for loading truck. | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.35 | 660 | \$231.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 4 | \$2,907.20 |

Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#39 - Demolition of Concrete Waste Storage Structure, Total Volume of Structure Storage

## Scenario Description:

Demolition of a concrete waste storage structure. Payment includes all materials and labor to demolish the structure, remove the concrete and earth fill the site. The scenario does not include payment for removal of manure as this would be accomplished as part of normal operation and maintenance when the facility was operating. A concrete structure left full of manure creates a toxic situation that would not be in compliance with normal operation and maintenance. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

## Before Situation:

An existing concrete waste storage structure is no longer functioning correctly or is not being used for its intended purpose. It poses a safety hazard for humans and livestock and is a threat to environmentally sustainability by the potential for impacts to water and air quality.

## After Situation:

This scenario assumes a concrete waste storage structure, with top dimensions of $200 \mathrm{ft} \times 60 \mathrm{ft}$ with 8 ft vertical walls. The walls are 8 inches thick and the concrete floor is 5 inches thick. The total structural storage volume equals 96,000 cubic feet. The total volume of concrete to be demolished is $8,813 \mathrm{cubic} \mathrm{feet}$ ( $[520 \mathrm{ft} X 8 \mathrm{ft}$ ) $\mathrm{X} 8 \mathrm{in} / 12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}]$ $+[200 \mathrm{ft} \times 60 \mathrm{ft} \times 5 \mathrm{in} / 12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}]+[520 \times 2 \mathrm{sqft} / \mathrm{ft}$ footing] $]$. The volume of earthwork (earthfill and/or excavation, final grading) required is approximately $50 \%$ of the structural volume. The concrete will be demolished and hauled off-site for recycling or disposal. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the sealing or removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). Excavated areas will be filled in. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Demolition of the concrete waste structure will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342)

Feature Measure: Total Volume of Structure Storage
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 96,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 17,586.68$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.18

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1778 | \$6,827.52 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 326 | \$3,612.08 |
| Hauling, bulk, highway truck | 1615 | Hauling of bulk earthfill, rockfill, waste or debris. One-way travel distance using fully loaded highway dump trucks (typically 16 CY or 20 TN capacity). Includes equipment and labor for truck only. Does not include cost for loading truck. | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.35 | 9780 | \$3,423.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 3 | \$2,180.40 |

Practice: 360 - Waste Facility Closure
Scenario: \#41-Demolition of Concrete Waste Storage Facility, Slab Only

## Scenario Description:

Demolition of a concrete waste storage structure without walls or enclosures. Payment includes all materials and labor to demolish and remove the concrete. The scenario does not include payment for removal of manure as this would be accomplished as part of normal operation and maintenance when the facility was operating. A concrete structure left full of manure creates a toxic situation that would not be in compliance with normal operation and maintenance. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrient and pathogens in ground and/or surface waters and air quality impacts from greenhouse gases, particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.

## Before Situation:

An existing concrete waste storage structure that is no longer functioning correctly or is not being used for its intended purpose. It poses a safety hazard for humans and livestock and is a threat to environmentally sustainability by the potential for impacts to water and air quality.

## After Situation:

This scenario assumes a concrete waste storage slab with dimensions $70.7 \mathrm{ft} \times 70.7 \mathrm{ft}$ and the concrete is 5 inches thick. The total concrete volume demolished equals 77.1 cubic yards. The volume of excavation and final grading required is approximately $50 \%$ of the structural volume, or 38.6 cu.yds. The concrete will be demolished and hauled off-site for recycling or disposal. Excavated areas will be filled in. Structural removal, as necessary, may include the sealing or removal and disposal of waste transfer components and other appurtenances associated with closure of the facility. All waste material shall be land applied in accordance with Nutrient Management (590). Excavated areas will be graded. The disturbed areas shall be vegetated in accordance with Critical Area Planting (342). Demolition of the concrete waste structure will address water quality degradation, air quality impacts and safety hazards by removing and properly utilizing the waste from the impoundment. The site will also become available for another use. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Square Feet of concrete structure
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 5,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,653.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.73
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 38 | \$145.92 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 77.1 | \$854.27 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 362 - Diversion
Scenario: \#1-Small, <2 CY/FT

## Scenario Description:

An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Scenario is for diversions requiring less than 2 CY of excavation per foot of diversion. Channel my be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultral wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Scenario assumes a typical installation of a diversion 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Diversion is 2.5 ' tall with 4 ' wide top width and slopes $3: 1$. Field system meets 'T' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultral waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).

Feature Measure: Length of Diversion
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 4,147.02$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 700 | \$581.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 1000 | \$2,690.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 362 - Diversion
Scenario: \#2-Medium, 2-2.9 CY/FT

## Scenario Description:

An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Scenario is for diversions requiring 2 CY to 2.9 CY of excavation per foot of diversion. Channel my be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds 'T' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultral wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Scenario assumes a typical installation of a diversion 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Diversion is 4' tall with 4' wide top width and slopes $3: 1$. Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultral waste.

Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).
Feature Measure: Length of Diversion
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,431.02

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.43

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 1000 | \$830.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer $<100$ HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 2500 | \$6,725.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 362 - Diversion
Scenario: \#3 - Large, >=3 CY/FT

## Scenario Description:

An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Scenario is for diversions requiring greater than or equal to 3 CY of excavation per foot of diversion. Channel my be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultral wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Scenario assumes a typical installation of a diversion 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Diversion is 5 ' tall with 4 ' wide top width and slopes $3: 1$.Field system meets ' T ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultral waste.

Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).
Feature Measure: Length of Diversion

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,287.02

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.29
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 1200 | \$996.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 3500 | \$9,415.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 362 - Diversion
Scenario: \#6 - Concrete Curb

## Scenario Description:

A reinforced concrete ( RC ) curb constructed across the slope to divert runoff away from farmsteads, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas, agricultural waste system, other sensitive areas, or to a waste storage facility. Outlet may be a waterway, underground outlet, or other suitable outlet. Typical 1.0 ft . high, 6 inch thick RC curb diversion is approximately 50 feet long with a 2.0 ft wide footing and requires approximately 0.056 CY of RC per linear ft. The curb will be placed on 6 ' of compacted sand. Concrete diversion is necessary due to limited footprint availability.

Before Situation:
Excessive roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated. Also, contaminated lot runoff that needs to be diverted to a waste storage facility so it doesn't pollute surface water or ground water

After Situation:
The 1.0 ft . high, 6 inch thick RC curb diversion is approximately 50 feet long. 'Clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Polluted water is diverted to a waste storage facility for proper storage. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Waste Storage Facility (313).

Feature Measure: Length of concrete diversion
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,960.99

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$39.22

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 2.8 | \$1,499.96 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 2.15 | \$5.20 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 3.7 | \$22.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 1.85 | \$70.15 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 362 - Diversion
Scenario: \#9-Diversion

## Scenario Description:

An earthen channel constructed across long slopes with supporting ridge on lower side, to divert runoff away from farmsteads, agricultural waste systems, gullies, critical erosion areas, construction areas or other sensitive areas. Outlet may be waterway, underground outlet. or other suitable outlet. Typical diversion is, 1000 feet long installed on a field slope of 5 percent and requires 1 CY excavation per LF. Channel my be level or gradient and ridge may be vegetated or farmed. The quantity of excavation and fill is balanced.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultral wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Diversion is 1000 feet long installed using a dozer. Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultral waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Underground Outlet (620), Mulching (484), and Subsurface Drainage (606).

Feature Measure: Length of Diversion
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,296.02$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.30

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 1000 | \$2,420.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 366-Anaerobic Digester
Scenario: \#1 - Anaerobic Digester

## Scenario Description:

An anaerobic digester can be part of a waste management system. It provides biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other by-products of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for a generic anaerobic digester. Energy generation is not included with this scenario.Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313).

## Before Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed. This situation poses an environmental threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and ground waters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers. The treatment of manure and other agricultural by-products is desired in order to manage odors, and/or reduce pathogens.

## After Situation:

Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. The typical scenario also includes items necessary to maintain mesophylic or thermophylic temperatures for bacterial activity (i.e. piping and boiler or other heat source). Typical Design Scenario is each.

Feature Measure: Each

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,911,610.17
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,911,610.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 2 | \$232.78 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anaerobic Digester | 2478 | Concrete anaerobic digester which includes poured walls, floor and top, reception and mixing tanks, piping installed in and/or around the digester for circulating heated liquid to maintain the necessary temperatures for efficient digester operation, piping and collection system for biogas, controls for operating digester and boiler system, boiler needed to maintain digester temperature, and flare excess gas to convert from methane to carbon dioxide. Includes material, labor, and equipment. | Each | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,909,600 . \\ 00 \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,909,600.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 366-Anaerobic Digester
Scenario: \#7-Covered Lagoon/Holding Pond

## Scenario Description:

A covered lagoon that is part of a waste management system to provide biological treatment of the waste in the absence of oxygen. This process for manure and other byproducts of animal agricultural operations will manage odors, reduce the net effect of greenhouse gas emissions, and/or reduce pathogens. This scenario is for all livestock operation sizes. Scenario applies to retrofitting an existing anaerobic lagoon, or as an addition to a new construction using waste treatment lagoon (359) or waste storage facility (313), and roofs and covers (367). Payment includes system controls, gas collection, and flaring system. Energy generation is not included with this scenario.

Before Situation:
Manure and other agricultural by-products are not being utilized or controlled in an environmentally safe manner. The wastes are either accumulating at the source, or other location, or are being transported but not properly utilized or disposed of. This situation poses an environmentally threat of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and ground waters, in addition to the use of excessive amounts of fertilizers. The treatment of manure and other agricultural byproducts is desired in order to manage odors, and/or reduce pathogens.

| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manure and other agricultural by-products are being treated such that odors are managed and/or pathogens are reduced. Effluent from the digester is disposed of or utilized in a proper manner in accordance with a nutrient management plan. A covered lagoon/holding pond typically has a fexible top installed over an earthen storage/treatment facility for the purpose of capturing the biogas. Typical Design Scenario: 1,000 animal units (715-1,400 Ibs dairy cows).Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roof and Covers (367), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), and Waste Storage Facility (313). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Animals Units Contributing to Diges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Animal Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$485,266.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$485.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anaerobic Digester Gas Collection and Flare System | 2484 | Piping and collection system for biogas, controls for operating the digester system, flare excess gas to convert from methane to carbon dioxide Includes material, labor, and equipment. | Each | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 484,250.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 1 | \$484,250.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 367 -Roofs and Covers
Scenario: \#1-Roof Structure, less than 33 feet Wide

## Scenario Description:

A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Scenario does not include foundation costs. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable where the exclusion of precipitation from an animal waste storage and/or treatment facility will improve of an existing or planned system. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues.

After Situation:
A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Roof or cover will be engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 1000 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.

Feature Measure: Roof Area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 17,069.87$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$17.07
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roof, Post Frame Building, less than 30 ft . wide | 1672 | Post Frame Building, no sides, - less than 30 ft . width. Building sites with expected snow loads up to 30 lbs . per square foot and wind exposure in semi protected areas (wooded or terrain with numerous closely spaced obstructions). Includes materials, shipping, equipment, and installation. Does not include foundation preparation. | Square Feet | \$16.17 | 1000 | \$16,170.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 367 -Roofs and Covers
Scenario: \#2 - Roof Structure, 33 feet to 60 feet Wide

## Scenario Description:

A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Scenario does not include foundation costs. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable where the exclusion of precipitation from an animal waste storage and/or treatment facility will improve of an existing or planned system. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues.

## After Situation:

A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 7,500 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.

Feature Measure: Roof Area

Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 7,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 110,399.87$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 14.72$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roof, Post Frame Building, 30 to 60 ft . wide | 1676 | Post Frame Building, no sides, -30 to 60 ft . width. Building sites with expected snow loads up to 30 lbs . per square foot and wind exposure in semi protected areas (wooded or terrain with numerous closely spaced obstructions). Includes materials, shipping, equipment, and installation. Does not include foundation preparation. | Square Feet | \$14.60 | 7500 | \$109,500.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 367 - Roofs and Covers
Scenario: \#3 - Roof Structure, more than 60 feet Wide

## Scenario Description:

A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Scenario does not include foundation costs. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable where the exclusion of precipitation from an animal waste storage and/or treatment facility will improve of an existing or planned system. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues.

## After Situation:

A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing, steel 'sheet' or fabric-like roof. Engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 24,000 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.

Feature Measure: Roof Area

Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 24,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$412,259.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$17.18

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roof, Steel Frame Monoslope Building, greater than 60 ft . wide | 1677 | Steel Frame Monoslope Building, greater than 60 ft . width, includes materials, equipment, and installation. Does not include foundation preparation. | Square Feet | \$17.14 | 24000 | \$411,360.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 367 -Roofs and Covers
Scenario: \#4 - Roof structure with foundation

## Scenario Description:

A timber or steel framed roof structure with a wood sheathing or steel 'sheet' roof. Scenario includes foundation costs. Roof support is separate from associated manure storage structure, or roof structure may be used to cover an existing feed lot to eliminate runoff from rainfall events. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Agrichemical Handling Facility (309), Obstruction Removal (500), Roof Runoff Structure (558), and Waste Treatment (629).

## Before Situation:

Applicable where the exclusion of precipitation from an animal waste storage and/or treatment facility will improve of an existing or planned system. Manure is stored as a liquid in basins, tanks, and as a solid on concrete and earthen surfaces. Excess precipitation can cause premature filling of storages or cause nutrients to leach from solid manure piles leading to uncontrolled runoff as well as odor issues.

After Situation:
A timber or steel framed roof structure with a timber or steel 'sheet' roof and supporting foundation. Engineered and installed in accordance with appropriate building codes and permits. Typical size is 7,500 square feet and is over an approved animal waste management facility or feedlot as a component of a CNMP. It is designed to prevent precipitation to allow proper management of animal waste streams (manure or compost streams), thus mitigating the negative factors from the 'before practice implementation'.

Feature Measure: Roof Area

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 7,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$126,842.27
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$16.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 29 | \$15,535.30 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 10 | \$540.70 |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 10 | \$319.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roof, Post Frame Building, 30 to 60 ft . wide | 1676 | Post Frame Building, no sides, -30 to 60 ft . width. Building sites with expected snow loads up to 30 lbs . per square foot and wind exposure in semi protected areas (wooded or terrain with numerous closely spaced obstructions). Includes materials, shipping, equipment, and installation. Does not include foundation preparation. | Square Feet | \$14.60 | 7500 | \$109,500.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 367 - Roofs and Covers
Scenario: \#6 - Flexible Membrane Cover

## Scenario Description:

A fabricated rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible membrane covering the entire surface of a waste storage or treatment facility (e.g. waste treatment lagoon or anaerobic digester) with typical size of one acre. Cover will exclude precipitation and improve air quality.Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Treatment Lagoon (359), Anaerobic Digester (366), Animal Mortality Facility (316), Composting Facility (317), Roof Runoff Structure (558), Pumping Plant (533), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
A waste storage or treatment facility is uncovered, emitting significant quantities of methane and volatile organic compounds which contribute to climate change and cause odor problems. Rainfall on the surface of the impoundment increases the volume of contaminated liquid that needs to be stored and/or treated.

## After Situation:

A fabricated rigid, semi-rigid, or flexible membrane over a waste storage or treatment facility. Rainfall is excluded, minimizing the volume of contaminated liquid to be stored and/or treated. Air quality in the vicinity of the facility is improved.

Feature Measure: Surface Area of Facility Covered
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 43,560.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$268,806.13
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 287 | \$59,658.69 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 717 | \$1,735.14 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 430 | \$1,651.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 960 | \$38,534.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 120 | \$5,516.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synthetic Liner, 60 mil | 2109 | Synthetic 60 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc. membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$2.08 | 58564 | \$121,813.12 |
| Ballast tube | 2436 | Ballast tube filled with sand or concrete slurry installed at regular intervals on geomembrane floating cover to provide weight to tension the cover, protect against wind damage, control rainwater and facilitate walkway access. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$20.70 | 1880 | \$38,916.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 4 | \$692.28 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 367 -Roofs and Covers
Scenario: \#7 - Permeable Composite or Inorganic Cover
Scenario Description:
A permeable floating composite cover is deployed on the liquid surface of a waste storage or treatment facility. Permeable covers reduce radiation and wind velocity over the surface of a liquid storage to reduce transmission of odors and act as a medium for growth of microorganisms that utilize carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur to decompose odorous compounds. The permeable composite cover utilizes fabricated shapes or tiles that fit together to cover a minimum of $90 \%$ of the liquid surface of a waste storage facility. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), and Waste Treatment Lagoon (359)

Before Situation:
Applicable where an existing or planned animal waste storage or treatment lagoon is creating significant air quality concerns due to odor problems and the release of ammonia as a fine particulate matter precursor. Installation will improve the management of an existing or planned system to control the release of odors as well as ammonia to improve air quality as part of the existing or planned animal waste management system.

## After Situation:

A permeable modular cover applied to the liquid surface of a waste storage or treatment facility. Installation of the permeable modular cover will improve air quality by reducing emissions of odors and ammonia. The waste storage volume must be documented in the CNMP as adequate to store the waste product and rainfall on the surface of the facility for the intended period without any credit for evaporative loss. Installation of the practice will address air quality by reducing emissions of odors and ammonia. The typical waste storage structure has a liquid surface area of 7,000 square feet.

Feature Measure: Storage Surface Area at Normal Full
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 7,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 18,393.98$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.63
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 2 | \$142.82 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |

## Materials

Composite Cover, floating cover, >5,000 square feet

1860 Composite material that is used to cover open storages with an area greater than 5,000 sf. Example, Hexa-Cover. Materials only.

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#5-In-House Composting

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists the emergency disposal of poultry mortality by composting in a static windrow. The cause of mortatiy is an event not related to disease. Additional carbon based bulking material is added to facilitate aeration and provide a proper $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{N}$ ratio. The windrow is turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to land application. Access is infrequent. This option may not be desirable for sites with limited area, karst topography, and not isolated from of public view. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Diversion (362).

Before Situation:
Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. The typical scenario number of birds to be disposed of is 20,000, 4 pound birds which can be composted in-house. Composting requires 1.5 pounds of carbon per pound of bird. There is 0.5 pounds of litter per bird already on site. Wood chips ( 45 pcf) will be used as the additional carbon source. The composting windrow construction operation consists of 2 pieces of equipment and 2 add'l laborers: 1) stockpiling birds and litter in center of house; 2) construct 2 windrow bases using carbon material; 3) place carcass/litter mix on bases; 4) cover with carbon material; 5) cap windrows with any remaining litter; 6) after first heat cycle remove windrow from house and reconstruct outside house for finishing. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,886.68$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$111.08

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 28 | \$1,513.96 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 91 | \$4,520.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 28 | \$801.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 28 | \$894.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 4 | \$1,155.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management

## Scenario: \#6-Burial

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the on-site burial of animal mortalities resulting from catastrophic events not related to disease. An earthen pit is excavated to contain the mortalities, and earth cover is placed over the mortalities to provide protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), and Diversion (362).

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Catastrophic Animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being disposed in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers the burial of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events.This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of 25 head of mature cattle located near the area where the cattle have been found. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to the burial location. The scenario also includes a burial trench 4' deep plus 3' additional cover over carcasses. Construct a 6' x 60' (surface dimensions) burial site with appropriate cover. Site can handle mortality for 25 mature beef cattle. On site soils can be re-compacted to meet required imperviousness. Include 3' overfill or mounding excavated material to provide for settlement of the burial site and divert or minimize offsite runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 25.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,639.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 5.58 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 94 | \$310.20 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 12 | \$856.92 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 94 | \$141.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 12 | \$383.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management

## Scenario: \#57-Outside Windrow Composting

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists the emergency disposal of a large number of livestock mortality by composting in a static windrow. The cause of mortality is an event not related to disease. Additional carbon based bulking material is added to facilitate aeration and provide a proper C:N ratio. The windrow is turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to land application. Access is infrequent. This option may not be desirable for sites with limited area, karst topography, and not isolated from of public view. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Diversion (362).

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, or interaction with predators.

After Situation:
Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. This typical scenario was developed based on the composting of 20 head of cattle averaging 1000 pound each which are moved to a location on-site that is suitable for composting. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to the composting location and the building an turning of the pile at the appropriate time. Composting requires 1.5 pounds of carbon per pound of animal. A small volume of green manure or waste feed is available on site. Wood chips ( 45 pcf ) will be used as the carbon source.The composting windrow construction operation consists of 2 pieces of equipment and 1 add'I laborer: 1) collecting and transporting carcasses to compost site; 2) constructing compost windrow base using carbon material; 3) place carcasses 4) cover carcasses with green manure/waste feed; 4) cover with carbon material; 5) cap windrow with any remaining manure/feed; 6) after first heat cycle turn the windrow over and reconstruct for finishing. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$19,419.69 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 55 | \$3,927.55 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 148 | \$7,352.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 55 | \$1,575.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 55 | \$1,756.70 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 18 | \$827.46 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 5 | \$3,634.00 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management

## Scenario: \#58 - Forced Air Incineration

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists the emergency disposal of a large number of livestock by a portable forced air incinerator. The cause of mortality is an event not related to disease. Additional carbon based bulking material is added to facilitate aeration and provide a proper C:N ratio. The windrow is turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to land application. Access is infrequent. This option may not be desirable for sites with limited area, karst topography, and not isolated from of public view. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Diversion (362).

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. This typical scenario was developed based on the forced air incineration of the carcasses of 50 head of cattle averaging 1000 pound each. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to a suitable on-site incineration location and the rental and operation of a portable forced air incinerator. Wood fuel is also added to assist with the incineration process.The forced air incineration operation consists of a tractor plus operator to collect and transport carcasses to the incineration site, a portable forced air incinerator plus operator, and 1 add'l laborer. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 17,573.45$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$351.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 50 | \$3,570.50 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 42 | \$2,086.56 |
| Incinerator, Portable, Trench Burner | 2712 | A portable incinerator used with the development of a trench to incinerate animal carcasses or other debris | Week | \$1,443.33 | 2 | \$2,886.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 50 | \$1,432.00 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 94 | \$3,002.36 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 56 | \$2,574.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, propane | 1597 | 20 pound propane bottle, with propane, for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Each | \$12.61 | 45 | \$567.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#123-Disposal At Landfill or Render

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the disposal of animal mortality carcasses by landfilling or rendering resulting from catastrophic events not related to disease. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560)

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Catastrophic Animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being disposed in a manner, other than burial, that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers disposal of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events.This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of 700 finisher swine carcasses at an average weight of 200 pounds each in a landfill. The scenario includes materials, equipment time and labor to recover and transport the carcasses to the landfill which is within a 1.5 hour drive of the farm.

Feature Measure: Pounds of mortality
Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 140,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,102.74
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 5 | \$270.35 |
| Truck, dump, 8 CY | 1401 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 12 ton or 8 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$52.49 | 39 | \$2,047.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 39 | \$1,570.53 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poly film, 6 mil. | 245 | 6 mil, polyethylene, black | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 3388 | \$304.92 |
| Landfill Fee, Animal Carcass | 2711 | Fees charged by a landfill for proper disposal of animal carcass or animal debris | Cubic Yards | \$56.63 | 91 | \$5,153.33 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#179 - National Emergency Shallow Burial of Swine or Cattle

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the disposal of animal carcasses by burial in a shallow trench resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, and organics being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. This scenario has been written to exclude feathered animals since early research has indicated that feathered animals do not break down quickly using this method.Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Fence (384)

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, and organics being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Emergency animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being buried in a shallow trench,that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, and organics being transported into surface and groundwater resources. The is a new method of mortality disposal recommended by APHIS. 50 animal units ( 50,000 pound) of animal mortality is the maximum allowed for this method. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers the disposal of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events. This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of livestock animal mortality by burial in an 18 inch deep by 8 foot wide trench. A 12 inch thick layer of carbon material is placed in the bottom of the trench. The carcass is placed in the trench and covered with 4 inches of carbon material. Then the excavated soil is placed over the entire trench area. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to excavate the trench, place carbon layer in the trench bottom, recover and transport carcasses to the shallow burial location, place carcasses in the trench and cover with more carbon and the excavated soil. Wood chips ( 45 pcf) will be used as the carbon source.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,939.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$198.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 13 | \$928.33 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 120 | \$5,961.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 13 | \$372.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 13 | \$415.22 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#195-National Emergency Composting ??? purchase carbon material and mobilize equipment

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the disposal of animal carcasses by composting in a static windrow resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, and organics being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560)

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Emergency animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being disposed by composting in a static windrow that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, and organics being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators. An overall plan covers the disposal of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events.This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of 30,000 pounds of animal mortality by composting on-site. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to the composting location and the building and turning of the pile at the appropriate time. Composting requires 5 cubic yards of carbon material per 1000 pounds of animal. Wood chips ( 45 pcf) will be used as the carbon source.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units

Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

## Scenario Typical Size: 30.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 16,511.19$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$550.37

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 55 | \$3,927.55 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 150 | \$7,452.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 55 | \$1,575.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 55 | \$1,756.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#211 - National Emergency Carcass Disposal Other Than Burial, Incineration, Landfill or Render

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the disposal of animal carcasses by methods other than burial, incineration, landfill or rendering resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560)

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Emergency animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being disposed in a manner, other than burial, incineration, landfill or rendering, that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers the disposal of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events. This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of livestock carcasses by composting on-site. The scenario assumes the grower will provide all equipment and labor and that $50 \%$ of the carbon for composting is available on-site.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,985.45
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$366.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 55 | \$3,927.55 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 75 | \$3,726.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 55 | \$1,575.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 55 | \$1,756.70 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#227-National Emergency Disposal At Landfill or Render

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the disposal of animal mortality carcasses by landfilling or rendering resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560)

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Catastrophic Animal mortalities resulting from causes not related to disease are being disposed in a landfill or by rendering, that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers disposal of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events.This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of 700 finisher swine carcasses at an average weight of 200 pounds each in a landfill. The scenario includes materials, equipment time and labor to recover and transport the carcasses to the landfill which is within a 1.5 hour drive of the farm.

Feature Measure: Pounds of mortality
Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 140,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,102.74
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 5 | \$270.35 |
| Truck, dump, 8 CY | 1401 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 12 ton or 8 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$52.49 | 39 | \$2,047.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 39 | \$1,570.53 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poly film, 6 mil. | 245 | 6 mil, polyethylene, black | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 3388 | \$304.92 |
| Landfill Fee, Animal Carcass | 2711 | Fees charged by a landfill for proper disposal of animal carcass or animal debris | Cubic Yards | \$56.63 | 91 | \$5,153.33 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#243-National Emergency In-House Composting

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists the emergency disposal of poultry mortality by composting in a static windrow resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. Additional carbon based bulking material is added to facilitate aeration and provide a proper $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{N}$ ratio. The windrow is turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to land application. Access is infrequent. This option may not be desirable for sites with limited area, karst topography, and not isolated from of public view. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Diversion (362).
Before Situation:
Animal mortality is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality disposal is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, complete composting, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. The typical scenario consists of in-house composting of animal mortality. Composting requires 1.5 pounds of carbon per pound of mortatility. There is some manure and bedding already on site. Wood chips ( 45 pcf) will be used as the additional carbon source. The composting windrow construction operation consists of 2 pieces of equipment and 2 add'I laborers: 1) stockpiling carcasses, bedding, and manure in center of house; 2) construct 2 windrow bases using carbon material; 3) place carcass/bedding/manure mix on bases; 4) cover with carbon material; 5) cap windrows with any remaining bedding/manure; 6) after first heat cycle remove windrow from house and reconstruct outside house for finishing. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,232.82$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$115.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 28 | \$1,513.96 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 91 | \$4,520.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 28 | \$801.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 28 | \$894.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 4 | \$1,155.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management
Scenario: \#259 - National Emergency Forced Air Incineration

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists the emergency disposal of a large number of livestock resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. The cause of mortatiy is an event not related to disease. Additional carbon based bulking material is added to facilitate aeration and provide a proper C:N ratio. The windrow is turned at least once to go into another heat cycle prior to land application. Access is infrequent. This option may not be desirable for sites with limited area, karst topography, and not isolated from of public view. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), Diversion (362).

## Before Situation:

Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Animal mortality is being done in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. An overall plan covers normal and catastrophic mortality events. This typical scenario was developed based on the forced air incineration of 50 animal units of livestock carcasses. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to a suitable on-site incineration location and the rental and operation of a portable forced air incinerator. Wood fuel is also added to assist with the incineration process. The forced air incineration operation consists of a tractor plus operator to collect and transport carcasses to the incineration site, a portable forced air incinerator plus operator, and 1 add'I laborer. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area. The forced air incineration operation consists of a tractor plus operator to collect and transport carcasses to the incineration site, a portable forced air incinerator plus operator, and 1 add'l laborer. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 lbs Animal Units

Scenario Unit: Animal Unit
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 17,573.45$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 351.47$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 50 | \$3,570.50 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 42 | \$2,086.56 |
| Incinerator, Portable, Trench Burner | 2712 | A portable incinerator used with the development of a trench to incinerate animal carcasses or other debris | Week | \$1,443.33 | 2 | \$2,886.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 50 | \$1,432.00 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 94 | \$3,002.36 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 56 | \$2,574.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, propane | 1597 | 20 pound propane bottle, with propane, for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Each | \$12.61 | 45 | \$567.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 368 - Emergency Animal Mortality Management

## Scenario: \#275-National Emergency Burial

## Scenario Description:

This scenario consists of the on-site burial of animal mortalities resulting from impacts related to the National Emergency. An earthen pit is excavated to contain the mortalities, and earth cover is placed over the mortalities to provide protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Air quality impacts due to odors will also be addressed. Potential Associated Practices: Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C), Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D), Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Access Road (560), and Diversion (362).

Before Situation:
Animal mortality disposal is done in a manner that results in non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Improper operation results in odors and spread of pathogens from incomplete composting, incineration, or interaction with predators. No plan was formulated for catastrophic mortality events.

## After Situation:

Catastrophic Animal mortalities resultuing from causes not related to disease are being disposed in a manner that prevents non-point source pollution of excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogens being transported into surface and groundwater resources. Proper operation results in little to no odors, and protection from predators to minimize pathogen survival or spreading. An overall plan covers the burial of animals as a result of catastrophic mortality events.This typical scenario was developed based on the disposal of 25 head of mature cattle located near the area where the cattle have been found. The scenario includes equipment time and labor to recover and transport carcasses to the burial location. The scenario also includes a burial trench 4' deep plus 3' additional cover over carcasses. Construct a 6' x 60' (surface dimensions) burial site with appropriate cover. Site can handle mortality for 25 mature beef cattle. On site soils can be recompacted to meet required imperviousness. Include 3' overfill or mounding excavated material to provide for settlement of the burial site and divert or minimize offsite runoff. Site to be located out of drainage areas, off-site water diverted and any runoff to spread out into a grassed area.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 Ibs Animal Units

Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 25.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,639.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 5.58 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 94 | \$310.20 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 12 | \$856.92 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 94 | \$141.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 12 | \$383.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 371-Air Filtration and Scrubbing
Scenario: \#1-Single Pit Fan Biofilter

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of a biofilter used to treat the air flow from a single waste pit ventilation fan in instances where control of the exhaust from all pit ventilation fans is not needed. Adequate moisture in the wood chip media is maintained for proper growth of bacteria. For each waste pit ventilation fan servicing a waste storage facility that is identified as exhausting odorous and/or particulate laden air into the atmosphere, a separate biofilter is installed. Payment includes materials, equipment, and labor costs for installing the biofilter. A stabilized area around the biofilter is not included and must be addressed through the associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561), if needed.

Before Situation:
One or more pit ventilation fans of a manure storage facility on a Headquarters site are exhausting odorous and/or particulate laden air into the atmosphere.

## After Situation:

Air Quality resource concerns are addressed through installation of the practice by reducing odors and/or particulate matter emissions. Exhaust from a 24 ??? waste pit ventilation fan is piped to a 16 ??? wide by 20??? long by 4??? high horizontal biofilter constructed of a formed concrete bin that is filled with wood chip media, capable of handling 5,500 cubic feet per minute of airflow. The loading of odor and/or particulates into the air at the production facility is significantly reduced, resulting in a substantial improvement in air quality. Ammonia emissions are reduced approximately $60 \%$; hydrogen sulfide about $80 \%$ and odor 60 to $80 \%$.Associated practices include Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste (591), Windbreak (380), Waste Storage Facility (313)and CAP-Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan (126).

Feature Measure: Number of Biofilters Installed

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$22,145.77

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$22,145.77

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 10 | \$4,735.10 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 11.3 | \$6,053.41 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 33 | \$79.86 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 24 | \$1,192.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 11 | \$438.79 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 0.25 | \$111.96 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 80 | \$136.80 |


| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 1 | \$623.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 36 | \$74.88 |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 7.5 | \$27.30 |
| Micro Irrigation, surface drip tubing | 1488 | Tubing is installed above ground for surface drip irrigation, and connections to the supply and flushing laterals. Tubing has emitters built in. | Feet | \$0.35 | 100 | \$35.00 |
| Articulated precast concrete block | 1906 | Articulated precast concrete blocks with a typical thickness of 4.5 to 6 inches. Includes materials and shipping. | Square Feet | \$9.12 | 320 | \$2,918.40 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. => 18 in., weight priced | 1958 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters equal to or greater than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.44 | 1292 | \$3,152.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70 \mathrm{HP}$ but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 372-Combustion System Improvement
Scenario: \#56-Electric Motor in-lieu of IC Engine

## Scenario Description:

Replace an existing older diesel engine with a new electric motor (12-74 hp). The existing diesel engine may be stationary or portable, but not mobile (e.g., providing motive power to tractors, trucks, etc.). Examples of stationary or portable engines include diesel-fired pumping plant power units, emergency generators, or engines providing power for other agricultural systems. Resource Concerns: Air Quality ??? Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors; Air Quality ??? Emissions of Ozone Precursors; Air Quality - Emissions of Airborne Reactive Nitrogen; Energy ??? Energy Efficiency of Equipment and Facilities. Associated Practices include: 374 ??? Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation; 533 ??? Pumping Plant; 430 ??? Irrigation Pipeline; 441 ??? Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 ??? Sprinkler System; 447 ??? Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery; 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management; 516 ??? Livestock Pipeline; 313 ??? Waste Storage Facility; 634 ??? Waste Transfer; 642 ??? Water Well; and 614 ??? Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
An old or inefficient diesel engine provides power to an agricultural system, such as an irrigation pumping plant or grain dryer fan, or provides backup power generation for a farming operation. Air Quality: The existing internal combustion engine emissions are identified to contribute to an air quality resource concern. Energy: The existing internal combustion engine uses excess fuel to provide power to an agricultural system.

After Situation:
The existing older diesel engine is replaced with a new electric motor (12-74 hp). The engine being replaced or repowered will be destroyed or disabled and a certificate of destruction or inoperability submitted prior to certification of practice completion. The existing engine is supported by a concrete pad; no costs have been included for a new pad. Additional costs may be incurred if an existing concrete pad is not present. Air Quality: The new electric motor does not produce any on-farm emissions of particulate matter or oxides of nitrogen, resulting in a substantial emissions reduction on the farm. Energy: Energy efficiency will be improved.

Feature Measure: HP of Replacement Electric Motor
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,029.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$150.73
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor, electric, NEMA Premium, 50 HP | 1173 | Premium NEMA approved electric motor, 50 Horsepower and all required appurtenances. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5,547.33 | 1 | \$5,547.33 |

Practice: 373 - Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces
Scenario: \#20-Hygroscopic Salt Application - Once per Year

## Scenario Description:

Application of a hygroscopic salt (calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, sodium chloride, etc.) as a dust suppressant once per year to an unpaved road or other unpaved surface where vehicle or wind action may result in emissions of particulate matter without treatment. The specific resource concern to be addressed is 'Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors'.

Before Situation:
The operation does not apply a dust suppressant to an unpaved surface. Vehicle or wind action on the untreated unpaved surface results in emissions of particulate matter that cause nuisance dusting or visibility-impairment effects.

## After Situation:

The unpaved surface is graded and potholes are filled once per year. Hygroscopic salt solution is applied via truck once per year as a dust suppressant to the unpaved surface with a minimization of overlap and avoidance of over-application. Once the dust suppressant is applied, the treated surface is compacted. This scenario assumes a treated area of $1 / 4$ mile in length and 12 feet in width. Associated practices include 560 - Access Road, 472 - Access Control, 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection, 342 Critical Area Planting, 635 - Vegetated Treatment Area, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 436 - Irrigation Reservoir, 516 - Livestock Pipeline, 533 - Pumping Plant, 449 - Irrigation Water Management, 484 - Mulching, and 380 - Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation.

Feature Measure: Area Treated

Scenario Unit: Square Yard
Scenario Typical Size: 1,760.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,397.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equipment Installation

| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 0.36 | \$2.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roller, static, smooth, self propelled | 1392 | Self propelled smooth drum static roller compactor, typically 1.5 ton with 34 inch roller. Equipment cost only. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$18.38 | 2 | \$36.76 |
| Motor Grader, 200 HP | 1782 | Motor Grader or Maintainer, 200 hp . Typical of equipment with HP in range of 170-225. Equipment cost, does not include labor. | Hours | \$157.81 | 5 | \$789.05 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 7 | \$281.89 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, dust control, hygroscopic salt solution | 1340 | Hygroscopic salt solution, such as calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, or sodium chloride. Materials only. | Gallons | \$1.43 | 900 | \$1,287.00 |



Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#2 - Ventilation - Horizontal Air Flow/Stir Fan

## Scenario Description:

A system of fans are installed where none exist to create a horizontal air circulation pattern, and remove air stratification. The new system promotes efficient heat and moisture distribution. Payment includes fan controls, wiring, associated appurtanences and labor to install.

Before Situation:
Inefficent air circulation system in a greenhouse or livestock house
After Situation:
High-efficiency air circulation system which reduces energy use. In a typical 10,000 square foot greenhouse, 10 HAF fans are needed. The new equipment will provide suitable air quality and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing system as evidenced in an energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,119.72$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 279.93$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fan, HAF, 1/10 to 1/15 HP | 1189 | High efficiency Horizontal Air Flow (HAF) fan, controls, wiring, and associated appurtenances. Materials only. | Each | \$199.65 | 4 | \$798.60 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#3 - Ventilation - Cool Cell, Evaporative Cooling System

## Scenario Description:

A cool cell evaporative cooling system is installed in a livestock barn to reduce total ventilation requirements in hot weather. Scenario is applicable where there is an existing, inefficient cooling system/ventilation system in place that will be replaced by the cool cell. Payment includes all materials and labor to install the evaporative cooling system.

Before Situation:
Inefficent ventilation temperature control in a poultry or livestock house

## After Situation:

A cool cell evaporative cooling system reduces energy use by allowing lower ventilation rates that will result in net energy savings. The new equipment will provide suitable air quality and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing system as evidenced in an energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Square Foot
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 520.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,272.24

## Scenario Cost/Unit: <br> \$23.60

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 96 | \$3,853.44 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evaporative Cooling System, Large | 2400 | Energy efficient cooling systems installed in ventilated livestock confinement or greenhouses for temperature control. Complete system with cooling pads, aluminum distribution and end panels, $1 / 3$ HP submersible sump pump and plumbing kit. Greater than 90 square feet. Includes material only. | Square Feet | \$16.19 | 520 | \$8,418.80 |



# United States Department of Agriculture 

## Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation

Scenario: \#5 - Refrigeration - Scroll Compressor

## Scenario Description:

Install a new comparably sized scroll compressor, associated controls, wiring, and materials to retrofit an existing refrigeration system. A new condenser is not included in this typical scenario. Payment includes compressor, controls, wiring, appurtanences and labor to install.

Before Situation:
Inefficient reciprocating compressor as a key component of the refrigeration system used to cool milk. The compressor is a critical part of a milk cooling system, affecting milk quality, system reliability, and system efficiency.

After Situation:
A more efficient scroll compressor, which will reduce energy use, is evidenced by the energy audit. A comparably sized scroll compressor provides refrigeration capacity at a higher efficiency than a reciprocating compressor. Newer scroll compressor systems typically reduce electricity use by 15 to 25 percent compared to reciprocating compressors. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,511.91
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$702.38

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scroll Compressor - 5 HP | 1183 | Scroll compressor, 5 Horsepower, controls, wiring, and appurtenances. Materials only. | Each | \$3,351.35 | 1 | \$3,351.35 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#6 - Refrigeration - Compressor Heat Recovery System

## Scenario Description:

Install a new comparibly sized compressor heat recovery unit. The unit includes insulated storage tanks with heat exchangers added to a refrigeration system. The system utilizes the heat extracted from the fluid (e.g. milk) that passes through the hot gas refrigerant line from the refrigeration system's compressors, to pre-heat water to approximately 110 ??F before it enters a conventional water heater. Energy savings comes from the reduced heating required in a water heater. Low ambient controls and/or condenser variable speed drives are part of the installation. The actual number of heat recovery units and their location will depend on the operating hours of the compressor and the configuration of the existing system. Payment includes all materials and appurtanences and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

Inefficient use of heat extracted from the milk during the cooling process

## After Situation:

A more efficient compressor heat recovery system is installed, which will reduce energy use, is evidenced by the energy audit. Associated practices/activities: may include $122-A g E M P-H Q, 670-$ Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Each

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,172.95
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,172.95$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor heat recovery (CHR) unit, High Efficiency | 1899 | Compressor heat recovery (CHR) units (insulated storage tanks with heat exchangers) added to a refrigeration system, use the heat extracted from a warm fluid (e.g., ??milk) that passes through the hot gas refrigerant line from the refrigeration system's compressors, to preheat water to approximately 110 ??F before it enters a conventional water heater.?? Energy savings comes from the reduced heating required in a water heater. Low ambient controls and/or condenser variable speed drives are part of the installation. The actual number of heat recovery units and their location will depend on the operating hours of the compressor and the configuration of the existing system. | Each | \$5,851.83 | 1 | \$5,851.83 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#7 - Controller - Variable Speed Drive for <=1 HP Motor
Scenario Description:
Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a =1 horsepower electric motor typically used in small dairy operations. Payment includes appurtances, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. Payment does not include the cost of the motor.

Before Situation:
The system is inefficient when a motor operates at constant speed to satisfy a load which varies as to flow rate and/or pressure requirements.
After Situation:
An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,003.67$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,003.67$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable Speed Drive, 1 HP | 2347 | Variable speed drive for 1 Horsepower electric motor. Does not include motor. Materials only. | Each | \$682.55 | 1 | \$682.55 |

Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#8 - Controller - Variable Speed Drive for >1 to <10 HP Motor
Scenario Description:
Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a >1 to $<10$ horsepower electric motor. Payment includes appurtances, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. Payment does not include the cost of the motor.

Before Situation:
The system is inefficient when a motor operates at constant speed to satisfy a load which varies as to flow rate and/or pressure requirements.
After Situation:
An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,486.77$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$297.35

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable Speed Drive, 5 HP | 2348 | Variable speed drive for 5 Horsepower electric motor. Does not include motor. Materials only. | Horsepower | \$233.13 | 5 | \$1,165.65 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#9 - Controller - Variable Speed Drive for 10 to <50 HP Motor

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a $>10$ to $<50$ horsepower electric motor typically used in small dairy operations. Payment includes appurtances, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install. Payment does not include the cost of the motor.
Before Situation:
The system is inefficient when a motor operates at constant speed to satisfy a load which varies as to flow rate and/or pressure requirements.
After Situation:
An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,091.02$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 209.10$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable Speed Drive, 10 HP | 1287 | Variable speed drive for 10 Horsepower electric motor. Does not include motor. Materials only. | Horsepower | \$176.99 | 10 | \$1,769.90 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#10 - Controller - Variable Speed Drive for >=50 HP Motor

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a variable speed drive (VSD) for a >= 50 horsepower electric motor used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. Payment includes appurtances, such as hook-ups, control panels, wiring, control blocks, filters, switches, pads, etc. and labor to install.

Before Situation:
The system is inefficient when a motor operates at constant speed to satisfy a load which varies as to flow rate and/or pressure requirements.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a VSD to control electric motors. After the VSD is applied, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,903.12$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$109.03
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable Speed Drive, 100 HP | 1289 | Variable speed drive for 100 Horsepower electric motor. Does not include motor. Materials only. | Horsepower | \$105.82 | 100 | \$10,582.00 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#11-Controller - Multi-Function, Single Environmental Condition

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario consists of a multiple function automatic control system to manage a single environmental condition installed on an existing manually controlled agricultural building control system. Environmental conditions are defined by the following: lighting, temperature, humidity and/or air quality. The controller will control a combination of the following devices to achieve single or multiple environmental condition control: fans, lights, curtains, dampers, heaters, sprinklers (cooling), etc Typical components may include any of the following: wiring, sensors, data logger, logic controller, communication link, software, switches, and relay. Payment includes materials and appurtenances and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

A manually controlled system is existing in an agricultural facility that causes the inefficient use of energy, as evidenced by an on-farm energy audit.
After Situation:
An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulates the energy consumption of the existing system. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Each system
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,056.73

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,056.73
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 1 | \$646.73 |
| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 1 | \$623.08 |
| Switches and Controls, Wi-Fi system and software | 1194 | Software with built-in cellular or Wi-Fi communication commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$786.92 | 1 | \$786.92 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#12-Controller - Multi-Function, Multiple Environmental Condition
Scenario Description:
The typical scenario consists of a multiple function automatic control system to manage multiple environmental conditions installed on an existing manually controlled agricultural building control system. Environmental conditions are defined by the following: lighting, temperature, humidity and/or air quality. The controller will control a combination of the following devices to achieve single or multiple environmental condition control: fans, lights, curtains, dampers, heaters, sprinklers (cooling), etc Typical components may include any of the following: wiring, sensors, data logger, logic controller, communication link, software, switches, and relay. Payment includes materials and appurtenances and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

A manually controlled system is existing in an agricultural facility that causes the inefficient use of energy, as evidenced by an on-farm energy audit.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulates the energy consumption of the existing system. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE 5612.

Feature Measure: Each system
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,702.32

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,702.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 4 | \$2,586.92 |
| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 5 | \$3,115.40 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#13 - Motor - <= 1 HP Electric Motor Upgrade
Scenario Description:
Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors <=1 horsepower. Payment includes motor, appurtenances and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

The system is inefficient with a standard efficiency motor.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: $122-A g E M P$ - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$813.30
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$813.30

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor, electric, NEMA Premium, 1 HP | 1169 | Premium NEMA approved electric motor, 1 Horsepower and all required appurtenances. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$652.74 | 1 | \$652.74 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#14 - Motor - > 1 to <10 HP Electric Motor Upgrade
Scenario Description:
Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors ranging from >1 horsepower to <10 horsepower. Payment includes motor, appurtanences and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

The system is inefficient with a standard efficiency motor.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: $122-A g E M P$ - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,196.98$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 239.40$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor, electric, NEMA Premium, 5 HP | 1171 | Premium NEMA approved electric motor, 5 Horsepower and all required appurtenances. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,036.42 | 1 | \$1,036.42 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#15-Motor - 10-<50 HP Electric Motor Upgrade
Scenario Description:
Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors ranging from 10 horsepower to <50 horsepower. Payment includes motor, appurtanences and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

The system is inefficient with a standard efficiency motor.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: $122-A g E M P$ - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,821.78$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 182.18$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor, electric, NEMA Premium, 10 HP | 1172 | Premium NEMA approved electric motor, 10 Horsepower and all required appurtenances. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,500.66 | 1 | \$1,500.66 |

## Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation

Scenario: \#16 - Motor - >= 50 HP Electric Motor Upgrade
Scenario Description:
Replacement of an existing electric motor with a upgraded electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan, irrigation pumps, vacuum pump, or similar equipment involved with agricultural production. The upgraded electric motor will be the same size as the existing less efficient motor it is replacing. This scenario is for motors of 50 horsepower or greater. Payment includes motor, appurtenances and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

The system is inefficient with a standard efficiency motor.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a NEMA premium efficiency motor. Associated practices/activities may include: $122-A g E M P$ - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 12,137.09$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 121.37$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor, electric, NEMA Premium, 100 HP | 1174 | Premium NEMA approved electric motor, 100 Horsepower and all required appurtenances. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$11,494.85 | 1 | \$11,494.85 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#17-Motor - Variable Speed Electric (Split Phase)

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a multi speed electric motor typically used to drive a ventilation fan in a livestock production house. Payment includes motor and labor to install. Control panel is not included. Refer to associated control panel scenarios as needed.

Before Situation:
The system is inefficient when a motor operates at constant speed to satisfy a load which varies as to flow rate and/or pressure requirements.
After Situation:
An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a multi speed electric motor. After the motor is installed, the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Horsepower
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$317.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$317.07

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor, electric, Multi Speed, 10 HP | 1154 | Multi speed electric motor, 10 Horsepower maximum output and all required appurtenances. Materials only. | Horsepower | \$196.65 | 1 | \$196.65 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#18-Heating - Radiant Systems

## Scenario Description:

Replace 'pancake' Brood Heaters in a poultry house with Radiant Tube Heaters, or similar. Replacement will require the materials and labor to remove existing heating system, re-plumb gas lines, cables and winch system to retrofit new radiant tube heaters, and miscellaneous items to complete the installation. Alternate acceptable radiant heating systems can include radiant brooders and quad radiant systems as indicated in the energy audit. Payment includes materials and labor to install the new system.

Before Situation:
Inefficient heat distribution equipment, such as conventional 'pancake' brood heaters. The Pancake brooder, mounted at a low installation height, primarily warms the air. They provide a one-to-two foot perimeter at desired temperatures around each brooder. A large number of brooders are required to cover a significant percent of floor space. As the warmed air naturally rises it loses effectiveness for poultry on the ground.

## After Situation:

Energy use is reduced through installation of a more efficient heater. Radiant tube heaters primarily warm objects within a direct line of sight (similar to the sun or an open fire). Air temperature is of relatively little importance for a radiant heating systems to be effective. As a result, radiant sytems are typically installed 5' or more above the floor level. This height extends the distribution of the radiant heat over a larger area than is possible with pancake style heaters. A roughly 16 diameter radiant heat zone heats over twice that of a convential pancake brooder. The typical scenario consists of the replacement of 28 brood heaters with 6 radiant tube heaters. Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Rated Heat Output
Scenario Unit: 1,000 BTU/Hour
Scenario Typical Size: 125.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,806.83
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.45
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heater, radiant tube | 1163 | Radiant tube heater rated at 125,000 BTU/hour. Materials only. | Each | \$1,726.55 | 1 | \$1,726.55 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#19-Heating - Building
Scenario Description:
Replace existing low efficiency heaters with new high efficiency heaters. High-efficiency heating systems include any heating unit with efficiency rating of $80 \%+$ for fuel oil and $90 \%+$ for natural gas and propane. Applications may be air heating/building environment and hydronic (boiler) heating for agricultural operations, including under bench, or root zone heating. An alternative to heater replacement might be the addition of climate control system and electronic temperature controls with +/- 1 degree F differential, to reduce the annual run time. Payment includes heater and labor to install.

Before Situation:
Buildings heated with low efficiency heaters or heaters without proper electronic climate controls

## After Situation:

Higher efficiency heaters reduce energy consumption, energy costs, and GHG emissions. These replacement systems can be fueled by natural gas, propane, or fuel oil. Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ 670- Lighting System Improvement, 672-Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Rated Heat Output
Scenario Unit: 1,000 BTU/Hour
Scenario Typical Size: 750.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,142.24

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$22.86
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heater, high efficiency | 1165 | Natural gas, propane, or fuel oil unit heater or boiler and venting materials. Based on input kBTU/hour. Includes materials and shipping only. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { BTU/Hour } \end{gathered}$ | \$22.00 | 750 | \$16,500.00 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#20-Heating - Attic Heat Recovery Vents

## Scenario Description:

Install actuated inlets or automatic latching gravity inlets that draw warmer, drier air from the attic to assist with moisture and heat control when ventiliation fans are being operated in poultry houses and swine barns. In certain situlations it may be necessary to also upgrade the ventilation system in addition to the vent upgrades. Other systems to transfer heat, as detailed in ASABE S612-compliant energy audit may also be used. Payment includes materials and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

Heated buildings with attic spaces but no means to transfer heat between the heated space, attic, and ambient (outside) air when relative conditions allow for reduced energy use.

After Situation:
Attic vents or inlets allow dry warm air from the attic to cirulated through out the building in a 40' x 500' poultry house. By using pre-warmed air from the attic less energy is needed for heating 122-AgEMP - HQ 670-Lighting System Improvement, 672- Building Envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Each inlet
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 14.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,295.34
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$235.38

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 24 | \$963.36 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlet, Attic Ceiling | 2414 | Poultry house attic air inlets. Includes materials only. | Each | \$166.57 | 14 | \$2,331.98 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#67-Controller - Single Function
Scenario Description:
The typical scenario consists of a single function controller with built in sensors for automatic on-off control that can be powered by a typical 120 V electrical outlet. Controller does not typically include any communication link, data logging or wi-fi capabilities. The controller is typically installed on an existing manually controlled agricultural system including, but not limited to, building ventilation systems.

Before Situation:
A manually controlled system is existing in an agricultural facility that causes the inefficient use of energy, as evidenced by an on-farm energy audit.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of an automatic controller that helps regulate the energy consumption of the existing system. Associated practices/activities may include: 128-AgEMP, 670 - Lighting System Improvement, 672 - Building envelope Improvement, and other activities within 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement. The new controller is connected to the existing system and controls when the equipment is on or off. The resource concern of Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and Facilities will be addressed with this practice by operating the equipment only when needed and therefore saving energy.

Feature Measure: each contoller

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 193.80$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 193.80$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temperature Sensors | 2462 | Sensor used to measure and communicate temperature to the controlling mechanism in a refrigeration system. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$136.52 | 1 | \$136.52 |



Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#106 - Steam Enhanced PreHeater <= 24 SF

## Scenario Description:

The unit sets over the evaporator pan and uses steam from the evaporator pan to pre-heat the sap to as high as 200??F while at the same time injecting air into the sap to promote evaporation. Evaporation rates are increased by $65-75 \%$, based on vendor analysis, leading to $40-43 \%$ energy savings. Sap is concentrated from Brix $2 \%$ to $4 \%$ or more before it enters the flue pan. Steam-enhanced systems require at least 9 feet from floor to ceiling. This scenario includes units $<=24 \mathrm{sq}$. ft , with installation. With increased evaporation, it takes less time to boil the sap down, thus saving significant energy (oil \&wood fuel) used in the process, as well as labor.

## Before Situation:

The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup requires boiling ~20 gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 19 gallons of water have to be boiled off, using more fuel and labor. A typical oil-fired evaporator consumes 3.5 to 4.5 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced.

## After Situation:

The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup requires boiling $\sim 6$ gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 14 gallons of water were removed by the steam-enhanced system, using less fuel and labor. A typical oil-fired evaporator with a steam pan consumes 2.1 to 2.7 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced to remove water from the sap, improving the fuel efficiency and saving labor. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE 6612.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of steam pan
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 12.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,098.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,258.21

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sap Pre-Heater, High efficiency, fixed cost | 2254 | High efficiency sap pre-heater device, fixed cost portion. Materials only. | Each | \$9,954.77 | 1 | \$9,954.77 |
| Sap Pre-Heater, High efficiency, variable cost | 2255 | High efficiency sap pre-heater device, variable cost portion. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$394.26 | 12 | \$4,731.12 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#107-Reverse Osmosis <= 250 GPH

## Scenario Description:

A reverse osmosis (RO) unit, installed before the evaporator, filters the sap and removes ~ $75 \%$ of the water prior to getting to the evaporator. The unit is sized in gallons per hour. The size of the RO is determined based on the existing maple sugaring operation (number of taps and the capacity of the evaporator). With a RO unit able to remove excess water, it takes less time to boil the sap down, thus saving significant energy (oil \&wood fuel) used in the process. The system cost includes the RO unit, pump, vessel, membrane, wash tank, and installation. This scenario includes units that process <= 250 gallons of sap per hour.

Before Situation:
A maple sugaring operation uses an evaporator (pan over a furnace) to boil sap to remove water to create syrup. It takes $\sim 20$ gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 19 gallons of water has to be boiled off. A typical oil-fired evaporator consumes 3.5 to 4.5 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced.

After Situation:
With an efficient RO installed in the process, $\sim 75 \%$ of the water is removed from the sap, thus cutting the boil time down by $\sim 75 \%$. An efficiency of 1 gallon fuel oil (or equivalent wood) per gallon of maple syrup is possible, thereby reducing energy consumption by $65-75 \%$. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Capacity of Unit
Scenario Unit: Gallons per Hour
Scenario Typical Size: 135.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,067.92$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$44.95

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reverse Osmosis unit, fixed cost portion | 2224 | Fixed cost portion of a reverse osmosis unit used for maple syrup processing. Materials only. | Each | \$3,390.60 | 1 | \$3,390.60 |
| Reverse Osmosis unit, variable cost portion | 2225 | Variable cost portion of a reverse osmosis unit used for maple syrup processing. Materials only. | Gallons per Hour | \$18.94 | 135 | \$2,556.90 |

## Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation

Scenario: \#108-Reverse Osmosis> 250 <1000 GPH
Scenario Description:
A reverse osmosis (RO) unit, installed before the evaporator, filters the sap and removes ~ $75 \%$ of the water prior to getting to the evaporator. The unit is sized in gallons per hour. The size of the RO is determined based on the existing maple sugaring operation (number of taps and the capacity of the evaporator). With a RO unit able to remove excess water, it takes less time to boil the sap down, thus saving significant energy (oil \&wood fuel) used in the process. The system costs includes the RO unit, pumps, vessels, membranes, wash tank, and installation. This scenario includes units that process $>250-<1000$ gallons of sap per hour.

Before Situation:
A maple sugaring operation uses an evaporator (pan over a furnace) to boil sap to remove water to create syrup. It takes ~20 gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 19 gallons of water has to be boiled off. A typical oil-fired evaporator consumes 3.5 to 4.5 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced.

After Situation:
With an efficient RO installed in the process, $\sim 75 \%$ of the water is removed from the sap, thus cutting the boil time down by $\sim 75 \%$. An efficiency of 1 gallon fuel oil (or equivalent wood) per gallon of maple syrup is possible, thereby reducing energy consumption by $65-75 \%$. Associated practices/activities: may include $122-\mathrm{AgEMP}$ - HQ , and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Capacity of Unit
Scenario Unit: Gallons per Hour
Scenario Typical Size: 365.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,424.12
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28.56

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reverse Osmosis unit, fixed cost portion | 2224 | Fixed cost portion of a reverse osmosis unit used for maple syrup processing. Materials only. | Each | \$3,390.60 | 1 | \$3,390.60 |
| Reverse Osmosis unit, variable cost portion | 2225 | Variable cost portion of a reverse osmosis unit used for maple syrup processing. Materials only. | Gallons per Hour | \$18.94 | 365 | \$6,913.10 |

Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#109-Reverse Osmosis >= 1000 GPH
Scenario Description:
A reverse osmosis (RO) unit, installed before the evaporator, filters the sap and removes ~ $75 \%$ of the water prior to getting to the evaporator. The unit is sized in gallons per hour. The size of the RO is determined based on the existing maple sugaring operation (number of taps and the capacity of the evaporator). With a RO unit able to remove excess water, it takes less time to boil the sap down, thus saving significant energy (oil \&wood fuel) used in the process. The system cost includes the RO unit, pumps, vessels, membranes, wash tank, and installation. This scenario includes units that process >=1000 gallons of sap per hour.

Before Situation:
A maple sugaring operation uses an evaporator (pan over a furnace) to boil sap to remove water to create syrup. It takes $\sim 20$ gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 19 gallons of water has to be boiled off. A typical oil-fired evaporator consumes 3.5 to 4.5 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced.

After Situation:
With an efficient RO installed in the process, $\sim 75 \%$ of the water is removed from the sap, thus cutting the boil time down by $\sim 75 \%$. An efficiency of 1 gallon fuel oil (or equivalent wood) per gallon of maple syrup is possible, thereby reducing energy consumption by $65-75 \%$. Associated practices/activities: may include $122-\mathrm{AgEMP}$ - HQ , and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Capacity of Unit
Scenario Unit: Gallons per Hour
Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 26,239.02$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.87
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reverse Osmosis unit, fixed cost portion | 2224 | Fixed cost portion of a reverse osmosis unit used for maple syrup processing. Materials only. | Each | \$3,390.60 | 1 | \$3,390.60 |
| Reverse Osmosis unit, variable cost portion | 2225 | Variable cost portion of a reverse osmosis unit used for maple syrup processing. Materials only. | Gallons per Hour | \$18.94 | 1200 | \$22,728.00 |

Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#110 - Steam Enhanced PreHeater > 24 SF

## Scenario Description:

The unit sets over the evaporator pan and uses steam from the evaporator pan to pre-heat the sap to as high as 200??F while at the same time injecting air into the sap to promote evaporation. Evaporation rates are increased by $65-75 \%$, based on vendor analysis, leading to $40-43 \%$ energy savings. Sap is concentrated from Brix $2 \%$ to $4 \%$ or more before it enters the flue pan. Steam-enhanced systems require at least 9 feet from floor to ceiling. This scenario includes units $>24 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . with installation. With increased evaporation, it takes less time to boil the sap down, thus saving significant energy (oil \&wood fuel) used in the process, as well as labor.

## Before Situation:

The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup requires boiling $\sim 20$ gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 19 gallons of water have to be boiled off, using more fuel and labor. A typical oil-fired evaporator consumes 3.5 to 4.5 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced.

## After Situation:

The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup requires boiling $\sim 6$ gallons of sap to make 1 gallon of syrup, which means 14 gallons of water were removed by the steam-enhanced system, using less fuel and labor. A typical oil-fired evaporator with a steam pan consumes 2.1 to 2.7 gallons of fuel oil for each gallon of maple syrup produced to remove water from the sap, improving the fuel efficiency and saving labor. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE 6612.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of steam pan
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$26,275.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$656.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sap Pre-Heater, High efficiency, fixed cost | 2254 | High efficiency sap pre-heater device, fixed cost portion. Materials only. | Each | \$9,954.77 | 1 | \$9,954.77 |
| Sap Pre-Heater, High efficiency, variable cost | 2255 | High efficiency sap pre-heater device, variable cost portion. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$394.26 | 40 | \$15,770.40 |

## Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation

Scenario: \#113-Evaporator Oil-Fired

## Scenario Description:

This practice is for the replacement of an inefficient evaporator with a new high efficiency evaporator with appurtenances. A high efficiency evaporator is designed to increase BTU output from the fuel source and provide a larger flue pan surface area to increase evaporation, thus reducing energy use. Oil-fired evaporator includes stainless steel sides and bottom, oil burner, parametric controls, and stainless steel syrup and flue pans. A 3' x 12' oil-fired evaporator with parametric controls is common for moderately-sized maple operations.

## Before Situation:

The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup is extended and more fuel used because the inefficient evaporator requires more boiling to remove water from the sap.

After Situation:
The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup is reduced by $50 \%$ or more and fuel efficiency by $15 \%$ or more. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Unit

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 36.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 32,907.62$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$914.10

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evaporator, High efficiency, oilfired, fixed cost | 2262 | High efficient oil fired evaporator used for maple syrup processing. Fixed cost portion. Materials only. | Each | \$18,119.70 | 1 | \$18,119.70 |
| Evaporator, High efficiency, oilfired, Variable cost | 2263 | High efficient oil fired evaporator used for maple syrup processing. Variable cost portion. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$398.36 | 36 | \$14,340.96 |

Practice: 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#114-Evaporator Wood-Fired, Gasifier

## Scenario Description:

This practice is for the replacement of an inefficient evaporator with a new high efficiency evaporator with appurtenances. A high efficiency evaporator is designed to increase BTU output from the fuel source and provide a larger flue pan surface area to increase evaporation, thus reducing energy use. Wood- fired gasifier evaporator includes stainless steel sides and bottom, blowers, and stainless steel syrup and flue pans. A 3' x 12 wood-fired gasifier evaporator is common for moderately-sized maple operations.

Before Situation:
The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup is extended and more fuel used because the inefficient evaporator requires more boiling to remove water from the sap.

After Situation:
The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup is reduced by $50 \%$ or more and fuel efficiency by $40 \%$ or more over standard wood evaporator. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Unit
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 36.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$41,998.84
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,166.63

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evaporator, High efficiency, woodfired, gasification system, fixed cost | 2266 | High efficient wood fired gasification system used for maple syrup processing. Fixed cost portion. Materials only. | Each | \$23,743.40 | 1 | \$23,743.40 |
| Evaporator, High efficiency, woodfired, gasification system, variable cost | 2267 | High efficient wood fired gasification system used for maple syrup processing. Variable cost portion. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$494.68 | 36 | \$17,808.48 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#115-Evaporator Wood-Fired, Air Injected

## Scenario Description:

This practice is for the replacement of an inefficient evaporator with a new high efficiency evaporator with appurtenances. A high efficiency evaporator is designed to increase BTU output from the fuel source and provide a larger flue pan surface area to increase evaporation, thus reducing energy use. Wood- fired evaporator with air injection or forced draft includes stainless steel sides and bottom, blowers and stainless steel syrup and flue pans. A 3' x 12 ' high efficiency wood- fired evaporator with air injection or forced draft is common for moderately-sized maple operations.

Before Situation:
The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup is extended and more fuel used because the inefficient evaporator requires more boiling to remove water from the sap.

After Situation:
The evaporative process time for making concentrated maple syrup is reduced by $50 \%$ or more and fuel efficiency by $15 \%$ or more over standard wood evaporator. Associated practices/activities: may include CAP 128-AgEMP and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Unit
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 36.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$23,568.42
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$654.68

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evaporator, High efficiency, woodfired, air injection, fixed cost | 2268 | High efficient wood fired evaporator with air injection used for maple syrup processing. Fixed cost portion. Materials only. | Each | \$9,622.18 | 1 | \$9,622.18 |
| Evaporator, High efficiency, woodfired, air injection, variable cost | 2269 | High efficient wood fired evaporator with air injection used for maple syrup processing. Variable cost portion. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$374.98 | 36 | \$13,499.28 |



Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#136-Grain Dryer, <= 675 bushel capacity

## Scenario Description:

A replacement continuous dryer rated for an appropriately rated bushel capacity for the operation that includes a microcomputer-based control system that adjusts the amount of time the crop remains in the dryer in order to achieve a consistent and accurate moisture content in the dried product. Alternate types of replacement dryers which reduce energy use are acceptable as evidenced by the energy audit.

## Before Situation:

Wet crop is loaded in the top of a horizontal, continuous dryer. Dried crop is augured from the bottom of the dryer. The heated air from the unit's burners passes from the burner plenum through the grain. An on-farm energy audit has identified inefficient manual control of the dryer where the operator controls the plenum temperature and the discharge auger speed to achieve the desired final moisture content. Moisture content is based on measurement of grain leaving the dryer. The plenum temperature setting depends on the moisture content of crop with a typical value of 220 F . The burner cycles on and off, automatically, as necessary to maintain the plenum temperature selected by the operator.

After Situation:
Energy use is reduced through installation of a more efficient continuous dryer that uses a microcomputer-based controller to reduce over drying and total time of operation. Associated practices/activities may include: 120-Agriculture Energy Design, and other activities within 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Capacity of the dryer
Scenario Unit: Bushel

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$147,234.68
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$294.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain Dryer, Electric Drive, Fixed component | 2873 | Grain dryer powered by an internal electric moter, axial or centrifugal fan. Fixed cost portion of the component. Materials only. | Each | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 102,248.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 1 | \$102,248.00 |
| Grain Dryer, Electric Drive, Variable component | 2874 | Grain dryer powered by an internal electric moter, axial or centrifugal fan. Variable cost portion of the component based on bushel capacity. Materials only. | Bushel | \$89.01 | 500 | \$44,505.00 |

Practice: 374 - Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation
Scenario: \#137-Grain Dryer, > 675-bushel capacity

## Scenario Description:

A replacement continuous dryer rated for an appropriately rated bushel capacity for the operation that includes a microcomputer-based control system that adjusts the amount of time the crop remains in the dryer in order to achieve a consistent and accurate moisture content in the dried product. Alternate types of replacement dryers which reduce energy use are acceptable as evidenced by the energy audit.

## Before Situation:

Wet crop is loaded in the top of a horizontal, continuous dryer. Dried crop is augured from the bottom of the dryer. The heated air from the unit's burners passes from the burner plenum through the grain. An on-farm energy audit has identified inefficient manual control of the dryer where the operator controls the plenum temperature and the discharge auger speed to achieve the desired final moisture content. Moisture content is based on measurement of grain leaving the dryer. The plenum temperature setting depends on the moisture content of crop with a typical value of 220 F . The burner cycles on and off, automatically, as necessary to maintain the plenum temperature selected by the operator.

After Situation:
Energy use is reduced through installation of a more efficient continuous dryer that uses a microcomputer-based controller to reduce over drying and total time of operation. Associated practices/activities may include: 120-Agriculture Energy Design, and other activities within 374-Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.

Feature Measure: Capacity of the dryer
Scenario Unit: Bushel

Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$236,405.24
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$157.60
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain Dryer, Electric Drive, Fixed component | 2873 | Grain dryer powered by an internal electric moter, axial or centrifugal fan. Fixed cost portion of the component. Materials only. | Each | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 102,248.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 1 | \$102,248.00 |
| Grain Dryer, Electric Drive, Variable component | 2874 | Grain dryer powered by an internal electric moter, axial or centrifugal fan. Variable cost portion of the component based on bushel capacity. Materials only. | Bushel | \$89.01 | 1500 | \$133,515.00 |



# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 376-Field Operations Emissions Reduction
Scenario: \#2 - Two Crops Per Year

## Scenario Description:

Utilize equipment that allows a reduction of tillage passes through the field and/or precision GPS guidance to avoid overlap of tillage passes across the field per crop rotation. Utilize this practice only when residue and STIR values cannot be achieved when using the associated Residue and Tillage Management Practices: 329-No Till or 345 -Reduced Tillage to achieve the air quality resource concern. The resource concern addressed is improved air quality by reducing combustion and particulate matter emissions primarily from tillage. The scenario cost is based on tillage equipment or GPS technology to achieve reduced tillage passes.

Before Situation:
Tillage operations are performed individually; each operation requiring a tractor or other power implement to pull the tillage implement resulting in multiple passes across the field. Each pass creates soil particulate emissions contributing to the area's reduced air quality.

After Situation:
A 376 Field Operations Emissions Reduction plan is developed showing a reduced number of field passes across the field (benchmark system compared to the planned system). As a result of applying this practice soil particulates in the air is reduced and the area's air quality is improved.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,706.40$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 42.66$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 80 | \$1,706.40 |

Practice: 378 -Pond

## Scenario: \#1 - Embankment, Tile Conduit

## Scenario Description:

A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

## Before Situation:

Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control, developing renewable energy systems, and other related uses, and to maintain or improve water quality. Failure of the embankment will not result in loss of life or damages of any kind.

After Situation:
The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 3100 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is 6 ' corrugated plastic tubing. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.

Feature Measure: Embankment Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 3,100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,346.12$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 56 | \$5,336.80 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 60 | \$2,416.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 71.6 | \$166.11 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 60.8 | \$128.29 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 378 - Pond
Scenario: \#2 - Embankment, 4in-6in Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

## Before Situation:

Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control, developing renewable energy systems, and other related uses, and to maintain or improve water quality. Failure of the embankment will not result in loss of life or damages of any kind.

## After Situation:

The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 5000 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.

Feature Measure: Embankment Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 5,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 22,592.26$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.52

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 8 | \$762.40 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 78 | \$13,557.96 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 78 | \$1,391.52 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 86 | \$3,463.22 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 358 | \$830.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 3 | \$2,180.40 |

Practice: 378 -Pond

## Scenario: \#3-Embankment, 8in-12in Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

## Before Situation:

Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control, developing renewable energy systems, and other related uses, and to maintain or improve water quality. Failure of the embankment will not result in loss of life or damages of any kind.

## After Situation:

The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 5000 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.

Feature Measure: Embankment Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 8,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 37,500.30$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.69

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 29 | \$173.71 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 12 | \$1,143.60 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 124 | \$21,553.68 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 124 | \$2,212.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 144 | \$5,798.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1213 | \$2,814.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 3 | \$2,180.40 |

Practice: 378 -Pond
Scenario: \#4-Embankment, >12in Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to maintain or improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, developing renewable energy systems and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

## Before Situation:

Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control, developing renewable energy systems, and other related uses, and to maintain or improve water quality. Failure of the embankment will not result in loss of life or damages of any kind.

## After Situation:

The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 11,000 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000 . The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.

Feature Measure: Embankment Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 11,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$56,363.03

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 29 | \$173.71 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 24 | \$2,287.20 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 170 | \$29,549.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 170 | \$3,032.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 208 | \$8,376.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 16 | \$606.72 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 8470.8 | \$8,131.97 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 3 | \$2,180.40 |

Practice: 378 - Pond

## Scenario: \#65-Excavated Pit

## Scenario Description:

A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural lands to improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, crop and orchard irrigation, and other related uses. Pond is created solely by excavation and impounds less than 3 feet against the embankment or spoil. Excavated material is spoiled, not placed in a designed embankment. Earthen spillway is constructed as needed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

Before Situation:
Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control or irrigation. Failure of the pond will not result in loss of life; damage to homes, commercial or industrial buildings, main highways, or railroads; or in interruption of the use or service of public utilities.

After Situation:
The typical pond is constructed by excavating 3100 cubic yards and spreading the spoil outside the pool area using a dozer or similar excavation equipment. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, $587,396$.

Feature Measure: Excavated Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 3,100.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$6,189.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 40 | \$3,812.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 41 | \$1,651.07 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 378 -Pond
Scenario: \#72-Embankment Pond without Pipe
Scenario Description:
A water impoundment structure on agricultural land to improve water quality or to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, crop and orchard irrigation, and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with an earthen auxiliary spillway. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

Before Situation:
Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control or irrigation. Failure of the embankment will not result in loss of life or damages of any kind.

After Situation:
The typical pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 3100 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. No principle spillway pipe will be used. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.

Feature Measure: Embankment Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 3,100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,149.60$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 40 | \$3,812.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 40 | \$1,610.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 378 -Pond
Scenario: \#73-Embankment Pond with Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A low-hazard water impoundment structure on agricultural land to improve water quality and to provide water for livestock, fish and wildlife, recreation, fire control, crop and orchard irrigation, and other related uses. An earthen embankment will be constructed with a principle spillway conduit and earthen auxiliary spillway, as designed. The resource concerns addressed include inadequate livestock water, excessive suspended sediment and turbidity in surface water, damage from sediment deposition, and reduced capacity of conveyances by sediment deposition.

Before Situation:
Area exists where water could naturally pool or run off to create a pond for livestock, wildlife, fire control or irrigation. Failure of the embankment will not result in loss of life or damages of any kind.

After Situation:
The typical low hazard pond is constructed by excavating the pool area, constructing the auxiliary spillway, preparing the foundation as designed, and using 3100 cubic yards to create an embankment. The product of the storage times the effective height of the dam is less than 3,000. The effective height of the dam is 35 feet or less. The principle spillway is installed using an approved conduit material. The earthen auxiliary spillway will be constructed as designed. Vegetation will be completed under critical area planting (342). Other associated practices include 382, 516, 521A, 533, 614, 587, 396.

Feature Measure: Embankment Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 3,100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 13,623.26$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.39

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 3 | \$1,607.10 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 1.6 | \$3.87 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 48 | \$4,574.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 48.5 | \$1,953.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 19.6 | \$743.23 |
| Pipe, CMP, 18-16 gauge, weight priced | 1322 | 18 and 16 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.03 | 1662 | \$3,373.86 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 118 | \$319.78 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 379-Forest Farming
Scenario: \#14 - Native Tree Planting

## Scenario Description:

Management of existing overstory tree canopy to enhance the structure, density and diversity within existing forests within an unmanaged or native forest stand. Native or non-native trees or shrubs are planted to enhance existing or degraded agroforest/forest farm conditions. Underplanting and spacing of trees or shrubs will be determined by the desired shade/light requirements and to meet landowner's desired future conditions for multi-story cropping and associated benefits. Tree and shrub planting sites and orientation and overstory canopy thinning and/or whole tree removals will be marked and supervised by a certified Agroforester, Forester or qualified professional. Treatment of tree canopy will be undertaken to reduce and open the existing canopy layers, requiring trained and skilled labor using chainsaws and other hand tools. Resource concerns include: Plant structure and composition; Plant productivity and health; Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates; and, Sheet and rill erosion.

## Before Situation:

Existing tree canopy structure, composition and plant condition is negatively affecting the resource setting. Canopy gaps allow significant sunlight onto the forest floor that affects shrub and other understory plant health. Canopy density shades out desired woody perennial, herbaceous, annual or cultural crop plants as well as native wildlife/pollinator plants. Landowner desires to manage resource setting to protect all resources and to enhance/diversify production. Plant and soil ground cover is lacking and increases susceptibility of sheet and rill erosion from canopy throughfall and stem flow.

## After Situation:

The typical resource setting is <1ac to 5ac, 2ac is average. Existing tree and plant canopies are managed in combination to enhance landowner conservation and production. Management supports increased wildlife/native pollinators forage and nesting habitat. Onsite orientation and management of large stem, branches/leaves provides adequate ground cover, organic matter and woody material to enhance and sustain soil and protect setting from excessive soil erosion or runoff.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost:

$$
\$ 4,408.80
$$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,204.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 6 | \$35.94 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 6 | \$13.86 |
| Pruning tool, pole saw | 1319 | Gasoline powered pole chainsaw. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.80 | 4 | \$39.20 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 6 | \$75.06 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 28 | \$801.92 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 1 | \$12.39 |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 10 | \$77.90 |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 10 | \$143.40 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 10 | \$54.10 |


| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 10 | \$157.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 10 | \$157.70 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 40 | \$2.80 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. | 1577 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.01 | 20 | \$20.20 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, warm climate, 18-6-12 | 1593 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 50 pound bag, 18-6-12 blend. | Pound | \$2.04 | 100 | \$204.00 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 2 | \$939.62 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 379-Forest Farming
Scenario: \#15-Tree and Shrub Planting
Scenario Description:
Native or non-native trees or shrubs are hand-planted to enhance existing or degraded agroforest/forest farm conditions or to establish agroforest on cropland settings where shrubs, trees, and/or dwarf trees will grow. Planting site orientation and spacing will be marked by a certified Agroforester, Forester or qualified professional.??Resource concerns include:??Plant structure and composition; Plant productivity and health;Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates; and,

Sheet and rill erosion.

## Before Situation:

Existing overstory tree canopy is degraded or absent which negatively affects existing or planned plantings on the site. Shrub species exist and landowner desires to establish a tree overstory to improve growing conditions and diversity. Wildlife species are negatively impacted due to lack of connectivity to forests. Production and quality of agroforest products are less than desired.??Excessive sunlight exposure affects shrub and other understory plant health.??Exotic/invasive plants are an issue. Ground cover is lacking and sheet and rill erosion occurs during heavy rainfall events.

## After Situation:

The typical Agroforest is $<1$ acres to 5 acres, 2 acres is average. Native or non-native trees were planted that will grow and provide shade for the existing managed shrubs and/or trees and to create habitat that will benefit terrestrial species.??Onsite management and orientation of stems, branches/leaves provides adequate ground cover, organic matter and woody material to enhance and sustain soil and protect setting from excessive soil erosion or runoff.

Feature Measure: Acres Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,416.51
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,208.26
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 6 | \$13.86 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 6 | \$75.06 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 10 | \$77.90 |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 10 | \$143.40 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 10 | \$54.10 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 10 | \$157.70 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 10 | \$157.70 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 40 | \$2.80 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. | 1577 | $1 \mathrm{in} . \times 1 \mathrm{in} . \times 36 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.01 | 20 | \$20.20 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, warm climate, 18-6-12 | 1593 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 50 pound bag, 18-6-12 blend. | Pound | \$2.04 | 100 | \$204.00 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#1-3 row windbreak, containerized planting stock

## Scenario Description:

Three or more rows of containerized trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized trees and shrubs is installed by hand planting trees 20 ft apart and shubs 6 ft apart with 16 ' between rows. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,029.99

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.06

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.3 | \$139.43 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.3 | \$112.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 84 | \$1,204.56 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 25 | \$394.25 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Medium | 1537 | Potted conifer seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.68 | 25 | \$367.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 134 | \$17.42 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 134 | \$97.82 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#2-3 row windbreak, containerized planting stock, temporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

Three or more rows of containerized trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized trees and shrubs is installed by hand planting trees 20 ft apart and shubs 6 ft apart with 16 ' between rows. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,584.55
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.3 | \$139.43 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.3 | \$112.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 84 | \$1,204.56 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 25 | \$394.25 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Medium | 1537 | Potted conifer seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.68 | 25 | \$367.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 134 | \$17.42 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 134 | \$97.82 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 16000 | \$1,440.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#3-3 row windbreak, bareroot seedling planting stock

## Scenario Description:

Three or more rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed tomachine the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
A windbreak of bare-root trees and shrubs is installed by machine planting trees 10 ft apart and shubs 5 ft apart with 16 between rows. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$764.84
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.53
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.3 | \$139.43 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.3 | \$112.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

Materials

| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 100 | \$85.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 50 | \$81.00 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Medium | 1514 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 8 or 10 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $1+1$ (two-year old seedlings that grew one year in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed), or bare root seedlings $2+0$ (two-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.86 | 50 | \$43.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 200 | \$26.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#4-3 row windbreak, bareroot seedling planting stock, temporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

Three or more rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed tomachine the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of bare-root trees and shrubs is installed by machine planting trees 10 ft apart and shubs 5 ft apart with 16 ' between rows. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,319.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.3 | \$139.43 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.3 | \$112.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 100 | \$85.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 50 | \$81.00 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Medium | 1514 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 8 or 10 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $1+1$ (two-year old seedlings that grew one year in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed), or bare root seedlings $2+0$ (two-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.86 | 50 | \$43.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in . length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 200 | \$26.00 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 16000 | \$1,440.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#5-1 row windbreak, container trees 2 gallons and larger
Scenario Description:
One row of containerized hardwood and/or conifer trees planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
A windbreak of containerized trees is installed by hand planting trees 15 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$840.09
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 3 | \$37.53 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 17 | \$268.09 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Medium | 1537 | Potted conifer seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.68 | 17 | \$249.56 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 34 | \$4.42 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 34 | \$24.82 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#6-1 row windbreak, container trees, 2 gallon and larger with temporary irrigation
Scenario Description:
One row of containerized hardwood and/or conifer trees planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized trees is installed by hand planting trees 15 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,151.01

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.30

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 3 | \$37.53 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 17 | \$268.09 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Medium | 1537 | Potted conifer seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.68 | 17 | \$249.56 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 34 | \$4.42 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 34 | \$24.82 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 2500 | \$225.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#7-1 row windbreak, container shrubs 2 gallon and larger

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized shrubs planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
A windbreak of containerized shrubs is installed by hand planting shrubs 6 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,895.49$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.79

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 7 | \$87.57 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 84 | \$1,204.56 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 84 | \$10.92 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 84 | \$61.32 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#8-1 row windbreak, container shrubs, 2 gallons and larger with temporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized shrubs planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized shrubs is installed by hand planting shrubs 6 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,320.97

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.64

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 7 | \$87.57 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 14 | \$400.96 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 84 | \$1,204.56 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 84 | \$10.92 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 84 | \$61.32 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 2500 | \$225.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#9-1 row windbreak, bareroot trees

## Scenario Description:

One row of bare-root trees planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed tomachine the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

A windbreak of bare-root trees is installed by machine planting trees 10 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$257.76
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 0.5 | \$12.06 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 0.5 | \$17.27 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 0.5 | \$2.84 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 0.5 | \$22.99 |

Materials

| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, <br> Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical <br> containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and <br> shipping only. | Each | $\$ 1.62$ | 50 | $\$ 81.00$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for <br> marking tree rows | Each | $\$ 0.13$ | 50 | $\$ 6.50$ |  |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#10-1 row windbreak, bareroot trees with temporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

One row of bare-root trees planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed tomachine the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

After Situation:
A windbreak of bare-root trees is installed by machine planting trees 10 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$331.40

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.66

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 0.5 | \$12.06 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 0.5 | \$17.27 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 0.5 | \$2.84 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1.5 | \$42.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 0.5 | \$22.99 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 50 | \$81.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 50 | \$6.50 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 500 | \$45.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#11-1 row windbreak, bareroot shrubs

## Scenario Description:

One row of bare-root shrubs planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed tomachine the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

A windbreak of bare-root shrubs is installed by machine planting shubs 5 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$337.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 1 | \$34.54 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 1 | \$5.67 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

## Materials

| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 100 | \$85.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 100 | \$13.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#12-1 row windbreak, bareroot shrubs with temporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

One row of bare-root shrubs planted for wind protection, odor management, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed tomachine the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

After Situation:
A windbreak of bare-root shrubs is installed by machine planting shubs 5 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$411.36

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.82

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 1 | \$34.54 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 1 | \$5.67 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 100 | \$85.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 100 | \$13.00 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 500 | \$45.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#30-1 row windbreak, container trees, less than 2 gallons

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized hardwood and/or conifer trees planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
A windbreak of containerized trees is installed by hand planting trees 15 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$484.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 2 | \$25.02 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 17 | \$91.97 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 17 | \$89.59 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 34 | \$4.42 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 25 | \$18.25 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#31-1 row windbreak, container shrubs, less than 2 gallon

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized shrubs planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
A windbreak of containerized shrubs is installed by hand planting shrubs 6 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,345.29

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 7 | \$87.57 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 84 | \$654.36 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 84 | \$10.92 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 84 | \$61.32 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#33-1 row windbreak, container shrubs, less than 2 gallon with temporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized shrubs planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized shrubs is installed by hand planting shrubs 6 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,635.77

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.27

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 7 | \$87.57 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 14 | \$400.96 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 84 | \$654.36 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in . length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 84 | \$10.92 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 84 | \$61.32 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 1000 | \$90.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#34-1 row windbreak, container trees, less than 2 gallon with termporary irrigation

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized hardwood and/or conifer trees planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges, around homesteads or around confinement facilities. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock, a temporary irrigation system to aid in establishment, and foregone income for land removed from crop production where windbreak is installed. Tree planting in an area where supplemental water is needed for successful establishment. Generally these areas would be considered arid or drought stricken, but other factors may contribute to requiring supplemental water. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition. The area generally includes arid or droughty conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized trees is installed by hand planting trees 15 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$679.92

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.36

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 3 | \$37.53 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 17 | \$91.97 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 17 | \$89.59 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 34 | \$4.42 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 34 | \$24.82 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 1000 | \$90.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#64-Renovation - Sod Release

## Scenario Description:

Renovation to reduce competition from grass sod around trees/shrubs within a windbreak/shelterbelt. Apply appropriate herbicide to stress or kill competing sod vegetation between and/or within tree/shrub rows. The herbicide application is completed to significantly reduce competition from sod (grass) in the windbreak. Use WINPST or equivalent approved tool to evaluate herbicide impacts. Windbreak width of 60' and length of 726' are used in calculations, resulting in an area of 1 acre.

Before Situation:
The health of an existing windbreak/shelterbelt is deteriorating due to competition with grass sod. Trees/shrubs are dying or growth rate is reduced, and the windbreak/shelterbelt is not functioning as intended.

After Situation:
The integrity of 726 linear feet (one acre) of windbreak/ shelterbelt has been restored and it is functioning properly to reduce wind impacts to plants, animals, humans, and structures.

Feature Measure: Length of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 726.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$431.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Sethoxydim | 339 | A selective post emergence herbicide used to control annual and perennial grass weeds. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$17.06 | 1 | \$17.06 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#65-Renovation-Thinning or tree removal with Dozer (trees >8 inches DBH) followed by hand planting

## Scenario Description:

Windbreak/shelterbelt renovation to remove and replace deteriorated, damaged, diseased, or unsuitable trees or shrubs. The treatment may include removal of entire rows, or removal of selected trees/shrubs in order to prepare for the necessary planting of replacement trees and shrubs within the footprint of an existing windbreak, to improve the health and function of the windbreak. The treatment uses mechanized equipment to remove trees and/or shrubs with average DBH $>8$ inches. Trees and shrubs are cleared with a Dozer. All woody debris from cutting and pruning is either scattered and crushed, piled and crushed, chipped, or removed from the treatment area. Hand planting is used to replace the trees/shrubs that were removed, improving the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Various types and combinations of plant materials may be used, including bare root and/or containerized trees/shrubs, and conifer and/or deciduous species or mixtures. Windbreak width of 60 ' and length of 726 ' are used in calculations; this is equivalent to an area of 1 acre. For planting that expands the footprint of an existing windbreak, use scenarios for Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment.Resource concerns include: Plant pest pressure,Plant productivity and health,Inadequate livestock shelter,Wind erosion.

## Before Situation:

The health of trees and/or shrubs in a windbreak/shelterbelt has degraded as plants age, or plants may have been damaged by weather events or pests, decreasing the effectiveness of the original windbreak design. Plants lack leaf cover, have dead branches, gaps with no live green material, or may be completely dead. Wind moves freely through areas that lack foliage.

## After Situation:

The integrity of 726 linear feet (one acre) of windbreak/ shelterbelt has been restored and is functioning properly to reduce wind impacts to plants, animals, humans, and structures.

Feature Measure: Length of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 726.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,469.48$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 8 | \$762.40 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 18 | \$225.18 |


| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 26 | \$744.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Large | 1508 | Bare root shrub seedling, 36 to 60 inches tall; includes containerized seedlings larger than 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.01 | 36 | \$144.36 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 36 | \$58.32 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Large | 1515 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 15 or 20 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $2+1$ (three-year old seedlings that grew two years in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed) or bare root seedlings 3+0 and older (three-year or older seedlings grown in their original seedbed, or transplanted seedlings). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.73 | 36 | \$62.28 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 36 | \$194.76 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 36 | \$189.72 |


| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 24 in. | 1555 | 24 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$0.53 | 90 | \$47.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 3$1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. x 30 in. | 1560 | 3-1/4 inch $\times 30$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.25 | 90 | \$292.50 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. | 1581 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. x 3/4 in. x 36 in . wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.17 | 90 | \$105.30 |
| Stake, bamboo, 3/8 in. x 36 in. | 1584 | $3 / 8$ in. x 36 in. bamboo stakes to anchor items in place. Inlcudes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.24 | 90 | \$21.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#66-Renovation - Thinning or tree/shrub removal with Skidsteer followed by hand planting

## Scenario Description:

Windbreak/shelterbelt renovation to remove and replace deteriorated, damaged, diseased, or unsuitable trees or shrubs. The treatment may include removal of entire rows, or removal of selected trees/shrubs in order to prepare for the necessary planting of replacement trees and shrubs within the footprint of an existing windbreak, to improve the health and function of the windbreak. The treatment uses mechanized equipment to remove trees and/or shrubs with average DBH < 8 inches. Trees and shrubs are cleared by a Skidsteer with a tree sheer or saw. All slash material from cutting and pruning is either scattered and crushed, piled and crushed, chipped, or removed from the treatment area. Hand planting is used to replace the trees/shrubs that were removed, to improve the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Various types and combinations of plant materials may be used, including bare root and/or containerized trees/shrubs, and conifer and/or deciduous species or mixtures. Windbreak width of 60 ' and length of 726 ' are used in calculations; this is equivalent to an area of 1 acre. For planting that expands the footprint of an existing windbreak, use scenarios for Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment.Resource concerns include: Plant pest pressure,Plant productivity and health,Inadequate livestock shelter, Wind erosion.

Before Situation:
The health of trees and/or shrubs in a windbreak/shelterbelt has degraded as plants age, or plants may have been damaged by weather events or pests, decreasing the effectiveness of the original windbreak design. Plants lack leaf cover, have dead branches, gaps with no live green material, or may be completely dead. Wind moves freely through areas that lack foliage.

After Situation:
The integrity of 726 linear feet (one acre) of windbreak/shelterbelt has been restored and is functioning properly to reduce wind impacts to plants, animals, humans, and structures.

Feature Measure: Length of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 726.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,073.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.61
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 18 | \$225.18 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 26 | \$744.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |


| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shrub, Seedling, Large | 1508 | Bare root shrub seedling, 36 to 60 inches tall; includes containerized seedlings larger than 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.01 | 36 | \$144.36 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 36 | \$58.32 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Large | 1515 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 15 or 20 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $2+1$ (three-year old seedlings that grew two years in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed) or bare root seedlings 3+0 and older (three-year or older seedlings grown in their original seedbed, or transplanted seedlings). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.73 | 36 | \$62.28 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 36 | \$194.76 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 36 | \$189.72 |


| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 24 in. | 1555 | 24 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$0.53 | 90 | \$47.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 3$1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. x 30 in. | 1560 | 3-1/4 inch $\times 30$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.25 | 90 | \$292.50 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. | 1581 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. x 3/4 in. x 36 in . wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.17 | 90 | \$105.30 |
| Stake, bamboo, 3/8 in. x 36 in. | 1584 | $3 / 8$ in. x 36 in. bamboo stakes to anchor items in place. Inlcudes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.24 | 90 | \$21.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation

## Scenario: \#67-Coppicing

Scenario Description:
Coppicing of selected trees and understory vegetation in a windbreak/shelterbelt is needed to ensure that species composition and stand structure continue to serve their intended purpose. Windbreak/shelterbelt renovation is carried out through manipulating species composition, structure, and stocking by the cutting of selected trees and understory vegetation for coppicing and by removing or disposing of slash so as to not interfere with the windbreak/shelterbelt renovation or other management operations. Windbreak width of 60' and length of $726^{\prime}$ are used in calculations; this is equivalent to an area of 1 acre. For planting that expands the footprint of an existing windbreak, use scenarios for Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment.Resource concerns include: Plant pest pressure,Plant productivity and health, Plant composition and structure, Inadequate livestock shelter, Wind erosion.

## Before Situation:

The health of trees and/or shrubs in a windbreak/shelterbelt has degraded as plants age, or plants may have been damaged by weather events or pests, decreasing the effectiveness of the original windbreak design. Plants lack leaf cover, have dead branches, gaps with no live green material, or may be completely dead. Wind moves freely through areas that lack foliage.

## After Situation:

The integrity of 726 linear feet (one acre) of windbreak/shelterbelt has been restored and is functioning properly to reduce wind impacts to plants, animals, humans, and structures.

Feature Measure: Area of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 726.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,337.90$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.22

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 8 | \$888.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $1726.80 \quad 10$

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#119-1 row windbreak - small acreage

## Scenario Description:

One row of containerized shrubs planted to address resource concerns; Inefficient Energy Use, Air Quality Impacts and/or Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This practice is typically applied on cropland at field edges and around homesteads.

Before Situation:
Agricultural field or farmstead needing protections from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening. The area generally includes arid or drought conditions that greatly reduce the success of tree survival.

## After Situation:

A windbreak of containerized shrubs is installed by hand planting shrubs 6 ft apart. Wind velocity suitably diminished to reduce soil erosion or energy loss. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening. Greatly improved success rate of the windbreak due to the supplemental water during establishment.

Feature Measure: Length of windbreak row

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$433.31

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.33

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 2 | \$25.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Medium | 1527 | Potted shrub seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.34 | 17 | \$243.78 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 17 | \$2.21 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 17 | \$12.41 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 200 | \$18.00 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#139-1 row windbreak, shrubs, hand planted

## Scenario Description:

Single 500 foot row of shrubs for wind protection, wildlife habitat, or snow management. Shrubs planted by hand 4 feet apart. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed may include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, or management of snow deposition
After Situation:
Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$331.31

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.66
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 2 | \$25.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 125 | \$106.25 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 20 | \$2.60 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#147-1 row windbreak, trees, hand planted

## Scenario Description:

Single 500 foot row of conifer tree seedlings for wind protection, wildlife habitat, or snow management. Trees planted by hand 10 feet apart. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed may include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, or management of snow deposition
After Situation:
Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover.
Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$156.83
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 1 | \$12.51 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Medium | 1514 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 8 or 10 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $1+1$ (two-year old seedlings that grew one year in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed), or bare root seedlings $2+0$ (two-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.86 | 50 | \$43.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 20 | \$2.60 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#148-2-row windbreak, shrubs, machine planted

## Scenario Description:

Two 500 foot rows of shrubs for wind protection, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. Shrubs planted with a tree planting machine 4 feet apart in the row with rows 16 feet apart. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, pesticides transported to surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Livestock Production Limitation (inadequate shelter); Air Quality Impacts (emission of particulate matter, objectionable odors); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screen or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$388.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.78

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 1 | \$34.54 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 1 | \$5.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1 | \$31.94 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 250 | \$212.50 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 40 | \$5.20 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#149-2-row windbreak, trees, machine planted

## Scenario Description:

Two 500 foot rows of hardwood trees for wind protection, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. Trees planted with a tree planting machine 10 feet apart in the row with rows 16 feet apart. Herbivores (deer, rabbits, etc.) are NOT expected to browse tree seedlings, tree protection is not needed. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, pesticides transported to surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Livestock Production Limitation (inadequate shelter); Air Quality Impacts (emission of particulate matter, objectionable odors); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screen or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$422.94

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.85

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small

Wire flags

1509 Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only.

| 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in . length, for | Each | $\$ 0.13$ | 40 | $\$ 5.20$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | marking tree rows

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#150-2-row windbreak, trees, shelters, machine planted

## Scenario Description:

Two 500 foot rows of hardwood tree seedlings for wind protection, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. Trees planted with a tree planting machine 10 feet apart in the row with rows 16 feet apart. Environmental impacts are likely, so each tree must be protected with a rigid tube tree shelter. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, pesticides transported to surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Livestock Production Limitation (inadequate shelter); Air Quality Impacts (emission of particulate matter, objectionable odors); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screen or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,326.94

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.65

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 100 | \$76.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. x 48 in . | 1571 | 5 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 150 | \$10.50 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 60 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 150 | \$364.50 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in . length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 40 | \$5.20 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#151-3 or more row windbreak, shrub, machine planted

## Scenario Description:

Three or more 500 foot rows of shrubs for wind protection, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management. Shrubs planted with a tree planting machine, 4 feet apart in the row with rows 16 feet apart. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, pesticides transported to surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Livestock Production Limitation (inadequate shelter); Air Quality Impacts (emission of particulate matter, objectionable odors); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

Before Situation:
Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

After Situation:
Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$839.16
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.68

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 3 | \$103.62 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 3 | \$17.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 375 | \$318.75 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 60 | \$7.80 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#152-3 or more row windbreak, trees, machine planted

## Scenario Description:

Three or more 500 foot rows of trees for wind protection, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. The outside rows are conifers the inside row(s) are hardwoods. Trees 10 feet apart with rows 16 feet apart, planted with a tree planting machine. Planted seedlings are not likely to be impacted by environmental stressors, so tree shelters are not needed. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, pesticides transported to surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Livestock Production Limitation (inadequate shelter); Air Quality Impacts (emission of particulate matter, objectionable odors); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screening or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$407.06

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.81

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

Materials

Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small
Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical Each and shipping only.
Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Medium conifer seedlings $1+1$ (two-year old seedlings that grew one year in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed), or bare root seedlings $2+0$ (two-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only.
Wire flags 1586 Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows

| Each | $\$ 0.76$ | 50 | $\$ 38.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Each | $\$ 0.86$ | 100 | $\$ 86.00$ |
| Each | $\$ 0.13$ | 40 | $\$ 5.20$ |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#153-3 or more row windbreak, trees, shelters, machine planted

## Scenario Description:

Three or more 500 foot rows of hardwood trees for wind protection, energy conservation, wildlife habitat, air quality, snow management or to provide a visual screen. Trees planted with a tree planting machine 10 feet apart in the row with rows 16 feet apart. Environmental impacts are likely, so each tree must be protected with a rigid tube tree shelter. This practice is typically applied to crop, pasture or range lands. Resource Concerns to be addressed include: Soil Erosion (wind); Excess/Insufficient Water (drifted snow, inefficient moisture management); Water Quality Degradation (excess nutrients in surface waters, pesticides transported to surface waters, excessive sediment in surface waters,); Degraded Plant Condition (undesirable plant productivity and health); Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife (food, cover/shelter, continuity); Livestock Production Limitation (inadequate shelter); Air Quality Impacts (emission of particulate matter, objectionable odors); Inefficient Energy Use (facilities, farming/ranching practices and field operations).

## Before Situation:

Agricultural field, livestock paddock, feedlot or farmstead needing protection from wind, additional wildlife food and cover, odor mitigation, visual screen or management of snow deposition

## After Situation:

Wind velocity suitably reduced to reduce soil erosion, energy loss or to manage snow deposition. Additional wildlife food and cover, mixing of odor plumes and visual screening.

Feature Measure: length of windbreak row(s)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,632.04

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.26

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 150 | \$114.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $x 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 150 | \$793.50 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 150 | \$10.50 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 150 | \$364.50 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 60 | \$7.80 |

Practice: 380-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation
Scenario: \#154-Renovation - Tree/shrub removal with chainsaw followed by hand planting

## Scenario Description:

Windbreak/shelterbelt renovation to remove and replace deteriorated, damaged, diseased, or unsuitable trees or shrubs. The treatment may include removal of entire rows, or removal of selected trees/shrubs in order to prepare for the necessary planting of replacement trees and shrubs within the footprint of an existing windbreak, to improve the health and function of the windbreak. Supplemental plantings of bare root and/or containerized trees/shrubs, of conifer and/or deciduous species, or mixtures, are applied to improve the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Windbreak width of 60' and length of 726' are used in calculations; this is equivalent to an area of 1 acre. For planting that expands the footprint of an existing windbreak, use scenarios for Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment. Resource concerns include:

Plant pest pressure,Plant productivity and health,Inadequate livestock shelter,Wind erosion.
Before Situation:
The health of trees and/or shrubs in a windbreak/shelterbelt has degraded as plants age, or plants may have been damaged by weather events or pests, decreasing the effectiveness of the original windbreak design. Plants lack leaf cover, have dead branches, gaps with no live green material, or may be completely dead. Wind moves freely through areas that lack foliage.

After Situation:
The integrity of 726 linear feet (one acre) of windbreak/shelterbelt has been restored and is functioning properly to reduce wind impacts to plants, animals, humans, and structures.

Feature Measure: Length of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 726.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,176.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 10 | \$59.90 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 18 | \$225.18 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 28 | \$801.92 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Large | 1508 | Bare root shrub seedling, 36 to 60 inches tall; includes containerized seedlings larger than 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.01 | 36 | \$144.36 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 36 | \$58.32 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Large | 1515 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 15 or 20 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $2+1$ (three-year old seedlings that grew two years in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed) or bare root seedlings $3+0$ and older (three-year or older seedlings grown in their original seedbed, or transplanted seedlings). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.73 | 36 | \$62.28 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 36 | \$194.76 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 36 | \$189.72 |


| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 24 in. | 1555 | 24 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$0.53 | 90 | \$47.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 3$1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. x 30 in. | 1560 | 3-1/4 inch $\times 30$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.25 | 90 | \$292.50 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. | 1581 | $3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 36 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.17 | 90 | \$105.30 |
| Stake, bamboo, $3 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. $\times 36 \mathrm{in}$. | 1584 | 3/8 in. x 36 in. bamboo stakes to anchor items in place. Inlcudes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.24 | 90 | \$21.60 |

Practice: 381-Silvopasture
Scenario: \#12-Bareroot Conifer Establishment
Scenario Description:
Establishment of trees into an existing pasture that contains adequate native or introduced forage.

## Before Situation:

10 -acre pasture with suitable forage for livestock. There is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced. Resource Concerns include Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health, Inadequate Structure and Composition, Livestock Production Limitation - Inadequate Livestock Shelter.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then 200 pine trees per acre will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are adressed.

Feature Measure: Acres of silvopasture established
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost:
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$211.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 10 | \$72.30 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Small | 1512 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 4 or 6 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings 1+0 (one-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.81 | 2000 | \$1,620.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 2000 | \$260.00 |

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#13-Bareroot Trees and Shrubs, with Tree Shelters

## Scenario Description:

Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then trees will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30' x 30' spacing over 10 acres. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures until the trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

Feature Measure: each tree/shrub established
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,038.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.08

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 17 | \$212.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 59 | \$1,689.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 500 | \$810.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 3$1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. $\times 30 \mathrm{in}$. | 1560 | 3-1/4 inch $\times 30$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.25 | 500 | \$1,625.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 1000 | \$70.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. | 1581 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.17 | 500 | \$585.00 |

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#14-Bareroot Trees and Shrubs

## Scenario Description:

Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then trees will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30' x 30' spacing over 10 acres. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures until the trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

Feature Measure: Per Tree/Shrub planted
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,555.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.11

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 17 | \$212.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 500 | \$810.00 |

Practice: 381-Silvopasture
Scenario: \#15-Container Trees and Shrubs, 2 gallon and larger with Tree Shelters

## Scenario Description:

Container trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes container trees/shrubs, tree shelters, and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then trees will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30' x 30' spacing over 10 acres. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures until the trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

## Feature Measure: each tree/shrub

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 15,205.88$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$30.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 42 | \$525.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 84 | \$2,405.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 500 | \$7,885.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $x 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch x 48 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 500 | \$2,645.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 1000 | \$70.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 500 | \$1,215.00 |

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#16-Container Trees and Shrubs, 2 gallon and larger
Scenario Description:
Container trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes container trees/shrubs and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then trees will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30' x 30' spacing over 10 acres. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures until the trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

Feature Measure: each treee/shrub
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,073.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.15
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 42 | \$525.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 42 | \$1,202.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |

## Materials

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#26-Container Trees and Shrubs, less than 2 gallon with tree shelters

## Scenario Description:

Container trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes container trees/shrubs, tree shelters, and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associatedpractice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then trees will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30' x 30' spacing over 10 acres. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures until the trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

## Feature Measure: each tree/shrub

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,025.88$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.05

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 42 | \$525.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 84 | \$2,405.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 500 | \$2,705.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $x 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 500 | \$2,645.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 1000 | \$70.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 60 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 500 | \$1,215.00 |

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#27-Container Trees and Shrubs, less than 2 gallon
Scenario Description:
Container trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes container trees/shrubs and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, etc.) available to the livestock. Additionally, there are no long-term wood products being produced.

## After Situation:

The site will be prepared using Tree/Shrub Site Preparation (490), if needed, and then trees will be planted, providing shade and wind protection to livestock and wildlife, and, in time, producing a viable wood products crop. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30' x 30' spacing over 10 acres. Per the conservation practice standard, livestock grazing will be deferred until the trees reach adequate height to resist damage, or use exclusion measures until the trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

## Feature Measure: each tree/shrub

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,893.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$9.79

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 42 | \$525.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 42 | \$1,202.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |

## Materials

Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small
1529 Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and
Each
\$5.41
500
\$2,705.00

Practice: 381-Silvopasture
Scenario: \#29-Bareroot Trees and Shrubs with Tree Protection

## Scenario Description:

Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings and equipment and labor to plant plus the installation of wire cage tree shelters around each tree for protection from grazing animals. This is a standard forestry technique to improve tree/shrub survival during the establishment phase. Shelters will be monitored by the client, repaired as needed, and removed when trees are sufficiently established. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.Resource concerns addressed are Degraded Plant Condition Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate structure and composition;Soil Erosion ??? Wind erosion, Sheet and rill erosion, Ephemeral gully erosion, and Classic gully erosion; Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter, and Food; and, Water Quality - Excessive sediment in surface waters.
Before Situation:
A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, snow, etc.) available to the livestock. The site does not optimize opportunities for conservation benefits including soil protection, wildlife habitat, and carbon capture/storage provided by trees.

## After Situation:

Trees have been planted on the site, providing shade, wind, and snow protection to livestock and wildlife, and benefitting soil, water, and carbon sequestration. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a 30 x 30 ' spacing over 10 acres and each tree is protected with a wire cage tree shelter covering a 4 ft diameter area around the tree, to be removed when trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

Feature Measure: Per Tree/Shrub Planted
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$21,792.64

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$43.59

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 17 | \$212.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 100 | \$2,864.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 48 inch | 4 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 48 in. - 330 ' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$321.50 | 20 | \$6,430.00 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft. -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 1500 | \$10,455.00 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 6500 | \$975.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 500 | \$810.00 |

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#30-Container Trees and Shrubs, less than 2 gallon with Tree Protection

## Scenario Description:

Container trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Payment includes container trees/shrubs and equipment and labor to plant plus the installation of wire cage tree shelters around each tree for protection from grazing animals. This is a standard forestry technique to improve tree/shrub survival during the establishment phase. Shelters will be monitored by the client, repaired as needed, and removed when trees are sufficiently established. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.Resource concerns addressed are Degraded Plant Condition Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate structure and composition;Soil Erosion ??? Wind erosion, Sheet and rill erosion, Ephemeral gully erosion, and Classic gully erosion; Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter, and Food; and, Water Quality - Excessive sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however, there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, snow etc.) available to the livestock. The site does not optimize opportunities for conservation benefits including soil protection, wildlife habitat, and carbon capture/storage provided by trees.

## After Situation:

Trees have been planted on the site, providing shade, wind, and snow protection to livestock and wildlife, and benefitting soil, water, and carbon sequestration. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ spacing over 10 acres and each tree is protected with a wire cage tree shelter covering a 4 ft diameter area around the tree, to be removed when trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed..

Feature Measure: Per Tree/Shrub Planted

## Scenario Unit: Each

## Scenario Typical Size: 500.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$25,130.12
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$50.26

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 42 | \$525.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 125 | \$3,580.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 48 inch | 4 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 48 in. - 330 ' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$321.50 | 20 | \$6,430.00 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 1500 | \$10,455.00 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 6500 | \$975.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 500 | \$2,705.00 |

Practice: 381 - Silvopasture
Scenario: \#31-Container Trees and Shrubs, 2 gallon and larger with Tree Protection

## Scenario Description:

Container trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or interplanted into an existing pasture to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown as part of an agroforestry application establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage. Payment includes container seedlings and equipment and labor to plant plus the installation of wire cage tree shelters around each tree for protection from grazing animals. This is a standard forestry technique to improve tree/shrub survival during the establishment phase. Shelters will be monitored by the client, repaired as needed, and removed when trees are sufficiently established. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.Resource concerns addressed are Degraded Plant Condition -
Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate structure and composition;Soil Erosion ??? Wind erosion, Sheet and rill erosion, Ephemeral gully erosion, and Classic gully erosion; Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter, and Food; and, Water Quality - Excessive sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation:

A pasture with suitable forage for livestock; however, there is very little protection from the elements (sun, wind, snow etc.) available to the livestock. The site does not optimize opportunities for conservation benefits including soil protection, wildlife habitat, and carbon capture/storage provided by trees.

## After Situation:

Trees have been planted on the site, providing shade, wind, and snow protection to livestock and wildlife, and benefitting soil, water, and carbon sequestration. Scenario assumes trees are planted in a $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ spacing over 10 acres and each tree is protected with a wire cage tree shelter covering a 4 ft diameter area around the tree, to be removed when trees are established. All Resource Concerns listed above are addressed.

Feature Measure: Per Tree/Shrub Planted

## Scenario Unit: Each

## Scenario Typical Size: 500.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 30,310.12$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$60.62

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 42 | \$525.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 125 | \$3,580.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 48 inch | 4 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 48 in. - 330 ' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$321.50 | 20 | \$6,430.00 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 1500 | \$10,455.00 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 6500 | \$975.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 500 | \$7,885.00 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#1 - Permanent Wildlife Exclusion

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a taller than normal permanent fence to prevent conflicts between humans, livestock, and wildlife species. Such a fence would exclude wildlife from areas used by livestock

Before Situation:
Wildlife negatively impacting sensitive areas such as riparian areas, windbreaks and shelterbelts or feed storage. Disease transmission from wildlife posses a significant health risk to domestic animals.

After Situation:
Installation of fence reduces resource concerns associated with livestock and wildlife interaction and prevents conflicts involving threatened, endangered or sensitive species. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, etc. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,132.99
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.95
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 21 | \$197.40 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 30 | \$723.30 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 40 | \$1,381.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 70 | \$2,004.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 30 | \$958.20 |

## Materials

| Wire, Woven, Wildlife, 96 in. | 6 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 96 inch - 330 foot roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$684.30 | 4 | \$2,737.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 12-14 ft. | 13 | Wood Post, Line/End 6 inch dia. X 12-14 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$37.41 | 76 | \$2,843.16 |
| Post, Steel T, 1.33 lbs, 10 ft . | 17 | Steel Post, Studded 10 ft . - 1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.40 | 66 | \$818.40 |
| Gate, Game, 8 ft . High X 16 ft . Wide | 1086 | 16 ft . Wide Game Gate (8 ft. tall). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$697.21 | 1 | \$697.21 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#2 - Permanent Barbed Wire Multi Strand

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the establishment of permanent multi strand barbed wire fence for livestock.

## Before Situation:

On grazing lands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, cattle access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases the opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence installation conditions are for difficult sites such as poor access, steep slopes, rocky sites, dense brush, wet conditions etc.

## After Situation:

Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, brace posts, etc... Fence will be installed with wildlife friendly considerations.

Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,069.13

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 12 | \$112.80 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 12 | \$289.32 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 12 | \$414.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 5 | \$603.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 68 | \$741.88 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 5 in. x 8 ft . | 11 | Wood Post, End 5 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$19.21 | 4 | \$76.84 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 16 ft . | 1059 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$301.35 | 1 | \$301.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#3 - Permanent High Tensile Electric 2-3 Strand

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the installation of a permanent high tensile electric fence of either 2 or 3 strands. Fence will allow for implementation of a grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Constructed using fencing materials rather than a pre-manufactured gate.

Before Situation:
On grazing lands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, livestock access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds.

## After Situation:

Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a rotational grazing plan that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. This scenario consists of installing a permanent high tensile ekectric fence with 2-3 wires with wooden post of 50 ' centers, battens between the post, single H brace assembles, energizer, and all apputenances. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$2,845.25

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 6 | \$56.40 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 6 | \$207.24 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |

## Materials

Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll
Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x
7 ft
Post, Wood, CCA treated, 5 in. x 8
ft .
Electric, Energizer, 6 joule

Fence, Wire Assembly, High
Tensile, Electric, 2 Strand
Gate, Pipe, 16 ft .

## Mobilization

1138 Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds.

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#4 - Permanent High Tensile Electric Single Strand
Scenario Description:
Scenario is for the installation of a permanent high tensile electric single strand fence. Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds.

Before Situation:
On grazing lands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, cattle access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases the opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds.

After Situation:
Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, fence charger, etc. Fence will be installed with wildlife friendly considerations. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$1,980.69

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 3 | \$28.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 3 | \$103.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 | other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Materials

| Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll | 2 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 4,000' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$152.20 | 1 | \$152.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 24 | \$261.84 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 5 in. x 8 ft . | 11 | Wood Post, End 5 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$19.21 | 4 | \$76.84 |
| Electric, Ground Rods | 20 | Electric, Ground Rod for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$17.09 | 4 | \$68.36 |
| Electric, Ground Rod Clamps | 21 | Electric, Ground Rod Clamps for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.44 | 4 | \$9.76 |
| Electric, Lightening Diverter | 22 | Electric, Lightening diverter for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$9.87 | 1 | \$9.87 |
| Electric, Cutoff Switch | 25 | Electric, Cutoff Switch for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.74 | 1 | \$10.74 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 1 Strand | 32 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.02 | 1320 | \$26.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#5 - Permanent High Tensile, Minimum 4 Strand, Double H bracing
Scenario Description:
Establishment of permanent electric or non-electric high tensile fence for livestock. Fence is designed using minimum of 4 strands and double H bracing.
Before Situation:
This practice will be installed on grazing land. The resource concerns to be addressed by this practice are poor grazing distribution, inadequate water supply, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

After Situation:
This scenario consists of installing a permanent high tensile fence with a minimum of 4 wires with wooden posts, double H brace assembles as called for by site conditions, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control.

Feature Measure: Length of fence
Scenario Unit: Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,380.67$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 3.32$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 15 | \$141.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 15 | \$518.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 25 | \$716.00 |

## Materials

| Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll | 2 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 4,000' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$152.20 | 2 | \$304.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 87 | \$949.17 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 5 in. x 8 ft . | 11 | Wood Post, End 5 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$19.21 | 6 | \$115.26 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 2 Strand | 33 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.08 | 1320 | \$105.60 |
| Gate, Pipe, 16 ft . | 1059 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$301.35 | 1 | \$301.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#6 - Permanent High Tensile, Minimum 4 Strand, Single H brace
Scenario Description:
Establishment of permanent electric or non-electric high tensile fence for livestock. Fence is designed using minimum of 4 strands and single H bracing. Also used for small ruminant High Tensile electrified woven wire fence product.

Before Situation:
This practice will be installed on grazing land. The resource concerns to be addressed by this practice are poor grazing distribution, inadequate water supply, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

## After Situation:

Typical size for this scenario is 1320 feet. This scenario consists of installing a permanent high tensile fence with a minimum of 4 wires with wooden posts, single H brace assembles, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area.

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,521.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 8 | \$75.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 8 | \$276.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |

## Materials

| Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll | 2 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 4,000 ' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$152.20 | 2 | \$304.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 68 | \$741.88 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 5 in. x 8 ft . | 11 | Wood Post, End 5 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$19.21 | 4 | \$76.84 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 2 Strand | 33 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.08 | 1320 | \$105.60 |
| Gate, Pipe, 16 ft . | 1059 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$301.35 | 1 | \$301.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#7-Permanent Woven Wire
Scenario Description:
Establishment of woven wire fence for livestock.
Before Situation:
This practice will be installed on grazing land. The resource concerns to be addressed by this practice are poor grazing distribution, inadequate water supply, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

After Situation:
Typical size for this scenario is 1320 feet. This scenario consists of installing a permanent woven wire fence with wooden posts of 20 centers and single H brace assemblies. Also includes one strand barbed top wire, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,715.74
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.57
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 12 | \$112.80 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 12 | \$414.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 1 | \$120.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 48 inch | 4 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 48 in. - 330 ' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$321.50 | 4 | \$1,286.00 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. $x$ 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft ., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 65 | \$709.15 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 5 in. x 8 ft . | 11 | Wood Post, End 5 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$19.21 | 6 | \$115.26 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 1320 | \$198.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 16 ft . | 1059 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$301.35 | 1 | \$301.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#8 - Temporary/Portable Fence
Scenario Description:
Establishment of temporary or portable fence for livestock to facilitate a more intensive grazing system such as stockpiling or stripgrazing.
Before Situation:
This practice will be installed on grazing land. The resource concerns to be addressed by this practice are poor grazing distribution, inadequate water supply, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

After Situation:
Consists of installing a single strand polywire/polytape fence with step in/fiberglass posts on 50' centers, solar energizer, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$703.87

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.53

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 2 | \$91.36 |
| Post, Fiberglass, 11/16 in. X 6 ft . | 19 | Fiberglass line post, 11/16 in. diameter X 6 ft. length. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$8.08 | 26 | \$210.08 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#9 - Safety

## Scenario Description:

A barrier (fence) implemented on an NRCS constructed waste storage system according to engineering design to exclude human access. Permanently installed fence built to (1) keep humans away from waste ponds \& lagoons, or (2) to protect sensitive areas (riparian areas, wetlands, springs, etc.) from heavy livestock pressure. Heavy grade fence materials and close post spacing required.

## Before Situation:

Where a NRCS designed and constructed waste storage pond is planned whereby significant risk to human safety is determined to be evident. Livestock has access to sensitive areas that may cause detrimental effect to animal/human health and wilidlife habitat. Resouce concerns affected are plant health and vigor, wildlife habitat, compaction of soils, runoff of sediment or water quality due to turbidity.

## After Situation:

Humans and livestock are excluded from the waste storage pond for safety purposes by installing a fence around a waste holding pond. The fence would typically be 450 feet long with one gate and installed by a fencing contractor. Woven wire fence with one strand of barb wire on top with a gate. Improved livestock control and access to water or other sensitive areas will promote safety for livestock/humans improve health, vigor of sensitive species, limiting soil erosion, and condition.

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 450.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,740.45
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 8 | \$75.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 8 | \$276.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 1 | \$120.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 48 inch | 4 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 48 in. - 330 ' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$321.50 | 2 | \$643.00 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 4 in x 8 ft | 10 | Wood Post, Line 4 inch X 8 foot, CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.36 | 8 | \$106.88 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft. | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 13 | \$315.12 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 8 \mathrm{ft}$. | 16 | Steel Post, Studded 8 ft . -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$9.21 | 38 | \$349.98 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 450 | \$67.50 |
| Gate, Pipe, 16 ft . | 1059 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$301.35 | 1 | \$301.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#10 - Temporary - Portable for Small Livestock
Scenario Description:
Establishment of temporary or portable fence for small livestock to facilitate a more intensive grazing system such as stockpiling or stripgrazing.
Before Situation:
This practice will be installed on grazing land. The resource concerns to be addressed by this practice are poor grazing distribution, inadequate water supply, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

After Situation:
Consists of installing a prefabricated fence that has 10 horizontal twines; is 42 in . tall installed, and has plastic vertical struts every 12 ',solar energizer, and all appurtenances. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Access Control

Feature Measure: Length of fence

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,752.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$2.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Wire, Electric Netting, 42 in. | 2382 | Electric netting, 42 inches tall, 10 horizontal strands, vertical struts every 12 inches, single spike. Material only. | Feet | \$1.78 | 1320 | \$2,349.60 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#97-Multi Strand Barbed/Smooth Wire

## Scenario Description:

Multi-strand, Barbed or Smooth Wire - Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Constructed using fencing materials rather than a pre-manufactured gate.

Before Situation:
On grazing lands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, cattle access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases the opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds.

After Situation:
Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, etc... Four strand wire is commonly installed. Fence will be installed with wildlife friendly considerations.

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 3,750.44$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 5 | \$120.55 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 5 | \$172.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 33 | \$945.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft. | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft . -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 1 | \$230.41 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#98 - Multi Strand Barbed or smooth Wire Difficult terrain

## Scenario Description:

Barbed, Smooth ,or Woven Wire Difficult Installation - Installation of fence in difficult situations will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Constructed using fencing materials rather than a pre-manufactured gate.

Before Situation:
On grazing lands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, cattle access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases the opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence installation conditions are for difficult sites such as poor access, steep slopes, rocky sites, dense brush, wet conditions etc.

## After Situation:

Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, brace posts, etc... Fence will be installed with wildlife friendly considerations.

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$4,909.11

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.72
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 10 | \$94.00 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 5 | \$120.55 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 10 | \$345.40 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 60 | \$1,718.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 10 | \$319.40 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft. | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft . -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 1 | \$230.41 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 382 - Fence

## Scenario: \#99-Woven Wire

Scenario Description:
Woven - Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Woven wire is typically used in applications with sheep, goats, hogs, wildlife exclusion, shelterbelt/tree protection, etc. Constructed using fencing materials rather than a pre-manufactured gate.

Before Situation:
On grazing lands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, livestock access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds.

## After Situation:

Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a rotational grazing plan that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Woven wire fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, etc... Woven wire is typically used in applications with sheep, goats, hogs, wildlife exclusion, shelterbelt/tree protection, etc.

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00

## Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,816.48$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 5 | \$120.55 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 5 | \$172.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 45 | \$1,288.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 2 | \$241.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 32 in | 3 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 32 inch - 330 foot roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$191.42 | 4 | \$765.68 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft ., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft. | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 1320 | \$198.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 1 | \$230.41 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#104-Electric
Scenario Description:
Electric - Installation of fence will allow for implementation of a grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds.

## Before Situation:

On grazinglands health and vigor are negatively impacted by poor grazing distribution, timing of grazing and inadequate rest and recovery periods. Water quality is impacted by increased erosion and runoff, cattle access to water bodies is uncontrolled. Reduced vegetative cover increases the opportunity for encroachment of noxious and invasive weeds.

After Situation:
Installation of fence will allow for implementation of grazing management that allows for an adequate rest and recovery period, protection of sensitive area, improved water quality, reduction of noxious and invasive weeds. Fence includes posts, wire, fasteners, gates, fence charger, etc... Two to three strand wire is commonly installed. Fence will be installed with wildlife friendly considerations.

Feature Measure: Length of Fence

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,074.07$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 3 | \$28.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 3 | \$103.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |

## Materials

| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft ., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 2 | \$21.82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft. | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Fiberglass, $7 / 8 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{X} 6 \mathrm{ft}$ | 18 | Fiberglass line post, 7/8 inch diameter X 6 foot length. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.02 | 60 | \$781.20 |
| Electric, Ground Rods | 20 | Electric, Ground Rod for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$17.09 | 6 | \$102.54 |
| Electric, Ground Rod Clamps | 21 | Electric, Ground Rod Clamps for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.44 | 6 | \$14.64 |
| Electric, Lightening Diverter | 22 | Electric, Lightening diverter for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$9.87 | 1 | \$9.87 |
| Electric, Insulated cable | 23 | Electric, Insulated cable for electric fence. Typically in spools of 100 to 200 feet. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$40.40 | 1 | \$40.40 |
| Electric, Tester | 26 | Electric, Tester for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$44.35 | 1 | \$44.35 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 2 Strand | 33 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.08 | 1320 | \$105.60 |
| Gate, Pipe, 10 ft . | 1056 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$211.28 | 1 | \$211.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#153 - Large Animal Perimeter 96 Inch Woven Wire

## Scenario Description:

Woven Wire fencing installed for large livestock such as Bison, large ungulate herbivores, captive cervidae that are not domesticated. Because of the size and behavior differences relative to domesticated livestock, fences, handling facilities and loading facilities must be more robust to accommodate bison. Fence allows for the implementation of a grazing management under a CPS 528 Prescribed Grazing plan. Fence facilities the movement of livestock for forage management and protection of sensitive areas. All fence components are included. Fence encloses $<=20$ acres or 2640 foot linear run connection with $5-8$ wire fencing. Install fence with considerations for wildlife corridors.

## Before Situation:

Livestock have access to forage and sensitive areas without management of intensity, duration and frequency of grazing events. Plant productivity and health is degraded. Water quality may be impaired by sediment and livestock access to water.

After Situation:
Installation of the tall woven wire high tensile electric fence allows for grazing management to be implemented. Fence is installed to specifications meeting the producer's objective and livestock type. Fence is installed with wildlife friendly considerations and known wildlife corridors.

Feature Measure: length
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,640.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$21,186.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.03
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 40 | \$376.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 40 | \$964.40 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 40 | \$1,381.60 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 40 | \$689.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 120 | \$3,436.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 40 | \$1,277.60 |

## Materials

| Wire, Woven, Wildlife, 96 in . | 6 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 96 inch - 330 foot roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$684.30 | 8 | \$5,474.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 4 | \$96.96 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 12-14 ft. | 13 | Wood Post, Line/End 6 inch dia. X 12-14 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$37.41 | 160 | \$5,985.60 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 2640 | \$396.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 14 ft . | 1058 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$264.82 | 2 | \$529.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#169-Large Animal 8 Wire High Tensile, Electric
Scenario Description:
A high tensile wire fence which is electrified for large livestock such as Bison, large ungulate herbivores, captive cervidae that are not domesticated. Eight strands of wire are used for visual barrier with a minimum of 3 wires electrified. Fence allows for the implementation of a grazing management plan. Because of the size and behavior differences relative to domesticated livestock, fences, handling facilities and loading facilities must be more robust to accommodate bison. Fence allows for the implementation of grazing management under CPS Prescribed Grazing plan. Fence facilities the movement of livestock for forage management and protection of sensitive areas. All fence components are included. Fence encloses 40 acres. Install fence considering wildlife and known wildlife corridors.

Before Situation:
Livestock have access to forage and sensitive areas without management of intensity, duration and frequency of grazing events. Plant productivity and health is degraded. Water quality may be impaired by sediment and livestock access to water.

## After Situation:

Installation of the 8 wire high tensile electric fence allows for grazing management to be implemented. Fence is installed to specifications meeting the producer's objective and livestock type. Fence is installed with wildlife friendly considerations and known wildlife corridors.

Feature Measure: lenght
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 5,280.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$16,891.36

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 53 | \$498.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 40 | \$964.40 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 53 | \$1,830.62 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 42 | \$723.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 88 | \$2,520.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 53 | \$1,692.82 |

## Materials

| Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll | 2 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 4,000' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$152.20 | 11 | \$1,674.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 4 in x 8 ft | 10 | Wood Post, Line 4 inch X 8 foot, CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.36 | 188 | \$2,511.68 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 26 | \$630.24 |
| Electric, Ground Rods | 20 | Electric, Ground Rod for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$17.09 | 7 | \$119.63 |
| Electric, Ground Rod Clamps | 21 | Electric, Ground Rod Clamps for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.44 | 7 | \$17.08 |
| Electric, Lightening Diverter | 22 | Electric, Lightening diverter for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$9.87 | 1 | \$9.87 |
| Electric, Insulated cable | 23 | Electric, Insulated cable for electric fence. Typically in spools of 100 to 200 feet. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$40.40 | 1 | \$40.40 |
| Electric, Power Surge Protector | 24 | Electric, Power Surge Protector for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.88 | 1 | \$14.88 |
| Electric, Cutoff Switch | 25 | Electric, Cutoff Switch for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.74 | 2 | \$21.48 |
| Electric, Tester | 26 | Electric, Tester for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$44.35 | 1 | \$44.35 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |


| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 3 Strand | 34 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 13728 | \$2,059.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gate, Pipe, 14 ft . | 1058 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$264.82 | 2 | \$529.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 382 - Fence
Scenario: \#185-Large Animal 5 Wire High Tensile, Electric
Scenario Description:
A five strand high tensile wire fence which is electrified for large livestock such as Bison, large ungulate herbivores, captive cervidae that are not domesticated. Because of the size and behavior differences relative to domesticated livestock, fences, handling facilities and loading facilities must be more robust to accommodate bison. Fence allows for the implementation of a grazing management under CPS 528 Prescribed Grazing plan. Fence facilities the movement of livestock for forage management and protection of sensitive areas. All fence components are included. Fence encloses 40 acres. Install fence considering wildlife friendly design and adjustment for wildlife corridors.

Before Situation:
Livestock have access to forage and sensitive areas without management of intensity, duration and frequency of grazing events. Plant productivity and health is degraded. Water quality may be impaired by sediment and livestock access to water.

After Situation:
Installation of the 5 wire high tensile electric fence allows for grazing management to be implemented. Fence is installed to specifications meeting the producer's objective and livestock type. Fence is installed with wildlife friendly considerations and known wildlife corridors.

Feature Measure: length
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 5,280.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$15,199.18

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 53 | \$498.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 40 | \$964.40 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 53 | \$1,830.62 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 20 | \$344.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 53 | \$1,692.82 |

## Materials

| Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll | 2 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 4,000' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$152.20 | 7 | \$1,065.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 4 in x 8 ft | 10 | Wood Post, Line 4 inch X 8 foot, CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.36 | 188 | \$2,511.68 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 26 | \$630.24 |
| Electric, Ground Rods | 20 | Electric, Ground Rod for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$17.09 | 7 | \$119.63 |
| Electric, Ground Rod Clamps | 21 | Electric, Ground Rod Clamps for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.44 | 7 | \$17.08 |
| Electric, Lightening Diverter | 22 | Electric, Lightening diverter for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$9.87 | 1 | \$9.87 |
| Electric, Insulated cable | 23 | Electric, Insulated cable for electric fence. Typically in spools of 100 to 200 feet. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$40.40 | 1 | \$40.40 |
| Electric, Power Surge Protector | 24 | Electric, Power Surge Protector for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.88 | 1 | \$14.88 |
| Electric, Cutoff Switch | 25 | Electric, Cutoff Switch for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.74 | 2 | \$21.48 |
| Electric, Tester | 26 | Electric, Tester for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$44.35 | 1 | \$44.35 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |


| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 3 Strand | 34 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 10560 | \$1,584.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gate, Pipe, 14 ft . | 1058 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$264.82 | 2 | \$529.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 384 - Woody Residue Treatment
Scenario: \#1 - Woody residue treatment following catastrophic events

## Scenario Description:

The use of a combination of hand (chainsaw) and heavy equipment similar to those used in logging to treat slash resulting from catastrophic events such as fire, wind, severe pest outbreak, ice storm, etc. This scenario will remove/treat the larger material the size of which is consistent with the large equipment used to a depth not to exceed 24 inces in depth or moved off site. Resource concerns include: Excessive plant pest pressure, Potential emissions of particulate matter, Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, and Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
A large amount of slash and woody residue is created as a result of a non-silvicultural event such as a wind storm, wildfire, ice storm, pest outbreak, etc. Because the slash and residue is created by a catastrophic event that can cause tree-lodging, snags, broken tops, etc.; treatment is both difficult and dangerous. The presence of this material causes adverse effects on the forest include limiting access for management purposes, increasing the wildfire hazard, increasing the risk of potential harm to humans and livestock, and providing harboring sites for pests.

## After Situation:

The material resulting from the catastrophic event is reduced to a level that will minimize the resource concerns.
Feature Measure: Acres of affected forest
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,401.12

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$870.06

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Loader, 95HP | 935 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$92.26 | 40 | \$3,690.40 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 80 | \$479.20 |
| Log skidder | 942 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$58.59 | 40 | \$2,343.60 |
| Truck, dump, 8 CY | 1401 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 12 ton or 8 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$52.49 | 40 | \$2,099.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 80 | \$2,555.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 40 | \$1,610.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#5 - Field Border, Native Species
Scenario Description:
A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of organic seed for herbaceous species.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

## After Situation:

The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices while creating a buffer between organic systems and conventional cropping systems. Native grasses and legumes will be established in the field border to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall be adapted to the site, not function as a host for diseases of a field crop, and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$198.10

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$198.10
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |

Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Low
2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#6 - Field Border, Introduced Species

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

## After Situation:

The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Introduced grasses and legumes will be established in the field border to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall be adapted to site, will not function as a host for diseases of a field crop, and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: Number of acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$119.41

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$119.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 20 | \$16.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 1 | \$47.76 |

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#7 - Field Border, Pollinator

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field. This practice may also apply to recreation land or other land uses where agronomic crops including forages are grown. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of pollinator friendly species.

## Before Situation

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

## After Situation:

The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Pollinator herbaceous plantings will provide species which flower throughout the growing season. This provides a source of nectar for adult pollinators and a diversity of herbaceous material for immature pollinator life stages and for nesting. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall be adapted to site, will not function as a host for diseases of a field crop, and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: Number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$532.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$532.94

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |

Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#12-PIA - Field Border

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. The area of the field border is taken out of production.

## Before Situation

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

After Situation:
The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Native grasses, legumes and forbs will be established in the field border to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: acres planted

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 0.10

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 104.61$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,046.09$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.1 | \$1.40 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 0.1 | \$0.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 0.1 | \$1.27 |
| Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2756 | A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to high density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$153.79 | 0.1 | \$15.38 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Pacific Island | 2679 | Mobilization cost of materials for sea or air freight services between | Pound | \$0.00 | 5 | \$0.00 |

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#14-Field Border, Native Species, Forgone Income
Scenario Description:
A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. The area of the field border is taken out of production.

Before Situation:
Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

After Situation:
The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Native grasses, legumes and forbs will be established in the field borders to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$618.06

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$618.06
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density

2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#16 - Field Border, Pollinator, Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of pollinator friendly herbaceous species. The area of the field border is taken out of production.

## Before Situation

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

## After Situation:

The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Pollinator herbaceous plantings will provide species which flower throughout the growing season. This provides a source of nectar for adult pollinators and a diversity of herbaceous material for immature pollinator life stages and for nesting. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Species selected shall meet the pollinator habitat requirements of the state and be adapted to site; not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and; have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: Number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$952.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$952.90

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#51-CB/VI - Field Border

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. The area of the field border is taken out of production.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

After Situation:
The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Native grasses, legumes and forbs will be established in the field border to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Native species shall be selected that do not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: Acres planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.10

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 104.61$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,046.09$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.1 | \$1.40 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 0.1 | \$0.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 0.1 | \$1.27 |
| Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2756 | A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to high density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$153.79 | 0.1 | \$15.38 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Pacific Island | 2679 | Mobilization cost of materials for sea or air freight services between islands. | Pound | \$0.00 | 5 | \$0.00 |

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#76-Small Scale Field Border

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced plant species.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions may vary based on farm size and location. Fields may have erosion by wind or water. Site provides little wildlife food or cover or pollinator habitat. Site soil organic matter is depleting. Particulate matter as dust is generated by field activity.

## After Situation:

The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. Field border widths are based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practices. Species selected shall be adapted to site and not host disease or pests of the adjacent field crop. Species have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: planted area
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$164.63
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$82.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.05 | \$0.70 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.05 | \$1.05 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 10 | \$6.80 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 10 | \$8.10 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.1 | \$4.78 |

Practice: 386 - Field Border
Scenario: \#85 - Field Border, Introduced Species, Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of an agricultural field. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species. The area of the field border is taken out of production.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions may vary widely. Fields may have erosion issues from wind or water, a field border may be needed to manage pest populations, protect soil and water quality, provide wildlife food and cover, provide pollinator habitat, or a field border may be used to increase carbon storage and improve air quality. Water quality, soil erosion and/or wildlife food and cover may all be primary resource concerns.

## After Situation:

The 386 Implementation Requirements have been developed and applied for the site. This practice when applied around a field may support and connect other buffer practices within and between fields. Introduced grasses and legumes will be established for the field border to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on NRCS local design criteria specific to the purpose for installing the practice. Introduced species of grasses, legumes, forbs or shrubs shall be selected that are adapted to site, will not function as a host for diseases of a field crop and have physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area.

Feature Measure: Number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$539.37

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$539.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 20 | \$16.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 1 | \$47.76 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 388 - Irrigation Field Ditch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#6-Irrigation Field Ditch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This scenario is the construction of an Irrigation Field Ditch. Typical construction dimensions are $2^{\prime}$ wide bottom $\times 2$ ' deep $\times 1320$ 'length with a side slope of 2:1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource concerns: Excess/Insufficient Water - Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water Associated Conservation Practices: 320-Irrigation Canal or Lateral; 443-Irrigation System, Surface or Subsurface Water; 533-Pumping Plant; 430-Irrigation Pipeline. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water supply for an area is inadequate for crop production and irrigation water application is inefficient. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| An earthen canal that has adequate capacity to convey sufficient irrigation water to meet the demands of the system and make irrigation practical for the crops being grown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Volume of earth excavated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 587.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,998.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 587 | \$1,420.54 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 390-Riparian Herbaceous Cover

## Scenario: \#3 - Native Grass

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses inadequate herbaceous plant community function or diversity within the specific transitional zone between terrestrial and aquatic habitats in rangeland, pasture, cropland, and forest where natural seeding methods and/or management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable time period. This scenario applies to work not covered under NRCS Conservation Practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Critical Area Planting (342), Filter Strip (393), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Wetland Enhancement (659), or Wetland Restoration (657). The typical setting for this scenario is usually a narrow strip between the aquatic and terrestrial habitats subject to intermittent flooding and saturated soils where the existing plant community has been disturbed, destroyed, or the species diversity is unable to provide proper function and/or adequate habitat. Native Grass is established by seeding. Where chemical control of undesirable vegetation, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community the Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice should be used. Payment includes seedbed preparation, seed, and planting, and foregone income for land removed from production.

## Before Situation:

The riparian zone, the specific area between terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is currently an undesirable or inadequate stand of perennial or annual vegetation and natural reseeding or vegetation management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable amount of time to adequately address streambank and/or shoreline stability, dissipate stream energy and trap sediment, improve and/or maintain water quality, and/or provide adequate habitat corridors, food and/or cover for fish, wildlife, pollinators, and/or livestock resource concern(s). Existing conditions often require suppression or eradication of current vegetation by conventional mechanical or chemical (Herbaceous Weed Control (315)) methods to ensure establishment success of the new planting.

## After Situation:

The riparian zone, the transitional zone between the terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is established to an adapted, diverse vegetative plant community and is under close management to insure long term survival and ecological succession. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components are managed to support the species that depend on it for habitat as well as the functions it performs for stabilizing the streambank and/or shoreline, dissipating stream energy and trapping sediment, and improving and/or maintaining water quality. These functions include: stream temperature moderation through shading, recruitment of non-woody organic matter, habitat for terrestrial insects and other riparian dependent species, streambank integrity, and filtration of contaminants from surface run-off into the stream.

Feature Measure: Acres of Riparian Herbaceous Cover
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$595.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$595.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 1 | \$134.97 |

Practice: 390-Riparian Herbaceous Cover
Scenario: \#4 - Pollinator

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses inadequate herbaceous plant community function or diversity within the specific transitional zone between terrestrial and aquatic habitats in rangeland, pasture, cropland, and forest where natural seeding methods and/or management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable time period. This scenario applies to work not covered under NRCS Conservation Practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Critical Area Planting (342), Filter Strip (393), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Wetland Enhancement ( 659), or Wetland Restoration (657). The typical setting for this scenario is usually a narrow strip between the aquatic and terrestrial habitats subject to intermittant flooding and saturated soils where the exising plant community has been disturbed, destroyed, or the species diversity is unable to provide proper function and/or adequate habitat. Pollinator habitat is established by seeding. Where chemical control of undesirable vegetation, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community the Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice should be used. Payment includes seedbed preparation, seed, and planting, and foregone income for land removed from production.
Before Situation:
The riparian zone, the specific area between terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is currently an undesirable or inadequate stand of perennial or annual vegetation and natural reseeding or vegetation management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable amount of time to adequately address streambank and/or shoreline stability, dissipate stream energy and trap sediment, improve and/or maintain water quality, and/or provide adequate habitat corridors, food and/or cover for fish, wildlife, pollinators, and/or livestock resource concern(s). Existing conditions often require suppression or eradication of current vegetation by conventional mechanical or chemical (Herbaceous Weed Control (315)) methods to ensure establishment success of the new planting. Soil quality may be reduced due to compaction and may require light tillage to prepare a proper seedbed.

## After Situation:

The riparian zone, the transitional zone between the terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is established to an adapted, diverse vegetative plant community and is under close management to insure long term survival and ecological succession. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components are managed to support the species that depend on it for habitat as well as the functions it performs for stabilizing the streambank and/or shoreline, dissipating stream energy and trapping sediment, and improving and/or maintaining water quality. These functions include: stream temperature moderation through shading, recruitment of non-woody organic matter, habitat for terrestrial insects and other riparian dependent species, streambank integrity, and filtration of contaminants from surface run-off into the stream.

Feature Measure: Acres of Riparian Herbaceous Cover
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 929.84$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$929.84

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

334 A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.
2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 390-Riparian Herbaceous Cover

## Scenario: \#38-Prairie Cordgrass Restoration

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses inadequate herbaceous plant community function or diversity within the specific transitional zone between terrestrial and aquatic habitats in rangeland, pasture, cropland, and forest where natural seeding methods and/or management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable time period. This scenario applies to work not covered under NRCS Conservation Practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512), Critical Area Planting (342), Filter Strip (393), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Wetland Enhancement ( 659), or Wetland Restoration (657). The typical setting for this scenario is usually a narrow strip between the aquatic and terrestrial habitats subject to intermittant flooding and saturated soils where the exising plant community has been disturbed, destroyed, or the species diversity is unable to provide proper function and/or adequate habitat. Prairie cordgrass (Spartina pectinata) is planted using plugs. Where chemical control of undesirable vegetation, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community the Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice should be used. Payment includes seedbed preparation cordgrass plugs and planting, and foregone income for land removed from production.

## Before Situation:

The riparian zone, the specific area between terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is currently an undesirable or inadequate stand of perennial or annual vegetation and natural reseeding or vegetation management is unlikely to improve the plant community within a reasonable amount of time to adequately address streambank and/or shoreline stability, dissipate stream energy and trap sediment, improve and/or maintain water quality, and/or provide adequate habitat corridors, food and/or cover for fish, wildlife, pollinators, and/or livestock resource conern(s). Existing conditions often require suppression or eradication of current vegetation by conventional mechanical or chemical (Herbaceous Weed Control (315)) methods to ensure establishment success of the new planting.

## After Situation:

The riparian zone, the transitional zone between the terrestrial and aquatic habitats, is established to an adapted, diverse vegetative plant community and is under close management to insure long term survival and ecological succession. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components are managed to support the species that depend on it for habitat as well as the functions it performs for stabilizing the streambank and/or shoreline, dissipating stream energy and trapping sediment, and improving and/or maintaining water quality. These functions include: stream temperature moderation through shading, recruitment of non-woody organic matter, habitat for terrestrial insects and other riparian dependent species, streambank integrity, and filtration of contaminants from surface run-off into the stream.

Feature Measure: Acres of Riparian Herbaceous Cover
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,250.43
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,250.43
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 0.5 | \$15.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Aquatic Plants, Emergent or Submerged | 2336 | Native aquatic emergent or submerged. All required materials for establishing vegetation. Includes material and shipping. | Each | \$1.22 | 220 | \$268.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer
Scenario: \#1 - Direct Seeding

## Scenario Description:

Establish a buffer of trees and/or shrubs to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and up-gradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of trees or shrubs planted through direct seeding. Payment includes tree seed, equipment and labor to seed, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Typical sites include former riparian forests and habitat used for forage, cropland, speculation property, or other nonforest condition which contains undesirable amounts or types of vegetation. Active bank erosion is depositing sediment, nutrients and organics in the riparian area. Water temperature is high due to lack of shade. Habitat is not desirable for wildlife.

## After Situation:

A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.

Feature Measure: Area of planting

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 7,123.38$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,424.68$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 5 | \$70.20 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 5 | \$66.60 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trees and shrubs, seed | 1871 | Tree or shrub seed, e.g., acorns, to establish trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Pound | \$9.36 | 400 | \$3,744.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

[^0]Practice: 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer
Scenario: \#2 - Bareroot trees and shrubs
Scenario Description:
Establish a buffer of trees and shrubs into a suitably prepared site to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and up-gradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of machine planted bare-root shrubs and trees at spacings recommended in a tree/shrub planting plan. Payment includes trees, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Typical sites include former riparian forests and habitat used for forage, cropland, speculation property, or other nonforest condition which contains undesirable amounts or types of vegetation. Active bank erosion is depositing sediment, nutrients and organics in the riparian area. Water temperature is high due to lack of shade. Habitat is not desirable for wildlife.

## After Situation:

A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.

Feature Measure: Area of planting
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,237.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,447.52

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 1210 | \$1,681.90 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 1744 | \$2,825.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 391-Riparian Forest Buffer
Scenario: \#3 - Bareroot trees, each
Scenario Description:
Establish a buffer of trees into a suitably prepared site to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and upgradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of machine planted bare-root trees at spacings recommended in a tree/shrub planting plan. Payment includes trees, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Typical sites include former riparian forests and habitat used for forage, cropland, speculation property, or other nonforest condition which contains undesirable amounts or types of vegetation. Active bank erosion is depositing sediment, nutrients and organics in the riparian area. Water temperature is high due to lack of shade. Habitat is not desirable for wildlife.

## After Situation:

A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 2,180.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,262.04$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.87

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 2180 | \$3,531.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer
Scenario: \#4-Bareroot shrubs, each
Scenario Description:
Establish a buffer of shrubs, in conjuction with planted or existing trees, into a suitably prepared site to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and up-gradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of machine planted bare-root shrubs at spacings recommended in a tree/shrub planting plan. Payment includes shrubs, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Typical sites include former riparian forests and habitat used for forage, cropland, speculation property, or other nonforest condition which contains undesirable amounts or types of vegetation. Active bank erosion is depositing sediment, nutrients and organics in the riparian area. Water temperature is high due to lack of shade. Habitat is not desirable for wildlife.

## After Situation:

A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1,210.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,732.50$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.26

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 1210 | \$1,681.90 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer
Scenario: \#5 - Container Trees and Shrubs 2 gallon and larger, Each

## Scenario Description:

Establish a buffer of trees and shrubs into a suitably prepared site to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and up-gradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of hand planting containerized shrubs and trees at spacing recommended in a tree/shrub planting plan. Payment includes shrubs/trees, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Typical sites include former riparian forests and habitat used for forage, cropland, speculation property, or other non forest condition which contains undesirable amounts or types of vegetation. Active bank erosion is depositing sediment, nutrients and organics in the riparian area. Water temperature is high due to lack of shade. Habitat is not desirable for wildlife.

## After Situation:

A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.

## Feature Measure: Area of planting

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,838.06

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28.38

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1 | \$375.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 100 | \$1,577.00 |

Practice: 391-Riparian Forest Buffer
Scenario: \#22-Container Trees and Shrubs, less than 2 gallon, Each

## Scenario Description:

Establish a buffer of trees and shrubs into a suitably prepared site to restore riparian plant communities and associated benefits. The buffer will be located adjacent to and up-gradient from a watercourse or water body and extend the minimum required width. The planting will consist of hand planting containerized shrubs and trees at spacing recommended in a tree/shrub planting plan. Payment includes shrubs/trees, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production to install the riparian buffer. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associatedpractices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

Typical sites include former riparian forests and habitat used for forage, cropland, speculation property, or other non forest condition which contains undesirable amounts or types of vegetation. Active bank erosion is depositing sediment, nutrients and organics in the riparian area. Water temperature is high due to lack of shade. Habitat is not desirable for wildlife.

## After Situation:

A buffer of trees and shrubs will be established along the riparian corridor which will provide stability, filtration, shade, and desirable habitat to address the resource concerns of Soil Erosion - excessive bank erosion; Water Quality - excess sediment and organics in surface waters and elevated temperature; Degraded Plant Condition inadequate structure and composition; and Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - habitat degradation.

Feature Measure: area of planting
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,802.06$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$18.02

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1 | \$375.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 100 | \$541.00 |

Practice: 393 - Filter Strip
Scenario: \#5 - Filter Strip, Native species

## Scenario Description:

A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation that removes contaminants from overland flow. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species.

## Before Situation:

Annual cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forestland) allows for runoff of suspended solids, dissolved and/or associated contaminants into environmentally-sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian zones, critical habitat and neighboring nonagricultural properties. Water Quality resource concerns are associated with this practice.

## After Situation:

The 393 Implementation Requirements are developed for the site and applied. The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of native species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and seed. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas.

Feature Measure: number of acres
Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$281.79
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$281.79

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1.5 | \$25.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Medium Density | 2751 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$192.81 | 1 | \$192.81 |

Practice: 393 - Filter Strip
Scenario: \#6 - Filter Strip, Introduced species

## Scenario Description:

A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation that removes contaminants from overland flow. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species.

## Before Situation:

Annual cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forestland) allows for runoff of suspended solids, dissolved and/or associated contaminants into environmentally-sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian zones, critical habitat and neighboring nonagricultural properties. Water Quality resource concerns are associated with this practice.

## After Situation:

The 393 Implementation Requirements are developed for the site and applied. The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and seed. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas.

Feature Measure: Number of acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 221.51$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 221.51$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1.5 | \$25.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 20 | \$16.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |

Practice: 393 - Filter Strip
Scenario: \#13 - Caribbean and Virgin Island Filter Strip - All Species

## Scenario Description:

A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation that removes contaminants from overland flow. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species.

## Before Situation:

Annual cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forestland) allows for runoff of suspended solids, dissolved and/or associated contaminants into environmentally-sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian zones, critical habitat and neighboring nonagricultural properties. Water Quality resource concerns are associated with this practice.

## After Situation:

The 393 Implementation Requirements are developed for the site and applied. The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas.

Feature Measure: acre planted
Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 120.96$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 120.96$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 1 | \$47.76 |

Practice: 393 - Filter Strip
Scenario: \#14 - Filter Strip, Introduced species, Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation that removes contaminants from overland flow. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.

Before Situation:
Annual cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forestland) allows for runoff of suspended solids, dissolved and/or associated contaminants into environmentally-sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian zones, critical habitat and neighboring non-ag properties. Water Quality resource concerns are associated with this practice.

## After Situation:

The 393 Implementation Requirements are developed for the site and applied. The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and seed. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.

Feature Measure: Number of acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$641.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$641.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1.5 | \$25.85 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 20 | \$16.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |

Practice: 393 - Filter Strip
Scenario: \#15 - Filter Strip, Native species, Forgone Income
Scenario Description:
A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation that removes contaminants from overland flow. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of native species. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.

Before Situation:
Annual cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forestland) allows for runoff of suspended solids, dissolved and/or associated contaminants into environmentally-sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian zones, critical habitat and neighboring non-ag properties. Water Quality resource concerns are associated with this practice.

After Situation:
The 393 Implementation Requirements are developed for the site and applied. The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of native species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and seed. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on the contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas. The area of the filter strip is taken out of production.

Feature Measure: number of acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$701.75

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$701.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1.5 | \$25.85 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Medium Density

2751 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual
Acres species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 393 - Filter Strip
Scenario: \#39-PIA - Filter Strip - All Species

## Scenario Description:

A strip or area of herbaceous vegetation that removes contaminants from overland flow. Practice includes seedbed prep and planting of introduced species.

## Before Situation:

Annual cropland, grazing land, or disturbed land (including forestland) allows for runoff of suspended solids, dissolved and/or associated contaminants into environmentally-sensitive areas such as wetlands, riparian zones, critical habitat and neighboring nonagricultural properties. Water Quality resource concerns are associated with this practice.

## After Situation:

The 393 Implementation Requirements are developed for the site and applied. The planned filter strip will be established and maintained per the practice plan that will meet the criteria for the planned purpose(s). The vegetation will consist of introduced species. The filter strip will have adequate width to filter the planned pollutants. The practice includes seedbed preparation, seeding, and operation and maintenance to maintain the vegetation and the function of the filter strip. Species selected shall be able to withstand partial burial by sediment and tolerant of herbicides used on contribution area while protecting environmentally-sensitive areas.

Feature Measure: Acre planted
Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 120.96$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 120.96$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 1 | \$47.76 |



Practice: 394-Firebreak
Scenario: \#2 - Constructed - Medium equipment, flat-medium slopes
Scenario Description:
Use of medium equipment such as small dozers to blade, disk, plow, etc. bare-soil firebreaks on slopes less than $15 \%$. Generally, water control devices such as water bars are limited to 10 or less per 1,000 feet when properly planned and installed using the same equipment. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazards from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, and Habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

Tract, field, or farm lacks adequate firebreaks to either reduce the spread of wildfires or contain a prescribed burn. Conditions such as topography, the presence of brush and trees, etc. make the use of typical farm equipment impractical.

After Situation:
The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is negligible.

Feature Measure: Length of firebreak
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,638.56

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.55
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Water Bars | 1500 | Installation of graded trail water controlling structures such as water bars, broad based dips for erosion control. Typical cross section is 1.5 feet high with $4: 1$ side slopes yielding about $0.33 \mathrm{CY} / \mathrm{ft}$ of length. | Feet | \$3.02 | 150 | \$453.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 394-Firebreak
Scenario: \#3-Constructed - Medium equipment, steep slopes
Scenario Description:
Use of equipment such as small dozers to blade bare-soil firebreaks on slopes greater than $15 \%$. Water control devices such as water bars placed at approximately 15 to 25 per $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ section of firebreak, are necessary to control erosion. These will be installed with the same equipment. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, Habitat degradation, Soil erosion, and Excessive sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Tract, field, or farm lacks adequate firebreaks to either reduce the spread of wildfires or contain a prescribed burn. Conditions such as topography, the presence of brush and trees, etc. make the use of typical farm equipment impractical. As slopes increase, the potential for excessive erosion increases from soil disturbances. Therefore the installation of water control devices such as water bars will be important in protecting the resource base.

After Situation:
The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is minimized.
Feature Measure: Length of firebreak

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,469.06$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 2.47$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Water Bars | 1500 | Installation of graded trail water controlling structures such as water bars, broad based dips for erosion control. Typical cross section is 1.5 feet high with $4: 1$ side slopes yielding about $0.33 \mathrm{CY} / \mathrm{ft}$ of length. | Feet | \$3.02 | 425 | \$1,283.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 394-Firebreak
Scenario: \#4 - Vegetated permanent firebreak

## Scenario Description:

Establishing a 20 foot wide strip of permanent vegetation that will serve as a green firebreak. Scenario includes clearing the site, preparing the seedbed, seeding (typically cool season grasses and/or legumes), and applying needed soil amendments. Clearing will be achieved using chemical and/or mechanical means. Seedbed preparation and vegetation establishment will be accomplished with farm equipment. Soil amendments will be applied according to local FOTG guidance. This scenario does not include follow-up maintenance operations such as weed control. mowing, etc. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Soil erosion, and Excessive sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation

Tract, field, or farm lacks adequate firebreaks to either reduce the spread of wildfires or contain a prescribed burn.
After Situation:
The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned. Wildlife habitat will also be enhanced and the potential for erosion from the firebreak is minimized.

Feature Measure: Length of firebreak

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 698.70$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.23
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1.4 | \$8.96 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1.4 | \$10.12 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1.4 | \$29.41 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 | other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1.4 | \$17.72 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 1.4 | \$66.86 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 394-Firebreak
Scenario: \#5 - Constructed - Wide, bladed or disked firebreak

## Scenario Description:

Installing a bare-ground firebreak with a width of 30' or more on gently to strongly sloping slopes with equipment such as a dozer with a heavy disk. Using smaller equipment, erosion control devices such as water bars will be installed at approximately 15 to 25 per 1,000 feet of firebreak length. Devices will have stable outlets. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, Habitat degradation, Soil erosion, and Excessive sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Tract, field, or farm lacks adequate firebreaks to either reduce the spread of wildfires or contain a prescribed burn. Wide firebreaks are needed due to topography, high wildfire risk or to their use as down-wind breaks for prescribed burns. Conditions such as topography, the presence of brush and trees, etc. make the use of typical farm equipment impractical. As slopes increase, the potential for excessive erosion increases from soil disturbances. Therefore the installation of water control devices such as water bars will be important in protecting the resource base.

## After Situation:

The property is adequately protected from wildfire or can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is minimized.

## Feature Measure: Length of firebreak

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,216.50$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.22

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Fire Plow | 1306 | Heavy wildland plow or disk used for installing firebreaks. Equipment costs only for plow, use with a dozer component. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.49 | 4 | \$137.96 |
| Water Bars | 1500 | Installation of graded trail water controlling structures such as water bars, broad based dips for erosion control. Typical cross section is 1.5 feet high with $4: 1$ side slopes yielding about $0.33 \mathrm{CY} / \mathrm{ft}$ of length. | Feet | \$3.02 | 425 | \$1,283.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment $>=150 \mathrm{HP}$, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, large equipment

| USDA United States | ment <br> serv | of Agriculture ation Service |  | Scenar | - Fis | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ohio } \\ \text { ar } 2024 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice: 394 - Firebreak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#6-Constructed - Handline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installation of a bare-ground firebreak of a minimum width of 5 ' around a 20 acre woodland burn unit with the dominant fuel being hardwood leaf litter. Generally water control devices such as water bars are not needed due either to the lack of steep terrain or the temporary nature of the firebreak. The firebreak will be installed with handtools such as broom rakes and/or leaf blowers. Resource concerns include Wildfire hazard from excessive biomass accumulation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate plant structure and composition, and Habitat degradation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field lacks adequate firebreaks to contain a prescribed burn. Fuel, weather, and/or topgraphic conditions do not warrant the use of heavy equipment. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The property can be safely prescribe burned and the potential for excessive erosion from the firebreak is minimized. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: <Unknown> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 4,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$484.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 12 | \$71.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |

Practice: 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management

## Scenario: \#1-Riparian Zone Improvement, Forested

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes fish and wildlife habitat improvement and/or management actions focused on the community structure and function of forested riparian zone plant communities. The planned activity meets the 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards, especially Codes 390 and 391 , utilized in combination to satisfy all requirements specific to habitats needed for the stream and riparian species for which the practice is being implemented. Implementation will improve instream and riparian habitat complexity, water quality, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for desired riparian and stream species.

Before Situation:
Riparian quality and quantity are at risk as determined by the NRCS Stream Visual Assessment Protocol score of less than 5 for those elements. The site does not have adequate food, cover, and/or connectivity for riparian wildlife, and contributes insufficient amounts of organic matter andor large woody material for stream species food and cover. The site's riparian vegetation is compromised by human activities and/or access of vehicles, people, and/or livestock is not controlled adequately to protect riparian functions and stream habitat quality. Nutrients are transported to surface waters through runoff or soil erosion or to ground water from leaching in quantities that degrade water quality and limit use of intended purposes. Soil quality may be reduced due to compaction. Riparian vegetation quality and/or quantity is compromised to the extent that the riparian area and floodplain are not functioning to provide necessary stream and riparian habitat components.

After Situation:
Revegetation/reforestation of the riparian zone is completed and the vegetation community is under close management to insure long-term survival and ecological succession of the plant community. The quality and quantity of the riparian zone components of the site are managed to support a diverse vegetation community suitable for the site, the species that depend on it for habitat, and the functions it performs or will eventually perform as the vegetation matures. These functions include: stream temperature moderation thru shading, recruitment of instream large wood and/or non-woody organic matter, riparian habitat for terrestrial insects and other ripariandependent species, streambank integrity, and filtration of contaminants from surface run-off into the stream.

Feature Measure: acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,288.88$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 16 | \$1,006.56 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree \& Shrub, Woody, Cuttings, Large | 1309 | Woody pole cuttings or posts 2 to 6 inches in diameter and 6 ft . long. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$11.13 | 200 | \$2,226.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 200 | \$324.00 |
| Tree shelter, wire mesh | 1557 | 5 feet tall, Woven Wire mesh, $6 \times 6$ inch opening or smaller, 10 gauge wire (minimum) cage placed around seedling for animal protection. Materials only. | Each | \$2.34 | 200 | \$468.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
Scenario: \#2 - Instream wood placement

## Scenario Description:

This scenario involves placement of large wood (logs, root wads, log structures) into a stream channel in order to improve aquatic habitat that currently does not meet quality criteria for stream species habitat. A stream assessment (i.e. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol) should be conducted in order to document habitat components lacking for aquatic species (i.e. large wood, pools). A project design for wood placement will be based on assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large wood and root wads placed into the stream will mimic genus, age, and size of mature trees found in intact, reference riparian areas in the MLRA where the project is located. Large wood/trees with rootwads intact should be placed in streams to create pool habitat according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review \& approval of a fish habitat biologist. Boulders placed to provide ballast shall only be used if the geomorphic setting and project design demand this component. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized, including timing of work windows required for protected aquatic and riparian species, and protecting/restoring vegetation and substrates of/to areas impacted by heavy equipment. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of project implementation. Monitoring records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern are required.

## Before Situation

In this stream reach, habitat for fish, aquatic insects and/or other stream species is sub-optimal as determined by the NRCS Stream Visual Assessment Protocol score of less than 5 overall. The site does not have adequate food, cover, and perhaps habitat connectivity for desired species. Riparian vegetation quality and/or quantity may also be compromised to the extent that the riparian area and floodplain are not functioning to provide necessary stream and riparian habitat components, such as large wood.

## After Situation:

Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing logs, root wads, and/or wood structures in the channel and/or along the stream bank. Pool habitat in the reach is improved, and hiding cover, food availability and refuge habitat for all stream species is improving.

Feature Measure: Per Structure
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 11,676.40$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$583.82

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 16 | \$2,205.28 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 8 | \$796.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree \& Shrub, Woody, Cuttings, Large | 1309 | Woody pole cuttings or posts 2 to 6 inches in diameter and 6 ft . long. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$11.13 | 300 | \$3,339.00 |
| Boulder | 1761 | Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$91.38 | 10 | \$913.80 |
| Steel, rebar | 1832 | Steel rebar, grade 60. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.78 | 50 | \$39.00 |
| Log, un-anchored | 2035 | Price of log picked up at the Mill. Includes material only. | Ton | \$176.38 | 10 | \$1,763.80 |
| Root Wad | 2045 | Tree stump buried into the streambank with the roots left exposed. Includes material only. | Ton | \$7.93 | 40 | \$317.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management

## Scenario: \#3-Instream rock placement

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the implementation of a stream habitat improvement and management project that places individual boulders or boulder clusters, or rock structures in or adjacent to the stream channel as habitat components. A project design for boulder placement will be based on assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large rocks/boulders placed in the stream channel will mimic geologic material sizes typically present in the watershed or observed in intact, reference stream reaches in the MLRA where the project is located. Boulders should be placed in streams to create pool habitat and hydraulic complexity according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review \& approval of a fish habitat biologis onsite during implementation of the project design. Spawning gravel placement should be placed to restore spawning area substrates potentially disturbed by rock placement. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, spawning habitat, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of stream habitat assessment, and project implementation. Records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern will be required.

## Before Situation:

In this stream reach, habitat for fish, aquatic insects and other stream species is sub-optimal as determined by the NRCS Stream Visual Assessment Protocol score of less than 5 overall. The site does not have adequate food, cover, and perhaps habitat connectivity for desired species. Riparian vegetation quality and/or quantity may be also compromised to the extent that the riparian area and floodplain are not functioning to provide necessary stream and riparian habitat components, such as large wood, leaf matter, and shade.

## After Situation:

Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing boulders or constructing rock structures in the channel and/or along the stream bank. Hydraulic complexity of the habitat in the reach is increased, and hiding cover, food availability and refuge habitat for stream species is improving. Streambank vegetation is increasing and contributing to stability of the streambanks.

Feature Measure: Per Structure
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,125.40

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$706.27

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 16 | \$2,205.28 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 8 | \$796.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree \& Shrub, Woody, Cuttings, Large | 1309 | Woody pole cuttings or posts 2 to 6 inches in diameter and 6 ft . long. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$11.13 | 300 | \$3,339.00 |
| Boulder | 1761 | Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$91.38 | 60 | \$5,482.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
Scenario: \#4-Rock and wood structures

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the implementation of a stream habitat improvement and management project where practices are focused on instream habitat improvement with a combination of rock AND wood structures. This senario involves placement of large wood and rock structures into a stream channel in order to improve aquatic habitat that currently does not meet quality criteria for stream species habitat. A stream assessment (i.e. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol) should be conducted in order to document habitat components (such as large wood, pools) are not currently present in the stream or are limited for aquatic species. A project design for placement of habitat structures (boulders, boulder clusters, wood, wood structures) will be based on assessment of (a) the target stream reach characteristics and (b) those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large rocks/boulders placed in the stream channel will mimic geologic material sizes typically present in the watershed or observed in intact, reference stream reaches in the MLRA where the project is located. Rock boulder sizes should also reflect the geomorphic setting of the stream reach. Large wood placed into the stream under this scenario should be similar in species, age, and size (diameter) as trees found in the surrounding riparian area, to the extent possible. Wood, boulders and/or boulder clusters will be placed in the stream to create pool habitat and hydraulic complexity according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review \& approval of a fish habitat biologist onsite during the planning and implementation of the project. This scenario involves restoring one acre of stream. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of project implementation. Records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern will be required.

## Before Situation:

In this stream reach, habitat for fish, aquatic insects and/or other stream species is sub-optimal as determined by the NRCS Stream Visual Assessment Protocol score of less than 5 . The site does not have adequate food, cover, and perhaps habitat connectivity for desired species. Riparian vegetation quality and/or quantity may also be compromised to the extent that the riparian area and floodplain are not functioning to provide necessary stream habitat components, such as large wood and off-channel refuge habitat.

## After Situation:

Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing logs, rocks, or constructing wood and rock structures in the channel and/or along the stream bank. Pool habitat in the reach is improved, and hiding cover, food availability and refuge habitat for all stream species is improving.

Feature Measure: Per Structure
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 16,695.18$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$834.76
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 24 | \$3,307.92 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 8 | \$796.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 32 | \$1,288.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |

## Materials

Tree \& Shrub, Woody, Cuttings,
1309 Woody pole cuttings or posts 2 to 6 inches in diameter and 6 ft . long. Includes materials and shipping only.
Large
Boulder
Steel, rebar

Log, un-anchored

Root Wad

## Mobilization

 Includes material only.1140 Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or

| Each | $\$ 11.13$ | 300 | $\$ 3,339.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ton | $\$ 91.38$ | 40 | $\$ 3,655.20$ |
| Pound | $\$ 0.78$ | 8 | $\$ 6.24$ |
| Ton | $\$ 176.38$ | 10 | $\$ 1,763.80$ |
| Ton | $\$ 7.93$ | 30 | $\$ 237.90$ |

Practice: 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
Scenario: \#5 - Wood with Lunkers

## Scenario Description:

This scenario involves placement of large wood (root wads) and lunkers (overhang/undercut bank structures) into a stream channel in order to improve aquatic habitat that currently does not meet quality criteria for stream species habitat. A stream assessment (i.e. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol) should be conducted in order to document habitat components lacking for aquatic species (i.e. large wood, pools). A project design for wood placement will be based on assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. These characteristics include channel geometry, channel slope, stream bottom substrate size and composition, and the geomorphic setting influencing the channel form, pattern and profile. Large wood and root wads placed into the stream will mimic genus, age, and size of mature trees found in intact, reference riparian areas in the MLRA where the project is located. Large wood/trees with rootwads intact should be placed in streams to create pool habitat according to NRCS engineering specifications and with close review \& approval of a fish habitat biologist. The planned activity will meet the current 395 standard, and facilitating practice standards utilized, including timing of work windows required for protected aquatic and riparian species, and protecting/restoring vegetation and substrates of/to areas impacted by heavy equipment. Implementation will result in the improvement of instream habitat complexity, hiding and resting cover, and/or increased food availability for fish and other stream species. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of project implementation. Monitoring records demonstrating implementation of this scenario will address resource concerns for stream species of concern are required.

## Before Situation:

In this stream reach, habitat for fish, aquatic insects and/or other stream species is sub-optimal as determined by the NRCS Stream Visual Assessment Protocol score of less than 5 overall. The site does not have adequate food, cover, and perhaps habitat connectivity for desired species. Riparian vegetation quality and/or quantity may also be compromised to the extent that the riparian area and floodplain are not functioning to provide necessary stream and riparian habitat components, such as large wood and undercut bank habitat.

## After Situation:

Stream habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of placing a series of root wads, and/or wood structures in the channel and/or along the stream bank. Pool habitat in the reach is improved, and hiding cover, food availability and refuge habitat for all stream species is improving.

Feature Measure: Bankfull width x reach length

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,268.52$

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 15 | \$1,860.75 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 15 | \$1,492.65 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 30 | \$1,208.10 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimension Lumber, untreated | 1045 | Untreated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. | Board Feet | \$2.00 | 360 | \$720.00 |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 30 | \$1,648.50 |
| Boulder | 1761 | Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$91.38 | 5 | \$456.90 |
| Steel, rebar | 1832 | Steel rebar, grade 60. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.78 | 25 | \$19.50 |
| Root Wad | 2045 | Tree stump buried into the streambank with the roots left exposed. Includes material only. | Ton | \$7.93 | 20 | \$158.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management

## Scenario: \#34-Backwater Refuge

Scenario Description:
Installation of a backwater refuge habitat in connection with the stream channel habitat components. A project design will be based on an assessment of the target stream reach characteristics and those of a suitable reference reach. The backwater refuge is usually installed with other accompanying instream habitat improvements being implemented with other instream habitat improvement scenarios. Backwater refuges placed adjacent to the stream will serve as important reptile, amphibian and invertebrate habitat which has been lost due to sedimentation since the advent of modern agriculture in the watershed. Typical backwater area is 10 ' x 20 ' $\times 3^{\prime}$ deep. Addresses the Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - Habitat Degradation resource concerns of inadequate quantity/quality of food and cover/shelter.

## Before Situation:

In this stream reach, habitat for fish, aquatic insects and other stream species is sub-optimal as determined by SVAP2 or other state specific stream assessment tool. The site does not have adequate food, cover, and perhaps habitat connectivity for desired species. Riparian backwater areas quality and/or quantity has been compromised to the extent that the reptile, amphibian, and invertebrate habitat is no longer available to enhance the overall riparian area and floodplain ecosystem function.

## After Situation:

Stream, riparian and floodplain habitat within the project reach is improving as a result of installation of the shallow backwater refuge structure. Hydrologic complexity of the habitat in the reach is increased, and hiding cover, food availability and refuge habitat for stream and riparian species is improving.

Feature Measure: Per Refuge

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,055.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,0 | 55.44 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 2 | \$248.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 396-Aquatic Organism Passage
Scenario: \#1 - Concrete Dam Removal
Scenario Description:
The full or partial removal of a concrete or earthen dam which is restricting or impeding movement of aquatic organisms to restore aquatic organism passage, improve water quality, and promote functional river ecology and geomorphology. The extent of removal (full or partial) is determined through consultations with the dam owner in consideration of prevailing regulations and site historical status. Adjacent floodplain surfaces above and below the target dam are considered in the planning process to account for shifts in streamflow and geomorphic regime. Resulting channel dimensions and profile are determined on a site-specific basis to reflect--to the fullest extent possible--pre-dam conditions. Removal is completed with an assortment of equipment, including tracked excavators outfitted with hydraulic chisels, hammers and/or buckets with 'thumbs', bull dozers, skid steers, cranes, front-end loaders, and dump trucks. Alternative demolition techniques may include the use of high explosives, diamond-chain, or similar circular saws to remove the dam in a piecewise manner. Removed materials are trucked away and disposed or recycled off-site. Associated practices for practice installation include: (326) Clearing and Snagging, (342) Critical Area Planting, (382) Fence, (390) Riparian Herbaceous Cover, (391) Riparian Forest Buffer, (612) Tree/Shrub Establishment,(395) Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, (410) Grade Stabilization Structure, (584) Channel Bed Stabilization, (580) Streambank and Shoreline Protection, (587) Structure for Water Control, and (578) Stream Crossing.

## Before Situation:

A channel-spanning concrete dam no longer has functional use, may be failing, or creates a hazard to downstream capital infrastructure or communities. The dam blocks upstream aquatic organism migration, and downstream migrants may be diverted into hydraulic structures that increase mortality or result in migration delays or deadends. The dam disrupts the downstream cycling and transport of sediment, woody material and nutrients. The pool created by the dam may impair water quality by increasing temperatures, capturing fine sediment--sometimes laden with heavy metals or other pollutants--later mobilized by high flow events, and creating slackwater habitat for invasive aquatic vegetation. Non-native or exotic fish species inhabit the pool and predate upon and/or displace native fish. Resource concerns include habitat degradation; Ponding, flooding, seasonal high water table, seeps, drifted snow, and Elevated water temperature.

## After Situation:

A 7 foot tall, 85 foot long low head concrete dam is demolished and debris is removed. The geometry and slope of the reach impacted by removal of the dam are restored to pre-dam conditions to the fullest extent practicable. Aquatic organism passage and river ecology and geomorphic conditions are restored to pre-dam conditions to the fullest extent practicable. Resource Concerns are addressed within the context of the site.

Feature Measure: Linear Feet of Low Head Dam and A
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 85.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$41,585.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$489.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 40 | Clearing and Grubbing, includes materials, equipment and labor | Acres | \$281.94 | 1.5 | \$422.91 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 20 | \$1,906.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 60 | \$8,269.80 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 60 | \$3,244.20 |
| Water management, Flooding \& dewatering | 969 | Includes equipment and power unit. Labor not included. | Acre Feet | \$254.69 | 4 | \$1,018.76 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 80 | \$7,960.80 |
| Hauling, bulk, highway truck | 1615 | Hauling of bulk earthfill, rockfill, waste or debris. One-way travel distance using fully loaded highway dump trucks (typically 16 CY or 20 TN capacity). Includes equipment and labor for truck only. Does not include cost for loading truck. | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.35 | 9200 | \$3,220.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 80 | \$3,211.20 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 60 | \$1,718.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 160 | \$6,443.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 396-Aquatic Organism Passage
Scenario: \#2-Culvert Replacement

## Scenario Description:

A corrugated metal (galvanized steel or aluminum) pipe culvert (CMP) of any shape (round, elliptical, or squash) used where a field access road intersects with a stream crossing. The aquatic organism passage (AOP) will provide and promote stream ecological and geomorphic function. CMPs used for AOP are filled with a mixture of rock and gravel sized to emulate site stream conditions and geomorphic units in the channel. The simulated streambed material is continuous throughout the culvert barrel, and blended with the intact streambed at the culvert inlet and outlet. Any associated road surface reinstallation is not included as part of this practice. If the reinstallation of a field access lane is needed, consider the planning and application of the associated road surface reinstallation through (560) Access Road. Other associated practices include, but is not limited to (342) Critical Area Planting, (382) Fence, (390) Riparian Herbaceous Cover, (391) Riparian Forest Buffer, (612) Tree/Shrub Establishment; (395) Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, (410) Grade Stabilization Structure, (584) Channel Bed Stabilization, (580) Streambank and Shoreline Protection.

## Before Situation:

An existing undersized culvert has contributed to general bed and bank scour downstream of a road crossing, and may have contributed to deposition of sediment upstream of the road crossing, or has been designed for typical stormwater capacity, but is inadequate for proper aquatic organism passage. An undersized culvert is causing the associated road to be overtopped by high flows, resulting in outright failure and landowner accessibility problems. An upstream impoundment created by the undersized culvert has contributed to water quality problems including high water temperatures and sediment deposition. An inadequately sized culvert results in native aquatic organisms being unable to pass through the road crossing because the culvert outlet is perched above the downstream pool, and high velocities are not negotiable by animals that are able to leap into the culvert barrel. Resource concerns addressed include: INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE ???Habitat degradation; EXCESS WATER ??? Ponding, flooding, seasonal high water table, seeps, and drifted snow; WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION ??? Elevated water temperature; SOIL EROSION??? Excessive bank erosion from streams shorelines or water conveyance channels.

## After Situation:

The undersized culvert is replaced with a CMP sized, placed, and backfilled with material determined by geomorphic analyses performed in a reference upstream reach of the crossing location. Geomorphic and ecological functions are preserved through the crossing site, enhancing AOP, water quality, and culvert longevity. In addition, because the culvert is sized to transport the streamflow, the culvert requires decreased maintenance activities over time. Landowners are able to access their property across a range of flows, and are able to seek and receive emergency and post-flood recovery services.

Feature Measure: CMP
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,604.75$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,604.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 96 | \$575.04 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 6 | \$826.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, CMP, 14-12 gauge, weight priced | 1589 | 14 and 12 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.94 | 2600 | \$2,444.00 |
| Aggregate, river rock | 1834 | Well graded, rounded mineral substrates derived from local riverine settings. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$33.53 | 4 | \$134.12 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#1-Embankment 4in-6in Pipe

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principal spillway pipe (PVC or Steel) of 6 inches or less with antiseep collars. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 5,000 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), and 100 feet of pipe 6' PVC pipe with a canopy inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412), will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 5,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$22,238.96
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.45
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 8 | \$762.40 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 78 | \$13,557.96 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 78 | \$1,391.52 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 86 | \$3,463.22 |

Materials

| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 50 | \$373.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 358 | \$830.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#2 - Embankment 8in-12in Pipe

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principal spillway pipe (PVC or Steel) of $8^{\prime}$ to $12^{\prime}$ with antiseep collars. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 8000 cubic yards(including core trench backfill), and 100 feet of pipe 10' PVC pipe with a canopy inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 8,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 37,138.27$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.64

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 29 | \$173.71 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 12 | \$1,143.60 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 124 | \$21,553.68 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 124 | \$2,212.16 |

## Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 144 | \$5,798.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 72 | \$537.84 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1213 | \$2,814.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#3-Embankment >12in

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principle spillway pipe greater than 12 inches with anti-seep collars or sand diaphragm. Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 11,000 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), 120 feet of 18 ' Steel pipe with a canopy inlet, and 16 cubic yard sand diaphragm with outlet. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 11,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$56,121.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.10
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 29 | \$173.71 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 24 | \$2,287.20 |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 170 | \$29,549.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 170 | \$3,032.80 |

## Labor

## General Labor

Equipment Operators, Heavy

Materials Sand, typical ASTM delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included.
2870 Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materias

1137 Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. quipment ill hauled simultaneously. 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#4 - Embankment Tile Conduit

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a 6' HDPE corrugated plastic tubing principal spillway conduit. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 3100 cubic yards (including core trench backfill), and 80 feet of 6 ' CPT with a plastic inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as: Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 3,100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,346.12

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 56 | \$5,336.80 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 60 | \$2,416.20 |

## Materials

Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80$ 2 453.60

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#5 - Embankment Tile Conduit with Plunge Pool and Riprap Backslope

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a corrugated plastic tubing principal spillway conduit, and riprap/geotextile features on the dam backslope and at the pipe outlet. The structure is built on very erodible soil where significant gully erosion exists in wooded areas. Vegetative establishment on the the lower $60 \%$ of the backslope of the dam is not possible, so the embankment is protected against surface erosion with geotextile lined riprap. The area at the pipe outlet is protected with a riprap and geotextilelined plunge pool to prevent excessive channel degradation that would destabilize the toe of the dam. The structure is intended to stabilize the grade and control erosion in the gully, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Non-crop areas disturbed by construction and earthfill surfaces not protected with geotextile/riprap are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is stabilized, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 3,100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$32,383.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.45
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 56 | \$5,336.80 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 60 | \$2,416.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 165 | \$22,037.40 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 71.6 | \$166.11 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 60.8 | \$128.29 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#6 - Pipe Drop, Smooth Steel or CMP, <1000 CY Earthfill

## Scenario Description:

A full flow pipe drop (ie: riser and barrel) grade stabilization structure designed and constructed with a sand diaphragm. This is typically a earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the riser weir length (Diameter x 3.14) in feet times the length of the pipe barrel in (feet). Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a smooth steel or corrugated metal pipe drop structure with 600 CY of earthfill, a $36^{\prime}, 12^{\prime}$ tall riser and a 100 ' long $24^{\prime}$ barrel (Riser Weir length $\times$ Barrel Length $=3 \mathrm{ft} \times 3.14 \times 100 \mathrm{ft}=942$ ). Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting ( 342 ). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Riser Weir Length x Barrel Length
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 942.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$19,591.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.80
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 1.4 | \$662.91 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 600 | \$2,304.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 100 | \$599.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 36 | \$1,365.12 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/16 in. | 1048 | Flat Steel Plate, 3/16 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$11.20 | 16 | \$179.20 |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. $x 2$ 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, 2 1/2 inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch x 1/4 inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 10 | \$41.70 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 3 | \$67.23 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 288 | \$970.56 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 11760.2 | \$11,289.79 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#7 - Full Flow Straight Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A full flow straight pipe grade stabilization structure. This is typically a earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the diameter of the pipe in inches times the length of the pipe in feet. Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a PVC or corrugated metal pipe, 12' in diameter, 60 ' long. (diameter $x$ pipe length $=12 \mathrm{in} \times 60 \mathrm{ft}=720$ ). Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: pipe diameter x pipe length
Scenario Unit: Diameter Inch Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 720.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,899.38$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6.80$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 425 | \$1,632.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 74 | \$443.26 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 4 | \$384.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 570 | \$1,322.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#8-Open Flow Drop Spillway

## Scenario Description:

A Straight or semicircular drop structure composed of metal or reinforced concrete used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a semicircular steel toe wall structure with a drop of 3 ft and weir length of 30 ft ( 90 square feet). The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (ie: outlet apron elevation).Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of Weir length times Drop Hei
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 90.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$18,598.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$206.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 9 | \$4,821.30 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 13 | \$14.04 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 40 | \$96.80 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 400 | \$1,536.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 85 | \$3,411.90 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 11 | \$604.45 |
| Corrugated Steel, 12 Gauge, galvanized | 1376 | Corrugated Steel, 12 gauge, 3 inch by 1 inch corrugations, galvanized, meets ASTM A 929. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$18.44 | 275 | \$5,071.00 |
| Pipe, CMP, 14-12 gauge, weight priced | 1589 | 14 and 12 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.94 | 24 | \$22.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#9 - Rock Rip Rap Chute

## Scenario Description:

A full flow chute structure with rip rap, geotextile fabric, and earthfill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute designed to handle 90 cfs ( 20 BW, $5: 1$ Chute Slope, 5' Drop, 18' rock thickness). Amount of rock required is $86 \mathrm{CY}(129$ tons). Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems.

## Before Situation

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of rip rap installed
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 86.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,627.15$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$111.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 197 | \$212.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 100 | \$384.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 6 | \$744.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 129 | \$7,088.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#10-Grouted Rock Rip Rap Chute
Scenario Description:
A full flow chute structure with grouted rip rap, geotextile fabric, and earthfill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is absed upon typical amount of rock of 46 cubic yards of grouted rip rap. Typical Chute has 10' BW, 6' Drop, with 3' of Grout, 70 CFS capacity. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of rip rap installed
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 46.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 7,499.98$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$163.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 9 | \$1,870.83 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 107 | \$115.56 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 6 | \$744.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 65 | \$3,571.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#11-Gabion Chute

## Scenario Description:

A full flow chute structure with rock filled gabion baskets, geotextile fabric, and earthfill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute with 12 ' BW, $4: 1$ chute slope, $6^{\prime}$ drop to handle design flow of 100 cfs. 25 CY of gabion baskets. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover.Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of gabion baskets
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,163.91$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$406.56

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 85 | \$91.80 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 200 | \$768.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 12 | \$1,488.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 12 | \$1,602.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gabion basket or mat | 1378 | Gabion baskets or mats installed and filled on grade, includes materials, transport, equipment, and labor, does not include geotextile fabric. | Cubic Yards | \$200.11 | 25 | \$5,002.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 | 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#12-Geotextile Reinforced Vegetated Outlet

## Scenario Description:

A full flow chute structure with geotextile fabric, erosion control blanket, riprap outlet and earthfill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute designed to handle 50 cfs ( $16^{\prime}$ BW, 6:1 Chute Slope, $6^{\prime}$ Drop). Amount of geotextile required is 1050 SF. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Square Ft of Geotextile lined area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,050.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,691.94$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.52

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 163 | \$176.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 100 | \$384.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 6 | \$744.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 17 | \$934.15 |
| Erosion Control Blanket, biodegradable | 1213 | Biodegradable erosion control blanket, typically a composite of natural fibers with reinforcing polymer netting. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.57 | 163 | \$255.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#13-Open Flow Drop Spillway-High overfall or sheet pile

## Scenario Description:

A straight drop structure used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a sheet pile structure with a weir length of 35 ', Weir notch height of 2 ' and drop of 4 ' with a total capacity of 335 cfs . The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (ie: outlet apron elevation).Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of Weir length times Drop Hei
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 140.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$47,862.16
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$341.87
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 165 | \$178.20 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 400 | \$1,536.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 16 | \$2,205.28 |
| Sheet piling, steel, 15 ft . | 1337 | Steel sheet pile, panels or barrier driven up to 15 feet and left in place. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Square Feet | \$36.83 | 838 | \$30,863.54 |
| Rock Riprap, grouted | 1757 | Grouted Rock Riprap, includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$203.80 | 45 | \$9,171.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 16 | \$644.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 42 | \$2,307.90 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#14-Treated Wood Drop Structure

## Scenario Description:

A Straight or Box Drop structure composed of treated wood used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a treated wood box drop structure with a drop of 3.4 ft and weir length of 24 ft . The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (ie: outlet apron elevation). Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of Weir length times Drop Hei
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 81.60
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,158.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.22
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 8 | \$769.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 6 | \$239.34 |
| Block, concrete | 253 | Concrete block, hollow, normal weight, 3500 psi. Includes both full and partial sizes. Material only | Each | \$2.14 | 345 | \$738.30 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 660 | \$1,128.60 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 312 | \$1,051.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure

## Scenario: \#15-Concrete Drop Structure

## Scenario Description:

A Straight or Box Drop structure composed of reinforced concrete used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a concrete box drop structure with a drop of 4 ft and weir length of 16 ft . The unit of payment measurement is cubic yards of concrete placed. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yard of Concrete
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 12.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,771.49$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,064.29

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 12 | \$6,428.40 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 20 | \$21.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 300 | \$1,152.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 8 | \$1,102.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |

Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 50 | \$2,747.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure

## Scenario: \#16-Concrete Block Chute

## Scenario Description:

A full flow chute structure with concrete blocks, geotextile fabric, and earthfill/earthmoving. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon typical chute designed to handle 65 cfs (10' BW, $5^{\prime}$ Drop). 518 Concrete blocks required. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Square feet of concrete block lined
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 460.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,659.48$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.30

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 52 | \$56.16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 300 | \$1,152.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 6 | \$744.30 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 45 | \$1,288.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 9 | \$341.28 |
| Block, concrete | 253 | Concrete block, hollow, normal weight, 3500 psi. Includes both full and partial sizes. Material only | Each | \$2.14 | 518 | \$1,108.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#17-Side Inlet

## Scenario Description:

A side inlet drain structure. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Typical length of pipe is 30 feet. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Cost data is applicable to organic and convention agricultural production systems. See the 'Grade Stabilization' conservation practice standard.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Grassed Waterway (412) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Length of Pipe installed
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,531.63

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$117.72

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 2117.7 | \$2,032.99 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#18 - Concrete Drop Box with PVC outlet pipe
Scenario Description:
PVC pipe drop structure with reinforced concrete drop box inlet for transferring runoff from higher to lower elevations to prevent headcutting and gully erosion. Structure is designed for 7 cubic feet per second and has a $5^{\prime}$ overfall, $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime} \times 3$ ' drop box and 12 ' diameter PVC outlet pipe. Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting ( 342 ). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,421.85$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$85.55

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 0.5 | \$267.85 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 6 | \$744.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 11 | \$438.79 |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 2.2 | \$120.89 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 380 | \$881.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#33 - Pipe Drop, Smooth Steel or CMP, >1000 CY Earthfill

## Scenario Description:

A full flow pipe drop (ie: riser and barrel) grade stabilization structure designed and constructed with a sand diaphragm. This is typically an earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the riser weir length (Diameter x 3.14) in feet times the length of the pipe barrel in (feet). Installed to stabilize the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a smooth steel or corrugated metal pipe drop structure with 2500 CY of earthfill, a $36^{\prime}, 12^{\prime}$ tall riser and a 100 ' long $24^{\prime}$ barrel (Riser Weir length $\times$ Barrel Length $=3 \mathrm{ft} \times 3.14 \times 100 \mathrm{ft}=942$ ). Disturbed areas and earthfill surfaces are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Riser Weir Length x Barrel Length
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 942.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$29,807.05
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$31.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 1.4 | \$662.91 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2500 | \$9,600.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 100 | \$599.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 30 | \$1,204.20 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 60 | \$1,718.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 36 | \$1,365.12 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/16 in. | 1048 | Flat Steel Plate, 3/16 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$11.20 | 16 | \$179.20 |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. x 2 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, $21 / 2$ inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch $\times 1 / 4$ inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 10 | \$41.70 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 328 | \$1,105.36 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 11760.2 | \$11,289.79 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#45-Panel Rock Drop Structures

## Scenario Description:

Straight Drop structure constructed of rock riprap held in place by galvanized wire 'cattle' panels. These structures are used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a structure with a drop of 4 ft and weir length of 8 ft ( 32 square feet). The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (ie: outlet apron elevation).Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of Weir length times Drop Hei
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 32.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,088.87

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$96.53

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 17 | \$41.14 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 40 | \$153.60 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 3 | \$372.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |

## Materials

| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 124 | \$536.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 25 | \$1,373.75 |
| Geotextile, non-woven, heavy weight | 1210 | Non-woven greater than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.91 | 27 | \$51.57 |
| Cattle Panel | 1409 | Welded wire cattle panel typically $1 / 4$ inch galvanized steel rods, 50 in. high $x 16 \mathrm{ft}$. long. Materials only. | Each | \$32.94 | 2 | \$65.88 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#60 - Embankment, Pipe <= 6 inch

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principal spillway pipe of 6 inches or less. Assessment shows anti-seep collars or sand diaphragms are not required. To stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 2,000 cubic yards, and 80 feet of pipe 6 ' PVC pipe with a canopy inlet. A small, non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

After Situation:
Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Livestock Pipeline (516) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,828.65
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2000 | \$7,680.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 5 | \$620.25 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 5 | \$201.35 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 286.4 | \$664.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#61 - Embankment, Pipe 8-12 inch

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principle spillway pipe between 8 and 12 inches, anti-seep collars or sand diaphragm, and excavated plunge pool basin. Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 2,500 cubic yards, 90 feet of 10 ' pace, pipe with a canopy inlet, and 3 cubic yard sand diaphragm. A non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Livestock Pipeline (516) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,849.30
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.14
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2500 | \$9,600.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 29 | \$173.71 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 10 | \$1,240.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 30 | \$1,204.20 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10 | \$402.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 3 | \$113.76 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1133 | \$2,628.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#62-Embankment, Pipe >12 inch

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principle spillway pipe greater than 12 inches. Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 2,500 cubic yards, smooth steel drop inlet principle spillway with a 7 ft riser and 90 ft barrel, and 82 Square feet of anti-seep collars. A rock lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Livestock Pipeline (516) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$25,379.25

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.15

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 1 | \$207.87 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 2 | \$1,071.40 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2500 | \$9,600.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 129 | \$772.71 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 13 | \$1,612.65 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 38 | \$1,525.32 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 42 | \$1,202.88 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 13 | \$523.51 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 14 | \$1,869.84 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 30 | \$51.30 |
| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 82 | \$612.54 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 4898.5 | \$4,702.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#63 - Embankment, Soil Treatment

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment dam with a principal spillway pipe where on site soils are not acceptable and require extra processing or hauling from off farm, distances greater than one mile. Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a typical amount of earthfill of 2,500 cubic yards, 90 feet of 10' pace, pipe with a canopy inlet, and 3 cubic yard sand diaphragm. A non-lined plunge pool protects the outlet channel. Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Livestock Pipeline (516) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Earthfill

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$26,599.30

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.64

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2500 | \$9,600.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 29 | \$173.71 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 10 | \$1,240.50 |
| Hauling, bulk, highway truck | 1615 | Hauling of bulk earthfill, rockfill, waste or debris. One-way travel distance using fully loaded highway dump trucks (typically 16 CY or 20 TN capacity). Includes equipment and labor for truck only. Does not include cost for loading truck. | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.35 | 25000 | \$8,750.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 30 | \$1,204.20 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10 | \$402.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 3 | \$113.76 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1133 | \$2,628.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#64 - Pipe Drop, Plastic

## Scenario Description:

A full flow pipe drop (ie: riser and barrel) grade stabilization structure designed and constructed using plastic pipe without anti-seep collars. This is typically a earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the riser weir length (Diameter x 3.14) in feet times the length of the pipe barrel in (feet). Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon 6 ft high $18^{\prime}\left(1.5^{\prime}\right)$ PVC riser with a 40 ft long barrel ( $1.5^{\prime} \times 3.14 \times 40^{\prime}=188 \mathrm{SF}$ ). Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting ( 342 ). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Riser Weir Length x Barrel Length
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 188.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,783.58$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$52.04

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 1 | \$535.70 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 100 | \$384.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 2 | \$248.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coupling, PVC, Tee, $24 \times 18$, SCH 40 | 1374 | Materials: - Tee, $24 \times 18$ inch - PVC - SCH 40 - ASTM D1785 | Each | \$4,729.09 | 1 | \$4,729.09 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. => 18 in., weight priced | 1958 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters equal to or greater than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.44 | 1048.1 | \$2,557.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#68 - Pipe Drop, Steel

## Scenario Description:

A full flow pipe drop (ie: riser and barrel) grade stabilization structure designed and constructed with a metal anti-seep collar. This is typically a earthen dry dam structure with no permanent storage (water or sediment), however some structures may have some permanent pool / storage but do not have 35 years of sediment life. Payment rate is based upon the riser weir length (Diameter $\times 3.14$ ) in feet times the length of the pipe barrel in (feet). Installed to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a smooth steel pipe drop structure with a $36^{\prime}, 12^{\prime}$ tall riser and a 100 ' long 30 ' barrel (Riser Weir length $\times$ Barrel Length $=3 \mathrm{ft} \times 3.14 \times 30 \mathrm{ft}=940$ ). Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), and Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Riser Weir Length x Barrel Length
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 940.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 19,374.79$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.61
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 600 | \$2,304.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 100 | \$599.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |

## Materials

| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 30 | \$224.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 9 | \$201.69 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 13577.2 | \$13,034.11 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure

## Scenario: \#69-Weir Drop Structures

## Scenario Description:

A Straight, semicircular, or Box Drop structure composed of metal or reinforced concrete used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a semicircular steel toe wall structure with a drop of 3 ft and weir length of 30 ft ( 90 square feet). The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (ie: outlet apron elevation).Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of Weir length times Drop Hei
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 90.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,407.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$148.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 9 | \$4,821.30 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 9 | \$9.72 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 40 | \$96.80 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 75 | \$288.00 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 5 | \$620.25 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 5 | \$201.35 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 11 | \$604.45 |
| Corrugated Steel, 12 Gauge, galvanized | 1376 | Corrugated Steel, 12 gauge, 3 inch by 1 inch corrugations, galvanized, meets ASTM A 929. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$18.44 | 212 | \$3,909.28 |
| Pipe, CMP, 14-12 gauge, weight priced | 1589 | 14 and 12 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.94 | 24 | \$22.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 410-Grade Stabilization Structure
Scenario: \#70-Rock Drop Structures

## Scenario Description:

A Straight Drop structure constructed of rock riprap held in place by galvanized wire, such as, gabion baskets, fence panels, or 'sausage' baskets. These structures are used to stabilized the grade and control erosion in natural or artificial channels, to prevent the formation or advancing of gullies, and to enhance environmental quality and reduce pollution hazards. Applied in areas where the concentration and flow velocity of water require structures to stabilize the grade in channels or to control gully erosion. Cost estimate is based upon a gabion wall structure with a drop of 3 ft and weir length of 8 ft ( 48 square feet). The unit of payment measurement is defined as weir length times drop in 'feet'. The drop (feet) is defined as the structure inlet crest elevation minus the control outlet elevation (ie: outlet apron elevation).Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
The operator presently has gullies forming and/or worsening on the farmland and impacting the useable area and the downstream water quality. Erosion from the gullies is allowing soil and possibly nutrients to be transported to downstream receiving waters degrading water quality and causing soil loss.

## After Situation:

Area is stabilized. The advancement and/or formation of gullies is stopped, soil from gullies no longer leaves the farm, useable farm area is increased, sedimentation and other pollution hazards are decreased, and water quality downstream is protected. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Pond (378), Dam (402), Fence (382), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Dike (356), Grassed Waterway (412), Structure for Water Control (587), Subsurface Drain (606), and Underground Outlet (620) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Feet of Weir length times Drop Hei
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 48.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,997.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$104.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 23 | \$24.84 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 7 | \$16.94 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 40 | \$153.60 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 5 | \$620.25 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 210 HP | 1201 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 190 to 240. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$126.71 | 3 | \$380.13 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 5 | \$201.35 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gabion basket or mat | 1378 | Gabion baskets or mats installed and filled on grade, includes materials, transport, equipment, and labor, does not include geotextile fabric. | Cubic Yards | \$200.11 | 7 | \$1,400.77 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#1-<35 foot top width
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, 30 ' topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.25 ' depth, $55 \%$ excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30 ' topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, $1.25^{\prime}$ depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,591.75
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,591.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 369.5 | \$554.25 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 369.5 | \$1,296.95 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen ( N ), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412 - Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#2-35-55 foot top width
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, 45 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth, $50 \%$ excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,764.59
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,764.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 404 | \$606.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 404 | \$1,418.04 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen ( N ), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#3 - >55 foot top width
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, 60 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, 2.0 depth, $50 \%$ excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $2.0^{\prime}$ depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,435.93
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,435.93
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 538 | \$807.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 538 | \$1,888.38 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen ( N ), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#4-<35 foot top width with checks
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, 30 topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30 ' topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,634.66
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,634.66
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 369.5 | \$554.25 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 369.5 | \$1,296.95 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 14 | \$1,869.84 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |


| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#5-35-55 foot top width with checks
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, $45^{\prime}$ topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gully which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$6,072.12
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,072.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 403.5 | \$605.25 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 403.5 | \$1,416.29 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 16 | \$2,136.96 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |


| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#6->55 foot top width with checks
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, 60 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $2.0^{\prime}$ depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

## After Situation:

Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60 topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $2.0^{\prime}$ depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,612.40$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,612.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 538 | \$807.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 538 | \$1,888.38 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 15 | \$2,003.40 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |


| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#7-<35 foot top width, crop season construction
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, 30 ' topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.25 ' depth, $55 \%$ excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

## Before Situation:

The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

## After Situation:

Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30 ' topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.25 depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,431.67
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,431.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 369.5 | \$554.25 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 369.5 | \$1,296.95 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.5 | \$697.16 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.5 | \$562.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#8-<35 foot top width with checks, crop season construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1 acre, $30^{\prime}$ topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 30 ' topwidth, $8: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,474.58$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,474.58
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 369.5 | \$554.25 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 369.5 | \$1,296.95 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.5 | \$697.16 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.5 | \$562.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 14 | \$1,869.84 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |


| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#9-35-55 foot top width, crop season construction
Scenario Description:
Typical practice is 1 acre, $45^{\prime}$ topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth, $50 \%$ excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

## Before Situation:

The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

## After Situation

Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,604.51

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,604.51

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 404 | \$606.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 404 | \$1,418.04 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.5 | \$697.16 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.5 | \$562.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#10-35-55 foot top width with checks, crop season construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1 acre, $45^{\prime}$ topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gully which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 45 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $1.5^{\prime}$ depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$6,912.04
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,912.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 403.5 | \$605.25 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 403.5 | \$1,416.29 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.5 | \$697.16 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.5 | \$562.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 16 | \$2,136.96 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |


| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#11->55 foot top width, crop season construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1 acre, 60 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, 2.0 depth, $50 \%$ excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $2.0^{\prime}$ depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,275.85
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,275.85
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 538 | \$807.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 538 | \$1,888.38 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.5 | \$697.16 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.5 | \$562.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen ( N ), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#12 - >55 foot top width with checks, crop season construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1 acre, 60 ' topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, $2.0^{\prime}$ depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1 acre, 60 topwidth, $10: 1$ side slopes, 2.0 depth. Checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. Waterway area is fertilized and seeded for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,452.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,452.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 1 | \$8.78 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 538 | \$807.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 538 | \$1,888.38 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.5 | \$697.16 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.5 | \$562.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 15 | \$2,003.40 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |


| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 412 - Grassed Waterway

## Scenario: \#21-Base Waterway

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1200 ' long, 12 ' bottom, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.5 ' depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

After Situation:
Installed grassed waterway is 1200 ' long, 12 ' bottom, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.5 ' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,432.27
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,432.27
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 800 | \$1,200.00 |

## Labor

General Labo
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and
Hours $\quad \$ 28.64 \quad 4 \quad \$ 114.56$ other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.
Supervisor or Manager
234 Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew
Hours $\quad \$ 45.97 \quad 1$
$\$ 45.97$ supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc.
Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 30 | \$20.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 2 | \$52.36 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 412-Grassed Waterway
Scenario: \#22-With Checks

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1200 ' long, 12 ' bottom, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.5 ' depth, half excavation. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. Fabric or stone checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway perpendicular to waterflow and are $2 / 3$ the waterway top width to reduce maintenance and provide temporary protection until vegetation is established. Fabric Checks are installed 18 ' deep with $12^{\prime}$ laid over on the surface. (Alternatively, rock checks could be installed). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding area is $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for disturbed areas. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway.

Before Situation:
The field has a small gulley which is cutting deeper into the field as time goes on, so it needs to be stopped or controlled. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result from ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Gully has formed in field as a result of excessive runoff and poor cropping techniques. Grassed waterway is also commonly installed to covey runoff from concentrated flows, terrarces, diversions, or water control structures or similar practices to a suitable, stable outlet.

## After Situation:

Installed grassed waterway is 1200 ' long, 12' bottom, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.5 ' depth. Fabric checks are installed every 100 feet along the length of the waterway. The practice is installed using a dozer. Fabric or stone checks are installed with small backhoe and labor. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606). Outlets, if needed will be installed using Structure for Water Control (587). If inlet Structures are needed with the drainage tile, then those will be installed using Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Acre of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,721.42$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,721.42
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 33 | \$79.86 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 33 | \$197.67 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 800 | \$1,200.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 |
| Lime, ENM |  |

Geotextile, non-woven, light weight

71 Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed.

73 Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed.
74 K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed.

75 Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field
1209 Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only.

| Pound | $\$ 0.68$ | 30 | $\$ 20.40$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pound | $\$ 0.81$ | 60 | $\$ 48.60$ |
| Pound | $\$ 0.68$ | 60 | $\$ 40.80$ |
| Ton | $\$ 26.18$ | 2 | $\$ 52.36$ |
| Square Yard | $\$ 2.08$ | 132 | $\$ 274.56$ |


| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#81-Native Species

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands being converted to permanent protective cover for wildlife benefit. Scenario is for the establishment of permanent native grasses (native grasses, native grasses and legumes, or native grasses and forbs) to address the resource concern Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat. Applies to conventional or organic systems

## Before Situation:

Crops such as row crops or land utilized as hay land is grown and harvested. On cropland, full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage or harvesting practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 420 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent native grass vegetation which provides cover and food for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,478.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$249.56
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 150 | \$2,106.00 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 100 | \$2,573.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 50 | \$6,748.50 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#100 - Native Species with Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands being converted to permanent protective cover for wildlife benefit. Scenario is for the establishment of permanent native grasses (native grasses, native grasses and legumes, or native grasses and forbs) to address the resource concern Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat. Applies to conventional or organic systems

## Before Situation:

Crops such as row crops or land utilized as hay land is grown and harvested. On cropland, full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage or harvesting practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
The 420 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent native grass vegetation which provides cover and food for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 33,476.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$669.52

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 150 | \$2,106.00 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 100 | \$2,573.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 50 | \$1,050.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 25 | \$11,619.25 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 25 | \$9,378.75 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density

2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less).
Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#101-Pollinator Species

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands being converted to permanent protective cover for wildlife benefit. Scenario is for the establishment of permanent pollinator habitat to address the resource concern Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

Before Situation:
Crops such as row crops or land utilized as hay land is grown and harvested. On cropland, full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/ or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage or harvesting practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

After Situation:
The 420 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent pollinator habitat vegetation which provides cover and food for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$584.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$584.40

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equipment Installation

| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 1 | \$469.81 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#102-Pollinator Species with Forgone Income

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands being converted to permanent protective cover for wildlife benefit. Scenario is for the establishment of permanent pollinator habitat to address the resource concern Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat. Applies to conventional or organic systems. Foregone income is included in this scenario to reflect the fact that the ground had previously been in agricultural production.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as row crops or land utilized as hay land is grown and harvested. On cropland, full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/ or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage or harvesting practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 420 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent pollinator habitat vegetation which provides cover and food for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,004.36

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,004.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#103 - Monarch Species Mix

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands being converted to permanent protective cover for wildlife benefit. Scenario is for the establishment of permanent monarch butterfly habitat to address the resource concern Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as row crops or land utilized as hay land is grown and harvested. On cropland, full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage or harvesting practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 420 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent monarch butterfly habitat vegetation which provides cover and food for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,120.63$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,120.63$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |

Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, limited species availability.

2618 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a highly specialized mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed may have limited availability and be difficult to obtain, e.g. milkweed species. Restricted for use with Wildlife Habitat Planting (420) and Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities (643). Includes materials and shipping.

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#104 - Monarch Species Mix with Foregone Income

## Scenario Description:

This practice applies on land to be retired from agricultural production and on other lands being converted to permanent protective cover for wildlife benefit. Scenario is for the establishment of permanent monarch butterfly habitat to address the resource concern Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat. Applies to conventional or organic systems.

## Before Situation:

Crops such as row crops or land utilized as hay land is grown and harvested. On cropland, full width tillage is utilized, weeds controlled by cultivation and/or chemical application. Soil surface residue amounts average $10 \%$ or less. Soil erosion exceeds allowable tolerance, and sediment may be moving offsite into surface water degrading water quality. Soil quality (soil organic matter) declines over time as a result of tillage or harvesting practices, low residue, and long periods of bare soil. Air quality may be impacted during field operations by the creation of particulates. The system provides little to no wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

The 420 Implementation Requirements have been developed for the site and applied. The land is covered with permanent monarch butterfly habitat vegetation which provides cover and food for beneficial insects and wildlife. This scenario does not apply to plantings for forage production or to critical area plantings.

Feature Measure: Area Planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,540.59$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,540.59$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 3 | \$42.12 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 2 | \$51.46 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, limited species availability.

2618 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a highly specialized mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed may have limited availability and be difficult to obtain, e.g. milkweed species. Restricted for use with Wildlife Habitat Planting (420) and Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities (643). Includes materials and shipping.

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

## Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting

Scenario: \#164-Interseeding Native Forbs, Pollinator or Monarch Mixes

## Scenario Description:

Enhance existing perennial vegetative cover with the interseeding of native forbs, and/or milkweeds and/or other high quality nectar and pollen species to enhance beneficial organism habitat. Scenario is appropriate for conventional or organic production. Payment includes seed, seeding and fertility for interseeding establishment.
Before Situation:
Existing grass/legume stand that lacks the species diversity needed to meet the producer's goals such as attracting targeted species such as the Monarch Butterfly.
After Situation:
A more diverse mix of forbs, milkweed species, native grasses, legumes, and/or forbs provides improved habitat. Payment scenario is based on running a no till drill through ?? of the area to enhance the current perennial vegetation.

Feature Measure: area interseeded
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,048.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$252.43
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 10 | \$4,698.10 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#192 - Very Small Acreage (<.5 ac) Planting with Seedlings

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applicable to very small areas (typical size is ?? acre) in need of wildlife habitat establishment by planting of potted plants, plugs, or similar non-seed plant materials. A wildlife habitat evaluation found the need to improve habitat by altering the current vegetative conditions (diversity, richness, structure or pattern). Potted herbaceous plants and/or shrubs are planted in 6??? rows and a 4??? spacing ( 1815 plants/acre) to facilitate access of the site with mechanical equipment (e.g., ATV, hand or riding mower) for weed control or other management after establishment. The site preparation requires treatment with broad spectrum herbicide to kill the existing vegetation. Then tillage, smoothing and firming of the soil is conducted prior to planting the plant materials.

## Before Situation:

Vegetative habitat conditions (species diversity, richness, structure and pattern) do not meet planning criteria and have the potential to meet or exceed the minimum criteria. Current conditions and management are insufficient to address identified limiting habitat factor(s) or to increase planning criteria above a current planning criterion of at least $50 \%$ of the potential.

After Situation:
The Wildlife Habitat Planting criteria have been successfully implemented. The site has been mechanically and chemically treated and planting has occurred. The area is adequately stocked with desired species and full coverage of permanent vegetation is expected. The vegetative cover will provide the desired habitat requirements for target wildlife. The site meets or exceeds planning criteria for inadequate wildlife habitat.

Feature Measure: sg ft planted (1/4 acre)
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 10,890.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,103.45
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.25 | \$3.51 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 0.25 | \$2.42 |
| Chemical, ground application, forested land | 1313 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment where trees and terrain impede passage of wide boom sprayers. Utilizes forestry application methods that include heavy equipment such as skidders. Includes material, equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$106.98 | 0.25 | \$26.75 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 0.25 | \$3.17 |
| Tree \& Shrub, Specialty | 1523 | Locally-sourced, culturally significant, native, or other highly specialized trees and shrubs (e.g., American chestnut, American elm, Canada yew, Sagebrush). Potted or balled and burlapped tree or shrub, 5 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.69 | 454 | \$6,215.26 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#193-Specialized Habitat Requirements on Cropland with Foregone Income
Scenario Description:
A wildlife habitat evaluation or plant community inventory indicates a potential to improve wildlife habitat by altering the current vegetation conditions (species diversity, richness, structure and pattern) and changing use (annual crop to permanent vegetation) by establishing herbaceous plants. This practice scenario applies to cropland currently in production. The inadequate wildlife habitat resource concern is met by planting a specialized and often diverse mix of seeds that is NOT readily available for purchase, in combination with minor seed bed preparation. Seed is not available from traditional agricultural vendors and requires making a special order. Cost of seed is high due to limited availability and plant materials selected are needed to meet specific habitat requirements or ecosystem functions. Weed pressure is minimal due to current and past management. Control or suppression of existing undesirable vegetation is accomplished through a single herbicide treatment. Post-planting weed treatment, beyond normal practice maintenance if necessary, will be accomplished by applying additional practice standards as appropriate.

## Before Situation:

Vegetative habitat conditions (species diversity, richness, structure and pattern) do not meet planning criteria and do not have the potential to meet or exceed the minimum criteria through vegetative management activities alone. Current conditions and management are insufficient to address identified limiting habitat factor(s) or to increase planning criteria above a current planning criterion of at least $50 \%$ of the potential.

After Situation:
The land is no longer in crop production. Desired species have been planted and the Wildlife Habitat Planting criteria have been successfully implemented. As a result, the site will meet or exceed planning criteria for inadequate wildlife habitat.

Feature Measure: acres planted (per acre)
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,270.45
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,654.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 5 | \$70.20 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 5 | \$32.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 5 | \$105.05 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, limited species availability. | 2618 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a highly specialized mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed may have limited availability and be difficult to obtain, e.g. milkweed species. Restricted for use with Wildlife Habitat Planting (420) and Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities (643). Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$1,006.04 | 5 | \$5,030.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#257-Interplanting with potted plants or shrubs

## Scenario Description:

Herbaceous potted plants (e.g., milkweed) or shrubs are interplanted into existing herbaceous habitat to meet a missing life-need or habitat component. The typical scenario includes treatment of broad-spectrum herbicide prior to planting on a $4.5 \times 50$-foot area, followed by hand planting of 12 potted plants, plugs, or seedlings at 4 foot spacings. Noxious weeds are controlled during the 1st summer by spot treatment (hand removal or herbicide). An alternative arrangement for this scenario is a block planting of a $15 \times 15$ area, with three rows spaced 4 feet apart.

Before Situation:
The habitat is lacking a single life-need.
After Situation:
The habitat is providing all life needs of the identified wildlife species, considering the scale of the land unit.
Feature Measure: square feet treated and planted
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 225.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$431.81

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 2 | \$25.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Tree \& Shrub, Specialty | 1523 | Locally-sourced, culturally significant, native, or other highly specialized trees and shrubs (e.g., American chestnut, American elm, Canada yew, Sagebrush). Potted or balled and burlapped tree or shrub, 5 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.69 | 12 | \$164.28 |

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#270-Small Planting - Pollinator Mix

## Scenario Description:

Permanent vegetation, including a mix of grasses, legumes and forbs established on any land needing permanent vegetative cover that provides habitat, cover, and food for pollinators. Typical size varies depending on the site feasibility for length and width. Urban sites typical size is 2000 square feet ( $20 \times 100 \mathrm{ft}$ ). This scenario included mechanical site preparation. This practice scenario may also reduce wind and water erosion, improve soil quality, reduce water quality degradation and reduce air emissions of particulate matter or greenhouse gases. Applies to conventional and organic systems. This scenario does not applied to areas needing Critical AreaPlanting.

Before Situation:
Crop rotation include specialty crops such as vegetable and fruit/berry production that benefit from pollinator activity. Urban agricultural sites do not provide for pollinator habitat at this time. Planting operations include mechanical removal of weeds. Land adjacent to the planting beds is not managed for resource concerns.
After Situation:
The 420 implementation requirements have been developed for the site and applied. Land is in permanent vegetative cover reducing erosion and sediment delivery to water. Pollinator habitat has successfully established providing habitat and cover for pollinators and beneficial insects.

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$620.25

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$310.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

Tillage, Light
Mechanical weed control,
Vegetation termination

Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill

General Labor

Supervisor or Manager

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

## Mobilization

Mobilization, small equipment

1138 Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds.

Practice: 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting
Scenario: \#271-High Species Diversity on Cropland with Foregone Income

## Scenario Description:

A wildlife habitat evaluation or plant community inventory indicates a potential to improve wildlife habitat by altering the current vegetation conditions (species diversity, richness, structure and pattern) and changing use (annual crop to permanent vegetation) by establishing herbaceous plants. This practice scenario applies to cropland currently in production. The inadequate wildlife habitat resource concern is met by planting a moderately diverse mix of seeds that is readily available for purchase from multiple vendors in combination with minor seed bed preparation. Seed is typically not available from traditional agricultural vendors and requires making a special order or ordering online. Weed pressure is minimal due to current and past management. Control or suppression of existing undesirable vegetation is accomplished through a single herbicide treatment. Post-planting weed treatment, beyond normal practice maintenance if necessary, will be accomplished by applying additional practice standards as appropriate.

Before Situation:
Vegetative habitat conditions (species diversity, richness, structure and pattern) do not meet planning criteria and do not have the potential to meet or exceed the minimum criteria through vegetative management activities alone. Current conditions and management are insufficient to address identified limiting habitat factor(s) or to increase planning criteria above a current planning criterion of at least $50 \%$ of the potential.

After Situation:
The land is no longer in crop production. Desired species have been planted and the Wildlife Habitat Planting criteria have been successfully implemented. As a result, the site will meet or exceed planning criteria for inadequate wildlife habitat.

Feature Measure: acres planted (per acre)
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,027.20
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,205.44
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 5 | \$70.20 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 5 | \$32.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 5 | \$105.05 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 5 | \$2,349.05 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#1-3 row hedgerow, container planting stock

## Scenario Description:

Three rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3 ' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Habitat patches lack connectivity. Cover is inadequate to allow wildlife to exploit cropland food resources. Berries and mast are limited.
After Situation:
Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made availble by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.

Feature Measure: length of hedgerow
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,238.85
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.48

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 12 | \$150.12 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.3 | \$139.43 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.3 | \$112.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 100 | \$779.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 100 | \$541.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#2-1 row hedgerow, container trees planting stock

## Scenario Description:

One row of container trees planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3 ' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Habitat patches lack connectivity. Cover is inadequate to allow wildlife to exploit cropland food resources. Berries and mast are limited.
After Situation:
Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made availble by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.

Feature Measure: length of hedgerow
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$667.81
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 3 | \$37.53 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 50 | \$270.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#3-1 row hedgerow, container shrubs planting stock

## Scenario Description:

One row of container shrubs planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Habitat patches lack connectivity. Cover is inadequate to allow wildlife to exploit cropland food resources. Berries and mast are limited.
After Situation:
Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made availble by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.

Feature Measure: length of hedgerow

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,299.76
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.60

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 6 | \$75.06 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 100 | \$779.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#4-3 row hedgerow, bareroot seedling planting stock

## Scenario Description:

Three rows of bare-root trees, shrubs or a combination of trees and shrubs are planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3 ' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Habitat patches lack connectivity. Cover is inadequate to allow wildlife to exploit cropland food resources. Berries and mast are limited.
After Situation:
Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made availble by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.

Feature Measure: length of hedgerow
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$989.46

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 2 | \$69.08 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 2 | \$11.34 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.3 | \$139.43 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.3 | \$112.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Small | 1506 | Bare root shrub seedling, 6 to 18 inches tall, includes containerized seedlings less than 10 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.85 | 100 | \$85.00 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 100 | \$162.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#5-1 row hedgerow, bareroot tree seedling planting stock

## Scenario Description:

One row of bare-root trees planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Habitat patches lack connectivity. Cover is inadequate to allow wildlife to exploit cropland food resources. Berries and mast are limited.
After Situation:
Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made availble by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.

Feature Measure: length of hedgerow
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$232.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.46

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 0.5 | \$17.27 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 0.5 | \$2.84 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 0.5 | \$15.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 50 | \$81.00 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#6-1 row hedgerow, bareroot shrub seedling planting stock

## Scenario Description:

One row of bare-root shrubs planted for wildlife habitat (corridor), pollinator habitat, reduction of particulate matter, chemical drift, or odor movement, and boundary delineation and contour guidelines. This practice is typically applied on cropland. Trees and/or shrubs will be planted into previously established bunch grasses that produce erect stems greater than 3' in height and will persist over winter. This herbaceous component will be established according to the guidelines in 327 Conservation Cover. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to machine plant the stock and foregone income for land removed from crop production where hedgerow is installed. Site preparation is not included and must be implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
Habitat patches lack connectivity. Cover is inadequate to allow wildlife to exploit cropland food resources. Berries and mast are limited.
After Situation:
Inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife is addressed for needs identified in the resource assessment. Habitat patches are connected by dense hedgerow vegetation. Food resources in crop fields are made availble by their proximity to hedgerow cover. Planting may include fruit and mast bearing species, improving food supply, depending on needs being addressed.

Feature Measure: length of hedgerow
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$340.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.68

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 1 | \$34.54 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 1 | \$5.67 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.12 | \$55.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.12 | \$45.02 |

## Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1 | \$31.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 100 | \$139.00 |

Practice: 422 - Hedgerow Planting
Scenario: \#28-Pollinator Habitat

## Scenario Description:

In addition to the traditional hedgerow purposes where pollinator habitat is an additional wildlife habitat concern this scenario addresses the resource concern of inadequate fish and wildlife habitat. It provides both physical habitat by providing areas that are not disturbed by annual tillage and supplementle pollen and nector by establishing flowering Trees or shrubs. Typical installation is in or at the edge of cropland or pasture. Typical installation involves tillage to prepare the site for planting. Flowering trees and shrubs adapted for local climatic and edaphic conditions are typically planted at eight foot intervals (this will vary with species selection and density goals). A native grass adapted to the local climatic and edaphic conditions will be drilled into the site at a rate that will achieve a minimum of 20 seeds per square foot. Species adapted to local climatic and edaphic conditions will be listed in the specification for the site. There is tremendous overlap between this practice and conservation practice 380 Windbreak/Shelterbelt establishment. The main difference is that conservation practice 380 is exclusively woody plants where practice 422 provides for the use of herbaceous materials. If a fence is needed to facilitate establishment use practice 382, Fence.

## Before Situation:

Pollen and nector sources are lacking or are only availble for part of the growing season. Large cropland tracks lack undisturbed areas for ground nesting bees

## After Situation:

Flowering plants supply pollen and nector throughout the growing season. Undisturbed areas provide nesting sites for bees and other native pollinators.
Feature Measure: Length of Hedgerow

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 800.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,291.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.11

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 0.25 | \$5.33 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.25 | \$5.25 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 100 | \$2,864.00 |

## Materials

| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 100 | \$139.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 24 in. | 1555 | 24 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$0.53 | 100 | \$53.00 |
| Animal repellent, chemical | 1907 | Chemical animal repellent to protect trees from animal damage. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$31.52 | 0.25 | \$7.88 |
| Animal repellent, organic | 1908 | Organic compound animal repellent to protect trees from animal damage. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$39.81 | 1 | \$39.81 |
| Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2502 | A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and | Acres | \$88.80 | 2 | \$177.60 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#1-Microirrigation Pipeline

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve a micro irrigaion system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as $10 \%$ of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements.Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.
After Situation:
Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$5,812.92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$3.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 1500 | \$2,055.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1109 | \$2,572.88 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#2 - Pipe System <=8 in Diameter, >50 ft Installation

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as $10 \%$ of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.
After Situation:
Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 25,661.96$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 17.11$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 1500 | \$4,395.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 8656 | \$20,081.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#3 - Pipe System <= 8 in Diameter, <= 50 ft Installation

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as $10 \%$ of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements.Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.

## After Situation:

Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,772.90$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 35.46$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 50 | \$146.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 289 | \$670.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#4 - Pipe System 10-12 in Diameter, >50 ft Installation

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigaion system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as \%10 of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements.Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.
After Situation:
Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 34,163.32$
Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 1500 | \$4,395.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 12271 | \$28,468.72 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#5 - Pipe System 10-12 in Diameter, <= 50ft Installation

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as $10 \%$ of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements.Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.

## After Situation:

Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,101.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$42.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 50 | \$146.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 406 | \$941.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#6 - Pipe System >=15 in, >50 ft Installation

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as $10 \%$ of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements.Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.

## After Situation:

Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 52,471.96$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 34.98$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 1500 | \$4,395.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 48 | \$1,374.72 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 19817 | \$45,975.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#7 - Pipe System >=15 in, <= 50ft Installation

## Scenario Description:

Below ground installation of plastic pipeline installed underground between the location of the water pump and the area of irrigation to serve an irrigation system. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included as $10 \%$ of pipe material. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements.Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.
After Situation:
Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.Associated Practices: 436-Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \& Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Feature Measure: Ft of pipe

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,094.18$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 61.88$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 50 | \$146.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 661 | \$1,533.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#70-PVC (Iron Pipe Size), less than or equal to 4 inch, Small Scale System
Scenario Description:
Below ground installation of PVC (Iron Pipe Size) pipeline. PVC (IPS) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 36 - inch; typical practice sizes range from 2-inch to 24 -inch; and typical scenario size is 3 -inch. Construct 260 feet of 3 -inch, Class 125 (SDR-32.5), PVC pipeline with appurtenances, installed below ground with a minimum of 2 feet of ground cover. The unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 260 feet of 3 -inch, Class 125 (SDR-32.5) PVC pipe weighs $0.730 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 189.8 pounds. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional 10\% of pipe material quantity). Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \&Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer.

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.

## After Situation:

Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.

Feature Measure: Length of Pipe
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 260.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,466.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.48

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, $12 \mathrm{in} . \times 48 \mathrm{in}$. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 260 | \$356.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |

## Materials

| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 208.78 | \$484.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#88-HDPE (Iron Pipe Size and Tubing), less than or equal to 2 inch, Small Scale
Scenario Description:
Below ground installation of HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \&Tubing) pipeline. HDPE (IPS \&Tubing) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to $24-$ inch; and typical scenario size is 1 -inch. Construct 260 feet of 1 -inch, Class 130 (SDR 13.5), HDPE pipeline with appurtenances, installed below ground with a minimum 2 feet of ground cover. The unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 260 feet of 1 -inch, Class 130 (SDR-13.5), HDPE weighs $0.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 42 pounds. Appurtenances include: fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $10 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \&Subsurface; 447-Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.
After Situation:
Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.

Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe
Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 42.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,652.92$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.16

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, $12 \mathrm{in} . \times 48 \mathrm{in}$. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 260 | \$356.20 |
| Fuser for HDPE Pipe | 1383 | Fusing machine for 1 to 12 inch diameter HDPE pipe joints. Equipment costs only. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$27.18 | 8 | \$217.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 46 | \$167.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 430-Irrigation Pipeline
Scenario: \#89-Surface HDPE (Iron Pipe Size and Tubing), less than or equal to 2 inch, Small Scale
Scenario Description:
On-ground surface installation of HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \&Tubing) pipeline. HDPE (IPS \&Tubing) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to $24-$ inch; and typical scenario size is 1-inch. Construct 260 feet of 1-inch, Class 130 (SDR 13.5), HDPE pipeline with appurtenances. The unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 260 feet of 1-inch, Class 130 (SDR-13.5), HDPE weighs $0.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 42 pounds. Appurtenances include: fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $10 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers.Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water; Inefficient Energy Use.
Associated Practices: 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443 - Irrigation System, Surface \&Subsurface; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 533 - Pumping Plant; 634 - Waste Transfer

Before Situation:
Pipeline needed to replace or supplement inefficient irrigation conveyance systems.
After Situation:
Pipeline installed to convey and/or distribute water to irrigation systems or reservoirs, minimizing non-beneficial water use, reducing soil erosion, and/or reducing energy use.

Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe

Scenario Unit: Pound

Scenario Typical Size: 42.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$455.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.84
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 46 | \$167.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 436-Irrigation Reservoir
Scenario: \#1 - Underground Tank

## Scenario Description:

A 1,500 gallon, HDPE plastic tank, is installed below ground to store water from a reliable source for irrigation of a small area. Resource Concern: Insufficient Water Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices: 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 533 - Pumping Plant; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery, 558 - Roof Runoff Structure

Before Situation:
Insufficient volume of water to complete an irrigation cycle at the required flow rate.

## After Situation:

A 1500 gallon HDPE tank (dimensions: $111^{\prime} \mathrm{L}$ X $98^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ X $48^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ) installed 2 ft below ground as a means to store water collected from building/surface runoff and/or irrigation tailwater recovery. Scenario describes excavation of pit, placement of tank and backfilling of material over tank including spreading of spoil.

Feature Measure: Volume of Storage Tank
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$3,530.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$2.35 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 17 | \$41.14 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 4 | \$216.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, >1,000 | 1075 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.20 | 1500 | \$1,800.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 436-Irrigation Reservoir
Scenario: \#36-Plastic tank, less than or equal to 1,000 gallons
Scenario Description:
A 1,000 Gallon, above-ground, High Density Polyethylene plastic enclosed tank, is installed on 6' of well-compacted drain rock or a 4' thick reinforced concrete support pad, to store water from a reliable source for irrigation of an area less than one acre. The scenario assumes the typical dimensions of the tank are 72 ' in diameter and 66 tall. The scenario also assumes a $96^{\prime}$ diameter gravel base or concrete pad to extend a minimum of 12' past the base of tank for adequate foundation support. This cost estimate scenario is for cost of the tank and pad only and does not include estimate for pumps, pipe, or connecting fittings. Resource Concern: Insufficient Water Inefficient use of irrigation water. Associated Practices: 430-Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 533 - Pumping Plant; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery.

Before Situation:
Insufficient volume of water to complete an irrigation cycle at the required flow rate.
After Situation:
An above-ground plastic tank, constructed to withstand the elements, is used to accumulate and store water between irrigation cycles for a very small irrigation system. This allows for an improved flow rate and timing of water application. Sources of water could be a well, a domestic water system, a large roof area, a water ram , or a pump drawing water from a stream.

Feature Measure: Volume of Tank Storage
Scenario Unit: Gallons

Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$5,601.82

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 5.60$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 1 | \$96.18 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 6 | \$324.42 |
| Plate compactor | 1915 | Manually guided vibratroy plate compactor. Equipment only. | Hours | \$18.08 | 1 | \$18.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |

## Materials

| Tank, Poly enclosed Storage, 3001000 gal | 1074 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.68 | 1000 | \$1,680.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 1 | \$30.64 |
| Cable, Galvanized steel | 2182 | Galvanized steel aircraft cable in $7 \times 19$ strand core. Materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.68 | 40 | \$27.20 |
| Anchor, earthen, low disturbance, large | 2184 | Low disturbance, galvanized or aluminum alloy earthen anchors with holding power greather than 3,000 pounds in normal soil. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.59 | 4 | \$238.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 436-Irrigation Reservoir
Scenario: \#44-Excavated Tailwater Pit

## Scenario Description:

This is an excavated pit with a control structure. It is designed to accumulate, store, deliver or regulate water for a surface irrigation system. It will have a bottom width of 20 ft and length of 1,250 feet. The side slopes will be no steeper than 1.5 H to 1 V inside and out. It will be built with approximately 20,000 cubic yards of on-site material. It will have a maximum water depth of 10 feet with 1 feet of freeboard. Volume is approximately 12 ac-ft (3,950,303 gallons). Resource concern: Insufficient Water Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices: 521 - Pond Sealing or Lining (various); 320 - Irrigation Canal or Lateral; 430-Irrigation Pipeline; 428 - Irrigation Ditch Lining; 533 - Pumping Plant; 440 series - Irrigation Systems; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 378 - Pond; 484 - Mulching; and 342 - Critical Area Planting.

## Before Situation:

Current system relies on an intermittent or low-flow rate water source. This results in untimely and/or inefficient water application.
After Situation:
An excavated regulating reservoir will be built on a relatively flat site and be used to accumulate and store water for timely application through an irrigation system. The water source could be a stream or an irrigation district canal.

Feature Measure: Volume of Earth Excavated

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 19,600.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$49,913.84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 2.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 19600 | \$47,432.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 436-Irrigation Reservoir
Scenario: \#45-Embankment Dam with On-Site Borrow

## Scenario Description:

The reservoir, created by an embankment built across a natural depression, with an 18' diameter principal spillway outlet through the embankment, is controlled by a canal-style gate. Outlet can also serve as overflow protection with a 12' diameter standpipe and tee to the 18' pipe. Any watershed runoff will be diverted around reservoir. It will be built with approximately 4,500 cubic yards of on-site material. It will be about 19.9 feet high and 200 feet long and hold approximately $1,000,000$ gallons ( 3 acre-feet). The top of berm will be 10 feet wide and the embankment side slopes will be 2.5 H to 1 V up and down stream. Resource concern: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated practices include: 521 - Pond Sealing or Lining (various); 320 - Irrigation Canal or Lateral; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 428 - Irrigation Ditch Lining; 533 - Pumping Plant; 440 series - Irrigation Systems; 378 - Pond; 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; 484 - Mulching; and 342 - Critical Area Planting.

Before Situation:
Current system relies on an intermittent or low-flow rate water source. This results in untimely and/or inefficient water application.Divert water around - no spillway

## After Situation:

This is an embankment, installed across a natural off-stream intermittent watercourse, used to store water for subsequent irrigation. It will be used to accumulate and store water for timely and efficient application of water through an irrigation system The water source could be a well, irrigation district pipeline, and/or a pump from a stream. It is designed to deliver water by gravity to an open ditch or non-pressurized pipeline, generally in excess of 5 cfs. All earthen materials will be from on-site sources.

Feature Measure: Volume of Compacted Eartfill
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 4,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$25,525.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 4500 | \$17,280.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, LTE-12 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1587 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe LTE-12 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.14 | 117.4 | \$368.64 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 771.6 | \$1,851.84 |
| Screw gate, cast iron, 18 in. diameter, 10/0 head | 1917 | 18 inch diameter cast iron screw (canal) gate rated at 10 seating head 0 feet unseating head. Materials only. | Each | \$1,456.05 | 1 | \$1,456.05 |
| Coupling, HDPE CPT Dual Wall, Tee, 18 in. x 18 in. x 12 in. | 1921 | Tee, 18 inch $\times 18$ inch $\times 12$ inch - HDPE CPT Tee. Materials only. | Each | \$534.37 | 1 | \$534.37 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#1 - Trees and Shrubs Microirrigation System

## Scenario Description:

An irrigation system for trees and shrubs such as in establishing a windbreak. Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and/or subsurface applicators. Spacing of the plants will vary, w/ delivery lines spaced 15 '. Area in question is being converted from other means of less efficient irrigation. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and appurtenances. Pump \& supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump \& supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.

Before Situation:
A windbreak has an inefficient irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity.

## After Situation:

A surface placed micro irrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to the trees. Typical system is for 5 rows of trees each 600 ft in length for a total irrigated length of 3,000 feet. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline

Feature Measure: Per Foot

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 3,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,550.78

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro Irrigation, surface drip tubing | 1488 | Tubing is installed above ground for surface drip irrigation, and connections to the supply and flushing laterals. Tubing has emitters built in. | Feet | \$0.35 | 3150 | \$1,102.50 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen or disc filter, < 3 inch | 2524 | Micro Irrigation, small manual flush screen or disc filter, <3 inch nominal size. Includes materials only. | Each | \$219.16 | 1 | \$219.16 |

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#2-Specialty Crop Microirrigation System

## Scenario Description:

An irrigation system for vegetables or other specialty crops typically of small acerage ( 2 acre). Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and/or subsurface applicators. Spacing of the plants will vary, w/ lateral lines spaced 24'. Area in question is being converted from other means of less efficient irrigation. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and apurtenances. Surface placed drip tape will not meet the 441 practice life and will normally need replacement every year. After first installation, drip tape will be replaced as operation and maintenance as required for proper operation of the system. Pump \& supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump \& supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.

Before Situation:
A production field has an inefficient surface flood irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity.

## After Situation:

A surface placed microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to an field. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline

Feature Measure: Acres in System
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,167.32$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,083.66

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro Irrigation, surface drip tape | 2522 | Tape is installed above ground for surface drip irrigation on annual crops, includes installation, and connections to the supply and flushing laterals.?? Tape is a minimum of 10 mil thick and has emitters built in. | Feet | \$0.11 | 47916 | \$5,270.76 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen or disc filter, < 3 inch | 2524 | Micro Irrigation, small manual flush screen or disc filter, <3 inch nominal size. Includes materials only. | Each | \$219.16 | 2 | \$438.32 |

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#3 - Potted Plant or Nursery Microirrigation System

## Scenario Description:

A complete drip irrigation system for potted nursery crops, irrigating a 60' x 200 ' pad. Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and double spray-pattern stakes. Delivery line spacing is $4^{\prime} \mathrm{w}$ / double pots spaced along each delivery line at $3^{\prime}$ intervals. Irrigation is for 2010 pots. Area in question is being converted from existing system of overhead irrigation. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and apurtenances. Pump \& supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump \& supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.

## Before Situation:

A production field has an inefficient overhead sprinkler irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity
After Situation:
A surface placed microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to an field. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline

Feature Measure: Sq Ft

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 12,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,145.22

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro Irrigation, emitters or sprays and tubing | 1489 | Emitters or sprays that are installed above ground for micro or drip irrigation. Includes installation and connections to the supply and flushing laterals. Tubing for the emitters is included in this item. | Feet | \$1.21 | 3150 | \$3,811.50 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen or disc filter, < 3 inch | 2524 | Micro Irrigation, small manual flush screen or disc filter, <3 inch nominal size. Includes materials only. | Each | \$219.16 | 1 | \$219.16 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#4 - Seasonal High Tunnel Microirrigation System

## Scenario Description:

An irrigation system for vegetables or other specialty crops, irrigating inside of a high-tunnel poly-house typically 2,178 sq ft in size. Water delivery to the plants by surface lines and/or subsurface applicators. Spacing of the plants will vary, w/ delivery lines spaced 60'. Area in question is being converted from other means of less efficient irrigation. Payment includes on-ground mainline and drip tape, fittings, and apurtenances. Pump \& supply line is not included in this payment and may be offered through associated practices 533 Pumping plant and 430 Irrigation Pipeline, or existing pump \& supply lines will be used. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.

Before Situation:
A high tunnel has an inefficient overhead sprinkler irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity.
After Situation:
A microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to crops grown in a high tunnel. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline

Feature Measure: Each High Tunnel

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$310.58

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$310.58
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 2178 | \$196.02 |


| Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#46-High Tunnel Surface Microirrigation, per square feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface Microirrigation system for $30^{\prime} \times 96^{\prime}$ seasonal high tunnel, $24^{\prime}$ rows with emmitters on a $12^{\prime}$ spacing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 433 - Irrigation Flow Measrement, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, and 590 Nutrient Management. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A field has an inefficient garden-hose based sprinkler irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A surface placed microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to an area. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Microirrigation area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Square Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: $2,880.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,317.85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$0.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro Irrigation, control valves and timers | 1485 | Automatic controller and timer, to turn on and off the sets for micro irrigation, and valves. Based on control unit, not number of valves controlled. | Each | \$417.16 | 1 | \$417.16 |
| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 2880 | \$259.20 |
| Pressure Regulator | 2468 | Materials for pressure regulator less than or equal to 2 inch diameter. | Each | \$43.54 | 1 | \$43.54 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen or disc filter, < 3 inch | 2524 | Micro Irrigation, small manual flush screen or disc filter, <3 inch nominal size. Includes materials only. | Each | \$219.16 | 1 | \$219.16 |
| Valve, Double Check Backflow Preventer | 2559 | Designed for installation on potable water lines to protect against both backsiphonage and backpressure of polluted water into the water supply. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$449.87 | 1 | \$449.87 |
| Micro-irrigation, chemical injection equipment, small scale | 2788 | Chemical injection system includes complete $3 / 4$ inch bypass and suction line kit, injector, appurtenances, backflow prevention, 2 gallon chemigation/fertigation tank. No pump needed. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$122.00 | 1 | \$122.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#47-Small Microirrigation System

## Scenario Description:

A small scale surface microirrigation system using drip tape or similar type micro-irrigation material placed on the soil surface to irrigate vegetables or field crops. Typically applied on a $40^{\prime}$ by $40^{\prime}$ plot, with 24 ' spaced rows, and emitters on a 12 ' spacing. Submains break plot into several smaller zones. System includes disk filter and chemical injection for chemigation. Water meter is not included. Natural Resource Concern(s): Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.
Associated Practices: 533 - Pumping Plant, 449 - Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 436 - Irrigation Reservoir, 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation, and 590 - Nutrient Management.

Before Situation:
A field has an inefficient garden-hose based sprinkler irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity.
After Situation:
A surface placed microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to a small plot. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on-site water use is reduced.

Feature Measure: Microirrigation area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,964.86

## Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 160 | \$219.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |

## Materials

Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only.

| Micro Irrigation, drip irrigation system, small scale | 2170 | An above ground, small scale, micro-irrigation system. Includes miniature emitters, tubes, or applicators placed along a water delivery line. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.09 | 1600 | \$144.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Micro Irrigation, disk filter, manual flush | 2465 | Disk filter for Micro irrigation system. Includes filter, plumbing, and connections. Unit is each filter in a filter station that often includes 2 or more filters. | Each | \$161.83 | 1 | \$161.83 |
| Valve, Double Check Backflow Preventer | 2559 | Designed for installation on potable water lines to protect against both backsiphonage and backpressure of polluted water into the water supply. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$449.87 | 1 | \$449.87 |
| Micro-irrigation, chemical injection equipment, small scale | 2788 | Chemical injection system includes complete $3 / 4$ inch bypass and suction line kit, injector, appurtenances, backflow prevention, 2 gallon chemigation/fertigation tank. No pump needed. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$122.00 | 1 | \$122.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#60-Small Surface Tape System

## Scenario Description:

A small scale micro-irrigation system using drip tape or similar type micro-irrigation material placed on the soil surface for vegetables or field crops. Spacing of drip tape or similar type micro irrigation material is based on soil type or row alignment but will typically vary from 18 ' to 36 '. This system typically includes a filter system, PE manifolds fittings, drip tape, etc. This practice applies to systems designed to discharge < $60 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{hr}$ at each individual discharge point. Does not include Pump, power source, water source. Surface placed drip tape will not meet the 441 practice life and will normally need replacement every year. After first installation drip tape will be replaced as operation and maintenance issue as required for proper operation of the system. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use -

Equipment and Facilities. Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449-Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 610 - Salinity \& Sodic Soil Management, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, 590 Nutrient Management, and 595-Itegrated Pest Management.

## Before Situation:

A field has an inefficient garden-hose based sprinkler irrigation system causing irrigation water loss that impacts water quality and water quantity.
After Situation:
A surface placed microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to a field. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Drip tape will be replaced as operation and maintenance issue as required for proper operation of the system. A typical scenario consists of a 1,600 square feet irrigated field with lateral spacing of 2 feet.

Feature Measure: Microirrigation area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,606.58$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.00

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 23 | \$83.72 |
| Micro Irrigation, disk filter, manual flush | 2465 | Disk filter for Micro irrigation system. Includes filter, plumbing, and connections. Unit is each filter in a filter station that often includes 2 or more filters. | Each | \$161.83 | 1 | \$161.83 |
| Micro Irrigation, surface drip tape | 2522 | Tape is installed above ground for surface drip irrigation on annual crops, includes installation, and connections to the supply and flushing laterals.?? Tape is a minimum of 10 mil thick and has emitters built in. | Feet | \$0.11 | 880 | \$96.80 |
| Valve, Double Check Backflow Preventer | 2559 | Designed for installation on potable water lines to protect against both backsiphonage and backpressure of polluted water into the water supply. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$449.87 | 1 | \$449.87 |
| Micro-irrigation, chemical injection equipment, small scale | 2788 | Chemical injection system includes complete $3 / 4$ inch bypass and suction line kit, injector, appurtenances, backflow prevention, 2 gallon chemigation/fertigation tank. No pump needed. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$122.00 | 1 | \$122.00 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, small equipment

Practice: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation
Scenario: \#61 - Surface Tape <5 acres

## Scenario Description:

A micro-irrigation system using drip tape or similar type micro-irrigation material placed on the soil surface for vegetables or field crops. Spacing of drip tape or similar type micro irrigation material is based on soil type or row alignment but will typically vary from 18 ' to $36^{\prime}$. This system typically includes a filter system, PE manifolds fittings, drip tape, etc. This practice applies to systems designed to discharge $<60 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{hr}$ at each individual discharge point. Does not include Pump, power source, water source. Surface placed drip tape will not meet the 441 practice life and will normally need replacement every year. After first installation drip tape will be replaced as operation and maintenance issue as required for proper operation of the system. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and Facilities.Associated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449-Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 610-Salinity \& Sodic Soil Management, 328Conservation Crop Rotation, 590 Nutrient Management, and 595-Itegrated Pest Management.

## Before Situation:

A typical before irrigation situation would normally be an existing inefficient sprinkler or surface irrigation system for vegetable or other crop production system. The existing irrigation system would experience poor, nonuniform irrigation applicatons and significant water losses affecting both water quantity and water quality.

After Situation:
A surface placed microirrigation system is utilized to provide highly efficient irrigation to a field. Water applications are reduced and runoff eliminated. Offsite water quality is improved, and on site water use is reduced. Drip tape will be replaced as operation and maintenance issue as required for proper operation of the system. A typical scenario consists of a $1 / 2$ acre irrigated field with lateral spacing of 2 feet.

Feature Measure: Acres in System

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 0.50

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,407.57$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 4,815.14$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 49 | \$178.36 |
| Micro Irrigation, surface drip tape | 2522 | Tape is installed above ground for surface drip irrigation on annual crops, includes installation, and connections to the supply and flushing laterals.?? Tape is a minimum of 10 mil thick and has emitters built in. | Feet | \$0.11 | 11979 | \$1,317.69 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen or disc filter, < 3 inch | 2524 | Micro Irrigation, small manual flush screen or disc filter, <3 inch nominal size. Includes materials only. | Each | \$219.16 | 1 | \$219.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#1-Conversion to Center Pivot or Linear Move System

## Scenario Description:

A surface irrigated field is converted to a center pivot sprinkler irrigation system or a linear move irrigation system to improve efficiency and uniformity of applied irrigation water to maintain adequate soil water for the desired level of plant growth and water quality impairment. Payment is based on length of equipment, not length of treated area.

## Before Situation:

A 160 acre field is flood irrigated. Application of irrigation water is inefficient and non-uniform. Irrigation water is typically over applied in some parts of the field, and under applied in others. Deep percolation from the excess irrigation delivers excess nutrients salts, and chemicals to the ground water. Runoff from the field contains excess nutrients and degrades the receiving waters. Irrigated induced erosion is excessive.

## After Situation:

The existing surface irrigation system is converted to a low pressure center pivot. Corners are converted to non-irrigated cropland. The pivot is 1300 feet in length with pressure regulators and low pressure sprinklers on drops. The new irrigation system has a coefficient of uniformity above $85 \%$. Irrigation water is efficiently and uniformly applied to maintain adequate soil water for the desired level of plant growth. Deep percolation and field runoff is eliminated and there are no excess nutrients, salts or pathogens delivered to the receiving waters. Irrigation induced runoff is eliminated.Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications).Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)

Feature Measure: Length of Center Pivot Lateral
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,300.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$98,348.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$75.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Center pivot system with appurtenances, fixed cost portion | 317 | Fixed cost portion of the center pivot system with appurtenances. This portion includes the following items: pivot point, pipe, towers, pad, controls, sprinklers. | Each | \$5,281.01 | 1 | \$5,281.01 |
| Irrigation, Center pivot system with appurtenances, variable cost portion | 318 | Variable cost portion of the center pivot system with appurtenances. This portion includes the following items: pivot point, pipe, towers, pad, controls, sprinklers. | Feet | \$71.59 | 1300 | \$93,067.00 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#2 - Sprinkler Conversion to Low Pressure

## Scenario Description:

Center Pivot and Linear Move sprinkler systems are used in large crop fields with fairly regular field borders and flat topography. The scenario involves changing nozzles on center pivot or lateral move irrigation systems to low-pressure systems to improve efficiency of water use and reduce energy use. This scenario is intended for cropland areas where the objective is water conservation. Scenario includes end booms renozzled with low-pressure nozzles

## Before Situation:

A center pivot or lateral move system has high pressure sprinklers. The nozzles are worn and water is applied non-uniformly. Water runs off the field and degrades the receiving waters. Deep percolation in some parts of the field degrades the ground water quality. The runoff from the field causes soil erosion. The high pressure requirement for the system requires excess energy use.

After Situation:
A Center Pivot or Linear Move sprinkler system with a span of 1300 linear feet is re-nozzled with low-pressure nozzles. The irrigation water is applied efficiently and uniformly to maintain adequate soil moisture for optimum plant growth. Runoff and deep percolation are eliminated, and the surface and ground water is no longer degraded. The irrigation induced soil erosion caused by runoff is also eliminated. The lower pressure requirements of the sprinklers reduces the energy used by the pump.Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications), Inefficient Energy Use (Equipment and facilities e.g. pumping)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)

Feature Measure: Length of Lateral Retrofitted
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: $1,300.00$
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,052.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Sprinkler Package, Renozzle or Retrofit, with drops and pressure regulators | 1480 | Sprinkler Package - Rennovation including sprinkler nozzle addition, and/or replacement, including new pressure regulators and drops. | Feet | \$6.83 | 1300 | \$8,879.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#3-Wheel Line System

## Scenario Description:

A 1,280 foot wheel line (also called side roll, wheelmove, or lateral-roll) with 7 foot diameter wheels and five inch diameter supply pipeline. A wheel line consists of the mover, lateral pipe, wheels, sprinklers, couplers, and connectors to the mainline supply.
Before Situation:
Cropland that is flood irrigated and has poor irrigation efficiency and distribution uniformity. The slope and irregular shape of the field limit the potential for improved management to improve the irrigation efficiency or the distribution uniformity.Irrigation water moves both within the field and off it, resulting in wet areas, runoff and deep percolation. Parts of the field are over-irrigated, and other sections are under-irrigated. Runoff from the field flows into streams, water courses, and other water bodies. Excess applied irrigation water infiltrates into ground water causing degradation to the receiving waters.

## After Situation:

A 1,280 foot wheel line with 7 foot diameter wheels and five inch diameter supply pipeline. Sprinklers are spaced along the wheel line at 40 -foot intervals and risers are spaced at 60 -foot increments along the mainline. The wheel line irrigates 40 acres of cropland. The wheel line improves distribution uniformity. Irrigation application efficiency improves to $75 \%$. Water application rates meet the consumptive use of the crop and matches soil intake rates in order to prevent irrigation induced erosion, runoff, and deep percolation.Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications), Inefficient Energy Use (Equipment and facilities e.g. pumping)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)

Feature Measure: Length of Wheel Line Lateral

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,280.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 27,144.92$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.21

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Wheel line with appurtenances, fixed price portion. | 325 | Fixed cost portion of the wheel line system with appurtenances. This portion includes the following items: mover, pipe, sprinklers, and wheels. | Each | \$7,912.02 | 1 | \$7,912.02 |
| Irrigation, Wheel line with appurtenances, variable price portion. | 326 | Variable cost portion of the wheel line system with appurtenances. This portion includes the following items: pipe, sprinklers, and wheels. Does not include a mover. | Feet | \$14.80 | 1280 | \$18,944.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#4-Solid Set System
Scenario Description:
Installation of a solid set irrigation system. Payment includes pipe, sprinklers, connections, appurtanences, and installation.
Before Situation:
The typical installation will be on cropland with some existing inefficient irrigation. The farm is typically producing specialty crops, such as fresh vegetables.

## After Situation:

The system is installed on 10 acres or less. The installed solid set system has 3-4 inch pipe sizes and sprinklers set 30-50 ft apart. Improved distribution uniformity and irrigation efficiency will result.Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)

Feature Measure: Area of Irrigation System

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 56,943.97$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 5,694.40$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Solid Set, w/Appurtenances | 324 | Solid Set irrigation system that includes pipe, sprinklers, connections, and appurtenances. | Acres | \$5,677.09 | 10 | \$56,770.90 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#5 - Traveling Gun System, < 2in Hose
Scenario Description:
A portable small gun system used to apply irrigation water on small fields. A small traveling gun irrigation system is installed to apply water uniformly and at an acceptable application rate operated under pressure to effectively irrigate less than 5 acres. The irrigation system is installed with all necessary appurtenances.
Before Situation:
An existing traveling gun on a 5 acre field is inefficient and is not applying water uniformly or not at an acceptable application rate. Excess applied water causes irrigation induced erosion, runoff and deep percolation. The runoff and deep percolation degrade the receiving waters.

## After Situation:

A small traveling gun irrigation system is installed to irrigate 5 acres based on the determined spacing needs. Irrigation is applied efficiently and uniformly to maintain adequate soil water for plant growth without causing excessive water loss, erosion, or water quality degradation Resource concerns: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion, e.g. overflowing waste storage) and Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from liquid manure)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Utilization (633), Manure Transfer (634)

Feature Measure: Number of Traveling Gun Systems
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,005.25
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,005.25

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Traveling Gun System with <= 2 in. Nominal size hose, and appurtenances light duty | 1478 | Irrigation, Traveling Gun System with <= 2-inch Nominal size hose with appurtenances. This includes the sprinkler gun, traveler cart, hard hose, reel, connections, and controls. Normal hose length 500' | Inch Diameter | \$7,336.83 | 1.5 | \$11,005.25 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#6 - Traveling Gun System, 2in to 3in Hose

## Scenario Description:

A portable big gun system used to apply waste water from animal feeding operations. This traveling big gun unit includes a sprinkler, towable cart, 1000??? or more of PE hard hose, a self propelled reel that moves the sprinkler toward the reel during operation. The reel attaches to a mainline with appropriately designed towpath width. The scenario describes an irrigation system that is typical to confined animal feeding operations.

Before Situation:
A confined, animal operation has a waste management system that exceeds its capacity, or a operation that does not have a waste management system in place. The inefficiency of the existing system or the lack of a waste management system has an impact on the soil and water quality. Animal waste runs off and degrades the receiving waters.

After Situation:
The big gun applies animal manure in an appropriate quantity and location that eliminates both runoff of the manure and deep percolation of excess nutrients, salts, and pathogens. The big gun system is typically located on 50 acres or less of hay/pasture land, or 100 acres or less of cropland. The system includes a large irrigation gun with 1 ??? to 1????? orifice mounted onto a movable cart. 1000??? or more flexible 3??? PE pipe is attached to the cart on one end and a large reel on the other end. The reel serves as storage are for the pipe as the cart moves back to the reel. The reel is turned by a small engine which gradually pulls the flexible pipe and cart back to the reel/base. Resource concerns: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion, e.g. overflowing waste storage) and Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from liquid manure)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Utilization (633), Manure Transfer (634)

Feature Measure: Number of Traveling Gun Systems
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$25,774.65
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$25,774.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Traveling Gun System, > 2 to 3 inch Nominal size hose | 1479 | Irrigation, Traveling Gun System with 2.3-to-3-inch Nominal size hose with appurtenances. This includes the sprinkler gun, traveler cart, hard hose, reel, connections, and controls. Normal hose length 1000'. | Inch Diameter | \$8,591.55 | 3 | \$25,774.65 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#7-Traveling Gun System, > 3in Hose

## Scenario Description:

A portable big gun system used to apply waste water from animal feeding operations. This traveling big gun unit includes a sprinkler, towable cart, 1200 ??? or more of PE hard hose, a self propelled reel that moves the sprinkler toward the reel during operation. The reel attaches to a mainline with appropriately designed towpath width. The scenario describes an irrigation system that is typical to confined animal feeding operations.

Before Situation:
A confined, animal operation has a waste management system that exceeds its capacity, or a operation that does not have a waste management system in place. The inefficiency of the existing system or the lack of a waste management system has an impact on the soil and water quality. Animal waste runs off and degrades the receiving waters.

After Situation:
The big gun applies animal manure in an appropriate quantity and location that eliminates both runoff of the manure and deep percolation of excess nutrients, salts, and pathogens. The big gun system is typically located on 50 acres or less of hay/pasture land, or 100 acres or less of cropland. The system includes a large irrigation gun with 1 ??? to 1 ????? orifice mounted onto a movable cart. 1200 ??? or more flexible 4??? PE pipe is attached to the cart on one end and a large reel on the other end. The reel serves as storage are for the pipe as the cart moves back to the reel. The reel is turned by a small engine which gradually pulls the flexible pipe and cart back to the reel/base. Resource concerns: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion, e.g. overflowing waste storage) and Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from liquid manure)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449), Conservation Crop Rotation (328), Cover Crop (340), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Utilization (633), Manure Transfer (634)

Feature Measure: Number of Traveling Gun Systems
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$48,999.69
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$48,999.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Traveling Gun System, > 3 inch Nominal size hose | 1762 | Irrigation, Traveling Gun System with > 3 inch Nominal size hose with appurtenances. This includes the sprinkler gun, traveler cart, hard hose, reel, connections, and controls. Normal hose length 1300' | Each | \$48,999.69 | 1 | \$48,999.69 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#8 - Pod System
Scenario Description:
A portable irrigation system consisting of Polyethylene (PE) pipe and pods that have attached sprinklers. This scenario addresses installation of all pod style irrigation sprinkler systems.

Before Situation:
Pastureland is flood irrigated and has poor irrigation efficiency and distribution uniformity. The slope and irregular shape of the field limit the potential for improved management to improve the irrigation efficiency or the distribution uniformity. Irrigation water moves both within the field and off it, resulting in wet areas, runoff and deep percolation. Runoff from the field flows into streams, water courses, and other water bodies. Excess applied irrigation water infiltrates into ground water causing degradation to the receiving waters.

After Situation:
A 10 acre irrigated pasture with a medium pressure irrigation system consisting of sprinkler pods along a PE line is installed. The pods and PE line are placed in different sections of the pasture by dragging both with a four wheeler. The PE line is 660 feet in length and has 14 pods evenly spaced along its length. The improved distribution uniformity and irrigation efficiency reduces the inefficient use of water on irrigated land, reducing irrigation water applied and energy use. Water application rates meet the pasture vegetation consumptive use requirements. Runoff and deep percolation as a result of irrigation are eliminated, and the receiving waters are no longer degraded.Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications)Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449)

## Feature Measure: Number of Sprinkler Pods

## Scenario Unit: Each

## Scenario Typical Size: 14.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,560.97$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$397.21

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation, Pod System, w/Appurtenances | 323 | Pod irrigation system that includes pod, pipe, sprinklers, connections, and appurtenances. Includes materials only. | Each | \$384.85 | 14 | \$5,387.90 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 442-Sprinkler System
Scenario: \#61-Small Solid Set, Above Ground Laterals

## Scenario Description:

A permanent solid set irrigation system with buried submains and above ground laterals such as polyethylene flexible tubing. The typical system is installed on a 2 acre orchard or nursery, with plant spacing of 15 feet x 22 feet. Laterals are spaced 22 feet apart, however other spacing for this scenario apply. This system utilizes sprayers or minisprinklers at each tree or plant. This system typically includes a filter system, PE tubing laterals, PVC manifolds, and submains, valves, fittings, and emitters. System installation does not include a flowmeter, Pump, Power source, Irrigation Water Conveyance to the irrigated field, or Water source (well or reservoir). Resource concerns include: Soil Erosion (Concentrated flow erosion e.g. irrigation induced), Insufficient Water (Inefficient use of irrigation water), Water Quality Degradation (Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Excessive salts in surface and ground waters, Excess pathogens and chemicals from manure, bio-solids or compost applications) Associated Practices: Irrigation Pipeline (430), Pumping Plant (533), Irrigation Water Management (449).

Before Situation:
The typical installation will be on an orchard, nursery, or vineyard with an existing inefficient irrigation system.
After Situation:
An irrigation system is utilized to provide improved distribution uniformity and irrigation efficiency to an orchard, nursery, or vineyard. Runoff and water applications are reduced, resulting in offsite water quality improvement and on site water use reduction.

Feature Measure: Area in Irrigation System

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$6,673.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$3,3 | 3.52 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, $12 \mathrm{in} . \times 48 \mathrm{in}$. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 295 | \$404.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 215 | \$498.80 |
| Micro Irrigation, emitters or sprays and tubing | 1489 | Emitters or sprays that are installed above ground for micro or drip irrigation. Includes installation and connections to the supply and flushing laterals. Tubing for the emitters is included in this item. | Feet | \$1.21 | 3835 | \$4,640.35 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen or disc filter, < 3 inch | 2524 | Micro Irrigation, small manual flush screen or disc filter, <3 inch nominal size. Includes materials only. | Each | \$219.16 | 1 | \$219.16 |
| Valve, Double Check Backflow Preventer | 2559 | Designed for installation on potable water lines to protect against both backsiphonage and backpressure of polluted water into the water supply. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$449.87 | 1 | \$449.87 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |

Practice: 443 - Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
Scenario: \#1 - Surge Valve \& Controller

## Scenario Description:

Installation and utilization of a surge valve with automated controller (including all appurtenances) and installation labor needed to convert from a conventional surface irrigated system to a surge irrigation system. The surging action increases rate of advance along set length, reduces deep percolation at upper end of field, increases uniformity of application along row length, and on lower intake soils can significantly reduce runoff losses. The result is improved irrigation efficiency, reduced leaching and erosion losses, and conserved energy. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation- Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities

Before Situation:
Unacceptable irrigation application uniformity along existing surface irrigation system furrow or border length caused by excessive run length or soil infiltration rate when operated with continuous inflow on existing system. System is over irrigated in attempt to adequately irrigate low end of field.

## After Situation:

A surge surface irrigation system is in place. After implementation, distribution uniformity and irrigation efficiency is improved, by reducing irrigation application volume and deep percolation losses. Runoff reductions, reduced energy use, and air quality improvements can also result.Associated Practices: 464-Irrigation Land leveling, 533Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, and 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number of Surge Valves
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,212.12
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,212.12$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surge Valve And Controller | 1477 | Surge Valve and Controller, with appurtenances. Material cost includes valve, controller, all appurtenances, and mobilization. | Each | \$3,154.84 | 1 | \$3,154.84 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 443 - Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
Scenario: \#2 - Multiple Inlet Irrigation

## Scenario Description:

Practice involves running above ground pipe to deliver water to individual basins to reduce runoff and improve irrigation efficiency in rice fields that are split into paddies.
Payment includes 15' 10 mil pipe and plastic flap gates. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition -
Undesirable plantproductivity and health, Water Quality Degradation- Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities

Before Situation:
 paddies using irrigation canals and field ditches.

After Situation:
After implementation irrigation efficiency is improved, while reducing irrigation application volume, runoff, evaporation losses, and cold water damage to crops. Reduced energy use and air quality improvements can also result. Associated Practices: 464-Irrigation Land leveling, 533-Pumping Plant, 449- Irrigation Water Management, 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, and 590-Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Acres Irrigated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,024.90

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$25.62

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PE, collapsible, weight priced | 1385 | Polyethylene (PE) compound manufactured into collapsible tubing | Pound | \$2.19 | 250 | \$547.50 |
| Flap gate, plastic, 2 1/2 in. | 1424 | $21 / 2$ inch plastic flap gate for poly irrigation tubing. Materials only. | Each | \$1.91 | 100 | \$191.00 |

Practice: 443 - Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
Scenario: \#17-Ebb and Flow Benches

## Scenario Description:

Water tight benches, that are housed within the interior of a greenhouse, are installed that re-circulates (ebb and flow) water for irrigation purposes. Typical system consist of bay with three benches 4 feet wide and 100 feet long ( 1200 sq ft ). The bench is flooded then slowly drained to allow water to upflux of water into potted plants located on the bench. This type of drip irrigation system utilizes a buried supply manifold with automated zone control valves and a buried drainage manifold. This permanent subsurface irrigation system will include a filter station, flow meter, backflow prevention device, automated control box or timer, both a supply and drainage manifold, sump and numerous types of water control valves. This scenario includes all material and labor to install the benches filter and automation system. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plant productivity and health, Water Quality Degradation Excessive leaching of nutrients into ground and surface waters, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 430 - Irrigation Pipeline, 436 Irrigation Reservoir, 533-Pumping Plant, 620-Underground Outlet

## Before Situation:

An existing inefficient microirrigation or sprinkler irrigation system in a greenhouse. The existing irrigation system experiences poor, non-uniform irrigation applications and significant water losses affecting both water quantity and water quality.

After Situation:
This highly efficient subsurface irrigation system provides irrigation water directly to the plant root zone by capillary action and recirculates excess water for reuse, eliminating application losses resulting from water leaching through the pot during irrigation or being applied to areas without pots.

Feature Measure: Square Feet
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$18,427.40

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation Flood Bench system | 2231 | Sliding benches or troughs used for potted plant irrigation. Distribution system is included in benches. Includes materials and equipment costs. | Square Feet | \$14.55 | 1200 | \$17,460.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micro Irrigation, control valves and timers | 1485 | Automatic controller and timer, to turn on and off the sets for micro irrigation, and valves. Based on control unit, not number of valves controlled. | Each | \$417.16 | 1 | \$417.16 |

Practice: 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
Scenario: \#30-Flood Floor Irrigation

## Scenario Description:

The scenario consists of a concrete floor and under floor water distribution system. The plants receive water from the flooded floor through the root zone. Only needed water is taken up by the soil medium. After irrigation is complete, all water is cycled to the holding tank and is reused for the next irrigation cycle. For pumps - use CPS 533 - Pumping Plant, for piping use CPS 430 - Irrigation Pipeline. Based on flood floor design

## Before Situation:

The greenhouse plants are watered by hand or by sprinkler system. Water drips onto the floor and sinks into the earthen floor, runs off or evaporates. Water is lost to the plants and can become contaminated with fertilizers or pesticides.

After Situation:
Greenhouse irrigation water is supplied by a Flood-Floor irrigation system. Water is taken up by the soil medium. All remaining water is recycled for reuse. No water is wasted or contaminated.

Feature Measure: Square foot of flooded area
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 21,600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$197,529.51
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.14
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 300 | \$142,053.00 |
| Micro Irrigation, chemical injection equipment | 1987 | Chemical Injector Pump, plus chemigation check valve, injector ports, and appurtenances, Installation included. | Each | \$2,151.39 | 1 | \$2,151.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 48 | \$1,926.72 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 128 | \$3,665.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, $>1,000$ | 1075 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.20 | 14000 | \$16,800.00 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 1 | \$102.79 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 12885.8 | \$29,895.06 |
| Micro Irrigation, control valves and timers | 1485 | Automatic controller and timer, to turn on and off the sets for micro irrigation, and valves. Based on control unit, not number of valves controlled. | Each | \$417.16 | 2 | \$834.32 |
| Micro Irrigation, screen filter, < 100 gpm | 1617 | Screen filter for Micro Irrigation used in small systems. Includes filter. No controls are included or needed. | Each | \$100.31 | 1 | \$100.31 |

Practice: 447 - Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery

## Scenario: \#3 - Drainage Water Recycling

## Scenario Description:

A drainage water recycling system is constructed to collect water from a subsurface drainage system and apply the water back to the field through the existing drainage system at appropriate times of year. Drainage water from the subsurface drainage system will be collected in a pond. If a pond needs to be constructed, it will be designed and built to meet NRCS CPS 378 criteria; the pond is separate from this scenario. A sump will be constructed so that the collected drainage water in the pond can be recovered and recycled through a pipeline system. The pipeline system utilizes a recirculating pipe and includes a buried storage tank at the upper end of the field to allow the pump to cycle. The recirculating pipe length is measured from the location of the sump at the pond to the location of the storage tank, and the distribution pipe from the storage tank to connect up to the drainage system. A water control structure allows the operator to control the timing and amount of water to enter back into the drainage water management system. This scenario applies to all types of drainage water recycling systems; the typical implementation scenario design is based on a 40 acre subsurface drainage system approx. 1320 ft on each side ( $1 / 4$ mile square field, with an average land slope of $2 \%$,) with a soil type of Drummer silty clay loam having an average drain flow of $0.132 \mathrm{~cm} /$ day. A pump moves water from the sump at a rate that can supply the crop field 0.1 inch of water in an 8 hour period. The recirculating pipe is 6??? PVC, 1150 ft long from sump to storage tank. Resource concerns that will be addressed by this practice: Nutrients Transported to Surface Water, Pesticides transported to surface water, Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids, or compost applications transported to surface water, Plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
Drained water from the field travels off farm in a drainage ditch, carrying excess nutrients with it, and causing water quality issuesdownstream.
After Situation:
Drainage water from a subsurface drainage system is collected and directed into a recovery system where the drained water andassociated nutrients can be recycled and reused. The drainage water is reapplied through the subsurface drainage system to the crop field during times of year when the extra water will not negatively affect the crop or field operations. The subsurface drainage system must be capable of being managed using drainage water management so that the reapplied water will soak into the soil instead of drain off; retrofit the drainage system if needed using CPS 606 and 587 . Use CPS 378 if a pond is not already in place to capture the drainage water. Drainage water from the field is no longer a significant contributor of nutrients to surface water. Associated practices are Pond (378), Subsurface Drain (606), Drainage Water Management (554), Structure for Water Control (587) and Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Length of Recirculating Pipe
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,150.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 32,027.46$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$27.85
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 1236 | \$3,621.48 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 13 | \$817.83 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 26 | \$744.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 13 | \$523.51 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 10 | \$398.90 |
| Pump, > 5 HP to 30 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1011 | Fixed cost portion of a pump between 5 and 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is a base cost for the pump and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$3,727.95 | 1 | \$3,727.95 |
| Pump, > 5 HP to 30 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1012 | Variable cost portion of a pump between 5 and 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$252.77 | 17 | \$4,297.09 |
| Tank, Concrete, 2500 gallon | 1055 | Concrete tank for water storage, with riser and lid. Includes materials and delivery. | Each | \$3,280.88 | 1 | \$3,280.88 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 2 | \$61.28 |


| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 4424.9 | \$10,265.77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant Pit, Concrete, 1200 Gallon | 1922 | Precast concrete septic tank structure, 1200 gal capacity, with access port and ladder. Materials only. | Each | \$1,565.19 | 1 | \$1,565.19 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x Diameter | \$18.68 | 36 | \$672.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 447-Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery
Scenario: \#15 - Delta Tail Water Pit
Scenario Description:
A recovery pit is constructed to temporality store the excess irrigation water and create a pumping pool so that the excess water can be recovered and reused. Typical pit size is trapezoidal ditch with 14 ft bottom $\times 10 \mathrm{ft}$ depth $\times 1750 \mathrm{ft}$ length with $2: 1$ side slopes. The total yardage of earthwork is $22,037 \mathrm{cy}$. Construction is typically done with either tractors and pans or with dozer and excavator.

Before Situation:
Excess irrigation water collects at lower ends of field and backs up into crops and causes plant stress or causes erosion and travels off farm in a drainage ditch causing water quality issues in lower watersheds. Excess irrigation water and runoff during the off season is not capture and unavailable for use.

## After Situation:

Excess irrigation water is collected and directed into a recovery system where the water can be recycled and reused for irrigation. Sedimentation has a chance to settle out of the water allowing for less sediment to travel down stream. All runoff has an opportunity to be collected.

Feature Measure: Excavated Material
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 22,307.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$35,692.02

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.60
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 22037 | \$33,936.98 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 447-Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery
Scenario: \#16-Tailwater Collection Structure
Scenario Description:
A collection structure designed and constructed to efficiently direct excess tailwater from a field and deliver into a tailwater recovery ditch or tailwater recovery pit. Typical installation will be a flashboard riser or drop inlet structure placed at edge of field through an earthen berm to direct tailwater into tailwater recovery system. Resource concern(s): Water quality, inefficient use of water. Cost estimate based on 18' weir and 55' long, 15' diameter barrel.

## Before Situation:

During irrigation, tailwater exits the field in an uncontrolled manner and is being lost downstream and cannot be recovered for future use.
After Situation:
Tailwater is collected through structure at a prescribed location and directed into tailwater recovery system for re-use. Other associated practices may include Irrigation reservoir (436), Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery Pit (447), Surface Drain, Main or Lateral (608), Surface Drain, Field Ditch (607)

Feature Measure: weir dia (in) x barrel length (ft)
Scenario Unit: Inch-Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 990.00

## Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,525.28$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.57

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 100 | \$384.00 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 2 | \$248.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |

## Materials

Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight
1323 Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the
Pound $\quad \$ 2.32 \quad 623.7$
\$1,446.98
priced pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only.

| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. x 2 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, $21 / 2$ inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch $\times 1 / 4$ inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 10 | \$41.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 32 | \$107.84 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. => 18 in., weight priced | 1958 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters equal to or greater than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.44 | 79 | \$192.76 |
| Coupling, PVC, Tee, 18x15, SDR 51 | 2365 | Materials: - Tee, 18 inch x 15 inch - PVC - SDR 51 - ASTM F2658 | Each | \$711.43 | 1 | \$711.43 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 449-Irrigation Water Management
Scenario: \#1 - IWM for row crops

## Scenario Description:

Implementation of a water management plan for producers using a checkbook method (crop grown, soil moisture conditions prior to irrigation, dates of irrigation start and stop, depths of irrigation applied, duration of irrigations, and amount of rainfall). Payment applies to irrgation water management on a row crop operation.Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities.

Before Situation:
The irrigator decides when to irrigate based on general crop or soil appearance or limited soil moisture monitoring. System run times are based on past apparent success. The typical irrigated field is a 125 acre corn field with a sprinkler irrigation system.

After Situation:
Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Microirrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.

Feature Measure: Irrigated Area Managed
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 125.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,700.16$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 13.60$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 32 | \$1,471.04 |




Practice: 449 - Irrigation Water Management
Scenario: \#4-Soil Moisture Sensors

## Scenario Description:

This practice includes the installation of soil moisture sensors such as tensiometers, gyp blocks, capacitance sensors etc, that are installed and read to determine point in time soil moisture by depth; and the labor of using the equipment for the first year. The installation includes the purchase of soil moisture meters and sensors, installation equipment, and labor to install and utilize sensors and readings in making IWM decisions during first year. Typical Scenario involves installation of resistance sensor blocks in a 80 acre field of irrigated cropland. Producer periodically monitors soil moisture sensors during the growing season. Meters used to read sensors may be portable.

Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer uses feel method to estimate soil moisture for scheduling irrigation.
After Situation:
Producer has installed four sensors at each monitoring site to a depth of four feet with one sensor representing each foot of depth. Producer uses periodic soil moisture measurements to schedule irrigation resulting in improved irrigation water managment and reduced energy use.Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Microirrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.

Feature Measure: Number of Measuring Sites
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,202.33$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,601.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Moisture Meter | 1455 | Soil Moisture Sensor Reader. Equipment only. | Each | \$245.42 | 1 | \$245.42 |
| Soil Moisture Sensor | 1456 | Soil moisture resistance sensor with 10 foot cables. Equipment only. | Each | \$75.17 | 8 | \$601.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

## Practice: 449 - Irrigation Water Management

Scenario: \#5 - Soil Moisture Sensors with Data Recorder

## Scenario Description:

This practice includes the installation of electrical soil moisture sensors such as capacitance or resistance sensors that are monitored to determine soil moisture. The installation includes the purchase of soil moisture sensors, installation equipment (probe or auger), and a data logger to log continuous soil moisture data that can be downloaded to a personal computer and associated graphing software. Scenario also includes the labor associated with using the equipment for the first year. Typical Scenario involves installation of resistance sensor blocks in a 120 acre field of sprinkler irrigated cropland. Producer periodically monitors soil moisture sensors during the growing season. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilities.

Before Situation:
Producer uses feel method to estimate soil moisture for scheduling irrigation in the field.

## After Situation:

Producer has installed four sensors at each monitoring site to a depth of four feet with one sensor representing each foot of depth. Producer periodically downloads continuously recorded soil moisture measurements that are used to schedule irrigation more effectively resulting in improved irrigation water managment and reduced energy use. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Microirrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface., 587-Structure for water Control, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, and 590-Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number of Measuring Sites
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,397.91
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,198.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Logger | 1453 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management. Materials only. | Each | \$720.50 | 2 | \$1,441.00 |
| Soil Moisture Sensor | 1456 | Soil moisture resistance sensor with 10 foot cables. Equipment only. | Each | \$75.17 | 8 | \$601.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

## Practice: 449 - Irrigation Water Management

Scenario: \#15 - Advanced IWM

## Scenario Description:

A high intensity irrigation water management system for producers using a checkbook method with advanced methods of determining irrigation water applied, and estimating crop evapotranspiration, monitoring field soil moisture, or monitoring crop temperature stress. Typical methods include flow measurement, daily record keeping, and use of real-time evapotranspiration estimates (such as those provided dedicated weather stations) and/or soil moisture sensors with automated data logging to monitor field soil moisture content and/or crop temperature. For this scenario, soil moisture is determined by automated soil moisture monitoring stations equipped with telemetry data. Telemetry data is automatically sent to a computer with irrigation software. Irrigator also receives real time data via mobile phone applications. Some data such as total water applied may be entered into computer software manually.Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities.Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface.

Before Situation:
The farmer decides when to irrigate based on general crop or soil appearance or limited soil moisture monitoring. System run times are based on past apparent success.

The typical irrigated field is a 125 acre corn field with sprinkler irrigation.

## After Situation:

Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined.

Feature Measure: Irrigated Area Managed
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 125.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,664.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 48 | \$2,206.56 |

## Practice: 449 - Irrigation Water Management

Scenario: \#125-Basic IWM < 1 acre

## Scenario Description:

A low Intensity irrigation water management system for producers using a checkbook method (crop grown, soil moisture conditions prior to irrigation, dates of irrigation start and stop, depths of irrigation applied, duration of irrigations, and amount of rainfall). The irrigation water management system is typically located on a small-scale agricultural operation cultivated by an individual or a group of people (e.g., repurposed land, private or community-gardens). Multiple crops are grown in the same space or within the growing season on less than 1 acre. For a typical scenario, soil moisture is determined by the feel method, volumes of irrigation water are based on energy or water district bills, records are kept on paper copies, and calculations are made by hand. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System Microirrigation, 442-Irrigation System Sprinkler, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface.

## Before Situation:

A sub-acre mixed or intercropped area is irrigated with a sprinkler or microirrigation system. The irrigator decides when to irrigate based on general crop or soil appearance or limited soil moisture monitoring. System run times are based on past apparent success.

## After Situation:

Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,103.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,103.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |

Practice: 449 - Irrigation Water Management
Scenario: \#126-Intermediate IWM < 1 acre

## Scenario Description:

A medium intensity irrigation water management system for producers using a checkbook method (crop grown, soil moisture conditions prior to irrigation, dates of irrigation start and stop, depths of irrigation applied, duration of irrigations, and amount of rainfall). The irrigation water management system is typically located on a small-scale agricultural operation cultivated by an individual or a group of people (e.g., repurposed land, private or community-gardens). Multiple crops are grown in the same space or within the growing season on less than 1 acre. For a typical scenario, soil moisture is determined by in-field moisture sensors with manual downloads. Irrigation amounts are recorded from a flow meter near the pump. Records are input manually into an irrigation scheduling computer program. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use-Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface.

## Before Situation:

A sub-acre mixed or intercropped area is irrigated with a sprinkler or microirrigation system. The irrigator decides when to irrigate based on general crop or soil appearance or limited soil moisture monitoring. System run times are based on past apparent success.

## After Situation:

Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined.

Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,471.04
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,471.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 32 | \$1,471.04 |

## Practice: 449 - Irrigation Water Management

Scenario: \#127-Advanced IWM < 1 acre

## Scenario Description:

A high intensity irrigation water management system for producers using a checkbook method with advanced methods of determining irrigation water applied, and estimating crop evapotranspiration, monitoring field soil moisture, or monitoring crop temperature stress. The irrigation water management system is typically located on a small-scale agricultural operation cultivated by an individual or a group of people (e.g., repurposed land, private or community-gardens). Multiple crops are grown in the same space or within the growing season on less than 1 acre. Typical methods include flow measurement, daily record keeping, and use of real-time evapotranspiration estimates (such as those provided dedicated weather stations) and/or soil moisture sensors with automated data logging to monitor field soil moisture content and/or crop temperature. For this scenario, soil moisture is determined by automated soil moisture monitoring stations equipped with telemetry data. Irrigation amounts are recorded from a flow meter near the pump. Telemetry data is automatically sent to a computer with irrigation software. Irrigator also receives real time data via mobile phone applications. Some data such as total water applied may be entered into computer software manually. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water Supply-Inefficient use of irrigation water; Degraded Plant Condition-Undesirable plant productivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use- Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices: 441Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 443-Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface.

## Before Situation:

A sub-acre mixed or intercropped area is irrigated with a sprinkler or microirrigation system. The irrigator decides when to irrigate based on general crop or soil appearance or limited soil moisture monitoring. System run times are based on past apparent success.

After Situation:
Irrigations are scheduled based on measured crop water requirements. Records are used to evaluate results of past irrigation events and influence future irrigations. The irrigator keeps records of soil moisture, crop water use, rainfall amounts and irrigation timing and amounts. At the end of the irrigation season all the data has been reviewed and evaluated. Improvements planned for the next season have been determined.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,838.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,838.80

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |

Practice: 460-Land Clearing
Scenario: \#1 - Non-Heavy Equipment

## Scenario Description:

Site preparation of a field with a labor crew, chainsaws, chippers or similar equipment removing trees and shrubs to achieve a conservation objective. Typical scenario is approximately 1 acre of trees and shrubs to be cleared. The resource concern is determined by the conservation objective met with the final practice applied to the field.

Before Situation:
Forested field of approximately 1 acre, with moderate density evenly spaced tree canopy.
After Situation:
Labor crew uses chainsaws, chippers, or similar equipment to clear trees and prepare the field for a conservation objective, includes on-site disposal as necessary. Associated practices, like plantings, other structures, or irrigation/drainage water management practices, would be contracted separately as needed.

Feature Measure: Area Cleared
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,004.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,004.19
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 24 | \$143.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 460 - Land Clearing
Scenario: \#2 - Heavy Equipment

## Scenario Description:

Site preparation of a field with dozer or equivalent heavy equipment to acheive a conservation objective. Typical scenario is approximately 10 acres of trees and shrubs to be cleared. The resource concern is determined by the conservation objective met with the final practice applied to the field.

Before Situation:
Forested field of approximately 10 acres, with moderate density evenly spaced tree canopy.
After Situation:
Crew uses 200 HP dozer to clear trees and prepare field for conservation objective, includes on-site debris disposal as necessary. Associated practices, like plantings, other structures, or irrigation/drainage water management practices, would be contracted separately as needed.

Feature Measure: Area Cleared
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,586.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,058.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 40 | \$6,952.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 40 | \$1,610.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 462 - Precision Land Forming and Smoothing
Scenario: \#13-Minor Shaping
Scenario Description:
The land surface is shaped or leveled to a specific elevation and grade for various land uses. Cuts and fills are small. The resource concerns are EXCESS / INSUFFICIENT WATER -( Ponding, Flooding) and SOIL EROSION -(Sheet, Rill)

Before Situation:
The field has minor topographic issues or problems with surface drainage or erosion which can be corrected without land leveling or land smoothing. Site conditions require attention to elevation and grade. Typical situation is a 5 acre field. Material to be moved and or placed typically around 100 cubic yards per acre.

After Situation:
Land has been shaped to the required elevations and grades. Resource concerns have been treated. Associated practices, like plantings or drainage water management practices, would be contracted seperately as needed.

Feature Measure: Acres of land treated

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,616.92$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$723.38

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 6 | \$571.80 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 6 | \$324.42 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 6 | \$107.04 |

Labor
Equipment Operators, Light

232 Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers

| Hours | $\$ 31.94$ | 6 | $\$ 191.64$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hours | $\$ 40.27$ | 6 | $\$ 241.62$ |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 180.40$

| USDA United States Depar | ment | of Agriculture |  | Ohio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: 462 - Precision Land Forming and Smoothing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#14-Site Stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The site contains a gully or other site specific topographic problem. Site conditions require attention to elevation and grade. Resource concerns are EXCESS / INSUFFICIENT WATER -( Ponding, Flooding) and SOIL EROSION -(Sheet, Rill) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The site, commonly a crop field or CAFO, has localized gully or topographic issues causing drainage or erosion problems. Typical situation is a gully 10 feet wide and 5 feet deep. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land has been shaped to the required elevations and grades. Resource concerns have been treated. Associated practices, like plantings or drainage water management practices, would be contracted seperately as needed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Cubic yards of material placed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 6,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$15,246.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 6000 | \$14,520.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 462 - Precision Land Forming and Smoothing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#38-Minor Shaping - Field Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Removing irregularities on the land surface of cropland by use of heavy equipment. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field damaged by flooding, past agricultural practices, or other topographic issues causing drainage or field workability issues. Typically less than 100 cy/acre material moved. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land level, backhoe, bulldozer or other heavy equipment used to correct irregularities and address drainage or workability issues. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of land treated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,835.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 95.88 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 25 | \$2,382.50 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 25 | \$446.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 25 | \$1,006.75 |

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: 464-Irrigation Land Leveling
Scenario: \#1-Irrigation Land Leveling - Corn Belt
Scenario Description:
Reshaping of the surface of land to be irrigated to planned grades to permit uniform and efficient application of irrigation water to the leveled land. The field is leveledsuch that it is uniform and drains to a specifically targeted part of the field. Resource Concern: Excess/Insufficient - Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water
Before Situation
Irregular field surface reduces uniformity of surface application and thus irrigation efficiency by localized ponding and/or excess runoff/runon.
After Situation:
Cropland will be reshaped to provide uniform distribution of irrigation water in order to promote irrigation efficiencies.Associated Conservation Practices: 449 -
Irrigation Water Management
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$48,688.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$304.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 20000 | \$48,400.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 464 - Irrigation Land Leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#12-Small Scale Irrigation Land Leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This is scenario will level a typical 10 acres of irrigated crop land surface to enhance uniform flow of surface water to improve irrigation efficiency using dirtpans/carry-all/pan-scraper equipment. The typical volume of earth moved is 100 to 500 cubic yards per acre. Resource Concern: Excess/Insufficient - Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water Associated Conservation Practices: 433 - Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface; 607 - Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 388 - Irrigation Field Ditch; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; or 587 - Structure for Water Control. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irregular field surface reduces uniformity of surface application and thus irrigation efficiency by localized ponding and/or excess runoff/run-on. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cropland will be reshaped to provide uniform distribution of irrigation water in order to promote irrigation efficiencies. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$11,435.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,1 | 43.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4000 | \$9,680.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 464 - Irrigation Land Leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#25-Irrigation Land Leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This is scenario will level a typical 80 acres of irrigated crop land surface to enhance uniform flow of surface water to improve irrigation efficiency using dirtpans/carry-all/pan-scraper equipment. The typical volume of earth moved is 100 to 500 cubic yards per acre. Resource Concern: Excess/Insufficient - Inefficient Use of Irrigation Water Associated Conservation Practices: 433 - Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface; 607 - Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 388 - Irrigation Field Ditch; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; or 587 - Structure for Water Control. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irregular field surface reduces uniformity of surface application and thus irrigation efficiency by localized ponding and/or excess runoff/runon. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cropland will be reshaped to provide uniform distribution of irrigation water in order to promote irrigation efficiencies. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Volume of Earth Moved |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 28,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$68,337.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$2.44 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 28000 | \$67,760.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 468-Lined Waterway or Outlet
Scenario: \#1 - Turf Reinforced Matting - Corn Belt

## Scenario Description:

Install 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with Turf Reinforced Matting (TRM). $1 / 2$ the channel is excavated. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. TRM is installed over $100 \%$ of the width of the waterway to prevent scour and aid in waterway establishment. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, and furnishing and installing TRM. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Velocities are generally too high or saturated soil conditions make it difficult to establish a grassed waterway.

After Situation:
TRM lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep. The practice is installed using a hydraulic excavator. TRM is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,787.34

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.29
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 90 | \$217.80 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.05 | \$23.24 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.05 | \$18.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turf reinforcement mat | 1212 | Synthetic turf reinforcement mat with staple anchoring. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Square Yard | \$9.62 | 535 | \$5,146.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 468-Lined Waterway or Outlet
Scenario: \#10-Rock Lined

## Scenario Description:

Install 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with riprap (D100 = 9', Velocity $\sim 8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ ). $1 / 2$ the channel is excavated, before excavation for riprap. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Riprap is installed over $100 \%$ of the width of the waterway to prevent scour. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, geotextile underlayment and installing 9' Rock Riprap. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Velocities are generally too high or saturated soil conditions make it difficult to establish a grassed waterway.

After Situation:
Rock lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep. Waterway is excavated and rock is placed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile underlayment is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 171.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$24,530.32

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$143.45
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 337 | \$815.54 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 171 | \$22,838.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 468-Lined Waterway or Outlet

Scenario: \#17-Turf Reinforced Matting

## Scenario Description:

Install 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by $1.5^{\prime}$ deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with Turf Reinforced Matting (TRM). $1 / 2$ the channel is excavated. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. TRM is installed over $100 \%$ of the width of the waterway to prevent scour and aid in waterway establishment. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, and furnishing and installing TRM. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Velocities are generally too high or saturated soil conditions make it difficult to establish a grassed waterway.

After Situation:
TRM lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep. The practice is installed using a hydraulic excavator. TRM is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,183.24
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 90 | \$217.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turf reinforcement mat | 1212 | Synthetic turf reinforcement mat with staple anchoring. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Square Yard | \$9.62 | 535 | \$5,146.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet
Scenario: \#18-Rock Lined, 12 inch
Scenario Description:
Install 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by $1.5^{\prime}$ deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with riprap ( $\mathrm{D} 100=9$ ', Velocity $\sim 8 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ ). $1 / 2$ the channel is excavated, before excavation for riprap. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Riprap is installed over $100 \%$ of the width of the waterway to prevent scour. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, geotextile underlayment and installing 9' Rock Riprap. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Velocities are generally too high or saturated soil conditions make it difficult to establish a grassed waterway.

After Situation:
Rock lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by $1.5^{\prime}$ deep. Waterway is excavated and rock is placed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile underlayment is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$28,969.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.44
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 295 | \$713.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 205 | \$27,379.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet
Scenario: \#19-Concrete

## Scenario Description:

Install 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep trapezoidal or parabolic shaped waterway lined with concrete. $1 / 2$ the channel is excavated, before excavation for concrete and subgrade material. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Concrete is installed over $100 \%$ of the width of the waterway to prevent scour. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, 6 ' of clean sand or gravel subgrade, and 5 ' reinforced concrete slab. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Velocities are generally too high or saturated soil conditions make it difficult to establish a grassed waterway. Usually installed in locations where rock or other lining materials are not readily available.

After Situation:
Concrete lined waterway is 300 ' long by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep. Waterway is excavated using a hydraulic excavator. Concrete slab is placed on 6 ' of clean sand or \#57 stone. Concrete is placed, graded and screeded by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$43,822.32

## Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 9.74$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 80 | \$37,880.80 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 280 | \$677.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 110 | \$4,387.90 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 468-Lined Waterway or Outlet
Scenario: \#20 - Concrete Block

## Scenario Description:

Install 36 ' long (including inlet and outlet aprons) by 15 ' wide by 1.5 ' deep trapezoidal shaped waterway or chute lined with concrete blocks. $1 / 2$ the channel is excavated. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$ standard concrete blocks are installed over $100 \%$ of the width of the waterway/chute to prevent scour. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, 3 ' stone subgrade, geotextile and furnishing and installing standard concrete blocks. Lined waterway width is measured from top of bank to top of bank.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Velocities are generally too high or saturated soil conditions make it difficult to establish a grassed waterway. Usually installed in locations where rock or other lining materials are not readily available.

After Situation:
Conccrete block lined waterway or chute is 36 ' long by $15^{\prime}$ wide by $1.5^{\prime}$ deep. Chute is installed on a 3 to 1 slope. The practice is installed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile and concrete blocks are installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Waterway
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 540.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,262.68
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 65 | \$70.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 32 | \$77.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 6 | \$239.34 |
| Block, concrete | 253 | Concrete block, hollow, normal weight, 3500 psi. Includes both full and partial sizes. Material only | Each | \$2.14 | 640 | \$1,369.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 472-Access Control
Scenario: \#2 - Animal exclusion from sensitive areas
Scenario Description:
Excluding animals from an area in order to address identified resource concerns. This is for facilitating exclusion of animals to protect or enhance natural resource values. Any need for permanent fencing will be planned and installed using the Fence practice (382). Clearing of brush and trees is not necessary. Resource concerns include Wildlife Habitat degradation, Undesirable plant productivity and health, and/or Excessive sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Sensitive areas are threatened by the adverse actions of domestic and/or wild animals. The importance of the sensitive areas can include (but are not limited to): wildlife habitat, plant species composition, newly established trees and/or plants, stream bank stability, and/or water quality.

## After Situation:

Sensitive areas are protected from the adverse actions of domestic and/or wild animals by excluding them from the area. Cost represents forgone income for typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated Practices: Prescribed Grazing, Pipeline, Water Well, Spring Development, Heavy Use Area, Pumping Plant, Watering Facility, Forage and Biomass Planting, Critical Area Planting, Fence.

Feature Measure: Acres exluded
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$532.76

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$53.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 26 | \$518.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |

Practice: 472-Access Control
Scenario: \#38-Small Scale Farm Access Control

## Scenario Description:

Restricting human, terrestrial wildlife and domestic animal access to a farm through the use of signage and other markings. Scenario is typically implemented in association with 382 Fence, Safety Fence scenario. Resource concerns include Plant productivity and health, wildlife habitat degradation, soil compaction and erosion.

Before Situation:
A small scale farm in an urban area has unrestricted access and is being damaged or misused by activities that put the resources and property at risk.
After Situation:
The farms is protected with the installation of a safety fence through associated practice 382 Fence, and the property is now adequately marked with signs with the appropriate access control verbiage, thus securing the resources. Scenario cost is based on the installation of two large signs mounted on sign posts at two main farm access points and 4 smaller plastic property marker signs attached to the fence around the perimeter.

Feature Measure: Small Scale Farm Field
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 684.60$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 684.60$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property/Safety Signs | 293 | Plastic fence safety or property sign, printed on both sides with 6 predrilled holes for hanging or nailing. $7.5 \times 4.75$ inch. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.09 | 4 | \$8.36 |
| Sign, 3' x $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ | 2259 | .125 aluminum, single-sided, with nonreflective, EG reflective or HIP reflective face copy. Galvanized perforated square steel tube sign post with $2.5 \times 30$ inch non-perforated galvanized steel anchor, and Windbeam Bolt Assembly. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$223.56 | 2 | \$447.12 |

## Practice: 484 - Mulching

Scenario: \#2 - Erosion Control Blanket, Vegetation Establishment

## Scenario Description:

Installation of erosion control blanket on critical areas with steep slopes, grassed waterways or diversions. Blanket is typically made of coconut coir, wood fiber, or straw and is typically covered on both sides with polypropylene netting. Used to help control erosion and establish vegetative cover on a disturbed site around a newly constructed structural practices and is generally used with criticial area planting.

## Before Situation:

There are areas of concentrated flow and a grassed waterway is being installed. Soil erosion is a concern and there is little to no vegetation.

## After Situation:

The erosion control blanket is placed on concentrated flow areas and secured with ground stables. Soil erosion is minimized and vegetative cover is established.Associated Practice: 342 Critical Area Planting

Feature Measure: Area Covered by Mulch

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,468.04$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,468.04

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |

## Materials

Erosion Control Blanket, biodegradable

1213 Biodegradable erosion control blanket, typically a composite of natur fibers with reinforcing polymer netting. Materials and shipping only.

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 484-Mulching
Scenario: \#3 - Erosion Control Blanket for Endangered Species, Vegetation Establishment

## Scenario Description:

Installation of erosion control blanket on critical areas with steep slopes, grassed waterways or diversions. Blanket is typically made of straw fiber and is typically covered on both sides with biodegradable netting (Leno woven on top net). Used to help control erosion and establish vegetative cover on a disturbed site around a newly constructed structural practices, while preventing entanglement or entrapment of an endangered snake species. Installation of an ECB with this type of netting is more labor intensive than traditional blankets. This practice is typically used with criticial area planting.

Before Situation:
There are areas of concentrated flow and a grassed waterway is being installed. Soil erosion is a concern and there is little to no vegetation.
After Situation:
The erosion control blanket is placed on concentrated flow areas and secured with ground stables. Soil erosion is minimized and vegetative cover is established.Associated Practice: 342 Critical Area Planting

Feature Measure: Area Covered by Mulch
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,493.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,493.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 96 | \$2,749.44 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Erosion Control Blanket, biodegradable | 1213 | Biodegradable erosion control blanket, typically a composite of natural fibers with reinforcing polymer netting. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.57 | 5324 | \$8,358.68 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: 484 - Mulching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#4 - Natural Material, Soil Moisture Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application of straw mulch or other other state approved natural material (such as wood chips, compost, or hay) to conserve soil moisture, reduce erosion, moderate soil temperature and improve soil health. Typically used to provide partial coverage (either in-row or between rows) with tree/shrub plantings, irrigated orchards or vineyards, or annual and perennial specialty crops. Mulches applied around growing plants shall have $100 \%$ ground cover. Thickness of the mulch shall be adequate to prevent evaporation. Payment based on total acres mulched, assuming $3-5 \mathrm{ft}$. swatch and 10-12 ft. row spacing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site conditions vary. Typical conditions include no protective cover resulting in excessive erosion, increased soil temperature and reduced soil moisture. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Straw or other natural mulch is applied in rows by hand or by mechanized means. Soil moisture is conserved, energy use associated with irrigation is decreased, and soil health is improved. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Area Covered by Mulch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$474.84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 4.84 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 0.5 | \$12.06 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4.2 | \$120.29 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Straw | 1237 | Small grain straw (non organic and certified organic). Includes materials only. | Ton | \$137.00 | 2.5 | \$342.50 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Ohio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: 484 - Mulching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#5 - Synthetic Material, Soil Moisture Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installation of geotextile, biodegradable plastic, polyethylene plastic, or other state approved synthetic mulch to conserve soil moisture, reduce erosion, and moderate soil temperature. Typically used in-row with tree/shrub plantings, irrigated orchards or vineyards, or annual and perennial specialty crops. Payment based on actual area covered by mulching material. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site conditions vary. Typical conditions include no protective cover resulting in excessive erosion, increased soil temperature and reduced soil moisture. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synthetic mulch is applied in rows with a mulch layer or by other mechanized means. Soil moisture is conserved and energy use associated with irrigation is decreased. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Area Covered by Mulch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,3 | 8.72 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$2,3 | 8.72 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mulch, biodegradable plastic, 0.8 mil | 1304 | 0.8 mil starch-based biodegradable plastic mulch, with anchoring. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$0.44 | 4840 | \$2,129.60 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: 484 - Mulching
Scenario: \#6 - Tree and Shrub, Individual Treatment, Soil Moisture Management
Scenario Description:
Weed barrier fabric or other suitable natural or synthetic mulch is installed with a new tree and shrub planting where planting material are not planted in rows, thusrequiring each tree or shrub to be treated individually. Typically used to conserve soil moisture, reduce erosion, and moderate soil temperature. Rate is per tree/shruband assumes 1 square yard of weed barrier fabric and 5 staples/tree. Typical scenario is an installation of 100 native trees and shrubs to enhance wildlife habitat.
Before Situation:
Site conditions vary. Sites are often remote and trees may not be planted in rows, requiring each tree to be treated individually. The lack of mulch causes reduced soilmoisture requiring additional irrigation or poor growth and/or survival.
After Situation:
Weed barrier fabric squares are installed with 5 sod staples each, around individual trees and shrubs. Soil moisture is conserved and energy use associated with irrigationis decreased improving growth and survival of trees/shrubs.
Feature Measure: Number of Trees Mulched
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$108.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$1.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 100 | \$108.00 |

Practice: 484-Mulching
Scenario: \#7 - Natural Material, Small Area

## Scenario Description:

Application of straw mulch or other state approved natural material (such as wood chips, compost, or hay) to conserve soil moisture, moderate soil temperature and improve soil health within a small area, including a High Tunnel System. This small area scenario reflects mulch areas of 0.01 ac to 0.1 ac in size ( 435.6 sqft to 4,356 sqft). Typical size used for calculations is 0.05 ac or 2,178 sqft. Typically used to provide $100 \%$ coverage (in-row and between rows) to suppress weeds competing with annual and perennial crops grown in small areas/high tunnel systems. Mulches applied around growing plants shall have $100 \%$ ground cover. Thickness of the mulch shall be adequate to prevent evaporation.

## Before Situation:

Site conditions vary. Typical conditions include no protective cover resulting in increased soil temperature and reduced soil moisture.
After Situation:
Straw or other natural mulch is applied in tightly spaced rows by hand. Soil moisture is conserved, energy use associated with irrigation is decreased, and soil health is improved.

Feature Measure: Each small area

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 198.46$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 198.46$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2.5 | \$71.60 |

## Materials

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: 484 - Mulching
Scenario: \#8 - Synthetic Material, Small Area
Scenario Description:
Installation of geotextile, biodegradable plastic, polyethylene plastic, or other state approved synthetic mulch to conserve soil moisture, and moderate soil temperaturewithin a small area, including a High Tunnel System. This small area scenario reflects mulch areas of 0.01ac to 0.1ac in size ( 435.6 sqft to $4,356 \mathrm{sqft}$ ). Typical size used forcalculations is 0.05 ac or 2,178 sqft. Typically used in row with annual and perennial crops grown in small areas/high tunnel systems.
Before Situation:
Site conditions vary. Typical conditions include no protective cover resulting in increased soil temperature and reduced soil moisture.
After Situation:
Synthetic mulch is applied by hand. Soil moisture is conserved and energy use associated with irrigation is decreased.
Feature Measure: each small area
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$158.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$158.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 0.5 | \$12.06 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |

## Materials

Mulch, polyethylene plastic, 1.0 mil
13031.0 mil polyethylene plastic mulch, with anchoring. Includes materials Square Yard \$0.37 242 \$89.54 and shipping only.

Practice: 484 - Mulching
Scenario: \#60-Natural Material - Full Coverage
Scenario Description:
Application of straw mulch or other other state approved natural material to reduce erosion and facilitate the establishment of vegetative cover. Mulch provides full coverage and is typically used with critical area planting. Assumes 2 tons of straw mulch per acre

Before Situation:
Typical scenario ranges from a 0.1 to 1.0 acre disturbed site around a newly constructed structural practice. The potential for soil erosion is high and mulch is needed to stabilize the soil and facilitate the establishment of vegetative cover.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements are preprared according to the 484 Mulching Standard and implemented. Straw mulch has been applied to areas needing mulch. Erosion and sedimentation is reduced, water and soil quality is protected, and vegetative cover is established.

Feature Measure: Area Covered by Mulch

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$572.46

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$572.46

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 0.5 | \$12.06 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |

## Materials

Practice: 490-Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Scenario: \#1-Chemical Application

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves the use of various herbicides applied using ground-based machinery in order to remove undesirable vegetation and improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include abandoned fields, pastures, rangelands, agricultural fields or forestland that was recently harvested. This practice is typically used to address the following resource concerns: degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition.

Before Situation:
Undesirable vegetation is present on the site including herbaceous plants and woody vegetation. Noxious and invasive species may also be present on the site. If left uncontrolled, undesirable vegetation will inhibit successful establishment of target species of trees and/or shrubs.

After Situation:
Undesirable vegetation has been treated using appropriate herbicides, reducing competition for target trees and/or shrubs. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size of the practice is 5 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$448.95

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$89.79
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 5 | \$32.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 5 | \$56.70 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 490-Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Scenario: \#2 - Light Mechanical

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves the use of light/moderate machinery to clear above ground vegetation and to also rip/cut/lift underground root systems in order to improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include abandoned fields, pastures, rangelands, agricultural fields or forestlands that have been harvested. This following resource concerns: soil quality degradation - compaction, soil erosion - sheet and rill, and degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition.

Before Situation:
Undesirable vegetation is present on the site including herbaceous plants and sparse woody competition. Noxious and invasive species may also be present on the site. If left uncontrolled, undesirable vegetation will inhibit successful establishment of target species of trees and/or shrubs. Soils are compacted as a result of harvesting heavy equipment activities or other land uses.

## After Situation:

Undesirable vegetation has been removed using a bush hog to knock down stand vegetation and heavy tillage equipment is used to breakup and lift root systems, breakup plow pans ( $<18^{\prime}$ deep), thus enhancing the conditions for planting and survival of trees and/or shrubs. Soil compaction has been alleviated, allowing penetration of moisture and allowing roots to grow properly. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size of the practice is 5 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$839.38

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$167.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2.5 | \$75.08 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 5 | \$106.65 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2.5 | \$79.85 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 490 - Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Scenario: \#3-Light Mechanical with Chemical

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves the use of light/moderate machinery to clear above ground vegetation and to also rip/cut/lift underground root systems followed by appropriate herbicide application in order to improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include small trees (<2' dbh) and brush cover on less than $60 \%$ of area that is not appropriate to the site or providing the desired condition for the landowner. Typical sites include abandoned fields, pastures, rangelands, agricultural fields or forestlands that have been harvested. This following resource concerns: soil quality degradation - compaction, soil erosion - sheet and rill, and degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition.

## Before Situation:

Undesirable vegetation is present on the site including herbaceous plants and sparse woody competition. Noxious and invasive species may also be present on the site. If left uncontrolled, undesirable vegetation will inhibit successful establishment of target species of trees and/or shrubs. Soils are compacted as a result of harvesting heavy equipment activities or other land uses.

After Situation:
Undesirable vegetation has been removed using a bush hog to knock down stand vegetation and heavy tillage equipment is used to breakup and lift root systems, breakup plow pans ( $<18$ ' deep), thus enhancing the conditions for planting and survival of trees and/or shrubs. Soil compaction has been alleviated, allowing penetration of moisture and allowing roots to grow properly. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size of the practice is 5 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,288.33
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$257.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2.5 | \$75.08 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 5 | \$106.65 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 5 | \$32.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2.5 | \$79.85 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 5 | \$56.70 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 3 | \$866.70 |

Practice: 490 - Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Scenario: \#4 - Heavy Mechanical with Chemical

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves the use of heavy machinery combined with appropriate herbicide application to treat an area in order to improve site conditions for establishing trees and/or shrubs. Typical sites include large trees(>2'dbh) and brush cover on $60 \%$ of area that is not appropriate to the site or providing the desired condition for the landowner. This practice is typically used to address the following resource concerns: degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health and inadequate structure and composition and soil quality degredation - soil erosion - sheet and rill.

## Before Situation:

The site is dominated by undesirable vegetation including herbaceous plants and significant amounts of woody vegetation (trees and brush) occupying the site. There is also a significant component of woody debris onsite. Noxious and invasive species may also be present on the site. Soils are compacted as a result of past heavy equipment activities or from other land uses. Sheet and rill erosion is ocurring in areas where the soil was severely disturbed expsoing bare soil. If left untreated, soil compaction and erosion issues will result in poor survival or reduced growth of trees/shrubs to be established on the site.

## After Situation:

Undesirable vegetation has been removed using mechanical methods reducing competition for target trees and/or shrubs. Woody debris has been removed to facilitate tree/shrub planting operations. Soil compaction has been alleviated, allowing penetration of moisture and allowing roots to grow properly. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and/or shrubs. The typical size is 5 acres. Associated practices may include: 612 Tree and Shrub Establishment, 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,941.06
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$588.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 5 | \$32.00 |
| Heavy mechanical site prep, shearing, V-blade, K-G blading | 1314 | Mechanical operations that shear trees and vegetation. Requires heavy equipment such as dozers, Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$162.48 | 3 | \$487.44 |
| Heavy mechanical site prep, raking | 1317 | Mechanical operations that pushing and raking trees and vegetation. Requires heavy equipment such as dozers. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$154.64 | 3 | \$463.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 5 | \$56.70 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 490-Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Scenario: \#31 - Spray, Cross Rip ARRI

## Scenario Description:

Spray site with glyphosate product in the summer then mechanically treat proposed planting areas with 4 foot singleshank ripper using a D9 dozer or equivalent on an 8 foot by 8 foot cross section to reduce soil compaction on previously reclaimed mine lands to improve conditions for tree and/or shrub plantings. Cross ripping of sloped area is done on the contour to minimize erosion. This scenario reflects work being done through the Appalchian Regional Reforestation Initiative (ARRI) .
http://arri.osmre.gov/fra/advisories/fra_no.4.pdf
Before Situation:
Open area has compacted soils and undesirable vegetation due mine reclamation land shaping techniques that will negatively affect the survival and growth of newly planted trees and/shrubs. This practice is used to reduce soil compaction and competing vegetation. Typical treatment area is 5 acres.

After Situation:
A previously mined site is sprayed with herbicide and cross ripped to alleviate compaction to allow the desired tree/shrub to be planted in the treated area. Resulting site is more conducive to tree/shrub growth because competition has been eliminated.

Feature Measure: Acreage treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,512.59$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 702.52$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 8 | \$1,390.56 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 5 | \$32.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

## Materials

Herbicide, Glyphosate

Herbicide, Surfactant
1095 Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.

334 A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST Acres \$12.66 5 \$63.30

5 \$8.05

## Mobilization

1140 Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits.

Practice: 490 - Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
Scenario: \#59-Tree-Shrub Site Prep - small acreage

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves the use of various chemical and tillage methods to allow for the planting of agroforestry practices and hedgerows. Site preparation includes chemically killing vegetation prior to mechanical site preparation that includes appropriate methods to allow for planting of the site which may include one or all of the following: ripping, disking, and harrowing. This practice may be applied on all lands needing treatment to facilitate establishment of trees and shrubs to facilitate establishment of agroforestry practices (alley cropping, forest farming, riparian forest buffer, silvopasture, and windbreak) and hedgerows. Resource concern: Soil erosion - wind erosion.

## Before Situation:

Undesirable vegetation, including woody and herbaceous plants, is present on the site. Noxious and invasive species may also be present on the site. If left uncontrolled, undesirable vegetation will inhibit successful establishment of target species of trees or shrubs. Soil is compacted as a result of prior land management activities.

After Situation:
Undesirable vegetation has been treated using appropriate herbicides, reducing competition for target trees and shrubs. Site conditions are favorable for successful establishment of trees and shrubs. The typical size is 0.5 acre

Feature Measure: Area of treatment
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 22.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$385.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$17.54
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.5 | \$7.02 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 0.5 | \$3.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

Materials

| Herbicide, 2,4-D | 330 | Broadleaf herbicide labeled for cropland and pasture. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$10.10 | 0.5 | \$5.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 0.5 | \$6.33 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 0.5 | \$0.81 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#1 - Removal and Disposal of Concrete Slab

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of concrete slabs by saw cutting, demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of concrete slabs so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all concrete slabs by removal to an approved location, or reuse location. Typical disposal is burial on site. Remove and dispose all concrete slabs in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Concrete slab removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

Before Situation:
On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical area will be a 1000 square feet of impaired land. The removal of concrete slabs will be performed by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all concrete slabs from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: Land Area

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,270.68
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.27
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Loader, 95HP | 935 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$92.26 | 2 | \$184.52 |
| Truck, dump, 18 CY | 1400 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 25 ton or 18 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.14 | 2 | \$198.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#2 - Removal and Disposal of Fence, Feedlot
Scenario Description:
Remove and disposal of all existing fences around a livestock feeding/waste facility by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all fence materials from the site so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all materials by removal to an approved landfill, wood chipping and land distribution, or recycling center, burial at an approved location or burning. If burning is used, implement appropriate smoke management to protect public health and safety. Fence removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

## Before Situation:

On headquarters or any land where existing feedlot fence interferes with planned land use development, public safety, or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical feedlot fence will be 800 in linear feet. The removal of the fence will be performed with the use of equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all debris from the fence removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape such as Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645).

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 800.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,478.40

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 24 | \$1,297.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 24 | \$766.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#26-Removal and Disposal of Rock and or Boulders - Corn Belt

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of rock and or boulders by drilling, blasting, demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all rocks and or boulders so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all rock and or boulders by removal to an approved location, or reuse location. Remove and dispose all rock and or boulders in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Rocks and or boulders will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

Before Situation:
On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical area will be less than 1 acre impaired area. The removal of rock and or boulders will be performed by drilling, blasting, demolition, excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all rocks and boulders from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: Volume
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,946.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$35.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 28 | \$2,693.04 |
| Drilling \& Blasting Rock, Bulk with blasting mats | 1397 | Bulk drilling \& Blasting of rock or boulders in locations requiring the use of blasting mats (Min. $175 \mathrm{CY}, \mathrm{Max} 1500 \mathrm{CY}$ ). Includes all equipment, labor and supplies to complete the blast. | Cubic Yards | \$19.17 | 500 | \$9,585.00 |
| Truck, dump, 18 CY | 1400 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 25 ton or 18 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.14 | 28 | \$2,775.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 24 | \$963.36 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 28 | \$801.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving | Hours | \$40.27 | 28 | \$1,127.56 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#62-Removal and Disposal of Brush and Trees <6 inch Diameter

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of brush and trees < 6 inches in diameter by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all brush and trees so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all brush and trees by removal to an approved landfill, wood chipping and or land distribution, or recycling center, burial at an approved location or burning. If burning is used, implement appropriate smoke management to protect public health and safety. Remove and dispose of brush and trees in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Brush and tree removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

## Before Situation:

On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical area will be a 2.0 acre impaired area. The removal of brush and trees < 6 inch diameter will be performed with the use of equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all brush and trees from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: Land Area

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,822.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,411.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 8 | \$762.40 |
| Brush Chipper, 6 in. capacity | 938 | Brush Chipper, 6 inch capacity, typically 35 HP. Includes chipper and power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$33.25 | 8 | \$266.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 9 | \$361.26 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 9 | \$257.76 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#63-Removal and Disposal of Brush and Trees $>6$ inch Diameter

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of brush and trees > 6 inches in diameter by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all brush and trees so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all brush and trees by removal to an approved landfill, wood chipping and or land distribution, or recycling center, burial at an approved location or burning. If burning is used, implement appropriate smoke management to protect public health and safety. Remove and dispose of brush and trees in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Brush and tree removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

## Before Situation:

On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical area will be a 2.0 acre impaired area. The removal of brush and trees $>6$ inch diameter will be performed with the use of equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all brush and trees from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: Land Area

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,659.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,329.79
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 12 | \$2,085.84 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 12 | \$289.32 |
| Brush Chipper, 15 in. capacity | 1868 | Brush Chipper, 15 inch capacity, typically 165 HP. Includes chipper and power unit. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$72.23 | 12 | \$866.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 13 | \$521.82 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 13 | \$372.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 13 | \$523.51 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#64-Removal and Disposal of Fence

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of all existing fences by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all fence materials from the site so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all materials by removal to an approved landfill, wood chipping and land distribution, or recycling center, burial at an approved location or burning. If burning is used, implement appropriate smoke management to protect public health and safety. Remove and dispose of the unwanted fence obstruction in order to apply conservation practices such as Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) or facilitate the planned land use. Fence removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment and reduce hazards to wildlife.

Before Situation:
On any land where existing fence interferes with planned land use development, public safety, wildlife movement and habitat, or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical fence will be 2640 in linear feet. The removal of the fence will be performed with the use of equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all debris from the fence removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape such as Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645).

Feature Measure: Length of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,640.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,530.64$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.34

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 20 | \$1,081.40 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 20 | \$482.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 21 | \$601.44 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 20 | \$638.80 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#65-Removal and Disposal of Rock and or Boulders

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of rock and or boulders by drilling, blasting, demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all rocks and or boulders so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all rock and or boulders by removal to an approved location, or reuse location. Remove and dispose all rock and or boulders in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Rocks and or boulders will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

Before Situation:
On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical area will be a 5.0 acre impaired area. The removal of rock and or boulders will be performed by drilling, blasting, demolition, excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all rocks and boulders from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: Volume

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$73,157.85
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$146.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 240 | \$23,083.20 |
| Truck, dump, 18 CY | 1400 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 25 ton or 18 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.14 | 240 | \$23,793.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 241 | \$9,673.74 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 241 | \$6,902.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 241 | \$9,705.07 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#66-Removal and Disposal of Wood Structures

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of wood structures by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all wood structures so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all wood structures by removal to an approved location, landfill, or reuse location. Remove and dispose all wood structures in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate the planned land use. Wood structure removal will address the resource concerns of the prevention or hindrance to the installation of conservation practices or present a hazard to their use and enjoyment.

Before Situation:
On any land where existing obstructions interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site may be abandoned mine lands, construction sites, recreation areas, farms, ranches, and areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments.

## After Situation:

The typical area will be a 2000 square feet of impaired land. The removal of wood structures will be performed by demolition, excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all wood structures from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342 , Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: Land Area

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,411.13
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 32 | \$5,562.24 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 32 | \$3,077.76 |
| Truck, dump, 18 CY | 1400 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 25 ton or 18 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.14 | 32 | \$3,172.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 33 | \$1,324.62 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 33 | \$945.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag | Hours | \$40.27 | 33 | \$1,328.91 | Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons.

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#67-Removal and disposal of heavy scattered debris
Scenario Description:
Removal of a heavy concentration of debris from farmland deposited by natural disaster. Includes the cost of all labor, equipment and disposal from area of debris. Debris is defined as woody material, rock, concrete, trash, and personal property deposited by natural disaster. This disposal shall be in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws, rules, and regulations. Dispose of all scattered debris so it does not impede farm operations or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all scattered debris by removal to an approved location, landfill, or reuse location. Scattered debris removal will only address debris obstructing farmland not in the footprint of a structure

## Before Situation:

On any crop, farm or ranch land where debris from storm damage interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site is covered with light debris, (wood, trees, metal, and other damage materials). Debris is scattered over a percentage of the field. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments

## After Situation:

Scattered debris is removed from a affected area of the field.
Feature Measure: Area of debris
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 12,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 16,720.80$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.39

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 30 | \$5,214.60 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 30 | \$2,885.40 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 30 | \$179.70 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 30 | \$2,985.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 60 | \$2,416.20 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#68-Removal and disposal of light scattered debris
Scenario Description:
Removal of debris from farmland deposited by natural disaster. Includes the cost of all labor, equipment and disposal. Debris is defined as woody material, rock, trash, and personal property deposited by natural disaster. This disposal shall be in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws, rules, and regulations. Dispose of all scattered debris so it does not impede farm operations or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all scattered debris by removal to an approved location, landfill, or reuse location. Scattered debris removal will only address debris obstructing farmland not in the footprint of a structure

Before Situation:
On any crop, farm, or ranch land where debris from storm damage interfere with planned land use development, public safety or infrastructure. The site is covered with light debris, (wood, trees, metal, and other damage materials) on a percentage of the field. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments

After Situation:
Debris is removed from the field and land returned to prior use
Feature Measure: Area of debris scatter
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,824.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$482.48
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 10 | \$540.70 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 160 HP | 1203 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 140 to 190 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.99 | 10 | \$959.90 |
| Trailer, flatbed, small | 1505 | Small flatbed trailer (typically less than 30 ' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$9.85 | 10 | \$98.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 30 | \$958.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#69 - Removal and disposal of light sand and flood sediment 12-30 inches

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of $12^{\prime}$ to $30^{\prime}$ of sand and flood deposited sediments by excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all sand and flood deposited sediments so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all sand and flood deposited sediments by removal to an approved location, or re-use location. Sand and/or silt removal will only address sand and/or silt obstructing farmland and cropland

Before Situation:
On any land where existing obstructions interfere with the return of land to its function prior to the occurrence of a natural disaster. The site may be cropland, farms, or ranches affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments, aquatic environment does not include land covered by flood waters that have rescinded

After Situation:
The typical area will be a 30 acre impaired area. The removal of sand and flood deposited sediments will be performed by excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all sand and flood deposited sediments from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: area covered by sediment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 95,249.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,174.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 140 | \$13,342.00 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 100 | \$5,407.00 |
| Truck, dump, 18 CY | 1400 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 25 ton or 18 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.14 | 400 | \$39,656.00 |
| Front End Loader, 130 HP | 1618 | Wheeled front end loader with horsepower range of 110 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$59.84 | 100 | \$5,984.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 640 | \$25,772.80 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment
1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and

Practice: 500-Obstruction Removal
Scenario: \#81-Removal and disposal of light sand and flood sediment > 30 inches

## Scenario Description:

Remove and disposal of > 30' of sand and flood deposited sediments by excavation or other means required for removal. Dispose of all sand and flood deposited sediments so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Dispose of all sand and flood deposited sediments by removal to an approved location, or re-use location. Sand and/or silt removal will only address sand and/or silt obstructing farmland and cropland

Before Situation:
On any land where existing obstructions interfere with the return of land to its function prior to the occurrence of a natural disaster. The site may be recreation areas, farms, ranches, or other areas affected by natural disasters. This is not intended for the removal of obstructions from aquatic environments, aquatic environment does not include land covered by flood waters that have rescinded

After Situation:
The typical area will be a 30 acre impaired area. The removal of sand and flood deposited sediments will be performed by excavation or other means required for removal with the use of heavy equipment and hand labor. Dispose of all sand and flood deposited sediments from the obstruction removal so that it does not impede subsequent work or cause onsite or offsite damage. Revegetate or otherwise protect from erosion disturbed areas as soon as possible. Refer to NRCS Conservation Practice Standard 342, Critical Area Planting for seedbed preparation, seeding, fertilizing, and mulching requirements. The practice is to improve site conditions in order to apply conservation practices or facilitate better use of the landscape.

Feature Measure: area covers by sediment greater th
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$138,157.48
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,605.25
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 173 | \$9,354.11 |
| Truck, dump, 18 CY | 1400 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 25 ton or 18 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.14 | 693 | \$68,704.02 |
| Front End Loader, 130 HP | 1618 | Wheeled front end loader with horsepower range of 110 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$59.84 | 173 | \$10,352.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1109 | \$44,659.43 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80$ \$5,087.60

Practice: 511 - Forage Harvest Management
Scenario: \#1-Improved Forage Quality

## Scenario Description:

Improved cultural practices and recordkeeping result in better forage quality and better livestock performance.

## Before Situation:

Forage cutting heights are as close to the ground as equipment will allow resulting in very low stubble height. Plant regrowth is very slow. Forage quality tests are not regularly done. Records of forage quality components, cutting heights, moisture content, and harvest schedule are not regularly kept.

After Situation:
Forage cutting heights are raised to leave at least 3-4' stubble height for cool season grasses and 6' - 8' (use a boot on the mower) for warm season grasses. Increased residual forage results in much faster plant regrowth. Forage quality tests are submitted to an accredited lab for analysis. Records of forage quality components, cutting heights, moisture content, and harvest schedule are regularly kept to track increased forage quality and improved livestock performance.

Feature Measure: Improved Relative Feed Value

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$207.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Plant Tissue Test | 301 | Tissue analysis for crops. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$25.27 | 2 | \$50.54 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: 511 - Forage Harvest Management
Scenario: \#3 - Perennial Crops - Delayed Mowing
Scenario Description:
In perennial forage crops, delaying the harvest of the first cutting to promote the reproduction of ground nesting birds. The delayed harvest results in a decrease in overal forage quality ( $33 \%$ reduction assumed), making the forage crop less palatable and lower in relative feed value. The selected fields should be large enough to promote ground nesting birds. After young have fledged the field will be harvested for dry forages. Typical forage crops are half alfalfa and half grass.

Before Situation:
Perennial forage crops are produced and harvested; ground nesting birds are disturbed and/or fledgling birds are killed in the process.
After Situation:
Perennial crops are harvested with a delayed mowing; forage quality is compromised, however, the survival of ground nesting birds is promoted.
Feature Measure: Increased grassland bird populatio
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,431.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$147.73
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, Alfalfa | 2121 | Alfalfa Hay is Primary Crop | Ton | \$103.89 | 27.6 | \$2,867.36 |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 27.6 | \$1,357.37 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Plant Tissue Test | 301 | Tissue analysis for crops. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$25.27 | 2 | \$50.54 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#1-Interseeding Legumes and/or forbs

## Scenario Description:

Interseed legumes and/or forbs into an existing grass stand for the purpose of increasing plant diversity, soil quality and fertility, and plant health and enhancing the quality of forage. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production. Payment includes seed, seeding and fertility for interseeding establishment.

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand that needs additional species diversity.
After Situation:
A more diverse grass stand provides improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on red and ladino clover interseeded into a 20 acre cool season grass stand. Inputs are based on medium to low existing fertility.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,941.80

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$247.09

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 20 | \$144.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 700 | \$567.00 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 2000 | \$1,360.00 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#2 - Interseed Legumes and/or forbs Organic

## Scenario Description:

Interseed legumes and/or forbs into an existing grass stand for the purpose of increasing plant diversity, soil quality and fertility, and plant health and enhancing the quality of forage. Scenario is appropriate for organic production. Payment includes seed, seeding and fertility for interseeding establishment.

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand that needs additional species diversity.
After Situation:
A more diverse grass stand provides improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on red and ladino clover interseeded into a 20 acre cool season grass stand. Inputs are based on medium to low existing fertility.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,971.00

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$198.55

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 20 | \$144.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Phosphorus, Organic | 267 | ORGANIC Phosphorus | Pound | \$0.09 | 700 | \$63.00 |
| Potassium, Organic | 268 | ORGANIC Potassium | Pound | \$0.18 | 2000 | \$360.00 |
| Certified Organic, Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2340 | Perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native, may include biennials. Used for permanent plantings such as pastures. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$88.02 | 20 | \$1,760.40 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#3 - Introduced Grass Establishment or Renovation

## Scenario Description:

Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to introduced grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding fertilizer, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation

## Before Situation:

Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesireable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

## After Situation:

Establish introduced grass and legume mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to introduced grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$7,104.80

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$355.24

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 20 | \$128.00 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 20 | \$144.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 30 | \$1,475.40 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1000 | \$680.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 860 | \$696.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1660 | \$1,128.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 20 | \$253.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 20 | \$955.20 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#4 - Introduced Grass Establishment or Renovation Organic
Scenario Description:
Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to introduced grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for organic production. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding fertilizer, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesireable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

## After Situation:

Establish introduced grass and legume mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to introduced grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,398.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$319.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 40 | \$561.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass, Organic | 2200 | Organic general Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$57.69 | 30 | \$1,730.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Nitrogen, Organic | 266 | ORGANIC Nitrogen | Pound | \$0.28 | 1000 | \$280.00 |
| Phosphorus, Organic | 267 | ORGANIC Phosphorus | Pound | \$0.09 | 700 | \$63.00 |
| Potassium, Organic | 268 | ORGANIC Potassium | Pound | \$0.18 | 2000 | \$360.00 |
| Certified Organic, Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2340 | Perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native, may include biennials. Used for permanent plantings such as pastures. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$88.02 | 20 | \$1,760.40 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#5 - Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - no fertility
Scenario Description:
Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to native grass, or grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production on sites where fertility for establishment is adequate or it is determined that lime is all that is needed to enhance available nutrients. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesireable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

After Situation:
Establish native grass and legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,055.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$402.78

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 60 | \$2,950.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 20 | \$2,699.40 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#6 - Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - no fertility Organic
Scenario Description:
Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to native grass, or grass with legumes and/orforbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for organic production on sites where fertility for establishment is adequate or it is determined that lime is all that is needed to enhance available nutrients. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, lime and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesireable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

After Situation:
Establish native grass and legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,365.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$418.27

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 40 | \$561.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass, Organic | 2200 | Organic general Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$57.69 | 60 | \$3,461.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 20 | \$2,699.40 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#7-Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - with fertility

## Scenario Description:

Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to native grass, or grass with legumes and/orforbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production on sites where fertilzer is needed for establishment. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, fertilizer, lime and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesireable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

After Situation:
Establish native grass and legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,881.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$494.05
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 60 | \$2,950.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 860 | \$696.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1660 | \$1,128.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 20 | \$2,699.40 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#9 - Pasture Renovation Utilizing Interim Seeding

## Scenario Description:

Renovation of an existing pasture where an interim stand is established for one year prior to the perennial stand being established. Scenario is appropriate for renovating an existing stand of endophyte infected fescue using the spray - smother - spray technique (spray existing grass before heading in early spring, plant a smother crop, spray smother crop in the fall, plant new grass stand into the stubble). Scenario is also appropriate for situations where any interim species is established and then the perennial is seeded after. Payment includes chemical operations, interim crop establishment and termination, and seeding of new renovated grass stand, including fertilizer and lime needed for a successful establishment.

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand is primarily endophyte infected fescue or unwanted vegetative cover in decreased animal health and productivity.
After Situation:
Stand is renovated without the loss of production. Annual grass planted as a smother crop would be grazed, extending the grazing season. Stand is renovated to a nonendophyte introduced grass/legume stand using the spray-smother-spray technique.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 9,133.40$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$456.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 20 | \$144.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 40 | \$840.40 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 30 | \$1,475.40 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1000 | \$680.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 860 | \$696.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1660 | \$1,128.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 20 | \$955.20 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#10-Introduced Perennial \& Native Grass Mix, foregone income

## Scenario Description:

Establish or reseed adapted introduced grasses and at least one native species to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Used for either conventional or no-till seeding of grasses for pasture, hayland, and wildlife openings. Native grass species which have a significantly greater cost than introduced species comprise one third of the grass mixture. This practice may be utilized for organic or regular production. This scenario assumes seed, equipment and labor for seed bed prep, tillage, seeding. The land being seeded was previously cropland with a typical rotation of corn and soybeans.

## Before Situation:

Land currently being cropped. Resource concerns may include undesireable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion and soil quality.

After Situation:
Suitable species are established to improve forage quality and quantity and reduce soil erosion on cropland ,hayland, pasture, and/or biomass production.
Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,988.20$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$499.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 20 | \$280.80 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 10 | \$4,647.70 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 10 | \$3,751.50 |

## Materials

Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density

2502 A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than 50\% of the mix, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density (40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#62 - High Diversity Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - no fertility

## Scenario Description:

Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to a high diversity native grass, or high diversity native grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production on sites where fertility for establishment is adequate or it is determined that lime is all that is needed to enhance available nutrients. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation.

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesirable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

After Situation:
Establish a high diversity native grass, or high diversity native grass with legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Forage species included in the seeding mix were evaluated prior to seeding for any potential toxicity to the kind of livestock expected to utilize the forage. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,172.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$458.62

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 60 | \$2,950.80 |

Materials

| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2753 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$190.81 | 20 | \$3,816.20 |

Practice: 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting
Scenario: \#63-High Diversity Native Grass Establishment or Renovation - with fertility

## Scenario Description:

Establishing a new stand or renovating a poor stand to a high diversity native grass, or high diversity native grass with legumes and/or forbs to improve or maintain livestock/wildlife nutrition and health, extend the length of the grazing season, and provide soil cover to reduce erosion. Scenario is appropriate for conventional production on sites where fertilizer is needed for establishment. Payment includes site preparation, seed, seeding, fertilizer, lime, and foregone income for loss of production during establishment/renovation

Before Situation:
Existing grass stand does not meet the forage demands, particularly during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesirable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality.

After Situation:
Establish a high diversity native grass, or high diversity native grass with legume and/or forbs mix stand to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and availability, and improved soil condition. Forage species included in the seeding mix were evaluated prior to seeding for any potential toxicity to the kind of livestock expected to utilize the forage. Payment scenario is based on converting an existing poor condition sod to native grass/legume/forb mix using mechanical or chemical activities.

Feature Measure: Acres of Forage and Biomass Planti

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 11,142.40$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$557.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 40 | \$256.00 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 20 | \$144.60 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 20 | \$175.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 60 | \$2,950.80 |

Materials

| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 860 | \$696.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1660 | \$1,128.80 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 40 | \$1,047.20 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 40 | \$506.40 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2753 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$190.81 | 20 | \$3,816.20 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#1-Above Ground Pipeline

## Scenario Description:

An above ground plastic pipeline is installed to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or wildlife for temporary watering locations.

Before Situation:
Livestock have an inadequate or unacceptable water supply which can lead to compromised animal health. Water can be supplied from a central source(s) by piping to one or more locations in the pasture. Water locations are temporary and occur during non-freezing times of the year.

## After Situation:

An above ground plastic pipeline is installed to convey water from a water source to point of use for temporary watering. Payment incorporates pipe and quick connect coupler and fittings. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. The pipeline is to be protected from UV radiation damage, as well as damage from vehicles, animals, people, and fire. The landowner is responsible for repair or replacement of the pipeline as necessary under O\&M during the specified life span of the practice. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).

Feature Measure: Foot
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,655.39$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.83
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 878 | \$3,195.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#2-Buried Pipeline, < 2 in Plastic

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a plastic pipeline, less than 2' diameter, to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or for wildlife. Installation is by trenching, or by backhoe across a stream or other locations where installation of the pipeline by trenching is not feasible.

Before Situation:
Livestock have an inadequate or unacceptable water supply which can lead to compromised animal health. Water can be supplied from a central source(s) by piping to one or more locations in the pasture. Soil conditions are suitable for pipe installation without bedding.
After Situation:
A 1?? inch diameter, Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipeline for stock watering, 4165 ft long is installed for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment includes couplers and fittings and watering point connection (hydrant, shut off valves, etc.). Scenario represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).

Feature Measure: Foot
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4,165.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,939.92

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.87

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 12 | \$754.92 |
| Trencher, 8 in. | 936 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$48.46 | 34 | \$1,647.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 42 | \$1,202.88 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 34 | \$1,085.96 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 9 | \$362.43 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freeze Proof Hydrant, <= 3 ft . bury | 240 | Freeze Proof Hydrant, 3 foot or less bury. Materials only. | Each | \$160.26 | 6 | \$961.56 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 2165.8 | \$5,024.66 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#3-Buried Pipeline, 2in - 3in Plastic

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a 2' - 3' diameter plastic pipeline to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or for wildlife. Installation is by trenching, or by backhoe across a stream or other locations where installation of the pipeline by trenching is not feasible.

Before Situation:
Livestock have an inadequate or unacceptable water supply which can lead to compromised animal health. Water can be supplied from a central source(s) by piping to one or more locations in the pasture. Soil conditions are suitable for pipe installation without bedding.

## After Situation

A 2?? inch diameter, Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipeline for stockwatering, 3300 ft long is installed for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment includes couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).

Feature Measure: Foot

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,300.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 14,039.07$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 4.25$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 12 | \$754.92 |
| Trencher, 8 in. | 936 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$48.46 | 25 | \$1,211.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 33 | \$945.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 25 | \$798.50 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 3856 | \$8,945.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#4 - Buried Pipeline, >3in

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a large diameter plastic pipeline to convey livestock water from a spring development to a watering facility to service a prescribed grazing system.
Before Situation:
Livestock have an inadequate or unacceptable water supply which can lead to compromised animal health. Water can be supplied from a central source such as a spring by piping to a watering facility. Soil conditions are suitable for pipe installation without bedding.

After Situation:
A delivery pipe (typically 4' diameter, Schedule 40 PVC Plastic) from a spring development to a watering facility, or from water source to watering facility for gravity flow systems. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment incorporates couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).

Feature Measure: Foot

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 800.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,469.36$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.09

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 60 in. | 1459 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching, laying 3 to 6 inch CPP drain line with envelope, and backfilling. | Feet | \$1.84 | 800 | \$1,472.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1742 | \$4,041.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#5 - Bedded Pipeline

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a gravel-bedded plastic pipeline in locations or conditions where the gravel bedding is necessary component of pipeline installation due to shallow bedrock, excessively rocky or otherwise unfavorable soil conditions so that the pipeline is evenly supported and protected from damage throughout the length of the trench. The purpose of the pipeline installation is to convey water from a water supply source to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or wildlife.

## Before Situation:

Livestock have an inadequate or unacceptable water supply which can lead to compromised animal health. Water can be supplied from a central source(s) by piping to one or more locations in the pasture. Soil conditions (excessively stoney soil, unstable soil or frequent crossing by heavy equipment) requires the pipe to be protected by gravel backfill.

After Situation:
1600 feet of Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipeline ( 800 ' of $11 / 2^{\prime}$ diameter, and 800 ' of $21 / 2^{\prime}$ diameter) is installed in gravel bedding in pastureland as part of a livestock water delivery system. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).

Feature Measure: Foot
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,196.04$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.12

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 24 | \$1,509.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 59.3 | \$2,365.48 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 935 | \$2,169.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#6-Cased Pipeline with Boring

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a 2'- 3' plastic pipeline within an outer casing, bored under a road or other obstruction to convey water from a source of supply to points of use for livestock in a prescribed grazing system or wildlife.

Before Situation:
Livestock have an inadequate or unacceptable water supply which can lead to compromised animal health. Water can be supplied from a central source(s) by piping to one or more locations in the pasture. Soil conditions or location require boring to facilitate pipe installation.

## After Situation:

The typical installation consists of installing 60 feet of a 2.5 inch, Schedule 40 PVC plastic pipe with a 4 inch outer casing under a roadbed. Pipeline boring includes all pipe under roadbed and labor and equipment involved during installation of pipe. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for supplying water in a managed grazing system, to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, improve health and vigor of key forage plant species and improve or maintain animal health. Payment incorporates couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Fencing (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), Trails and Walkways (575), Access Control (472), Pumping Plant (533), Water Well (642), Heavy Use Area (561) and Watering Facility (614).

Feature Measure: Foot
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 60.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,465.08$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$157.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 1 | \$62.91 |
| Horizontal Boring, Greater Than 3 in. diameter | 1132 | Includes equipment, labor and setup. | Feet | \$118.17 | 60 | \$7,090.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1 | \$40.27 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 70 | \$162.40 |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 164.4 | \$598.42 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#95-HDPE (Iron Pipe Size and Tubing), Small Scale
Scenario Description:
Below ground installation of HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \&Tubing) pipeline. HDPE (IPS \&Tubing) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 24-inch; and typical scenario size is 1 -inch. Construct 260 feet of 1 -inch, Class 130 (SDR 13.5), HDPE pipeline with appurtenances, installed below ground with a minimum 2 feet of ground cover. The unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 260 feet of 1 -inch, Class 130 (SDR-13.5), HDPE weighs $0.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 42 pounds. Appurtenances include: fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $10 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers.Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

Before Situation:
Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.
After Situation:
Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.

## Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe

Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 42.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,652.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.16

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 260 | \$356.20 |
| Fuser for HDPE Pipe | 1383 | Fusing machine for 1 to 12 inch diameter HDPE pipe joints. Equipment costs only. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$27.18 | 8 | \$217.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 46 | \$167.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#96-Surface HDPE (Iron Pipe Size and Tubing), Small Scale
Scenario Description:
On-ground surface installation of HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \&Tubing) pipeline. HDPE (IPS \&Tubing) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to $24-$ inch; and typical scenario size is 1-inch. Construct 260 feet of 1-inch, Class 130 (SDR 13.5), HDPE pipeline with appurtenances. The unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 260 feet of 1-inch, Class 130 (SDR-13.5), HDPE weighs $0.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 42 pounds. Appurtenances include fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves, and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $10 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

## Before Situation:

Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.

## After Situation:

Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.
Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe

Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 42.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$845.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.13

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuser for HDPE Pipe | 1383 | Fusing machine for 1 to 12 inch diameter HDPE pipe joints. Equipment costs only. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$27.18 | 8 | \$217.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 46 | \$167.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#114-PVC (Iron Pipe Size)

## Scenario Description:

Description: Below ground installation of PVC (Iron Pipe Size) pipeline. PVC (IPS) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 36 -inch; typical practice sizes range from 1 -inch to 4 -inch; and typical scenario size is 1 ??-inch. Construct one mile ( 5,280 feet) of 1 ??-inch, Schedule 40, PVC Pipeline with appurtenances, installed below ground with a minimum 1.5 feet of ground cover. The scenario unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 5,280 feet of 1??-inch, Schedule 40, PVC pipe weighs $0.501 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 2,645 pounds. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, thrust blocks, gate valves (2), air release valves (2), drain valve (1), and pressure relief valve (1), and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional 10\% of pipe material quantity). Revegetation is not included.Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

Before Situation:
Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.

## After Situation:

Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.
Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe

Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 2,645.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$16,813.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 6.36 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 5280 | \$7,233.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 48 | \$1,374.72 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 2910 | \$6,751.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 516-Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#115-HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \& Tubing)
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \& Tubing) pipeline. HDPE (IPS \&Tubing) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 24inch; typical practice sizes range from 1-inch to 4-inch; and typical scenario size is 1??-inch. Construct one mile (5,280 feet) of 1??-inch, Class 200 (SDR-9.0, PE4708), HDPE Pipeline with appurtenances, installed below ground with a minimum 1.5 feet of ground cover. Typical size range of pipe installed: 1-inch to 4 -inch. The scenario unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 5,280 feet of 1 ??-inch, Class 200 (SDR-9.0, PE4708), HDPE pipe weighs $0.475 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 2,508 pounds. Appurtenances include: fittings, anchors, thrust blocks, gate valves (2), air release valves (2), drain valve (1), and pressure relief valve (1), and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $10 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Revegetation is not included. Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

Before Situation:
Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.
After Situation:
Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.
Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe
Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 2,508.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 18,438.28$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Pipeline Plowing | 1096 | Includes equipment and labor for plowing small diameter lines in common earth (< 3 inch) | Feet | \$1.10 | 5280 | \$5,808.00 |
| Fuser for HDPE Pipe | 1383 | Fusing machine for 1 to 12 inch diameter HDPE pipe joints. Equipment costs only. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$27.18 | 8 | \$217.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 2759 | \$10,042.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#116 - Surface HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \& Tubing)
Scenario Description:
Description: on-ground surface installation of HDPE (Iron Pipe Size \& Tubing) pipeline. HDPE (IPS \& Tubing) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 24 -inch; typical practice sizes range from 1-inch to 4 -inch; and typical scenario size is 1??-inch. Construct one mile (5,280 feet) of 1??-inch, Class 200 (SDR-9.0, PE4708), HDPE Pipeline with appurtenances, installed on the ground surface. Typical size range of pipe installed: 1-inch to 4-inch. The scenario unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 5,280 feet of 1 ??-inch, Class 200 (SDR-9.0, PE4708), HDPE pipe weighs $0.475 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 2,508 pounds. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, anchors, thrust blocks, gate valves (2), air release valves (2), drain valve (1), and pressure relief valve (1), and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional 15\% of pipe material quantity). Revegetation is not included.Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

Before Situation:
Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.
After Situation:
Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.
Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe
Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 2,508.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 11,977.82$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuser for HDPE Pipe | 1383 | Fusing machine for 1 to 12 inch diameter HDPE pipe joints. Equipment costs only. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$27.18 | 8 | \$217.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |

## Materials

Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight 1379 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured priced smooth wall pipe. Materials only.
Mobilization

Mobilization, very small equipment

1137 Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously.

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#117-Steel (Iron Pipe Size)
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of Steel (Iron Pipe Size) pipeline. Steel (IPS) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 36 -inch; typical practice sizes range from 1-inch to 4 -inch; and typical scenario size is 1 ??-inch. Construct one mile ( 5,280 feet) of 1 ??-inch, Schedule 40 , Galvanized Steel Pipeline with appurtenances, installed below ground with a minimum 1.5 feet of ground cover. Typical size range of pipe installed: 1-inch to 4-inch. The scenario unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 5,280 feet of 1??-inch, Schedule 40, Galvanized Steel Pipe weighs $2.718 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 14,351 pounds. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, thrust blocks, gate valves (2), air release valves (2), drain valve (1), and pressure relief valve (1), and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $10 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Revegetation is not included.Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

Before Situation:
Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.
After Situation:
Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.

## Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe

Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 14,351.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 46,119.82$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.21

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 5280 | \$7,233.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 144 | \$4,124.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, steel, smooth wall, galvanized, weight priced | 1381 | Steel manufactured into galvanized smooth wall pipe | Pound | \$2.11 | 15786 | \$33,308.46 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline

## Scenario: \#143-Rural Water Connection Equipment

## Scenario Description:

The rural water connection includes the 4' manhole, meter, 500' of pipe, valves, and necessary installation for connecting from a rural water pipeline to a livestock distribution pipeline. This item includes installation, all materials, appurtenances, and labor required to construct and install the meter pit. This item does not include the hook-up fees to the rural water system. Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use.Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Spring Development (574), and Prescribed Grazing (528)

Before Situation:
Inadequate water supply for domestic animals located on grazed range, pasture, or grazed forest in the northern plains region.
After Situation:
A rural water connection which provides access to a reliable, high quality water supply for meeting the needs of domestic animals on grazed range, pasture, or grazed forest in the northern plains region. The 4' manhole, meter, pipe and appurtenances will enable the pipeline to meet the quantity requirements of domestic animals.

Feature Measure: Rural Water Connection
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,167.47

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,167.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Trencher, 8 in. | 936 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$48.46 | 6 | \$290.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 10 | \$319.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freeze Proof Hydrant, <= 3 ft . bury | 240 | Freeze Proof Hydrant, 3 foot or less bury. Materials only. | Each | \$160.26 | 1 | \$160.26 |
| Manhole, $4 \mathrm{ft} \times 4 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1053 | Precast Manhole with base and top delivered. 4 feet diameter $\times 4$ feet. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1,387.65 | 1 | \$1,387.65 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 414 | \$960.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 516 - Livestock Pipeline
Scenario: \#162 - Surface Steel (Iron Pipe Size)

## Scenario Description:

Description: on-ground surface installation of Steel (Iron Pipe Size) pipeline. Steel (IPS) is manufactured in sizes (nominal diameter) from ??-inch to 36-inch; typical practice sizes range from 1-inch to 4 -inch; and typical scenario size is 1??-inch. Construct one mile ( 5,280 feet) of 1??-inch, Schedule 40 , Galvanized Steel Pipeline with appurtenances, installed on the ground surface. Typical size range of pipe installed: 1-inch to 4 -inch. The scenario unit is weight of pipe material in pounds. 5,280 feet of 1??-inch, Schedule 40, Galvanized Steel Pipe weighs $2.718 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 14,351 pounds. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, expansion joints, anchors, thrust blocks, gate valves (2), air release valves (2), drain valve (1), and pressure relief valve (1), and are included in the cost of pipe material (additional $15 \%$ of pipe material quantity). Revegetation is not included. Resource Concerns: Inadequate Livestock Water, Inefficient Energy Use. Associated Practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Pumping Plant (533), Watering Facility (614), and Water Harvesting Catchment (636).

Before Situation:
Water supplies need to be conveyed through pipelines for use by livestock or wildlife.
After Situation:
Pipeline(s) convey and/or distribute water to storage and/or watering facilities, for use by livestock or wildlife.
Feature Measure: Weight of Pipe
Scenario Unit: Pound
Scenario Typical Size: 14,351.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 38,947.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 144 | \$4,124.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, steel, smooth wall, galvanized, weight priced | 1381 | Steel manufactured into galvanized smooth wall pipe | Pound | \$2.11 | 16504 | \$34,823.44 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#18-Compacted Earth Liner with Soil Cover

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with compacted clay, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes compaction of the soil liner under proper moisture conditions to the designed liner thickness, and soil cover to protect the finished liner. Scenario includes a 12 compacted clay liner with 6 ' of soil cover covering an area 1 acre in size. Material haul < 1 mile. Associated practices include PS378, PS313, \& other waste water impoundments.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing a clay liner without amendments is available at an econical haul distance. Material haul < 1 mile.

After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material including
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,613.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,873.79

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.84
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1613 | \$6,193.92 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 807 | \$2,663.10 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 2420 | \$3,630.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 15 | \$1,782.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#19-Compacted Earth Liner

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with compacted clay, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes compaction of the soil liner under proper moisture conditions to the designed liner thickness, and soil cover to protect the finished liner. Scenario includes a 12 compacted clay liner covering an area 1 acre in size. Material haul < 1 mile. Associated practices include PS378, PS313, \& other waste water impoundments.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing a clay liner without amendments is available at an economical haul distance. Material haul < 1 mile.

After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.

Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,613.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 12,000.19$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.44

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1613 | \$6,193.92 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 1613 | \$2,419.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 15 | \$1,782.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#40-Soil Dispersant - Uncovered

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with a soil dispersant, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the dispersant with the soil liner under proper moisture conditions and compaction to the designed liner thickness. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. Soils are suitable for treatment with dispersants.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,613.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,151.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1613 | \$6,193.92 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 6 | \$428.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Dispersant | 1490 | Soil Amendment (tetrasodium pyrophosphate (TSPP), sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP), or soda ash or approved equivalent) | Ton | \$450.30 | 6.53 | \$2,940.46 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1 | \$1.00 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#41-Soil Dispersant - Covered

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with a soil dispersant, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the dispersant with the soil liner under proper moisture conditions, compaction to the designed liner thickness, and placement of soil cover over the treated liner. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. Soils are suitable for treatment with dispersants.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material including
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 3,226.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,345.50

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.38

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 3226 | \$12,387.84 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 6 | \$428.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Dispersant | 1490 | Soil Amendment (tetrasodium pyrophosphate (TSPP), sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP), or soda ash or approved equivalent) | Ton | \$450.30 | 6.53 | \$2,940.46 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1 | \$1.00 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#42-Bentonite Treatment - Uncovered
Scenario Description:
Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with bentonite, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the bentonite with the soil under proper moisture conditions, compaction to the designed liner thickness. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. Soils are suitable for treatment with dispersants.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,613.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$194,312.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$120.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1613 | \$6,193.92 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 6 | \$428.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 7 | \$223.58 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 3 | \$356.49 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bentonite | 41 | Bentonite, includes materials (50\# bag) | Each | \$35.64 | 5227 | \$186,290.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1 | \$1.00 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#43-Bentonite Treatment - Covered

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with bentonite, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes incorporation of the bentonite with the soil under proper moisture conditions, compaction to the designed liner thickness, and placement of soil cover over the treated liner. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated practice PS378, PS313.

## Before Situation:

In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. Soils are suitable for treatment with bentonite.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material (includes
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 3,227.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$200,510.23

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$62.14

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 3227 | \$12,391.68 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 6 | \$428.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 7 | \$223.58 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 3 | \$356.49 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bentonite | 41 | Bentonite, includes materials (50\# bag) | Each | \$35.64 | 5227 | \$186,290.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1 | \$1.00 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#44-Material haul < 1 mile

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with compacted clay, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes compaction of the soil liner under proper moisture conditions to the designed liner thickness, and soil cover to protect the finished liner. Material haul < 1 mile. Associated practices include PS378, PS313, \& other waste water impoundments.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing a clay liner without amendments is available at an econical haul distance. Material haul < 1 mile.

## After Situation:

Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.

Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material (including
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 2,420.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$26,229.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.84

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2420 | \$9,292.80 |
| Excavation, clay, large equipment, 1500 ft | 1217 | Bulk excavation of clay with scrapers with average haul distance of 1500 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$5.63 | 1613 | \$9,081.19 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 807 | \$2,832.57 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |

Mobilization

| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 3 | \$2,632.56 |

Practice: 520 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment
Scenario: \#45-Material haul > 1 mile

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a compacted soil liner, treated with compacted clay, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes compaction of the soil liner under proper moisture conditions to the designed liner thickness, and protection of the finished liner. Material haul > 1 mile. Associated practices include PS378, PS313, \& other waste water impoundments.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. An adequate quantity of soil suitable for constructing a clay liner without amendments is available at an economical haul distance.

After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Liner Material (including
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 2,420.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$31,702.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 13.10$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2420 | \$9,292.80 |
| Track Loader, 95HP | 935 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$92.26 | 20 | \$1,845.20 |
| Excavation, clay, large equipment, 1500 ft | 1217 | Bulk excavation of clay with scrapers with average haul distance of 1500 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$5.63 | 1613 | \$9,081.19 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 807 | \$2,832.57 |
| Hauling, bulk, highway truck | 1615 | Hauling of bulk earthfill, rockfill, waste or debris. One-way travel distance using fully loaded highway dump trucks (typically 16 CY or 20 TN capacity). Includes equipment and labor for truck only. Does not include cost for loading truck. | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.35 | 8065 | \$2,822.75 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 20 | \$805.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 3 | \$2,632.56 |



Practice: 521 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner
Scenario: \#2 - Flexible Membrane - Uncovered with liner drainage or venting

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner, uncovered, to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes a geotextile or soil cushion to protect the liner from subgrade damage, and liner drainage or venting. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Surface area of Liner Material (incl
Scenario Unit: Square Yard
Scenario Typical Size: 2,420.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$78,344.33

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$32.37

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 2420 | \$2,613.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 41 | \$1,645.74 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 33 | \$3,921.39 |

## Materials

| Geonet | 1778 | Geosynthetic drainage liner, typically HDPE of 300 mil thickness. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$9.86 | 2420 | \$23,861.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Synthetic Liner, 60 mil | 2109 | Synthetic 60 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc. membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$2.08 | 21780 | \$45,302.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1000 | \$1,000.00 |

Practice: 521 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner
Scenario: \#3 - Flexible Membrane - Covered without liner drainage or venting

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes 1 foot of soil cover for liner protection, and a geotextile or soil cushion to protect liner from subgrade damage. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Surface area of Liner Material (incl
Scenario Unit: Square Yard
Scenario Typical Size: 2,420.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$57,582.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$23.79
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 2420 | \$2,613.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 807 | \$3,098.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 41 | \$1,645.74 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 33 | \$3,921.39 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synthetic Liner, 60 mil | 2109 | Synthetic 60 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc. membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$2.08 | 21780 | \$45,302.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1000 | \$1,000.00 |

Practice: 521 - Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner
Scenario: \#4 - Flexible Membrane - Covered with liner drainage or venting

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a flexible geosynthetic membrane liner to reduce seepage from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. Practice implementation includes 1 foot of soil cover for liner protection, a geotextile or soil cushion to protect liner from subgrade damage, and liner drainage or venting. Associated practices include PS378 Pond, PS313 Waste Storage Facility.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Surface area of Liner Material (incl
Scenario Unit: Square Yard
Scenario Typical Size: 2,420.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$81,443.21
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$33.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 2420 | \$2,613.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 807 | \$3,098.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 41 | \$1,645.74 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 33 | \$3,921.39 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geonet | 1778 | Geosynthetic drainage liner, typically HDPE of 300 mil thickness. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$9.86 | 2420 | \$23,861.20 |
| Synthetic Liner, 60 mil | 2109 | Synthetic 60 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc. membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$2.08 | 21780 | \$45,302.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1000 | \$1,000.00 |

Practice: 522 - Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete
Scenario: \#3 - Reinforced concrete liner

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a concrete liner to address a water quality degradation or Livestock Production Limitation resource concern by reducing seepage from ponds. Practice implementation includes construction of a concrete liner to the designed liner thickness. Planned management of the impoundment and lack of availability of clay material precludes the use of a compacted clay liner, so a concrete lining is planned according to CPS 522. Associated practices include CPS 378. The reinforced concrete lining will be 5 inches thick and cover the bottom and side slopes of the pit. The typical scenario is for a rectangular pit, $100 \mathrm{ft} \times 120 \mathrm{ft}$ with $2: 1$ side slopes, 12 feet deep, with a 16 ft wide access ramp (also concrete lined) on a 9:1 slope. Does not apply to waste storage structures.

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits. Planned management of the impoundment and lack of availability of clay material precludes the use of a compacted clay liner.

## After Situation:

Water conservation and environmental protection provided by limiting seepage losses from ponds.
Feature Measure: Surface area to be lined
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 18,066.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$154,678.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$8.56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 91 | \$43,089.41 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 188 | \$100,711.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 502 | \$1,214.84 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 224 | \$8,935.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 522 - Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete
Scenario: \#6 - Concrete liner, non-reinforced

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a non-reinforced concrete liner to reduce seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. A non-reinforced concrete liner is intended to be used where liquid tightness is not required. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated Practices: Pond (378), Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), and Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629), Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Pumping Plant (533).

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits and construction of a compacted soil liner is not feasible with available soils.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by reducing seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.
Feature Measure: Volume of Concrete liner
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 278.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$75,487.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$271.54
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 278 | \$57,787.86 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 278 | \$1,067.52 |
| Truck, Concrete Pump | 1211 | Concrete pump, normally truck mounted. Use this item in association with other concrete components when job requires placement by other than normal chutes. Include drive and setup time in quantity; therefore, do not include mobilization. Includes equipment and operator. | Hours | \$150.66 | 10 | \$1,506.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 278 | \$10,541.76 |
| Property/Safety Signs | 293 | Plastic fence safety or property sign, printed on both sides with 6 predrilled holes for hanging or nailing. $7.5 \times 4.75$ inch. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.09 | 2 | \$4.18 |
| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 15 | \$64.95 |
| Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ in $x$ 6 in | 1614 | Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Feet | \$6.49 | 566 | \$3,673.34 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 522 - Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete
Scenario: \#7-Concrete liner, reinforced

## Scenario Description:

Construction of a reinforced concrete liner to reduce seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundment structures. A reinforced concrete liner is intended to be used where liquid tightness is required. Practice implementation may require filter compatibility with the subgrade (graded filter or geotextile). Associated Practices: Pond (378), Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Critical Area Planting (342), Nutrient Management (590), Waste Transfer (634), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Roofs and Covers (367), and Waste Separation Facility (632), Waste Treatment (629), Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Pumping Plant (533).

Before Situation:
In-place soils at site exhibit seepage rates in excess of acceptable limits and construction of a compacted soil liner is not feasible with available soils.
After Situation:
Water conservation and environmental protection provided by reducing seepage losses from ponds or waste storage impoundments.

Feature Measure: Volume of Concrete Liner
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

## Scenario Typical Size: 347.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 182,309.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 525.39$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 347 | \$164,307.97 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 278 | \$1,067.52 |
| Truck, Concrete Pump | 1211 | Concrete pump, normally truck mounted. Use this item in association with other concrete components when job requires placement by other than normal chutes. Include drive and setup time in quantity; therefore, do not include mobilization. Includes equipment and operator. | Hours | \$150.66 | 12 | \$1,807.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |

Materials

| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 278 | \$10,541.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property/Safety Signs | 293 | Plastic fence safety or property sign, printed on both sides with 6 predrilled holes for hanging or nailing. $7.5 \times 4.75$ inch. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.09 | 2 | \$4.18 |
| Structural steel tubing, 2 in. diameter | 1120 | Structural steel tubing, 2 inch diameter, $1 / 8$ inch wall thickness, materials only | Feet | \$4.33 | 15 | \$64.95 |
| Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, 3/16 in x 6 in | 1614 | Waterstop, PVC, ribbed, $3 / 16$ inch thick by 6 inches wide. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Feet | \$6.49 | 566 | \$3,673.34 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#1 - Low Intensity, > 7 Day Rotation Frequency

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex:photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc) \& record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture for more than seven (7) days in rotation and adequate rest is provided for the forages.

## Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

## After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Activities include farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,658.69

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$33.23
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 10 | \$172.30 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 60 | \$1,718.40 |

## Practice: 528 - Prescribed Grazing

Scenario: \#2-Medium Intensity, 7-3 Days Rotation Frequency

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex:photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc) \& record keeping. Livestock graze each pasture from three (3) to seven (7) days in rotation. Rotation is based on monitoring livestock demand and supply.

## Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

## After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Scenario results in an increase (above the low intensity option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,889.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$48.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 20 | \$344.60 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 30 | \$1,204.20 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#3-High Intensity, <=2 Day Rotation Frequency

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex:photo points, stubble height after grazing, etc) \& record keeping. Livestock graze each pature/paddock from less than three (3) days in rotation. Rotation is based on monitoring livestock demand and supply.

## Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

## After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres. Scenario results in an increase (above the medium intensity option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor stop grazing heights and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring and maintaining grazing stop height requirements. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$5,585.87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 9.82 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 3 | \$349.17 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 30 | \$516.90 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 45 | \$1,806.30 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 100 | \$2,864.00 |

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing

## Scenario: \#4 - Enhanced - Strip Grazing

Scenario Description:
Design and implementation of a grazing system that will enhance pasture condition and ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: photos points, stubble height after grazing, etc.) \& record keeping. Livestock are part of a managed grazing system which includes utilization of management techniques such as stockpiling/strip grazing to assist in extending the grazing season and improve animal demand and supply efficiency, or summer strip grazing on mature pasture to improve soil health by maintaining and/or improving ideal cover, plant diversity, organic matter and soil temperatures favorable for sustained microbial life.

## Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

## After Situation:

Typical scenario is based on a grazing system consisting of a 30 animal unit cow/calf operation (including bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres for a 200 to 290 day grazing season. Scenario results in an increase (above the Standard option) in labor required to complete the following activities: farm labor to mow or clip pastures; monitor and measure forage growth; complete record keeping; analyze plant growth and animal performance; and make decisions or other management techniques. Management techniques reduce the use of supplemental feed, control weeds, and reduce energy requirements. Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place. Livestock are managed in a way that enhances pasture condition and function through protection of sensitive areas and efficient harvest of forage resources. Runoff, sediment and nutrient loss are reduced by improving plant density, diversity and percent cover. Grazing system success is evaluated through short term monitoring. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing. Consultant or TSP used to develop detailed grazing plan. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,720.79$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$84.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 3 | \$349.17 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 30 | \$516.90 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 45 | \$1,806.30 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 100 | \$2,864.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutritional Balance Analyzer, fecal sample analysis only | 1127 | NIRS fecal analysis, animal performance report. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$46.07 | 4 | \$184.28 |

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#5 - High Density Grazing
Scenario Description:
An improved grazing management system where livestock are grazed on pasture and managed at a stock density of at least $50,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ for $75 \%$ of the grazing days. Pastures will be managed for a livestock utilization rate of $60 \%$ per grazing event. The grazing days will be identified in the Prescribed Grazing Plan.
Before Situation:
Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, streambank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.
After Situation:
A grazing system for a 30 animal unit cow-calf operation (includes bull(s), calves and replacement females) on 80 acres and designed for a 300 day grazing season. The grazing system has a stock density of at least 50,000 pounds for $75 \%$ of the grazing days. Pastures will be monitored and measure pasture growth to ensure a livestock utilization rate of $60 \%$ or less per grazing event. Acquisition of technical knowledge needed to effectively implement prescribed grazing is included. Management techniques will improve soil condition, reduce soil compaction, reduce the use of supplemental feed, reduce the need for weed control, and reduce energy requirements. Consultant or TSP used to develop detailed grazing plan. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: ac
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,671.43
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$95.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 3 | \$349.17 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 30 | \$516.90 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 45 | \$1,806.30 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 100 | \$2,864.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 16 | \$1,901.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutritional Balance Analyzer, fecal sample analysis only | 1127 | NIRS fecal analysis, animal performance report. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$46.07 | 4 | \$184.28 |

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#6-Deferment, 90-209 days

## Scenario Description:

Defer grazing of the pasture for a minimum of 90 days to manage for any of the following purposes: invasive weed control; improve the health of the forage plants; or provide cover for wildlife species. Keep records of dates out and monitor to determine when desired objectives of deferment are met. Does not include the purpose of deferment for the establishment of forages.

## Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, stream bank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

## After Situation:

Scenario describes activities completed to restrict grazing for a defined period during the normal grazing period to provide benefits for invasive weed control, improvement in the health of the forage plants or providing cover for wildlife species. Activities include moving livestock to alternate locations, sampling and analyzing pasture condition, recordkeeping. Forgone Income used represents the acreage of usable forage not utilized during the deferment period as a proportion of the grazing season. Typical size of 80 acre pasture operation with 30 animal units where $50 \%$ of the acreage (or 40 acres) is deferred from grazing for 90 days. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,675.46
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$66.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Trucking, moving livestock to new paddock | 961 | Livestock transportation costs to implement a grazing rotation using a gooseneck trailer 6 ft . 8 inch $\times 24$ feet. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Mile | \$3.36 | 50 | \$168.00 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 86 | \$1,714.84 |

Labor
Skilled Labor

230 Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, Hours $\$ 40.14$

8
\$321.12 electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.
General Labor
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and Hours $\quad \$ 28.64$ \$229.12 other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#7-Deferment, >=210 days

## Scenario Description:

Defer the pasture for 210 days and up to a growing season to manage for invasive weeds when necessary, to improve the health of the plants and/or provide nesting habitat for wildlife species. Keep records of dates out and monitor to determine when desired objectives of deferment are met. Does not include the purpose of deferment for the establishment of forages.

## Before Situation:

Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants and such use has a negative impact on pasture condition, as well as soil and water resources. Inefficient use results in overgrazing, spot grazing, livestock trailing, concentration areas, uncontrolled access to streams and ponds, ephemeral erosion, gully erosion, stream bank erosion. Stocking rates are higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

## After Situation:

Scenario describes activities completed to restrict grazing for a defined period during the normal grazing period to provide benefits for invasive weed control, improvement in the health of the forage plants or providing cover for wildlife species. Activities include moving livestock to alternate locations, sampling and analyzing pasture condition, recordkeeping. Forgone Income used represents the acreage of usable forage not utilized during the deferment period as a proportion of the grazing season. Typical size of 80 acre pasture operation with 30 animal units where $75 \%$ of the acreage (or 60 acres) is deferred from grazing for 210 days. Costs and activities are typical for conventional and organic producers. Associated Practices: (511) Forage Harvest Management, (512) Forage and Biomass Planting, (590) Nutrient Management, (595) Integrated Pest Management, (561) Heavy Use Area Protection, (382) Fence, (614) Watering Facility, (378) Pond, (642) Water Well, (314) Brush Management, (315) Herbaceous Weed Control, (338) Prescribed Burning.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 60.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,458.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$90.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Trucking, moving livestock to new paddock | 961 | Livestock transportation costs to implement a grazing rotation using a gooseneck trailer 6 ft .8 inch x 24 feet. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Mile | \$3.36 | 50 | \$168.00 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 198 | \$3,948.12 |

Labor
Skilled Labor

230 Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, Hours $\$ 40.14$ 16 \$642.24 electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.
General Labor
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and
Hours $\quad \$ 28.64 \quad 16$ $\$ 458.24$ other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#38-Cover Crop/Aftermath

## Scenario Description:

Design and implementation of a grazing system using multiple fields of cover crops or cover crops in combination with crop aftermath. Use of these crop fields will provide additional forage and relieve pressure on pastureland fields, thereby enhancing pastureland health and ecosystem function as well as optimizing efficiency and economic return through monitoring (ex: trend, composition, production, etc.), and record keeping. This grazing will typically occur in the fall. If the grazing occurs on cover crop that is being used as part of pollinator system, the field cannot be grazed until after the honeybees are moved from the area which is usually early September.

Before Situation:
Current grazing system exhibits undesirable and inefficient use of forage plants as well as soil and water resources. Stocking rates are likely higher than the current level of production and efficiency of use can support without management changes. There is currently no monitoring plan in place to evaluate change on the landscape.

After Situation:
Prescribed grazing system is designed to protect the health and vigor of the plant communities that are in place, as well as utilizing the cover crops to a level that will continue to improve the soil health of the cropland. Livestock are managed in rotation in a way that enhances soil health and function through proper use and distribution, and efficient harvest of forage resources. Grazing system success will be evaluated through monitoring.

Feature Measure: Acres of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 80.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,222.33

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 16 | \$275.68 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 12 | \$551.64 |

Practice: 528-Prescribed Grazing
Scenario: \#49-Prescribed Grazing Management for 5 Acres or less
Scenario Description:
Plan, implement and monitor a rotational grazing systgem that will enhance and maintain ecosystem function as well as optimize efficiency and economic return on small farm grazing lands.

Before Situation:
Area is degraded due to inappropriate timing, duration, frequency and intensity of animal utilization resulting in impaired ecosystem functions. Overuse and degradation of the soil and plant resources are occuriing and animal health is compromised.

## After Situation:

Planned rotational movement of animals meet ecosystem functions due to proper timing, duration, frequency and intensity of animal utilization. Monitoring is showing that animals are in balance with available forage resources and ecological function and processes for soil, water and plant resources are being improved.

Feature Measure: acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,208.65
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$241.73

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 28 | \$801.92 |

Practice: 533-Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#1-Wastewater Pump < 1 Hp

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the implentation of a electric chopper screw pump of less than 1 horsepower. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, pumping wastewater from the source to a storage facility such as in a dairy milk parlor, or pumping supernatant from the sump of a settling basin to a level spreader device upstream of a Vegetated Treatment Area, in flat topography where gravity flow from the settling basin is not feasible. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad base for the pump.

Before Situation:
Dairy milk parlor wastewater is not managed properly, or feedlot runoff enters a nearby stream, causing water quality concerns through excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogen. The resource concerns to be addressed are for water quality, air quality, and domestic animal health.

After Situation:
Practice typically installed for transfer of wastewater to a storage facility using 3/4 HP chopper/screw pump. Dairy milk parlor wastewater is directed to a waste storage facility, or feedlot runoff is directed to a solid/liquid settling basin, and supernatant is pumped from the sump of the settling basin to a Vegetated Treatment Area. Contaminated water no longer enters the stream. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 633 Waste Utilization; 632 Solid/liquid Waste Separation Facility; 635 Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Per Pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,972.63

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,972.63
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |

## Materials

Pump, Wastewater, Solids
Handling < 1 HP

2514 Wastewater solid handling pump less than 1 horsepower. Pumping capacity of 20 gallons per minute at 30 feet of Total Dynamic Head. Includes materials and shipping only.

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#2-Wastewater Pump 1-5 Hp
Scenario Description:
Scenario is for the implentation of a electric chopper screw pump of 1-5 horsepower. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, pumping wastewater from the source to a storage facility such as in a dairy milk parlor, or pumping supernatant from the sump of a settling basin to a level spreader device upstream of a Vegetated Treatment Area, in flat topography where gravity flow from the settling basin is not feasible. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad base for the pump.

Before Situation:
Dairy milk parlor wastewater is not managed properly, or feedlot runoff enters a nearby stream, causing water quality concerns through excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogen. The resource concerns to be addressed are for water quality, air quality, and domestic animal health.

After Situation:
Practice typically installed for transfer of wastewater to a storage facility using 3 HP chopper/screw pump. Dairy milk parlor wastewater is directed to a waste storage facility, or feedlot runoff is directed to a solid/liquid settling basin, and supernatant is pumped from the sump of the settling basin to a Vegetated Treatment Area. Contaminated water no longer enters the stream. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 633 Waste Utilization; 632 Solid/liquid Waste Separation Facility; 635 Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Per Pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,805.33$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,805.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |

## Materials

Pump, Wastewater, Solids
Handling, 1 to 5 HP

2515 Wastewater solid handling pump with 1 to 5 horsepower. Pumping capacity of 100 gallons per minute at 30 feet of Total Dynamic Head. Includes materials and shipping only.

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#3 - Manure Pump >5 Hp

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the implentation of a electric chopper screw pump of $>5$ horsepower to pump manure from the source to a storage facility. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, situations where a dairy or swine operation is pumping manure to an above ground storage facility. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad.

## Before Situation:

Manure is not managed properly, or feedlot runoff enters a nearby stream, causing water quality concerns through excessive nutrients, organics, and pathogen. The resource concerns to be addressed are for water quality, air quality, and domestic animal health.

After Situation:
Practice typically installed for transfer of manure to a storage facility using 10 HP chopper/screw pump. Manure is directed to a waste storage facility, or feedlot runoff is directed to a solid/liquid settling basin, and supernatant is pumped from the sump of the settling basin to a Vegetated Treatment Area. Contaminated water no longer enters the stream. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 633 Waste Utilization; 632 Solid/liquid Waste Separation Facility; 635 Vegetated Treatment Area

Feature Measure: Per Pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,161.79
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,161.79

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, Wastewater, Solids Handling, > 5 HP | 2516 | Wastewater solid handling pump greater than 5 horsepower. Pumping capacity of 400 gallons per minute at 40 feet of Total Dynamic Head. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10,986.13 | 1 | \$10,986.13 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#4 - Small Wastewater Fuel Driven Pump <=50 Hp

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the implentation of a fuel or PTO-driven pump of ??? 50 horsepower for transferring manure or wastewater. Implementation examples include, but are not limited to, pumping wastewater from a storage facility to an end use such as a field, or transferring manure and wastewater from a shallow pit under a hog confinement building to a deep pit manure storage on the headquarters site. Payment includes all controls and appurtenances needed to mount the pump and connect the pump to the piping system. The piping system and any associated reception tank is specified under 634 - Waste Transfer.

## Before Situation:

Various types of semi-solid or liquid waste at the headquarters is uncollected causing surface and ground water issues. Resource concerns are water quality degradation excess nutrients in surface and ground waters.

After Situation:
For semi-solid or liquid waste, wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to appropriate treatment or storage facilities or crop application. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer

Feature Measure: Per Pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 28,783.58$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28,783.58

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, < 50 HP, Pump \& ICE power unit | 1027 | Materials, labor, controls: < 50 HP Pump \& ICE power unit | Horsepower | \$716.63 | 40 | \$28,665.20 |



## Practice: 533-Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#6 - Irrigation Pump

## Scenario Description:

The practice is installed to pump irrigation water from the source to a final destination. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad.

## Before Situation:

Practice to be installed for management of irrigation water. Conditions include inefficent energy use due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use - equipment and facilities, water quality, water quantity, plant condition, and plant health.

## After Situation:

Practice typically installed for transfer of irrigation water to a final destination using 50 HP pump. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated Practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 442 Irrigation System - Sprinkler, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 nutrient management, 595 integrated pest management; 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 35,949.88$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$35,949.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, < 50 HP, Pump \& ICE power unit | 1027 | Materials, labor, controls: < 50 HP Pump \& ICE power unit | Horsepower | \$716.63 | 50 | \$35,831.50 |

Practice: 533-Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#7-Microirrigation Pump

## Scenario Description:

The practice is installed to pump irrigation water from the source to a final destination for a micro irrigation system. Payment includes the pump and controls, installation and concrete pad.

## Before Situation:

Practice to be installed for management of irrigation water. Conditions include inefficency of irrigation pump due to age and type, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for water quality, water quantity, plant condition, and plant health.

## After Situation:

Practice typically installed for transfer of irrigation water to a final destination using 1 HP pump. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated Practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System - Microirrigation, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 nutrient management, 595 integrated pest management; 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,740.23$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 2,740.23$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |

## Materials

| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1 | \$447.82 |
| Pressure Tank, 40 gallon | 1038 | Pressure Tank, 40 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$428.69 | 1 | \$428.69 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#8 - Solar Pump for Shallow Well or Spring Development

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a solar panel array, pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances in a shallow well or spring development for supplying water to livestock in situations where standard electric power is inaccessible The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Payment does not include battery backup.

## Before Situation:

Practice to be installed on grazing land. Current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

## After Situation:

The typical scenario assumes installation of a 200-watt photovoltaic (PV) panel. The installation includes the pump, wiring, pipeline in the well, solar panels, frame mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing storage tank at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Associated Practices include: 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 642 Water Well, 528 Prescribed Grazing and, 614 - Watering Facility.

Feature Measure: Pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 3,657.97$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 0.25 | \$111.96 |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Pressure Tank, 40 gallon | 1038 | Pressure Tank, 40 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$428.69 | 1 | \$428.69 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.2 | \$512.72 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#9-Solar Pump for Pond

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a solar panel array, and pump from a pond for supplying water to livestock in situations where standard electric power is inaccessible The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Payment does not include battery backup.

Before Situation:
Practice to be installed on grazing land. Current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site condtions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

## After Situation:

The typical scenario assumes installation of a 200-watt photovoltaic (PV) panel. The installation includes the pump, wiring, solar panels, frame mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing pond at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Associated Practices include: 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 528 Prescribed Grazing and, 614 - Watering Facility.

Feature Measure: Pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,200.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,200.64

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 0.25 | \$111.96 |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.2 | \$512.72 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#10 - Shallow Well Pump (<= 25 ft deep)

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a shallow well (<= 25 feet deep) or collection for supplying water for irrigation, watering facilities, or other purpose identified in the Conservation Practice Standard. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation.

Before Situation:
Where practice is to be installed on grazing land, current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health.?? The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health. Where practice is to be installed for management of irrigation water, current conditions include inefficient energy due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use, water quality, water quantity, plant productivity, and health.

## After Situation:

On grazing land, practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a centrifugal pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a shallow draw watering system. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well; 574 Spring Development.For irrigation, practice typically installed for the transfer of irrigation water to a final destination. Conservation benefits of the installation areimproved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water.Associated practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 442 Sprinkler System, 449Irrigation Water Management, 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,621.85$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,621.85

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1 | \$447.82 |
| Pressure Tank, 40 gallon | 1038 | Pressure Tank, 40 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$428.69 | 1 | \$428.69 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#11-Shallow Well Pump (<= 25 ft deep) with Above Ground Pump House

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a shallow well ( $<=25$ feet deep) or collection for supplying water for irrigation, watering facilities, or other purpose identified in the Conservation Practice Standard. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a pump house installed above ground for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed.

Before Situation:
Where practice is to be installed on grazing land, current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health. Where practice is to be installed for management of irrigation water, current conditions include inefficient energy use due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use, water quality, water quantity, plant productivity and health.

## After Situation:

On grazing land, practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a centrifugal pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a shallow draw watering system. A 5' $\times 4^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}(100 \mathrm{cuft})$ prefabricated concrete above ground pump house is installed above ground on a $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$ gravel pad. An above ground pump house is utilized where burying is not feasible in a cost effective manner due to shallow soils. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well; 574 Spring Development. For irrigation, practice typically installed for the transfer of irrigation water to a final destination. Conservation benefits for the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water.Associated practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 442 Sprinkler System, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,850.33$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 3,850.33$ |


| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 2.4 | \$95.74 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1 | \$447.82 |
| Pressure Tank, 40 gallon | 1038 | Pressure Tank, 40 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$428.69 | 1 | \$428.69 |
| Pump House, Above Ground | 2470 | Above ground prefabricated pump house. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$1,018.18 | 1 | \$1,018.18 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#12 - Shallow Well Pump (<= 25 ft deep) with Buried Pump House

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a shallow well (<= 25 feet deep) or collection for supplying water to for irrigation, watering facilities, or other purpose identified in the Conservation Practice Standard. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a buried pump house for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed.

## Before Situation:

Where practice is to be installed on grazing land, current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health. Where practice is to be installed for management of irrigation water, current conditions include inefficient energy use due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use, water quality, water quantity, plant productivity health.

After Situation:
On grazing land, practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a centrifigal pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a shallow draw watering system. A $160 \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{concrete} \mathrm{well} \mathrm{house} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{buried}$. warrant burying for improved protection. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well; 574 Spring Development. For irrigation, practice typically installed for the transfer of irrigation water to a final destination. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Associated practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 442 Sprinkler System, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,561.25

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,561.25
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 3 | \$372.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment $>=150$ HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |

## Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 1 | \$39.89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1 | \$447.82 |
| Pressure Tank, 40 gallon | 1038 | Pressure Tank, 40 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$428.69 | 1 | \$428.69 |
| Pumping Plant Pit, Concrete, 1200 Gallon | 1922 | Precast concrete septic tank structure, 1200 gal capacity, with access port and ladder. Materials only. | Each | \$1,565.19 | 1 | \$1,565.19 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#13 - Deep Well Pump (>25 ft deep)

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a deep well (> 25 feet) for supplying water for irrigation, watering facilities, or other purpose identified in the Conservation Practice Standard. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation.

## Before Situation:

Where practice is to be installed on grazing land, current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health. Where practice is to be installed for management of irrigation water, current conditions include inefficient energy use due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use, water quality, water quantity, plant productivity and health.

## After Situation:

On grazing land, practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a jet or submersible pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a watering system. When utilizing a pond or stream a sump will be installed and used rather than a well. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well.For irrigation, practice typically installed for the transfer of irrigation water to a final destination. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Associated practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 442 Sprinkler System, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,002.73$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,002.73$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1.5 | \$671.73 |
| Pressure Tank, 80 gallon | 1039 | Pressure Tank, 80 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$585.66 | 1 | \$585.66 |

Practice: 533-Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#14 - Deep Well Pump (> 25ft deep) with Above Ground Pump House

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a deep well (> 25 feet) for supplying water for irrigation, watering facilities, or other purpose identified in the Conservation Practice Standard. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a pump house installed above ground for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed.

## Before Situation:

Where practice is to be installed on grazing land, current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health. Where practice is to be installed for management of irrigation water, current conditions include inefficient energy use due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use, water quality, water quantity, plant productivity and health.

## After Situation:

On grazing land, practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a jet or submersible pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a watering system. A $5^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}(100 \mathrm{cuft})$ prefabricated concrete above ground pump house is installed above ground on a $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$ gravel pad. An above ground pump house is utilized where burying is not feasible in a cost effective manner due to shallow soils. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well.For irrigation, practice typically installed for the transfer of irrigation water to a final destination. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Associated practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 442 Sprinkler System, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,231.21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4,2 | 1.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 2.4 | \$95.74 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1.5 | \$671.73 |
| Pressure Tank, 80 gallon | 1039 | Pressure Tank, 80 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$585.66 | 1 | \$585.66 |
| Pump House, Above Ground | 2470 | Above ground prefabricated pump house. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$1,018.18 | 1 | \$1,018.18 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#15 - Deep Well Pump (> 25 ft deep) with Buried Pump House

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump and pressure tank in a deep well (> 25 feet) for supplying water for irrigation, watering facilities, or other purpose identified in the Conservation Practice Standard. Payment includes pump, controls, pressure tank and installation. Payment also includes a buried pump house for situations where there is not an existing sheltered location for the pump to be installed. Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well

## Before Situation:

Where practice is to be installed on grazing land, current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health. Where practice is to be installed for management of irrigation water, current conditions include inefficient energy use due to age and type of irrigation pump, poor plant condition, and poor plant health. The resource concerns to be addressed are for inefficient energy use, water quality, water quantity, plant productivity and health.

After Situation:
On grazing land, practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a jet or submersible pump, pressure tank, and appurtenances for a watering system. A 160 cuft concrete well house is buried. A buried pump house is utilized where the ground is such that burying is not difficult and the climate conditions warrant burying for improved protection. Conservation benefits of the installation is proper grazing distribution, which will allow a degraded site to be restored. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well. For irrigation, practice typically installed for the transfer of irrigation water to a final destination. Conservation benefits of the installation are improved efficiency for the delivery of irrigation water. Associated practices: 430 Irrigation Pipeline, 441 Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 442 Sprinkler System, 449 Irrigation Water Management, 590 Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 5,932.88$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 3 | \$372.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1.5 | \$671.73 |
| Pressure Tank, 80 gallon | 1039 | Pressure Tank, 80 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$585.66 | 1 | \$585.66 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 1 | \$30.64 |
| Pumping Plant Pit, Concrete, 1200 Gallon | 1922 | Precast concrete septic tank structure, 1200 gal capacity, with access port and ladder. Materials only. | Each | \$1,565.19 | 1 | \$1,565.19 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 533-Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#16-Pump with Sump

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a pump, pressure tank, and sump that supplies a dependable water supply to livestock from a pond, stream, or spring development.

## Before Situation:

Practice to be installed on grazing land. Current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site condtions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

## After Situation:

Practice typically installed for 30 animal units and consists of installing a pump, pressure tank, sump, and appurtenances for a watering system from a pond or stream or spring development. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers.Associated Practices: 528 Prescribed Grazing, 516 Pipeline, 614 Watering Facility, 642 Water Well

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,608.10$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,608.10
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 5 | \$314.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |

## Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 10 | \$398.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 0.25 | \$111.96 |
| Pressure Tank, 80 gallon | 1039 | Pressure Tank, 80 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$585.66 | 1 | \$585.66 |
| Pumping Plant Pit, Concrete, 1200 Gallon | 1922 | Precast concrete septic tank structure, 1200 gal capacity, with access port and ladder. Materials only. | Each | \$1,565.19 | 1 | \$1,565.19 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |



Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#18-Vacuum Pump
Scenario Description:
The typical scenario is for the installation of a 10 HP motor and vacuum pump with appurtances, used in a dairy milking system to transfer the milk from the animal to the milk receiver. The motor will be used in conjunction with a VSD. This practice is to be used exclusively for implementing recommendations from on-farm energy audits. Payment includes pump, controlls and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

The system is inefficient when a motor operates at constant speed to satisfy a load which varies as to flow rate and/or pressure requirements.

## After Situation:

An on-farm energy audit has determined that energy use can be reduced through use of a more efficient motor and pump combination. A VSD will be used with the motor/pump combination so that the motor speed can be adjusted to reduce power requirements and better match varied flow or pressure requirements. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, and other activities within 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated Practice: 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement

Feature Measure: per pump
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,468.32$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,468.32$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, Vacuum, 10 HP, Pump and | 2473 | Vacuum pump including a 10 horsepower, 3 phase motor. Includes | Each | \$8,468.32 | 1 | \$8,468.32 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#62 - Solar Pump for Deep Well

## Scenario Description:

The scenario is for the installation of a solar panel array, pump, and appurtenances in a deep well for supplying water to livestock is situations where standard electric power is inaccessible. The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Payment does not include battery backup.

Before Situation:
Practice to be installed on grazing land. Current conditions include inadequate water supply, poor water quality, degraded site conditions leading to erosion concerns, poor grazing distribution, and poor livestock health. The resource concerns to be addressed are Inadequate supply of water, grazing distribution, and degraded site conditions leading to poor animal health.

After Situation:
The typical scenario assumes installation of a 500-watt photovoltaic (PV) panel. Pump TDH 200ft at 5 gallon per minute. The installation includes the pump, wiring, pipeline in the well, solar panels, frame mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing storage tank at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Associated Practices include: 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 642 Water Well, 528 Prescribed Grazing and, 614 - Watering Facility.

Feature Measure: Pump

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,624.26$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,624.26$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump House, Above Ground | 2470 | Above ground prefabricated pump house. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$1,018.18 | 1 | \$1,018.18 |
| Solar Pumping System, Fixed Cost Portion | 2495 | Fixed cost portion of a solar powered pumping system. This portion is a base cost for a complete system including the photovoltaic panels, pumping plant, support braces, electric controllers, service drop, etc., and is not dependant on KiloWatt. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the cost of materials only. | Each | \$3,435.10 | 1 | \$3,435.10 |
| Solar Pumping System, Variable Cost Portion | 2496 | Variable cost portion of a solar powered pumping system. This portion IS dependent upon the total kilowatts of the photovoltaic panels, but also includes the pumping plant, support braces, electric controllers, service drop, etc. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes the cost of materials only. | Kilowatt | \$3,883.71 | 0.5 | \$1,941.86 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

## Scenario: \#63-Livestock Non-Electric Pump

## Scenario Description:

A non-electric pump (nose pump, sling pump, water ram, etc.) is located in a pasture for the purpose of providing water to cattle. For a permanent installation, it is typical to also install Heavy Use Area Protection (561) (separate contract item) where the cattle congregate around the pump. The objective is to provide water to the cattle outside of a live stream or other natural water source thereby eliminating a significant erosion situation and while also improving water quality. The cattle thus have access to drinking water without having to enter the stream. Generally one pump is adequate for 20 cattle. Resource Concerns: Insufficient stockwater; Inefficient energy use - Equipment and facilities. Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 382 - Fence; 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection; and, 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Livestock have open access to a live stream or other existing natural water supply. Water supply is contaminated due to animal activity and stream banks are eroded on a daily basis. Improper cattle distribution results in poor water quality, poor grazing distribution, over grazing, and soil erosion.

## After Situation:

One non electric pump is installed with all appurtenances anchored to concrete pad with 6'x6'x10 Gauge reinforcement wire ( $9 \mathrm{ft} \times 4 \mathrm{ft} \times 5 \mathrm{in}$ ) or other appropriate secure base to supply water to cattle for improved livestock herd management. Additional Heavy Use Area Protection (561) in the form of crushed rock and at least 5 feet wide, may be installed (separate contract item) surrounding the concrete pad. Improved: water quality, soil quality, grazing management, plant diversity, and animal health.

Feature Measure: Number of Pumps
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,452.32$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,452.32

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.5 | \$236.76 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nose Pump | 1052 | Materials and delivery. | Each | \$425.80 | 1 | \$425.80 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#67-Windmill-Powered Pump

## Scenario Description:

A windmill is installed in order to supply a reliable water source for livestock and/or wildlife. The windmill includes the tower, concrete footings, wheel blade unit, sucker rod, down pipe, gear box, pump, plumbing, and well head protection concrete pad. The typical scenario will be a windmill system with a 10 ft diameter mill and 27 -foot tower which is pumping from a 150 -foot well. As a result of installing this windmill, resource concerns of inadequate stock water, plant establishment, growth, productivity, health, and vigor, and water quantity can be addressed.Resource Concerns: Insufficient stockwater.

Before Situation:
In a rangeland or pasture setting, a reliable source of water for livestock is not available, or the spacing between water sources is such that grazing distribution and plant health are adversely impacted.

After Situation:
A windmill, with a wheel ranging from $6^{\prime}$ to $16^{\prime}$ in diameter, will be installed over a well that is located to provide a reliable source of livestock water at the rate of at least 2 gpm , to facilitate proper grazing distribution and improved plant health. To increase reliability, water is pumped into a storage tank to provide a given number of days of supply. Installation includes the footings, wellhead protection concrete pad, tower, gear box, sail, sucker rod, down hole accessories, and a short outlet pipe to a storage tank.

Feature Measure: Diameter of Mill Wheel
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 13,414.84$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 2 | \$947.02 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Aerial lift, telescoping bucket | 1893 | Aerial lift, bucket truck or cherry picker, typical 40' boom. Equipment only. | Hours | \$43.45 | 8 | \$347.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Windmill, 10 ft . fan diameter | 1036 | Includes materials costs for windmill head and 27 foot tower | Each | \$8,918.18 | 1 | \$8,918.18 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 533-Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#101 - Electric-Powered Pump <= 5 Hp
Scenario Description:
A 1 Hp submersible electric-powered pump is installed in a well or structure; or a close-coupled 1 Hp electric-powered centrifugal pump is mounted on a platform. It is used for watering livestock as part of a prescribed grazing system; or for pressurizing a small irrigation system; or for transferring liquid waste in a waste transfer system.

Resource Concerns: Livestock Production Limitation - Inadequate livestock water; Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; and 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Livestock: The present gravity flow system is inadequate to provide the proper flow rate for a prescribed grazing system. Irrigation: Available water is at an insufficient pressure to allow for even distribution of water. Waste Transfer: Contaminated water needs to be moved to a containment facility.

## After Situation:

Livestock: Water is transferred at a sufficient rate and pressure to meet the requirements of a prescribed grazing system. Irrigation: A properly designed pump is installed to improve irrigation efficiency and reduce energy usage. Waste Transfer: Liquid wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to an appropriate treatment or storage facility.

Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement
Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 2.50
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,748.36$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,499.34
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 1 | \$473.51 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 2.5 | \$1,119.55 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#102 - Electric-Powered Pump <= 5 HP with Pressure Tank

## Scenario Description:

A 1 Hp submersible electric-powered pump is installed in a well or structure; or a close-coupled 1 Hp electric-powered centrifugal pump is mounted on a platform. It is used for watering livestock as part of a prescribed grazing system; or for pressurizing a small irrigation system. Resource Concerns: Livestock Production Limitation Inadequate livestock water; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 516 - Livestock Pipeline.

Before Situation:
Livestock: The present gravity flow system is inadequate to provide the proper flow rate for a prescribed grazing system. Irrigation: Available water is at an insufficient pressure to allow for even distribution of water.

After Situation:
Livestock: Water is transferred at a sufficient rate and pressure to meet the requirements of a prescribed grazing system. Irrigation: A properly designed pump is installed to improve irrigation efficiency and reduce energy usage.

Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement

Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,150.19$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 3,150.19$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.25 | \$118.38 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 1 | \$447.82 |
| Pressure Tank, 40 gallon | 1038 | Pressure Tank, 40 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$428.69 | 1 | \$428.69 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#103 - Electric-Powered Pump $>5 \mathrm{HP}<=30 \mathrm{hp}$

## Scenario Description:

This is a close-coupled, 3 -phase, 20 Hp electric-powered centrifugal pump mounted on a platform for pressurizing a medium-sized ( 500 gpm and 50 psi) sprinkler or large microirrigation ( $1,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ and 30 psi ) system or a large-sized surface irrigation system ( $1,500 \mathrm{gpm}$ ) or a medium-sized ( $1,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ and 25 psi ) waste transfer system.

Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; and 634 - Waste Transfer.

Before Situation:
Irrigation: An existing irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly sized pump that prevents efficient water application resulting in water loss and high energy use.

After Situation:
Irrigation: A properly designed and efficient pumping plant is installed, reducing energy use and improving irrigation efficiency.
Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement
Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$17,858.85

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$892.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 2 | \$947.02 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 56 | \$1,350.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 56 | \$1,603.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 56 | \$2,574.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 5 HP to 30 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1011 | Fixed cost portion of a pump between 5 and 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is a base cost for the pump and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$3,727.95 | 1 | \$3,727.95 |
| Pump, > 5 HP to 30 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1012 | Variable cost portion of a pump between 5 and 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$252.77 | 20 | \$5,055.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant
Scenario: \#104 - Electric-Powered Pump >30 hp <=75

## Scenario Description:

This is a close-coupled, 3 -phase, 50 Hp electric-powered centrifugal pump mounted on a platform for pressurizing a large-sized $1,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ and 50 psi) sprinkler or very large microirrigation ( $2,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ and 30 psi ) system or a very large-sized surface irrigation system ( $3,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ ) or a large-sized ( $2,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ and 25 psi ) waste transfer system. Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; and 634 - Waste Transfer.

## Before Situation:

Irrigation: An existing irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly sized pump that prevents efficient water application resulting in water loss and high energy use.

After Situation:
A properly designed and efficient pumping plant is installed, reducing energy use and improving irrigation efficiency
Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 35,040.61$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$700.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 2 | \$947.02 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 16 | \$1,006.56 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 56 | \$1,350.16 |
| Portable Welder | 1407 | Portable field welder. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$18.49 | 16 | \$295.84 |
| Crane, truck mounted, hydraulic, 12 ton | 1734 | 12 ton capacity truck mounted hydraulic crane. Equipment cost only. | Hours | \$270.43 | 16 | \$4,326.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 56 | \$2,247.84 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 56 | \$2,574.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 30 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1013 | Fixed cost portion of a pump greater than 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is a base cost for the pump and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$6,467.67 | 1 | \$6,467.67 |
| Pump, >30 HP, Pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1014 | Variable cost portion of a pump greater than 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$258.92 | 50 | \$12,946.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#112 - Electric-Powered Pump >75

## Scenario Description:

This is a close-coupled, 3 -phase, 100 Hp electric-powered centrifugal pump mounted on a platform for pressurizing a very large ( 2500 gpm and 50 psi ) sprinkler or a largesized surface irrigation system ( $3,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ ).Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 442 Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; and 634 - Waste Transfer.

## Before Situation:

Irrigation: An existing irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly sized pump that prevents efficient water application resulting in water loss and high energy use.

After Situation:
Irrigation: A properly designed and efficient pumping plant is installed, reducing energy use and improving irrigation efficiency.
Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement
Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost:
$\$ 42,703.83$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$427.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 4 | \$1,894.04 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 56 | \$1,350.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 56 | \$1,603.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 56 | \$2,574.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 30 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1013 | Fixed cost portion of a pump greater than 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is a base cost for the pump and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$6,467.67 | 1 | \$6,467.67 |
| Pump, >30 HP, Pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1014 | Variable cost portion of a pump greater than 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$258.92 | 100 | \$25,892.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |



## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#114 - Internal Combustion-Powered Pump <=50HP

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario supports installation of a pump in an existing irrigation system or installation of a new pump on cropland with a 30 BHP pump. Size of pump is determined by required GPM and pressure derived from a design for specific irrigation system on cropland. The combination of higher solids content and volume require a larger horse power pump. This liquid manure pump is used to transfer semi-solid manure from a small reception pit located either below a barnyard or at the end of a free-stall barn or scrape alley. Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; and 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; and 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Irrigation: Either an existing irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly-sized pump that leads to inefficient water delivery resulting in high energy costs, or
Waste Transfer: various types of semi-solid or liquid waste at the headquarters is uncollected causing surface and ground water issues.
After Situation:
Irrigation Setting: For irrigation system, a properly designed pump is installed, reducing water and energy usage. Waste Transfer Setting: For semi-solid or liquid waste, wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to appropriate treatment or storage facilities or crop application. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system.

Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement

Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 26,321.01$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$877.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 1 | \$473.51 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, < 50 HP, Pump \& ICE power unit | 1027 | Materials, labor, controls: < 50 HP Pump \& ICE power unit | Horsepower | \$716.63 | 30 | \$21,498.90 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#115-Internal Combustion-Powered Pump > 50 to 70 HP

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario supports installation of a pump in an existing irrigation system or installation of a new pump on cropland with a 60 BHP pump. Size of pump is determined by required GPM and pressure derived from a design for specific irrigation system on cropland. The combination of higher solids content and volume require a larger horse power pump. This liquid manure pump is used to transfer semi-solid manure from a small reception pit located either below a barnyard or at the end of a free-stall barn or scrape alley. Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; and 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery; and 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Irrigation: Either an existing irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly-sized pump that leads to inefficient water delivery resulting in high energy costs, or
Waste Transfer: various types of semi-solid or liquid waste at the headquarters is uncollected causing surface and ground water issues.
After Situation:
Irrigation Setting: For irrigation system, a properly designed pump is installed, reducing water and energy usage. Waste Transfer Setting: For semi-solid or liquid waste, wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to appropriate treatment or storage facilities or crop application. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system.

Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement

Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 60.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$44,324.31

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$738.74
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 1 | \$473.51 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 50 to 70 HP, Pump \& ICE power unit | 1028 | Materials, labor, controls: > 50 to 70 HP Pump \& ICE power unit | Horsepower | \$658.37 | 60 | \$39,502.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#116-Internal Combustion-Powered Pump > 70 HP

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario supports replacement of a pump in an existing irrigation system or installation of a new pump on cropland that is 75 break HP pump or larger. Size of pump is determined by required GPM and pressure derived from a design for specific irrigation system on cropland. Scenario could also be used for a pump for silage leachate, barnyard runoff, and milk house waste (as part of a waste transfer system) at farm headquarters. Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 430 Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Irrigation System, Microirrigation; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; 634 - Waste Transfer; and 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Irrigation: Either an existing irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly-sized pump that leads to inefficient water delivery resulting in high energy costs,
orWaste Transfer: various types of semi-solid or liquid waste at the headquarters is uncollected causing surface and ground water issues.

## After Situation:

Irrigation Setting: For irrigation system, a properly designed pump is installed, reducing water and energy usage. Waste Transfer Setting: For semi-solid or liquid waste, wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred to appropriate treatment or storage facilities or crop application. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system.

Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement
Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$70,064.84

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$700.65

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 2 | \$947.02 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 48 | \$1,374.72 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 70 HP, Pump \& ICE power unit | 1029 | Materials, labor, controls: > 70 HP Pump \& ICE power unit | Horsepower | \$638.95 | 100 | \$63,895.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#117 - Tractor Power Take Off (PTO) Pump

## Scenario Description:

This scenario involves a PTO driven pump to either transfer water for an irrigation system from a Pond - 378 (includes backflow prevention as appropriate) to cropland or; to transfer semi-solid/ liquid manure (as part of a waste transfer system) at the farm headquarters from a Waste Storage Facility - 313, to an irrigation system or waste treatment facility. In both cases, a PTO driven pump is selected because the landowner has equipment available to supply power to the pump. Electricity is not readily available and/or a stationary engine is not a practical alternative.Resource Concerns: Water Quality degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated Practices include: 430-Irrigation Pipeline; 442 - Irrigation System, Sprinkler; 449 - Irrigation Water Management; 590 - Nutrient Management; 378 - Pond; 313 - Waste Storage Facility; and 634 - Waste Transfer.

Before Situation:
Irrigation Setting: An existing surface irrigation system employs an inefficient, improperly sized pump that leads to inefficient water delivery resulting in high energy costs; Waste Transfer Setting: various types of semi-solid or liquid waste at the headquarters are uncollected causing surface and ground water issues. A transfer method for waste is needed. Due to topography, gravity transfer is not possible and a properly sized pump is needed to transfer waste as part of a waste transfer system.

## After Situation:

Irrigation Setting: A properly designed PTO-driven pump is installed, to transfer water to an Irrigation Pipeline (430) or Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320). Waste Transfer Setting: Wastes that have been collected through a waste transfer system are now efficiently transferred from a Waste Storage Facility (313) to an appropriate treatment facility or to an irrigation system. The pump typically will move 2,000 gallons per minute and is portable so that it can be used at several locations.

Feature Measure: Pump Power Requirement
Scenario Unit: Brake Horse Power
Scenario Typical Size: 60.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,987.46
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$166.46

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 2 | \$947.02 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, Ag Water PTO, 1,000 GPM | 1923 | Materials, labor, controls: Ag Water PTO Pump 1,000 GPM - 8 in. | Each | \$7,460.92 | 1 | \$7,460.92 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#118-Photovoltaic-Powered Pump, <4 kW

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario assumes installation of a submersible solar-powered pump in a well or a live stream. The installation includes the pump, wiring, drop pipe, solar panels, mounts, inverter, and all appurtenances. Note: It is generally not advisable to use a storage battery for a number of reasons. A storage tank is generally the most efficient method to store energy. Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Irrigation - energy consumption will be reduced and the increased pressure and flow rates will improve irrigation efficiency.Resource Concerns: Insufficient stockwater.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 382 - Fence; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection; and, 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Livestock: Inadequate supply or location of water for a prescribed grazing system. Eroded stream banks and degraded water quality due to livestock access to stream. Cattle are not well-distributed because of remote water location. Irrigation: Pressure and flow rate is insufficient for uniform irrigation.

After Situation:
The typical scenario assumes installation of 1 kilowatt of photovoltaic (PV) panels, capable of operating a 1 horsepower solar-powered submersible pump in a well or other water source (Notes: 1) A PV panel is rated under standard and ideal conditions which will most likely not be replicated in the field; 2) 1 Horsepower is defined as 0.746 kilowatts.. The installation includes the pump, wiring, pipeline in the well, solar panels, frame mounts, controller, and all appurtenances. Water will be pumped to an existing storage tank at a higher elevation from which it will be used to pressurize the Livestock Pipeline (516) or Irrigation Pipeline (430). Grazing - Livestock exclusion from surface water will result in improved surface water quality and reduced erosion. Grazing has potential to be well distributed. Irrigation: Improved pressure and flow rate will improve irrigation efficiency.

Feature Measure: Pumping plant photovoltaic power
Scenario Unit: Kilowatt
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,898.33
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8,898.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Pumping System, Fixed Cost Portion | 2495 | Fixed cost portion of a solar powered pumping system. This portion is a base cost for a complete system including the photovoltaic panels, pumping plant, support braces, electric controllers, service drop, etc., and is not dependant on KiloWatt. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the cost of materials only. | Each | \$3,435.10 | 1 | \$3,435.10 |
| Solar Pumping System, Variable Cost Portion | 2496 | Variable cost portion of a solar powered pumping system. This portion IS dependent upon the total kilowatts of the photovoltaic panels, but also includes the pumping plant, support braces, electric controllers, service drop, etc. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes the cost of materials only. | Kilowatt | \$3,883.71 | 1 | \$3,883.71 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

## Scenario: \#119-Water Ram Pump

## Scenario Description:

A water ram is used to transfer water from a live stream to a Watering Facility (614) or small Irrigation Reservoir (436) utilizing the energy of moving water to transfer a portion of that water to a higher elevation. It is anchored to a small concrete pad. Bypass water (which could easily be $90 \%$ of the water diverted from the stream) is returned to the stream or transferred in a pipe, to a lower elevation tank ( 614 or 436), without erosion or impairment to water quality. In the livestock scenario, the objective is to provide water to the cattle outside of a live stream or other natural water source thereby eliminating a significant erosion situation while also improving water quality. The cattle thus have access to drinking water without having to enter the stream. The water ram may need to be fenced for protection from curious bovines. While it is generally not considered practical for irrigation, in the irrigation scenario, water can be retrieved from a stream and stored in a small 436 to provide water for a very small ( 0.1 acre) irrigation system.Resource Concerns: Insufficient stockwater.Associated Practices: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 382 - Fence; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection; and, 614 - Watering Facility.

## Before Situation:

Water in a nearby stream is not available at the desired location, pressure and/or flow rate.

## After Situation:

A 2' diameter inlet pipe is installed and connected to a water ram pump with all appurtenances and anchored to a concrete pad ( $9 \mathrm{ft} \times 4 \mathrm{ft} \times 5 \mathrm{in}$ ) or other appropriate secure base. Depending upon the application, either a 1-inch diameter Livestock Pipeline (516) or an Irrigation Pipeline (430) is installed from the water ram to a 5,000 gallon storage facility. Improved water quantity or quality, grazing management, plant diversity, animal health, and/or irrigation purposes as outlined in the appropriate NRCS irrigation system standard. A 2' water ram, with 10 gpm of inlet flow and 10 feet of drop, can supply about 1.0 gpm to a location about 50 feet higher than the water ram.

Feature Measure: Number of Ram Pumps
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,171.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,171.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.5 | \$236.76 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, Ram | 1114 | Ram pump kit, 2 inch. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$355.24 | 1 | \$355.24 |

## Practice: 533 - Pumping Plant

Scenario: \#120-Livestock Nose Pump

## Scenario Description:

A Nose Pump is a diaphragm pump located in a pasture for the purpose of providing water to cattle. For a permanent installation, it is typical to also install Heavy Use Area Protection (561) (separate contract item) where the cattle congregate around the pump. It is powered and operated by cattle to transfer water from a stream to a drinking bowl. The objective is to provide water to the cattle outside of a live stream or other natural water source thereby eliminating a significant erosion situation and while also improving water quality. The cattle thus have access to drinking water without having to enter the stream. Generally one nose pump is adequate for 20 cattle.Resource Concerns: Insufficient stockwater; Inefficient energy use - Equipment and facilities.Associated Practices include: 374 - Farmstead Energy Improvement; 382 - Fence; 516 Livestock Pipeline; 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection; and, 614 - Watering Facility.

Before Situation:
Livestock have open access to a live stream or other existing natural water supply. Water supply is contaminated due to animal activity and stream banks are eroded on a daily basis. Improper cattle distribution results in poor water quality, poor grazing distribution, over grazing, and soil erosion.

## After Situation:

One nose pump is installed with all appurtenances anchored to concrete pad with 6'x6'x10 Gauge reinforcement wire ( $9 \mathrm{ft} \times 4 \mathrm{ft} \times 5 \mathrm{in}$ ) or other appropriate secure base to supply water to cattle for improved livestock herd management. Additional Heavy Use Area Protection (561) in the form of crushed rock and at least 5 feet wide, may be installed (separate contract item) surrounding the concrete pad. Improved: water quality, soil quality, grazing management, plant diversity, and animal health.

Feature Measure: Number of Pumps
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,452.32$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,452.32

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 0.5 | \$236.76 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nose Pump | 1052 | Materials and delivery. | Each | \$425.80 | 1 | \$425.80 |

## Practice: 554 - Drainage Water Management

Scenario: \#4 - <=10 acres per Structure with Training

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the management of a drainage water system in a row crop field with subsurface drainage system already installed or planned to be installed with control structures, or a surface water management system with berms or levees around the field and control structures. Drainage conditions consist of gentle to moderately slopes with many variations in drainage characteristics and surface slopes. Subsurface drainage pattern consists of many secondary main lines due to changes in drainage patterns. Implementation of DWM results in improved water quality by reducing nutrient losses from the soil through ground or surface water outside of the growing season. Management of the water table results in more ground water available for crops during the growing season while lowering the water table prior to crop planting and crop harvest to avoid causing compaction.

## Before Situation:

In this scenario the gently to moderately sloping cropland is drained with pattern subsurface drainage (typically perforated corrugated plastic tubing). The purpose of the drainage system is to decrease soil moisture conditions during planting and harvesting of crop. Subsurface drainage is not restricted at anytime during the year resulting in a permanently lowered water table which is typically 3-4 feet below the surface and well below the crop root zone. Excess ground water is discharged directly to adjacent receiving streams. Excess subsurface drainage contributes to degraded water quality from excessive nutrient discharge; less vigorous crop growth from lowered water table.

## After Situation:

Typical systems consist of a 50 acre field with existing drainage tile lines and 5 installed water control structures. The operator walks the field in order to adjust water control structures (riser boards). While on site the date and adjustment information is recorded/logged. The number of yearly adjustments is based on 6 trips to a field 5 miles from headquarters. The field time to make and record each adjustment is 0.5 hours per structure (including travel time). The typical field will contain 5 water control structures.Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Insufficient Water - Insufficient Moisture Management.Associated Practices: 329:Residue Management - No Till/Strip Till; 606-Subsurface Drain; 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Acres of Managed Drainage

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$718.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 4.37 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |

Practice: 554 - Drainage Water Management
Scenario: \#11 - Automated Drainage Water Management - Each
Scenario Description:
This scenario is the process of managing the drainage water discharge volume and water table elevation by regulating the flow from surface and/or subsurface agricultural drainage systems utilizing automation. Typical systems consist of a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) with existing drainage tile lines and installed water control structures which are operated with automated slide gates, and telemetry data systems coupled with cloud data management. Typical affected area for an automated drainage water management structure is 10 to 20 acres. The operator, from handheld device, adjusts water control structures (gate elevation) and logs data. Educational meeting is conducted between consultant and operator(s) annually for essential knowledge transfer Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Associated Practices: 606-Subsurface Drain; 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.

Before Situation:
Existing inefficient drainage systems are in place and water flows uncontrolled, resulting in sediment and nutrient laden outflow entering ditches or streams.
After Situation:
Existing drainage systems are managed utilizing telemetry and real-time data to retain moisture in the soil for plant uptake and to allow for enhanced nutrient utilization.
Feature Measure: Number of Control Structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$463.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$92.68

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 7.5 | \$301.05 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

## Practice: 554 - Drainage Water Management

## Scenario: \#12 - Manual Drainage Water Management

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the management of a drainage water system in a row crop field with subsurface drainage system already installed or planned to be installed with control structures, or a surface water management system with berms or levees around the field and control structures. Drainage conditions consist of variations in drainage characteristics and surface slopes. Subsurface drainage pattern consists of secondary main lines. Implementation of DWM results in improved water quality by reducing nutrient losses from the soil through ground or surface water outside of the growing season. Management of the water table results in more ground water available for crops during the growing season while lowering the water table prior to crop planting and crop harvest to avoid causing compaction.

## Before Situation:

In this scenario the cropland is drained with pattern subsurface drainage (typically perforated corrugated plastic tubing). The purpose of the drainage system is to decrease soil moisture conditions during planting and harvesting of crop. Subsurface drainage is not restricted at anytime during the year resulting in a permanently lowered water table which is typically 3-4 feet below the surface and well below the crop root zone. Excess ground water is discharged directly to adjacent receiving streams. Excess subsurface drainage contributes to degraded water quality from excessive nutrient discharge; less vigorous crop growth from lowered water table.

## After Situation:

Typical systems consist of a 50 acre field with existing drainage tile lines and 5 installed water control structures. The operator walks the field in order to adjust water control structures (riser boards). While on site the date and adjustment information is recorded/logged. The number of yearly adjustments is based on 6 trips to a field 5 miles from headquarters. The field time to make and record each adjustment is 0.5 hours per structure (including travel time). The typical field will contain 5 water control structures. Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Insufficient Water - Insufficient Moisture Management. Associated Practices: 606-Subsurface Drain; 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Number of Control Structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$718.49
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$143.70
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |

Practice: 554 - Drainage Water Management
Scenario: \#16-Automated Drainage Water Management
Scenario Description:
This scenario is the process of managing the drainage water discharge volume and water table elevation by regulating the flow from surface and/or subsurface agricultural drainage systems utilizing automation. Typical systems consist of a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) with existing drainage tile lines and installed water control structures which are operated with automated slide gates, and telemetry data systems coupled with cloud data management. Typical affected area for an automated drainage water management structure is 10 to 20 acres. The operator, from handheld device, adjusts water control structures (gate elevation) and logs data. Educational meeting is conducted between consultant and operator(s) annually for essential knowledge transfer Resource Concern: Water Quality - Excess Nutrients in surface and ground waters. Associated Practices: 606-Subsurface Drain; 607-Surface Drain, Field Ditch; 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587-Structure for Water Control; 590-Nutrient Management.

Before Situation:
Existing inefficient drainage systems are in place and water flows uncontrolled, resulting in sediment and nutrient laden outflow entering ditches or streams.
After Situation:
Existing drainage systems are managed utilizing telemetry and real-time data to retain moisture in the soil for plant uptake and to allow for enhanced nutrient utilization.
Feature Measure: Acres of Managed Drainage
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$463.41

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.27
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 7.5 | \$301.05 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#1 - Roof Gutter, Small

## Scenario Description:

A gutter-downspout system for the side of a 30 ???x70??? livestock confinement building, to exclude clean water from the loafing area adjacent to the building. Roof area served by the 70 ??? long gutter is 1,050 square feet. The gutter is a 5 ' K-type, with two 12 ' downspouts to convey the roof runoff to ground level. Underground outlets (CPS 620) are then utilized to safely outlet the water from the downspouts. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and/or Diversion (362) to capture flow from downspouts and route away from contaminated areas as needed.

## Before Situation:

Runoff water from the roof of the livestock confinement building falls onto the loafing area. The addition of extra water to the contaminated surface creates additional contaminated wastewater which runs off into nearby surface waters, increasing the magnitude of the existing water quality resource concern and increasing the volume of material that would need to be collected, stored, treated and land applied in a waste management system.

After Situation:
A gutter-downspout system has been installed on the side of the building adjacent to the loafing area, routing the clean water away from the contaminated surface, and reducing the volume of contaminated runoff from the loafing area.

Feature Measure: Linear Length of Roof to be Drained

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 70.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$873.04

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4.67 | \$133.75 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 57.3 | \$132.94 |
| Gutter, Aluminum, Small | 1689 | Aluminum gutter, 4 to 6 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$3.51 | 70 | \$245.70 |
| Downspout, Aluminum | 1700 | Aluminum downspout 3 to 5 inch width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$2.99 | 24 | \$71.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#2-Roof Gutter, Medium

## Scenario Description:

A gutter-downspout system for the side of a 70 ???x140??? livestock confinement building, to exclude clean water from the loafing area adjacent to the building. Roof area served by the 140 ??? long gutter is 4,900 square feet. The gutter is a 7 ' K-type, with two 12 ' downspouts to convey the roof runoff to ground level. Underground outlets (CPS 620) are then utilized to safely outlet the water from the downspouts. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and/or Diversion (362) to capture flow from downspouts and route away from contaminated areas as needed.

## Before Situation:

Runoff water from the roof of the livestock confinement building falls onto the loafing area. The addition of extra water to the contaminated surface creates additional contaminated wastewater which runs off into nearby surface waters, increasing the magnitude of the existing water quality resource concern and increasing the volume of material that would need to be collected, stored, treated and land applied in a waste management system.

After Situation:
A gutter-downspout system has been installed on the side of the building adjacent to the loafing area, routing the clean water away from the contaminated surface, and reducing the volume of contaminated runoff from the loafing area.

Feature Measure: Linear Length of Roof to be Drained

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 140.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 2,817.72$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.13
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 86.2 | \$199.98 |
| Gutter, Aluminum, Medium | 1690 | Aluminum gutter, 7 to 9 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$14.69 | 140 | \$2,056.60 |
| Downspout, Aluminum | 1700 | Aluminum downspout 3 to 5 inch width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$2.99 | 24 | \$71.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#3 - Roof Gutter, Large
Scenario Description:
A gutter-downspout system for the side of a 160 ???x220??? livestock confinement building, to exclude clean water from the loafing area adjacent to the building. Roof area served by the 220 ??? long gutter is 17,600 square feet. The gutter is 11 ', with two 12 ' downspouts to convey the roof runoff to ground level. Underground outlets (CPS 620) are then utilized to safely outlet the water from the downspouts. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and/or Diversion (362) to capture flow from downspouts and route away from contaminated areas as needed.

## Before Situation:

Runoff water from the roof of the livestock confinement building falls onto the loafing area. The addition of extra water to the contaminated surface creates additional contaminated wastewater which runs off into nearby surface waters, increasing the magnitude of the existing water quality resource concern and increasing the volume of material that would need to be collected, stored, treated and land applied in a waste management system.

After Situation:
A gutter-downspout system has been installed on the side of the building adjacent to the loafing area, routing the clean water away from the contaminated surface, and reducing the volume of contaminated runoff from the loafing area.

Feature Measure: Linear Length of Roof to be Drained

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 220.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 6,476.88$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 29.44$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 11 | \$315.04 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 86.2 | \$199.98 |
| Gutter, Aluminum, Large | 1691 | Aluminum gutter, 10 to 12 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$25.46 | 220 | \$5,601.20 |
| Downspout, Aluminum | 1700 | Aluminum downspout 3 to 5 inch width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$2.99 | 24 | \$71.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#4 - Rock Trench Drain

## Scenario Description:

An aggregate-filled infiltration trench lined with geotextile, 3 ft wide by 2 ft deep, is placed on each side of a 40 ' x 100 ' hoop structure storing feedstock at the headquarters site of a confined livestock operation, to exclude roof runoff from contaminated lot surfaces. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. This scenario is to be used where environmental/design considerations, for example ??? snow loads, or a building without proper structural support needed for gutters- dictate the use of the trench drain. May be used to prevent roof runoff from causing erosion or ponding of water adjacent to a seasonal high tunnel, benefitting water quality, water quantity, and soil erosion. In situations where the roof runoff will not properly infiltrate the soil, a subsurface drain system will be installed using 606 - Subsurface Drain.Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Seasonal High Tunnel (798), Subsurface Drain (606), and Diversion (362).

## Before Situation

Runoff water from the roof of the hoop structure enters the lot. The addition of extra water to the contaminated surface creates additional contaminated wastewater which runs off into nearby surface waters, increasing the magnitude of the existing water quality resource concern and increasing the volume of material that would need to be collected, stored, treated and land applied in a waste management system.

After Situation:
An aggregate-filled infiltration trench lined with geotextile is placed on each side of the hoop structure. Runoff from the roof of the structure enters the infiltration trench and drains off site to a stable outlet through a subsurface drain. The volume of contaminated water at the confinement site is reduced.

Feature Measure: Linear Length of Roof to be Drained
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,363.49
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.82
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 158 | \$170.64 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 45 | \$108.90 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 45 | \$1,795.05 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#12-Concrete Channel with Wall

## Scenario Description:

A roof runoff structure, consisting of a concrete wall with concrete channel installed on existing impervious surface or the ground with appropriate outlet facilities. Environmental/design considerations, for example ??? snow loads, or a building without proper structural support needed for gutters dictate the use of an on-ground concrete wall. Used to keep roof clean water runoff uncontaminated and provide a stable outlet to ground surface. Facilitates waste management and protects the environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Underground Outlet (620), and Diversion (362).

## Before Situation:

Applicable where: (1) a roof runoff management facility is included in an overall plan for an overall plan for a waste management system; (2) roof runoff needs to be diverted away from structures or contaminated areas; (3) there is a need to collect, control, and transport runoff from roofs to a stable outlet.

## After Situation:

A concrete wall with channel and outlet system servicing the portion of the building roof that would otherwise drain into a waste management system or create erosion. Concrete wall (2??? high) with an adjacent 4??? wide concrete channel extending the length of a 200' roof with additional length (5') for stable outlet.

Feature Measure: Linear Length of Roof to be Curbed
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,853.82
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$89.27
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 7 | \$3,314.57 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 23 | \$12,321.10 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 15 | \$166.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 15 | \$598.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#20-Roof Gutter, 6 inches wide with runoff Storage Tank

## Scenario Description:

A roof runoff structure, consisting of gutter(s), downspout(s), and a storage tank. Used to keep roof clean water runoff uncontaminated, provide storage for on-farm use of roof water and a stable outlet for any excess to ground surface in a way that avoids erosion. Facilitates waste management and protects environment by minimizing clean water additions to waste systems and addresses water quality concerns. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Composting Facility (317), Heavy Use Area Protection (561), Watering Facility (614), Underground Outlet (620), Diversion (362), and any relevant irrigation practices.

Before Situation:
Applicable where: (1) a roof runoff management facility is included in an overall plan for an overall plan for a waste management system; (2) roof runoff needs to be diverted away from structures or contaminated areas; (3) there is a need to collect, control, and transport runoff from roofs to a stable outlet.

After Situation:
A gutter and downspouts servicing the portion of the building roof that would otherwise drain into a waste management system or create erosion. Roof line of 200 In . ft . serviced with gutter, downspouts, and appurtances. A 1,500 gallon tank is installed for storage and use of roof runoff.

Feature Measure: Linear Length of Roof to be Guttere

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,233.06

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 2 | \$79.78 |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, $>1,000$ | 1075 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.20 | 1500 | \$1,800.00 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 223.3 | \$518.06 |
| Gutter, Aluminum, Small | 1689 | Aluminum gutter, 4 to 6 in . width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$3.51 | 200 | \$702.00 |
| Downspout, Aluminum | 1700 | Aluminum downspout 3 to 5 inch width with hangers. Materials only. | Feet | \$2.99 | 60 | \$179.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul). | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.40 | 100 | \$40.00 |

Practice: 558-Roof Runoff Structure
Scenario: \#35-High Tunnel Roof Runoff Trench Drain and Storage

## Scenario Description:

NOT TO BE USED WHERE CONTAMINATED SOIL EXIST. An urban agricultural producer wishes to address a resource concern such as a need for water or erosion around high tunnel from roof runoff and collect and store roof runoff for reuse as supplemental irrigation/water supply water. Associated Practice: High Tunnel

Before Situation:
Producer has resource concern such as erosion caused by roof runoff from an installed high tunne
After Situation:
A 2' deep by 3' wide by 100' long trench filled with clean stone w/4-8 inch perforated PE pipe located on both sides of the hightunnel collect the roof runoff and divert to an underground storage tank. Trench drain typically installed at ground level under the edge of a high tunnel. Outlet from 'Trench Drain' conveys water to a buried storage tank. Typically installed to capture water for reuse or to stop erosion caused by concentrated roof runoff.

Feature Measure: Length of hightunnel
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,711.24

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$47.11

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 227 | \$245.16 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 10 | \$59.90 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 72 | \$252.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |

## Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 46 | \$1,409.44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 15.2 | \$32.07 |
| Prefabricated concrete septic tank, 1500 gal | 1738 | Precast concrete septic tank, 1,500 gal. Materials only. | Each | \$1,374.96 | 1 | \$1,374.96 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 560-Access Road
Scenario: \#1 - New gravel road, 6in, wet level terrain

## Scenario Description:

Newly Constructed gravel road with min. 6 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

An agricultural enterprise which requires, but does not have, a fixed travel way for equipment and vehicles for various resource activities and where use of equipment and vehicles within the enterprise without a defined access road would result in compaction, excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water, reduced visibility, and emissions of fugitive dust. This scenario is applicable where the resource activity areas consist of relatively wet and swampy but level terrain lands.

## After Situation:

The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 10 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 14 feet wide. Gravel will be a minumum of 6 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft ) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earthfill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).

Feature Measure: Length of Roadway
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$12,300.25
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.30
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 1222 | \$1,319.76 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 940 | \$3,609.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.14 | \$2.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 194 | \$5,944.16 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.14 | \$6.69 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 560-Access Road
Scenario: \#2 - New gravel road, 6in, dry level terrain

## Scenario Description:

Newly Constructed gravel road with min. 6 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in dry areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

An agricultural enterprise which requires, but does not have, a fixed travel way for equipment and vehicles for various resource activities and where use of equipment and vehicles within the enterprise without a defined access road would result in compaction, excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water, reduced visibility, and emissions of fugitive dust. This scenario is applicable where the resource activity areas consist of relatively dry, but level terrain lands.

## After Situation:

The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 10 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 14 feet wide. Gravel will be a minumum of 6 inches. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft ) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earthfill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).

Feature Measure: Length of Roadway
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$10,900.21
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 940 | \$3,609.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.14 | \$2.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 194 | \$5,944.16 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.14 | \$6.69 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 560-Access Road
Scenario: \#3 - New gravel road, 8in x 10ft, wet level terrain

## Scenario Description:

Newly Constructed 10 foot wide gravel road with min. 8 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

An agricultural enterprise which requires, but does not have, a fixed travel way for equipment and vehicles for various resource activities and where use of equipment and vehicles within the enterprise without a defined access road would result in compaction, excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water, reduced visibility, and emissions of fugitive dust. This scenario is applicable where the resource activity areas consist of relatively wet and swampy but level terrain lands.

## After Situation:

The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 10 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 14 feet wide. Gravel will be a minumum of 8 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft ) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earthfill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).

Feature Measure: Length of Roadway
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,442.37
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.44
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 1333 | \$1,439.64 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 940 | \$3,609.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.14 | \$2.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 260 | \$7,966.40 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.14 | \$6.69 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 560-Access Road
Scenario: \#4 - New gravel road, 8in x 12ft, wet level terrain

## Scenario Description:

Newly Constructed 12 foot wide gravel road with min. 8 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

An agricultural enterprise which requires, but does not have, a fixed travel way for equipment and vehicles for various resource activities and where use of equipment and vehicles within the enterprise without a defined access road would result in compaction, excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water, reduced visibility, and emissions of fugitive dust. This scenario is applicable where the resource activity areas consist of relatively wet and swampy but level terrain lands.

## After Situation:

The single lane road will be graveled to a width of 12 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 16 feet wide. Gravel will be a minumum of 8 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft ) typical side slopes 2:1. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earthfill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).

Feature Measure: Length of Roadway
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$16,848.21
Scenario Cost/Unit:
\$16.85
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 1556 | \$1,680.48 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1060 | \$4,070.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.14 | \$2.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 313 | \$9,590.32 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.14 | \$6.69 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 560-Access Road
Scenario: \#5 - New gravel road, 8 in x 16ft, wet level terrain

## Scenario Description:

Newly Constructed 16 foot wide gravel road with min. 8 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

An agricultural enterprise which requires, but does not have, a fixed travel way for equipment and vehicles for various resource activities and where use of equipment and vehicles within the enterprise without a defined access road would result in compaction, excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water, reduced visibility, and emissions of fugitive dust. This scenario is applicable where the resource activity areas consist of relatively wet and swampy but level terrain lands.

## After Situation:

The road will be graveled to a width of 16 feet, plus 2 foot shoulders for a total width of 20 feet wide. Gravel will be a minumum of 8 inches, underlain with geotextile fabric. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 1.5 ft ) typical side slopes $2: 1$. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earthfill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).

Feature Measure: Length of Roadway
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$21,939.77

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 2389 | \$2,580.12 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1280 | \$4,915.20 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.14 | \$2.94 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |


| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 417 | \$12,776.88 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.14 | \$6.69 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 560 - Access Road
Scenario: \#49-New 6 inch gravel road in wet, level terrain less than 300 feet

## Scenario Description:

Newly Constructed gravel road with min. 6 inch thick compacted gravel surface in relatively level ground in wet areas. A properly constructed, well defined access road will address resource concerns related with compaction, emissions of fugitive dust, and excessive sediment in surface water. It also improves the plant productivity, vigor and health and substantially reduces the chance of wild fire hazards. Short term air quality deterioration may result if proper dust control measures are not implemented during the practice installation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, surface material, vegetation of disturbed areas and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

An agricultural enterprise which requires, but does not have, a fixed travel way for equipment and vehicles for various resource activities and where use of equipment and vehicles within the enterprise without a defined access road would result in compaction, excessive sediment and turbidity in surface water, reduced visibility, and emissions of fugitive dust. This scenario is applicable where the resource activity areas consist of relatively wet and swampy but level terrain lands.

## After Situation:

The road will be 14 feet wide with 6 inch gravel surfacing at the top. It is mostly in embankment less than 3 feet in height, (average 2 ft ) typical side slopes $2: 1$. A properly constructed, well defined access road will greatly reduce sheet, rill and wind erosion, eliminate compaction in land use areas where it is harmful, reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors and also reduce excessive sediment in surface water by reducing uncontrolled sediment transport. Planned grades will include all dips and water bars. If clearing and grubbing of land in the alignment area is required, use Land Clearing (460). Pipe culverts installed as part of access road should be covered by either Structures for Water Control (587) or Stream Crossings (578) depending on the type of structure. Earthfill embankment above the culvert structure would still be covered by this Practice. Diversions constructed as part of access road should be covered by Diversion (362). All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. Dust control must be addressed under Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces (373).

Feature Measure: Length of road

Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 150.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,916.13$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$26.11
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 367 | \$396.36 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 200 | \$768.00 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 200 | \$660.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |

Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 39 | \$1,194.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.07 | \$9.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#1-Concrete HUA

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles.
Before Situation:
A 30 head cow/calf operation with a frequently used area that is unstable with an eroding surface. The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion and compaction.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a concrete surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. The base consists of 4 ' of gravel. The concrete is a reinforced slab on grade with a thickness of 5 '. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, concrete pad and gravel. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of reinforced concrete
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$31,699.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.13
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 61 | \$28,884.11 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 72 | \$174.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 48 | \$1,914.72 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#2-Geocell and Gravel HUA

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a geocell and gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles.

## Before Situation:

A 30 head cow/calf operation with a frequently used area that is unstable with an eroding surface. The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of an area frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by installing a gravel surface with geocells to reduce soil erosion and improve livestock health. Typical size is 3900 square feet. 4' of gravel is placed into a 4' geocell 'matting material' and surfaced with a 3' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel ( 7 ' depth total with gravel and fines) and geoweb 'matting material'. An additional 8 hours of general labor is added to put the geocells in place. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of rock-gravel GeoCell GeoTex
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 13,479.58$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.46
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 72 | \$174.24 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |

## Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 85 | \$3,390.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GeoCell, 4 inch | 1054 | 4-inch thick cellular confinement system, three-dimensional, expandable panels made from high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polyester or another polymer material. Includes materials, labor and equipment for the geocell only, does not include backfill | Square Yard | \$20.69 | 433 | \$8,958.77 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#3 - Fly Ash on Geotextile

## Scenario Description:

The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with Fly Ash on a geotextile fabric foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, and labor to install this practice. The stabilized area will address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to agricultural, urban, recreational and other frequently and/or intensively used areas requiring treatment to address soil erosion and water quality degradation.

## After Situation:

The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of Fly Ash on approximately 70 square yards of geotextile fabric foundation material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).

Feature Measure: Area of Fly Ash
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 630.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,527.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.42

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 70 | \$75.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4 | \$9.68 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 4 | \$381.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |

## Materials

Fly Ash, BAB

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each $\quad \$ 726.80 \quad 1$ | $\$ 726.80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#4-Bituminous Concrete Pavement

## Scenario Description:

The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with bituminous concrete pavement on aggregate gravel foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, and labor to install this practice. The stabilized area will address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to agricultural, urban, recreational and other frequently and/or intensively used areas requiring treatment to address soil erosion and water quality degradation.

## After Situation:

The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of bituminous concrete pavement on 8 cubic yards of aggregate gravel material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).

Feature Measure: Area of Bituminous Pavement
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 630.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,485.70$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.95
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4 | \$9.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 8 | \$319.12 |
| Asphalt, pavement | 1867 | Bituminous Concrete,includes materials, equipment and labor for 4 inch layer, base not included. | Square Feet | \$2.27 | 630 | \$1,430.10 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#5 - Winter Feeding station with gravel

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing, surrounded by gravel on three sides, to provide a stable, non-eroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, for areas frequently used by livestock.

Before Situation:
A 50 head cow/calf operation with an intensively used area in a pasture for winter feeding. This area is unstable with an eroding surface The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by pastured livestock during winter feeding. A concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing, surrounded by gravel on three sides, to provide a stable, non-eroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, will be installed to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical total size is 4,324 square feet. There is a 2,624 square feet of reinforced slab on grade concrete, which is $5^{\prime}$ thick. This concrete is placed over a 3' base of gravel. The 32'x 58' feeding area has formed concrete roll curbs to allow for capturing of animal waste. The 24 ' x 32 ' stacking area has 4 ' reinforced concrete walls to store captured animal waste. Approximately 1,700 square feet of gravel 8 ' thick placed over light geotextile fabric surrounds three sides of the concrete pad. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, concrete pad and curbing and gravel. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of concrete and gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 4,324.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$36,773.25

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.50

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 29 | \$13,731.79 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 36 | \$19,285.20 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 206 | \$222.48 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 72 | \$174.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 66 | \$2,632.74 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#6 - Winter Feeding Station
Scenario Description:
Installation of a concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing to provide a stable, non-eroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, for areas frequently used by livestock.

Before Situation:
A 50 head cow/calf operation with an intensively used area in a pasture for winter feeding. This area is unstable with an eroding surface The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by pastured livestock during winter feeding. A concrete (slab on grade over gravel) pad with reinforced concrete curbing to provide a stable, non-eroding surface, and allow for collection of manure, will be installed to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical total size is 2,624 square feet. There is a 2,624 square feet of reinforced slab on grade concrete, which is 5 ' thick. This concrete is placed over a 3' base of gravel. The 32'x 58' feeding area has formed concrete roll curbs to allow for capturing of animal waste. The 24' x 32 ' stacking area has 4' reinforced concrete walls to store captured animal waste. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, concrete pad and curbing. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of Concrete
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,624.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 34,875.39$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.29
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 29 | \$13,731.79 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 36 | \$19,285.20 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 72 | \$174.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 24 | \$957.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#7-Gravel with Geotextile, Thick

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles.

## Before Situation:

A 30 head cow/calf operation with a frequently used area that is unstable with an eroding surface. The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion and compaction.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 8 ' deep, is placed over light geotextile fabric and surfaced with a 3' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines and light geotextile fabric. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,313.23
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 433 | \$467.64 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 6 | \$571.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 133 | \$5,305.37 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#8-Gravel without Geotextile, Thick

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles.

## Before Situation:

A 30 head cow/calf operation with a frequently used area that is unstable with an eroding surface. The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion and compaction.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 8 ' deep, is surfaced with a 3 ' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,845.59

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.76
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 6 | \$571.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 133 | \$5,305.37 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#9-Gravel with Geotextile, Regular Thickness

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles.

## Before Situation:

A 30 head cow/calf operation with a frequently used area that is unstable with an eroding surface. The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion and compaction.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 5 ' deep, is placed over light geotextile fabric and surfaced with a 2' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines and light geotextile fabric. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,398.51
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 433 | \$467.64 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 6 | \$571.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 85 | \$3,390.65 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection

Scenario: \#10-Gravel without Geotextile, Regular Thickness

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a gravel heavy use pad to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequently used by livestock, people or vehicles.
Before Situation:
A 30 head cow/calf operation with a frequently used area that is unstable with an eroding surface. The area lacks vegetation and has severe compaction concerns as well as deep mud. Concentration of nutrients cannot be spread on adjacent fields due to the unstable surface. Livestock health is compromised as additional energy is being used to travel through mud. A need exists to improve water quality, air quality, livestock health, as well as reduce soil erosion and compaction.

## After Situation:

The stabilization of areas frequently and intensively used by livestock by installing a gravel surface to reduce soil erosion, improve water quality, air quality, and livestock health. Typical size is 3,900 square feet. Gravel, 5 ' deep, is surfaced with a 2' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel and layer of fines. Cost data is applicable to organic and conventional agricultural production systems.

Feature Measure: Area of gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,930.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.26
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 6 | \$571.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 85 | \$3,390.65 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#34-Reinforced Concrete with sand or gravel foundation

## Scenario Description:

The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with reinforced concrete on a sand or gravel foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, vegetation of disturbed areas, and labor to install this practice, The stabilized area will address the resource concerns soil erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where frequently and/or intensively used areas require treatment to address soil erosion and water quality degradation.
After Situation:
The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of approximately 8 cubic yards of welded wire mesh reinforced concrete with 8 cubic yards of sand or gravel foundation material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).

Feature Measure: Area

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 630.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 4,915.19$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 7.80$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 8 | \$3,788.08 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |

## Materials

| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 8 | \$303.36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#35-Rock/Gravel on Geotextile

## Scenario Description:

The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with rock and or gravel on a geotextile fabric foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, vegetation of disturbed areas and labor to install this practice, The stabilized area will address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where frequently and/or intensively used areas require treatment to address soil erosion and water quality degradation.

## After Situation:

The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of rock and or gravel on approximately 70 square yards of geotextile fabric foundation material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).

Feature Measure: Area of Rock and or Gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 630.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,218.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.93
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 70 | \$75.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 8 | \$319.12 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#36-Rock/Gravel-GeoCell-Geotextile

## Scenario Description:

The stabilization of areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles by surfacing with rock and or gravel in a cellular containment grid on a geotextile fabric foundation to provide a stable, non-eroding surface. Installation includes all materials, equipment, vegetation of disturbed areas and labor to install this practice. The stabilized area will address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where frequently and/or intensively used areas require treatment to address soil erosion and water quality degradation.

## After Situation:

The stabilized area is surfaced with approximately 630 square feet of rock and or gravel in approximately 70 square yards of cellular containment grid on approximately 70 square yards of geotextile fabric foundation material for surfacing areas around facilities that are frequently and intensively used by people, animals or vehicles and will address soil erosion and water quality degradation. All seeding or revegetation of disturbed areas is provided. All needed roads must use Access Road (560). Any needed treatment of stream crossings must use Stream Crossing (578). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas must use Critical Area Planting (342). Provisions to collect, store, utilize, and or treat contaminated runoff must use Sediment Basin (350), Waste Storage Facility (313), or Waste Treatment (629) as appropriate. To reduce the potential for air quality problems from particulate matter associated with heavy use areas, consider the use of Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) or Herbaceous Wind Barriers (603).

Feature Measure: Area of Rock and or Gravel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 630.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,666.77
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.23
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 70 | \$75.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 8 | \$319.12 |
| GeoCell, 4 inch | 1054 | 4-inch thick cellular confinement system, three-dimensional, expandable panels made from high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polyester or another polymer material. Includes materials, labor and equipment for the geocell only, does not include backfill | Square Yard | \$20.69 | 70 | \$1,448.30 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

[^1]Practice: 561 - Heavy Use Area Protection
Scenario: \#81-Geocell and Gravel - Small Scale

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a geocell and gravel heavy use area to provide a stable, non-eroding surface for areas frequented by livestock, people or vehicles. Scenario is scaled for a small sized operation, or small area installations such as on an urban or small scale farm or livestock operation where this material is suitable. This scenario should be utilized for installations where the total area of protection does not exceed $1,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$. This scenario captures the increased cost per unit for smaller scale installations due to fixed mobilization costs and/or increased labor costs in situations such as urban areas where labor costs are higher.

Before Situation:
A small scale farm in an urban area has unstable areas with erosion. The areas lack vegetation due to human foot traffic and is compacted and gets muddy. A need exists to reduce erosion and compaction of these areas.

After Situation:
The area is stabilized by installing a gravel surface wth geocells to reduce soil erosion. Typical size is 500 square feet. 4' of gravel is placed into a 4' geocell 'matting material' and surfaced with a 3 ' layer of fines. Payment incorporates site preparation through grading and shaping, gravel ( 7 ' total depth with gravel and fines) and geoweb 'matting material', and additional labor costs associated with placing the geocells and increased labor costs in urban areas.

Feature Measure: Area of geocell
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,996.69

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.99

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 10.8 | \$26.14 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 10.8 | \$430.81 |
| GeoCell, 4 inch | 1054 | 4-inch thick cellular confinement system, three-dimensional, expandable panels made from high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polyester or another polymer material. Includes materials, labor and equipment for the geocell only, does not include backfill | Square Yard | \$20.69 | 55.6 | \$1,150.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 570-Stormwater Runoff Control
Scenario: \#1 - Stormwater Runoff Control

## Scenario Description:

This scenario involves installation of silt fence, straw wattles, coconut fabric mats or jute mats, and synthetic mats on the construction site as part of one conservation engineering system. The combined system shall include two or more components and will address the resource concerns related with concentrated flow erosion, excessive sediment in surface waters as well as protection of existing inlets and structures depending on the combination. This practice may also be used in the installation of rain gardens, permeable pavement, and/or bioswales.

Before Situation:
The combination scenario is applicable in all construction sites and watersheds. Which component would apply in a particular situation would depend on the site condition, slope etc.

After Situation:
When properly installed, the combination structures slow down runoff flow velocity and reduce high velocity erosion, detain and filter the stormwater runoff and provide a controlled release to the downstream areas. In seeded areas, straw wattles also enable seeds to settle and germinate, aiding the revegetation process. By filtering overland runoff and holding sediment on the slope, Straw Wattles also help to protect lakes, ponds, rivers and streams from sediment pollution. When properly installed, coconut mats slow and spread the overland water flow and provide a filtering effect. They also help to reduce sediment transport and stabilize the construction area. Silt fence are installed along the downstream perimeter of a construction site to prevent sediment transport off construction areas. A typical silt fence consists of a synthetic filter fabric stretched between a series of fence stakes, with the stakes installed on the downstream side of the perimeter and the fabric trenched into the soil on the upstream side and backfilled. All erosion control blankets and straw mulches will be covered under 484 - Mulching. If earthen basins are warranted for water quality improvement purposes, use Sediment Basin (350) or Dam (402) as appropriate. If seeding is warranted for water quality and erosion control purpose, use Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Area of construction site
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,372.92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,3 | 72.92 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 480 | \$518.40 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.5 | \$36.17 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt Fence | 43 | Silt fence with support post. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Feet | \$2.93 | 100 | \$293.00 |
| Wattles, straw, 8-9 in. x 25 ft . | 1405 | Tubes of rice straw, approximately 8-9 inch in diameter, 25 feet long . Includes materials and shipping only (including stakes). | Feet | \$1.69 | 100 | \$169.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 1 | \$1.00 |

Practice: 570-Stormwater Runoff Control
Scenario: \#13 - Rain Garden, greater than 750 sqft
Scenario Description:
Typical Size: $36^{\prime} \times 30$ ' area, 4-8' deep. Additional Considerations from the practice standard that would be addressed by the practice are: Design stormwater control practices to fit into the visual landscape as well as to function for runoff control. If properly designed, stormwater control practices can be beneficial to wildlife.

Before Situation:
Stormwater is managed to prevent erosion from farmstead impervious surfaces and practice standard is met
After Situation:
Stormwater is managed to prevent erosion, reduce quantity of runoff, enhance visual impact and increase wildlife habitat and/or food.

Feature Measure: square feet of rain garden
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,080.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,313.86$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.22

Cost Details:


Equipment Installation

| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 2 | \$108.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |

## Materials

| Straw | 1237 | Small grain straw (non organic and certified organic). Includes materials only. | Ton | \$137.00 | 0.3 | \$41.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 0.1 | \$46.98 |
| Perennial Grass, Legume, and/or Forb Liners or Plugs, each | 2758 | Perennial grasses, legumes and/or forbs for small areas using vegetative propagules including liners or plugs. Includes materials and shipping. | Number | \$2.17 | 150 | \$325.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 570-Stormwater Runoff Control
Scenario: \#14-Combination, Most common Best Management Practices

## Scenario Description:

This scenario involves installation of silt fence, straw wattles, and straw bales on the construction site as part of one conservation engineering system. The combined system shall include two or more components and will address the resource concerns related with concentrated flow erosion, excessive sediment in surface waters as well as protection of existing inlets and structures depending on the combination.

Before Situation:
The combination scenario is applicable in all construction sites and watersheds including those in the urban and suburban areas. Which component would apply in a particular situation would depend on the site condition, slope etc.

After Situation:
When properly installed, the combination structures slow down runoff flow velocity and reduce high velocity erosion, detain and filter the stormwater runoff and provide a controlled release to the downstream areas. In seeded areas, straw wattles also enable seeds to settle and germinate, aiding the revegetation process. By filtering overland runoff and holding sediment on the slope, Straw Wattles also help to protect lakes, ponds, rivers and streams from sediment pollution. By filtering overland runoff and holding sediment on the slope, straw bales can also help to protect water quality. Silt fence are installed along the downstream perimeter of a construction site to prevent sediment transport off construction areas. A typical silt fence consists of a synthetic filter fabric stretched between a series of fence stakes, with the stakes installed on the downstream side of the perimeter and the fabric trenched into the soil on the upstream side and backfilled. All erosion control blankets and straw mulches will be covered under 484-Mulching. If earthen basins are warranted for water quality improvement or retention/detention purposes, use Sediment Basin (350) or Dam (402) as appropriate. If seeding is warranted for water quality and erosion control purposes, all temporary and permenant vegetation will use Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Area of construction site

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,230.77$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,230.77$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.5 | \$36.17 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silt Fence | 43 | Silt fence with support post. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Feet | \$2.93 | 100 | \$293.00 |
| Wattles, straw, 8-9 in. x 25 ft . | 1405 | Tubes of rice straw, approximately 8-9 inch in diameter, 25 feet long . Includes materials and shipping only (including stakes). | Feet | \$1.69 | 100 | \$169.00 |
| Straw bales | 2186 | Straw bales buried at defined intervals to halt rill and gulley formation. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.09 | 25 | \$252.25 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, Material, distance > 50 miles | 1043 | Mobilization cost of materials for special cases where the distance from the supplier delivery point to the job site exceeds 50 miles. The costs for shipping by UPS or bulk freight shipping to a location within 50 miles of the job site have already been included in the component price. | Dollars | \$1.00 | 125 | \$125.00 |

Practice: 570-Stormwater Runoff Control
Scenario: \#31-Rain Garden, 750 sqft or less

## Scenario Description:

Typical Size: Drainage area 3750sqft. Garden size $20^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ area, $4-8^{\prime}$ deep. Additional Considerations from the practice standard that would be addressed by the practice are: Design stormwater control practices to fit into the visual landscape as well as to function for runoff control. If properly designed, stormwater control practices can be beneficial to wildlife.

Before Situation:
Stormwater from farmstead impervious surfaces causes erosion and flooding

## After Situation:

Stormwater is managed to prevent erosion, reduce quantity of runoff, enhance visual impact and increase wildlife habitat and/or food.
Feature Measure: sqft of rain garden
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,098.18
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.83
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 4 | \$216.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 7 | \$168.77 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mulching, straw or hay | 1214 | Use of straw or hay for temporary ground cover. Includes application and methods necessary to keep in place such as tacking or crimping. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Acres | \$3,145.45 | 0.02 | \$62.91 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 0.02 | \$9.40 |
| Perennial Grass, Legume, and/or Forb Liners or Plugs, each | 2758 | Perennial grasses, legumes and/or forbs for small areas using vegetative propagules including liners or plugs. Includes materials and shipping. | Number | \$2.17 | 75 | \$162.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

## Practice: 572-Spoil Disposal

Scenario: \#8-Spoil Spreading
Scenario Description:
A spoil pile is spread over a designated area according to an approved plan. The resource concerns are Soil Erosion and Water Quality Degradation.

## Before Situation:

Spoil material is available from excavation of channels, drainage ditches, irrigation canals, or other construction sites.

## After Situation:

Land has been shaped to the required elevations and grades. Resource concerns have been treated. Associated practices, like critical area planting or irrigation/drainage water management practices, would be contracted seperately as needed.

Feature Measure: Cubic yards of spoil spread
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,936.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$1.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 10 | \$953.00 |
| Scraper, pull, 7 CY | 1206 | Pull type earthmoving scraper with 7 CY capacity. Does not include pulling equipment or labor. Add Tractor or Dozer, 160 HP typically required for single scraper. | Hours | \$17.84 | 10 | \$178.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 20 | \$805.40 |

Practice: 574-Spring Development
Scenario: \#1-Collection Structure

## Scenario Description:

Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside) and installing a water collection structure. Payment includes excavation and labor to expose the spring, concrete for collection box, lid and gravel backfill. Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water.

## Before Situation:

Livestock operation with inadequate fresh water for livestock and an on-site undeveloped spring/seep.

## After Situation:

Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Site is excavated with a backhoe to expose the seep, a concrete collection box ( $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$ ) is installed and gravel is backfilled between the spring source and collection box. Associated Practices: 516Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 533 Pumping Plant

Feature Measure: Number of Developments
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost:
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,994.22

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 0.75 | \$401.78 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 1 | \$39.89 |
| Spring Collection Box Cover, steel, 4 ft . diameter | 1281 | 4 foot diameter $\times 1 / 4$ inch thick Steel lid with handle for spring collection box. Materials and fabrication. | Each | \$217.23 | 1 | \$217.23 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 574-Spring Development

Scenario: \#2 - Horizontal Collection Pipe

## Scenario Description:

Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside) and installing a horizontal water collection system. The collection system is commonly composed of perforated drainage pipe placed in an excavated collection trench that runs across the slope, and is piped directly to watering facilities (implemented through associated practice 614). Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water.

Before Situation:
Livestock operation with inadequate fresh water for livestock and an on-site undeveloped spring/seep.
After Situation:
Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Horizontal water collection system is a 50 ft long, 4 inch diameter HDPE perforated pipe enclosed in a sand/gravel envelope overlaid by 2 ft wide filter fabric ( 50 ft long). Associated Practices: 516 -Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility

Feature Measure: Number of Development
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,614.92$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,614.92$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 11 | \$11.88 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed

| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 16.25 | \$34.29 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 574-Spring Development
Scenario: \#3-Horizontal Pipe with Collection Box
Scenario Description:
Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside) and installing a horizontal water collection system and a water storage structure. The collection system is commonly composed of perforated 4 inch diameter drainage pipe placed in an excavated collection trench that runs across the slope into the collection box.Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water.

Before Situation:
Livestock operation with inadequate fresh water for livestock and an on-site undeveloped spring/seep.
After Situation:
Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Water is collected in a spring box (48 inch diameter $x 6 \mathrm{ft}$ long CMP). Horizontal water collection system is a 50 ft long, 4 inch diameter HDPE perforated pipe enclosed in a sand/gravel envelope overlaid by 2 ft wide filter fabric (50 ft long). Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 533 Pumping Plant

Feature Measure: Number of Developments
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,341.28$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 3,341.28$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 1 | \$535.70 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 11 | \$11.88 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 3 | \$113.76 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| Spring Collection Box Cover, steel, 4 ft . diameter | 1281 | 4 foot diameter $\times 1 / 4$ inch thick Steel lid with handle for spring collection box. Materials and fabrication. | Each | \$217.23 | 1 | \$217.23 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 40.6 | \$94.19 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 16.25 | \$34.29 |
| Pipe, CMP, 14-12 gauge, weight priced | 1589 | 14 and 12 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.94 | 288 | \$270.72 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 574-Spring Development
Scenario: \#4 - Vertical Collection and Storage Pipe
Scenario Description:
Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. Typically installed at the point source of a spring and provides for collection and storage of water. Payment includes the vertical excavation of the spring source, placement of vertical collection pipe and gravel around the pipe.

Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water.
Before Situation:
Livestock operation with inadequate fresh water for livestock and an on-site undeveloped spring/seep.
After Situation:
Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones. Water is collected in a vertical 48 inch diameter $\times 12 \mathrm{ft}$ tall CMP. Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 533 Pumping Plant

Feature Measure: Number of Developments
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,822.18$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,822.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| Spring Collection Box Cover, steel, 4 ft . diameter | 1281 | 4 foot diameter $\times 1 / 4$ inch thick Steel lid with handle for spring collection box. Materials and fabrication. | Each | \$217.23 | 1 | \$217.23 |
| Pipe, CMP, 14-12 gauge, weight priced | 1589 | 14 and 12 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.94 | 576 | \$541.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 574-Spring Development
Scenario: \#10-Spring Development

## Scenario Description:

Develop a water source from a natural spring or seep (i.e., spring development) to provide water for livestock and/or wildlife needs. This typical scenario includes excavating and exposing the water source at the spring/seep (typically on a hillside), constructing a water collection structure by installing a 50 ft long, 4 inch diameter HDPE perforated pipe enclosed in a sand/gravel envelope overlaid by 2 ft wide filter fabric ( 50 ft long) and behind a concrete cutoff wall ( $6 \mathrm{inch} \times 4 \mathrm{ft}$ height $\times 25 \mathrm{ft}$ long) to retain water. Water is directed (via 20 ft long, 4 inch PVC) to a spring box ( 48 inch diameter x 6 ft long CMP) that is located at the cutoff wall or below the wall, equipped with a watertight lid and two outlets. One outlet serves as overflow pipe to account for occasions where inflow exceeds outflow. The collection system is commonly composed of a single or a network of perforated 4 inch diameter drainage pipe placed in an excavated collection trench that runs across the slope. The outflow pipe from the spring box can be directed to buried large storage (not included), and to a watering facility (not included) for useResource Concern: Livestock production limitation Inadequate livestock water.Associated Practices: 516-Livestock Pipeline; 614-Watering Facility; 382-Fence; Critical Area Planting (342).

## Before Situation:

Livestock operation with inadequate fresh water for livestock and an on-site undeveloped spring/seep.
After Situation:
Spring development system provides adequate water for the intended use. The system typically runs all year long in most zones.
Feature Measure: Number of Developments
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 5,743.01$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 5,743.01$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 2 | \$1,071.40 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 11 | \$11.88 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 16 | \$1,006.56 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 2 | \$75.84 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 2 | \$79.78 |
| Spring Collection Box Cover, steel, 4 ft . diameter | 1281 | 4 foot diameter $\times 1 / 4$ inch thick Steel lid with handle for spring collection box. Materials and fabrication. | Each | \$217.23 | 1 | \$217.23 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 40.6 | \$94.19 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 16.25 | \$34.29 |
| Pipe, CMP, 14-12 gauge, weight priced | 1589 | 14 and 12 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.94 | 288 | \$270.72 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 575 - Trails and Walkways
Scenario: \#2 - Trail or Walkway, Vegetated

## Scenario Description:

Layout and construct a vegetated trail or walkway to facilitate the movement of animals, people, or off-road vehicles to provide or improve access to forage, water, working/handling facilities, and/or shelter, improve grazing efficiency and distribution, and/or protect ecologically sensitive, erosive and/or potentially erosive sites, pedestrian or off-road vehicle access to agricultural, construction, or maintenance operations, provide trails for recreational activities or access to recreation sites and address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. Includes excavation, shaping, grading, seed, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies on all lands where management of animal or human movement is needed to address soil erosion, water quality, and livestock production limitation resource concerns. This practice also applies to trails or walkways constructed for use by off-road vehicles, such as All-Terrain Vehicles or snowmobiles, which are designed for off-road use.

After Situation:
The typical trail or walkway is an 8 foot wide by 600 foot long lane with vegetative surfacing. The trail or walkway is constructed of approved materials, with a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. All materials, equipment, and labor to install the trail or walkway and surfacing is included. Vegetation of adjacent disturbed areas is also included. The resource concerns of soil erosion, water quality, and livestock production limitations have been addressed. Other associated practices include Stream Crossing (578), Diversion (362), and Fence (382). Use Access Road (560) if the movement of vehicles or equipment is needed for purposes other than management and maintenance of the trail or walkway.

Feature Measure: Length of trail or walkway
Scenario Unit: Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: 600.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$1,521.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.54

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.15 | \$2.11 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.15 | \$3.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |

## Materials

Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density

2747 Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Mobilization

Practice: 575 - Trails and Walkways
Scenario: \#4 - Trail or Walkway, Rock/Gravel on Geotextile

## Scenario Description:

Layout and construct a trail or walkway with rock and or gravel on a geotextile fabric foundation to facilitate the movement of animals, people, or off-road vehicles to provide or improve access to forage, water, working/handling facilities, and/or shelter, improve grazing efficiency and distribution, and/or protect ecologically sensitive, erosive and/or potentially erosive sites, pedestrian or off-road vehicle access to agricultural, construction, or maintenance operations, provide trails for recreational activities or access to recreation sites and address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. Includes excavation, shaping, grading, rock and or gravel, geotextile, vegetation of disturbed areas, and all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies on all lands where management of animal or human movement is needed to address soil erosion, water quality, and livestock production limitation resource concerns. This practice also applies to trails or walkways constructed for use by off-road vehicles, such as All-Terrain Vehicles or snowmobiles, which are designed for off-road use.

## After Situation:

The typical trail or walkway is an 8 foot wide by 600 foot long lane with a surface treatment of rock and or gravel on a geotextile fabric foundation. The trail or walkway is constructed of approved materials, with a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. All materials, equipment, and labor to install the trail or walkway and surfacing is included. Vegetation of adjacent disturbed areas is also included. The resource concerns of soil erosion, water quality, and livestock production limitations have been addressed. Other associated practices include Stream Crossing (578), Diversion (362), and Fence (382). Use Access Road (560) if the movement of vehicles or equipment is needed for purposes other than management and maintenance of the trail or walkway.

Feature Measure: Length of trail or walkway
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,807.51$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 534 | \$576.72 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 30 | \$72.60 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Gravel, Graded

Introduced Perennial Grasses,
Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density

Mobilization

46 Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry $\quad$ Cubic Yards $\quad \$ 39.89 \quad 90 \quad \$ 3,590.10$ or pit. Placement costs are not included.

2747 Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.
Cubic Yards

| Acres | $\$ 47.76$ | 0.04 | $\$ 1.91$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons.

Practice: 575 - Trails and Walkways
Scenario: \#37-Wood Chips, Walkway, greater than 1000 sqft

## Scenario Description:

Layout and construct a wood chip surface treatment on a earthen foundation walkway to facilitate the movement of animals, people, or off-road vehicles to provide or improve access to forage, water, working/handling facilities, and/or shelter, Improve grazing efficiency and distribution, and/or protect ecologically sensitive, erosive and/or potentially erosive sites, pedestrian or off-road vehicle access to agricultural, construction, or maintenance operations, provide walkways for recreational activities or access to recreation sites and address the resource conerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, wood chip surfacing, vegetation of disturbed areas, all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies on all lands where management of animal or human movement is needed to address soil erosion and water quality resource concerns. This practice applies to a trails or walkways constructed for use by off-road vehicles, such as All-Terrain Vehicles or snowmobiles, which are not designed for use on public roads. It does not apply to roads constructed for movement of equipment or vehicles. Use NRCS Conservation Practice Standard Access Road (Code 560).

## After Situation:

The typical walkway will be a 12 foot wide 300 foot long, 3600 square foot of wood chip srface treatment on earthen foundation. All excavation, grading and shaping necessary to provide a smooth permanent travel surface for livestock or people is included. Included is wood chips of 3600 square foot for sufacing, and vegetation of 900 square foot of disturbed areas. The walkway consist of approximately 22 CY of excavation, 3600 square feet of wood chip surfacing. Stream Crossing, Code 578 , will be used when the walkway crosses streams or shallow water areas. All culvets will be applied under Structure for Water Control (587). Use Access Road, Code 560 and Diversion (362) as appropriate. Fencing, Code 382, will be used when needed to control animal movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Walkway
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

## Scenario Typical Size: 3,600.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,521.61$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 0.98$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 22 | \$53.24 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 3 | \$223.26 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 45 | \$2,235.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 575 - Trails and Walkways
Scenario: \#46-Wood Chips, Walkway, 1000 sqft or less

## Scenario Description:

Layout and construct a wood chip surface treatment on a earthen foundation walkway to facilitate the movement of animals, people, or small off-road vehicles to provide or improve access to forage, water, working/handling facilities, and/or shelter, Improve grazing efficiency and distribution, and/or protect ecologically sensitive, erosive and/or potentially erosive sites, pedestrian or off-road vehicle access to agricultural, construction, or maintenance operations, provide walkways for recreational activities or access to recreation sites and address the resource concerns of soil erosion and water quality degradation. Costs include excavation, shaping, grading, wood chip surfacing, vegetation of disturbed areas, all equipment, labor and incidental materials necessary to install the practice.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies on all lands where management of animal or human movement is needed to address soil erosion and water quality resource concerns. This practice applies to a trails or walkways constructed for use by small off-road vehicles, such as All-Terrain Vehicles or snowmobiles, which are not designed for use on public roads. It does not apply to roads constructed for movement of equipment or vehicles. Use NRCS Conservation Practice Standard Access Road (Code 560).

After Situation:
The typical walkway will be a 6 foot widex100 foot longx4' thick , 600 square foot of wood chip surface treatment on earthen foundation. All excavation, grading and shaping necessary to provide a smooth permanent travel surface for livestock or people is included. Included is wood chips of 600 square foot for surfacing.

Feature Measure: sqft of walkway

Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,181.14

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 7 | \$168.77 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 8 | \$397.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 15 | \$429.60 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 67 | \$139.36 |

Practice: 576 - Livestock Shelter Structure
Scenario: \#18-Prefabricated Portable Shade Structure

## Scenario Description:

A flexible membrane or fabric-like roof placed on a steel or wood portable frame used to promote animal health where prescribed grazing practices have limited livestock access to shade. Cost estimate is based upon a $10 \mathrm{ft} \times 20 \mathrm{ft}$ prefab portable structure.Associated practices include Fence (382), Prescribed Grazing (528), and Watering Facility (614).

Before Situation:
Livestock are being managed using a prescribed grazing plan resulting in a lack of shade during the summer months. The livestock are stressed and eat less frequently.

## After Situation:

Livestock shade structures are rotated and sized according to NRCS plans and specifications. Livestock access to water, shade, and forage are dispersed to decrease animal stress and promote a better grazing and nutrient spreading.

Feature Measure: Area of Roof Frame
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,335.91$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 9 | \$216.99 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 11 | \$315.04 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

High Tunnel, Quonset style, Variable Cost
iable cost portion of a quonset style high tunnel. Includes the framework complete with all predrilled steel, hardware and instructions. Includes 6 mil 4 -year polyethylene film to cover tunnel, and poly-lock for sides and ends for a quonset style (round top) hoop house. Materials and shipping only.

Practice: 578-Stream Crossing

Scenario: \#1-Gravel Crossing

## Scenario Description:

A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for people, livestock, equipment, or vehicles. This practice applies to all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford crossing is desired for livestock, people, and /or equipment. Stream bed in the channel reach containing the crossing must be vertically stable. Scenario is for stabilizing the bottom and slope of a stream channel using gravel and geotextile. This scenario includes site preparation, dewatering, acquiring and installing gravel on channel bottom and approaches. Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic.

Before Situation:
Water flow could not cross access road or trail without erosion; or access road or trail could not cross channel.
After Situation:
Scenario is based upon a vehicle crossing $8^{\prime}$ wide stream with $5^{\prime}$ high banks and a $12^{\prime}$ wide crossing with 6:1 approach. Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.

Feature Measure: Crossing dimensions
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 816.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,676.91

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.06

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 30 | \$46.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 15 | \$598.35 |
| Geotextile, non-woven, heavy weight | 1210 | Non-woven greater than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.91 | 100 | \$191.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 578-Stream Crossing
Scenario: \#2 - Rip Rap Crossing

## Scenario Description:

A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for people, livestock, equipment, or vehicles. This practice applies to all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford crossing is desired for livestock, people, and /or equipment. Stream bed in the channel reach containing the crossing must be vertically stable. Scenario is for stabilizing the bottom and slope of a stream channel using Rip Rap, gravel and geotextile. This scenario includes site preparation, dewatering, acquiring and installing rip rap and gravel on channel bottom and approaches. Scenario is based on a 20 ' wide x 50 ' long crossing. Use ( 396 ) Aquatic Organism Passage when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic.

Before Situation:
Water flow could not cross access road or trail without erosion; or access road or trail could not cross channel.
After Situation:
Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.

Feature Measure: Crossing dimensions

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,563.95$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.56
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 37 | \$56.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 37 | \$4,941.72 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 19 | \$757.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 578-Stream Crossing
Scenario: \#4 - Concrete Crossing

## Scenario Description:

A stabilized area or structure constructed across a stream to provide a travel way for people, livestock, equipment, or vehicles. This practice applies to all land uses where an intermittent or perennial watercourse exists and a ford crossing is desired for livestock, people, and /or equipment. Stream bed in the channel reach containing the crossing must be vertically stable. Scenario is for stabilizing the bottom and slope of a stream channel using concrete. This scenario includes site preparation, dewatering, acquiring and installing gravel and concrete channel bottom and approaches. Scenario is based on a 20' wide $\times 50$ ' long crossing. Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic.

Before Situation:
Water flow could not cross access road or trail without erosion; or access road or trail could not cross channel.
After Situation:
Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.

Feature Measure: Crossing dimensions
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 12,157.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 19 | \$8,996.69 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 3 | \$1,607.10 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 45 | \$69.30 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 19 | \$757.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 578-Stream Crossing
Scenario: \#6 - Culvert Installation

## Scenario Description:

Install a new culvert. Work includes dewatering, site preparation and removing any old crossing, acquiring and installing culvert pipe with gravel bedding and fill (compacted), and building headwalls. If a different travel surface is needed, refer to another appropriate standard for the surfacing. 30 inch Culvert installation with $<75$ cy of fill needed and < 2 yds rock riprap for headwalls. Pipe is 40 feet long.Use (396) Aquatic Organism Passage instead, when the primary intent is biological concerns, not hydrologic. Use (587) Structure for Water Control instead, for ditch cross culverts and other intermittent flows.

Before Situation:
Water flow could not cross access road or trail without erosion; or access road or trail could not cross channel.

## After Situation:

Access road and waterflow are able to cross each other in a stable manner.Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.

Feature Measure: Culvert

Scenario Unit: Diameter Inch Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 6,130.87$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 5.11$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 3 | \$17.97 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 10 | \$1,240.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10 | \$402.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 2 | \$267.12 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 50 | \$1,994.50 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 617.2 | \$1,481.28 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 578-Stream Crossing
Scenario: \#8 - Repair of Stream Crossing

## Scenario Description:

Repair of a stream crossing damaged as a result of a natural catastrophe. The repair may include the installation of lost or displaced rock riprap or the re-installation of a pipe culvert that has been lost or suffered excessive erosion of the associated earthen embankment.

Before Situation:
Water flow could not cross access road or trail without erosion; or access road or trail could not cross channel.
After Situation:
Access road and waterflow are able to cross each other in a stable manner.Stream flow is not impeded and a stable base exists for equipment, people and/or animals to cross. Associated practices: (342) Critical Area Planting, (560) Access Road, (575) Animal Trails and Walkways, (566) Recreational Trails and Walkways, (500) Obstruction Removal, or (584) Channel Stabilization.

Feature Measure: area of crossing needing repair
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 600.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,248.59$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.75

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 5 | \$22.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 8 | \$1,068.48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 5 | \$199.45 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#1-Bank Shaping

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks consisting of shaping banks to a stable slope and conventional plantings of vegetation to stabilize and protect against scour and erosion. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include shaping bank; a 6 -foot high bank at $3(\mathrm{H}): 1(\mathrm{~V})$ slope for 1000 linear feet ( 0.46 acres) is used for estimation purposes.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560-Access Road; 342-Critical Area Planting; 382-Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has marginally degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable.Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. Critical Area Planting (342) is included for establishment of vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Linear Feet of Streambank/Shorelin
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 11,779.68$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.78

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 2500 | \$6,050.00 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 16 | \$1,190.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 56 | \$2,574.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 580-Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#2-Bioengineered

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks consisting of a bioengineered technique comprised of non-structural measures such as earth revetments and benches with vegetative measures to stabilize and protect the streambank against scour and erosion. Soil bioengineering is a system of living plant materials used as structural components. Adapted types of woody vegetation (shrubs and trees) are initially installed in specified configurations that offer immediate soil protection and reinforcement. In addition, soil bioengineering systems create resistance to sliding or shear displacement in a streambank as they develop roots or fibrous inclusions. Environmental benefits derived from woody vegetation include diverse and productive riparian habitats, shade, organic additions to the stream, cover for fish, and improvements in aesthetic value and water quality. Under certain conditions, soil bioengineering installations work well in conjunction with structures to provide more permanent protection and healthy function, enhance aesthetics, and create a more environmentally acceptable product. Soil bioengineering systems normally use unrooted plant parts in the form of cut branches and rooted plants. For streambanks, living systems include brushmattresses, live stakes, joint plantings, vegetated geogrids, branchpacking, and live fascines. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include shaping bank and willow tree planting. A 6 -foot high bank at $3(\mathrm{H}): 1(\mathrm{~V})$ slope for 1000 linear feet ( 0.46 acres) is used for estimation purposes. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560-Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has moderately degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. Critical Area Planting (342) is included for establishment of vegetation if needed. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Linear Feet of Streambank/Shorelin
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 26,688.01$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$26.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 2500 | \$6,050.00 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 16 | \$1,190.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 320 | \$9,164.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 75 | \$3,020.25 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 80 | \$3,677.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 1000 | \$1,620.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#3-Structural

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using rock riprap to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, rootwad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; stream jetties; stream barbs; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include shaping bank, critical area vegetation, geotextile, and rock rip rap; a 10 -foot high bank at $2(\mathrm{H}): 1(\mathrm{~V})$ slope for 500 linear feet is used for estimation purposes. The rock will be 2 ' thick and 10 ' high. The bank above the riprap will be graded to a stable slope and revegetated.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560 Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable.Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream.Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yard of Riprap
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

Scenario Typical Size: 833.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$76,501.30
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$91.84
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 1222 | \$1,319.76 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 32 | \$4,410.56 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 32 | \$1,022.08 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 1250 | \$68,687.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580-Streambank and Shoreline Protection
Scenario: \#4 - Stream Barb/LPSTP-Longitudinal Peaked Stone Toe Protection-small Streams

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using longitudinal peaked stone toe protection to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, rootwad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; stream jetties; stream barbs; J-Hooks and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap and placement. A 4' high stone toe with 1.5:1 sideslopes, 275 linear feet in length is used for estimation purposes. The bank behind the riprap will not be modified. Stream with less than 100 sq miles drainage area.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560 Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Linear foot of bank protected
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 275.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$22,834.97
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$83.04
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 24 | \$108.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 367 | \$20,166.65 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection
Scenario: \#5 - Stone Toe protection with vegetation

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using riprap toe protection with grass vegetation on the upper portion of the bank to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, rootwad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; stream jetties; stream barbs; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap and bank shaping. Typical installation consists of 4 vertical feet of riprap toe protection on a $2: 1$ slope, 2 ' thick. 4 vertical feet of bank above the rock will be shaped to a $4: 1$ slope, seeded to cool season vegetation and covered with coir erosion control blanket.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560-Access Road; 342-Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Linear Feet of Bank Protected
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 250.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$20,053.14
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$80.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 0.2 | \$2.66 |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 560 | \$2,531.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 250 | \$13,737.50 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. $\times 2$ in. $\times 24$ in. | 1579 | 1 in. x 2 in. x 24 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$0.90 | 306 | \$275.40 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 0.2 | \$12.27 |
| Coir Erosion Control Fabric | 2765 | Open weave spun coir erosion control fabric. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.78 | 361 | \$1,003.58 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#6 - Stream Barb/Bendway Weir-large stream

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using stream barbs to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of 7 streambarbs, each 7 ' tall and 60 ' long protecting 650 ' of bank. Stream with 100 sq miles or more drainage area.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; $484-M u l c h i n g$; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Lineal Feet of bank protected
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 650.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 88,057.46$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$135.47

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 40 | \$4,962.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 42 | \$189.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 40 | \$1,610.80 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 1430 | \$78,578.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580-Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#7-Weir/Riffle Small

Scenario Description:
Protection of streambanks using a rock riffle to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion by controlling down cutting. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, rootwad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of a 1' high riffle on a stream with a 8' bottom width and 5' banks.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable.Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Per structure installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,324.94$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,324.94

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 6 | \$744.30 |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 24 | \$108.48 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 56 | \$3,077.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#8 - Weir/Riffle Medium

Scenario Description:
Protection of streambanks using a rock riffle to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion by controlling down cutting. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, rootwad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of a 1.5' high riffle on a stream with a 20' bottom width and 6' banks.Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable.Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Per structure installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,878.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 10,878.62$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 12 | \$1,488.60 |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 62 | \$280.24 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 136 | \$7,473.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection
Scenario: \#9 - Weir/Riffle Large
Scenario Description:
Protection of streambanks using a rock riffle to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion by controlling down cutting. Additional structural measures may also include tree revetments; log, rootwad and boulder revetments; dormant post plantings; piling revetments with wire or geotextile fencing; piling revetments with slotted fencing; jacks or jack fields; rock riprap; and gabions. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost includes rock rip rap, bank shaping, erosion control blanket and seeding. Typical installation consists of a 1.5' high riffle on a stream with a 30' bottom width and 7' banks. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation.Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility; 484-Mulching; 570-Stormwater Runoff Control.

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable.Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures.Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream.For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable.For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat.For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized.For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Per structure installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,327.89

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14,327.89

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 16 | \$1,984.80 |
| Excavation, common earth, wet, side cast, large equipment | 1228 | Bulk excavation and side casting of wet common earth with hydraulic excavator or dragline with greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$4.52 | 84 | \$379.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 16 | \$644.32 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 185 | \$10,165.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#23-Bankfull Bench, Wood Toe

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using toewood (large wood members with root wads) as a structural measure in conjunction with bioengineering techniques involving vegetative measures to stabilize and protect the streambank against scour and erosion. Environmental benefits derived from woody vegetation include diverse and productive riparian habitats, shade, organic additions to the stream, cover for fish, and improvements in aesthetic value and water quality. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Typical stream has a 50' bankfull width and 6 ' banks. Payment cost include protection by use of large wood members with root wads, willow cuttings and revetments, bankfull bench construction, bank shaping and riparian-corridor revegetation. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390-Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 Watering Facility

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures. Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Linear Feet of Streambank Protecte
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,791.35
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$157.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 222 | \$239.76 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 30 | \$4,134.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 30 | \$1,208.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 222 | \$359.64 |
| Boulder | 1761 | Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$91.38 | 40 | \$3,655.20 |
| Log, un-anchored | 2035 | Price of log picked up at the Mill. Includes material only. | Ton | \$176.38 | 25 | \$4,409.50 |
| Root Wad | 2045 | Tree stump buried into the streambank with the roots left exposed. Includes material only. | Ton | \$7.93 | 25 | \$198.25 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#24-Bankfull Bench, Rock Toe

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using rock as a structural measure to stabilize and protect banks of streams or excavated channels against scour and erosion. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, or restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Payment cost include bankfull bench construction, bank shaping, geotextile, willow trees and rock boulder toe protection. Typical installation consists of a 300 ??? long bankfull bench, 5 ??? in height with a 10 ??? width. 2002 ???x2???x3??? rock boulders ( 89 CY ) stacked two high will armor the toe of the bench. The top of the bench consists of a soil lift wrapped in geotextile or coir material. The bank above the bankfull bench will be graded to a stable slope and revegetated. Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation - Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560-Access Road; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395-Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility

## Before Situation:

A stream bisects the agricultural property and has had all of the woody vegetation removed due to overgrazing or human manipulation; the stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures. Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Rock Boulders
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 89.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$33,414.92

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$375.45

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 666 | \$719.28 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 555 | \$2,131.20 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 16 | \$1,190.72 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 40 | \$5,513.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 40 | \$1,610.80 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |

## Materials

Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium

Boulder 1761 Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included.

Each
1510 Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only.

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment
1139 Equipment with $70-150$ HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## Scenario: \#25-In-Channel Boulder Structure

## Scenario Description:

Protection of streambanks using bank stabilization measures to stabilize eroding stream banks, reduce near bank stress and prevent sediment from entering streams by constructing in-stream structures such as J-Hooks, Stream barbs, Cross Vanes or Vortex Weirs. The purpose of this practice is to maintain, improve, and restore physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream to provide diverse aquatic communities to improve habitat for desired aquatic species. Typical scenario: Install two J hooks on a 300 ' section of 30 square mile watershed channel, with a bankfull width of 42 ' and a bankfull depth of 4 '. Each J hook has an arm length of 45 ' with a 14 ' hook and 14 ' sill. Boulders are an average dimension of 3'x 3'x $3^{\prime}$ and weigh 2 tons. 47 boulders are required for one structure ( 47 CY ). Resource Concerns: Soil Erosion - Excessive Bank Erosion from Streams, Shoreline and Water Conveyance Channels; Water Quality Degradation - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Water Quality Degradation -
Elevated Water Temperature; Excess/Insufficient Water - Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters; Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife- Habitat Degradation. Associated Practices include: 560 - Access Road; 382 - Fence; 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer; 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover; 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management; 614 - Watering Facility, 570 Stormwater Runoff Control.
Before Situation:
A streambank is unstable, vertical and eroding in a meander and laterally moving yearly moving into a landowners field at an accelerated rate. The stream has severely degraded streambanks that are unstable and show signs of active erosion. Soil Erosion: The streambank is unstable. Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has increased in the stream resulting in elevated water temperatures. Excess/Insufficient Water: The excessive sediment load has reduced the water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream. Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The deficiencies in the stream's habitat limit survival, growth,reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream.

## After Situation:

The streambank is stable against further erosion and encourages natural sediment transport and deposition. Loss of riparian areas and sediment load is reduced in the stream. For Soil Erosion: The streambank is stable. For Water Quality Degradation: The sediment load has decreased in the stream resulting in improved aquatic habitat. For Excess/Insufficient Water: The water conveyance capacity, storage capacity and flow within the stream has been stabilized. For Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife: The reduction in the sediment load promotes survival, growth, reproduction, and/or diversity of aquatic organisms within the stream's habitat.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Boulders Installed
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 94.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$26,735.36

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$284.42

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 24 | \$3,307.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 32 | \$1,288.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulder | 1761 | Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$91.38 | 204 | \$18,641.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 582-Open Channel
Scenario: \#1-Two Stage Ditch

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is the improvement of a channel in which water flows with a free surface. The practice is used for the restoration of a natural or artificial channel to improve nutrient (phosphorus and nitrate) reduction and ecological function by creating a floodplain bench. Installation conditions are normal which means the location is easily accessible from a main road, soils are without large rock or difficult clay to excavate, and/or other aspects are average compared to excavation work in the area.

## Before Situation:

A stream or channel with active streambank erosion and excess nutrient load from upstream or tile entry sources. This scenario assists in addressing the resource concerns: water quality, streambank erosion, sediment deposition.

After Situation:
An earthen floobplain bench is excavated above low channel flow to create floodplain flow area and to stabilize the bottom and side slopes. Nutrients are reduced in the water through bench saturation. Erosion is no longer a resource concern. Typical construction dimensions are similar to Fig. 10-9 in Stream Restoration Design handbook with 10 ft wide benchs excavated on either side of 6 ft deep ditch. Total excavation = 5' x 10 ' X 2 sides $=100$ cubic feet per foot. Cool season grasses are established on the bench and slope areas using 342 Critical Area Planting. Need for mulching (straw or erosion control blanket) would be accomplished through 484-Mulching as necessary. Associated practices: 356-Dike, 393-Filter Strip, 484-Mulching 587-Structure For Water Control, 533-Pumping Plant, 580 Streambank and Shoreline Protection, 584 Channel Stabilization, 578 Stream Crossing.

Feature Measure: Length of Channel
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 13,160.16$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 3700 | \$8,954.00 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 24 | \$1,786.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

## Practice: 585-Stripcropping

Scenario: \#3 - Stripcropping - wind and water erosion

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the implementation of a strip cropping system that is designed specifically for the control of wind and water erosion or minimizing the transport of sediments or other water borne contaminants originating from runoff on cropland. The planned strip cropping system will meet the current 585 standard. Implementation will result in alternating strips of erosion susceptible crops with erosion resistant crops that are oriented as close to perpendicular to water flows as possible. The designed system will reduce erosion/sediment/contaminants to desired objectives. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of designing the system, installing the strips on the landscape appropriately, and integrating a crop rotation that includes water erosion resistant species.

## Before Situation:

In this geographic area, excessive water erosion is caused by raising crops in a manner that allows sheet water flows to travel down the slope causing sheet and rill erosion or concentrated flow conditions, degradation of soil health through loss of topsoil and organic matter, along with offsite negative impacts to water quality and aquatic wildlife habitat.

## After Situation:

A strip cropping system that includes at least two or more strips within the planning slope will be designed to include parallel strips of approximately equal widths of water erosion resistant crop species with non-water erosion resistant crop species. Widths will be determined using current water erosion prediction technology to meet objectives. The design and implementation of a stripcropping system will minimize wind, sheet and rill erosion, protect soil quality, reduce offsite sedimentation, and benefit offsite aquatic wildlife habitat. Erosion prediction before and after practice application will be recorded showing the design and benefits of the practice. Erosion resistant strips in rotation must be managed to maintain the planned vegetative cover and surface roughness.

Feature Measure: area of strips

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 80.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$158.25
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.98

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#1 - Inline Stoplog WCS, Surface Water Control, 6-10 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stoplogs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the is $24^{\prime}$ or less. Cost estimate is based on a using a such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of $12^{\prime}$, height of six feet, The pipe is $65^{\prime}$ of $8^{\prime}$ SCH 40 PVC (inlet and outlet combined).

Before Situation:
The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

After Situation:
A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,012.17

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,012.17

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 55 | \$329.45 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |

## Materials

| Steel, Plate, $1 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 36 | \$268.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 350.4 | \$812.93 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 40 | \$108.40 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x <br> Diameter | \$18.68 | 48 | \$896.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#2 - Inline Stoplog WCS, Surface Water Control, 12-18 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stoplogs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the is 24 ' or less. Cost estimate is based on a using a such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of 20 ', height of six feet, The pipe is $65^{\prime}$ of $15^{\prime}$ SDR35 PVC (inlet and outlet combined).

Before Situation:
The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

After Situation:
A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,321.81
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,321.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 55 | \$329.45 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |

## Materials

| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 36 | \$268.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 922.4 | \$2,139.97 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 4 | \$89.64 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 80 | \$216.80 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x Diameter | \$18.68 | 90 | \$1,681.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

[^2]Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#3 - Inline Stoplog WCS, Surface Water Control, >18 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stoplogs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the is 24 ' or less. Cost estimate is based on a using a such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of 31 ', height of six feet, The pipe is $65^{\prime}$ of $24^{\prime}$ used steel (inlet and outlet combined).

Before Situation:
The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

After Situation:
A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,886.25
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,886.25
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 55 | \$329.45 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |

## Materials

| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 49 | \$366.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 8 | \$179.28 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 155 | \$420.05 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x Diameter | \$18.68 | 144 | \$2,689.92 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 6150.3 | \$5,904.29 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 | 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#4 - Weir Box Inlet WCS, Surface Water Control, <=16 in. dia. Pipe.

## Scenario Description:

A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A fabricated weir box structure with a pipe of 16 diameter or less is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. Payment incorporates pipe, anti seep collar, trash guard, animal guard, flap gate and weir box structure.

## Before Situation:

The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

After Situation:
A weir box structure is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,945.77$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,945.77

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 55 | \$329.45 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 49 | \$366.03 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/16 in. | 1048 | Flat Steel Plate, 3/16 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$11.20 | 32 | \$358.40 |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. x 2 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, 2 1/2 inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch x 1/4 inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 30 | \$125.10 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 4 | \$89.64 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 82 | \$222.22 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 4067.7 | \$3,904.99 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#5 - Weir Box Inlet WCS, Surface Water Control, >16 in. dia. Pipe.

## Scenario Description:

A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A fabricated weir box structure with a pipe of greater than 16 diameter is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. Payment incorporates pipe, anti seep collar, trash guard, animal guard, flap gate and weir box structure.

## Before Situation:

The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

After Situation:
A weir box structure is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,175.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,175.57

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 55 | \$329.45 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 9 | \$361.26 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 36 | \$268.92 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/16 in. | 1048 | Flat Steel Plate, 3/16 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$11.20 | 32 | \$358.40 |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. x 2 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, $21 / 2$ inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch $\times 1 / 4$ inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 30 | \$125.10 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 8 | \$179.28 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 155 | \$420.05 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 6150.3 | \$5,904.29 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#6 - Weir Box Inlet WCS, Surface Water Control Using Existing Pipe (Box Only)

## Scenario Description:

A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A fabricated weir box structure is installed on existing piping.

## Before Situation:

The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

## After Situation:

A weir box structure is placed in a levee over an existing subsurface system to manage water level elevation. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each

## Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 749.56$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 749.56$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel, Plate, 3/16 in. | 1048 | Flat Steel Plate, 3/16 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$11.20 | 32 | \$358.40 |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. x 2 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, $21 / 2$ inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch x 1/4 inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 30 | \$125.10 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#7 - Watertight Flap gate Inflow WCS, Surface Water Control, <=15 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A Flap/Slide Gate with a pipe of 15 ' diameter or less is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. Payment incorporates pipe, anti seep collar, trash guard, animal guard, and flap gate.

## Before Situation:

A wetland or other area is in need of a flap gate to control the flow of water through a pipe to provide habitat for fish and wildlife. The landowner wishes to provide a way for water to flow into a managed wetland pool yet automatically prevent flow from leaving the pool when the water source inflow head becomes less than the pool head.

After Situation:
A WCS pipe with flap gate $15^{\prime}$ ' or less in diameter is installed. The pipe is installed through a water management embankment allowing shallow water impoundments to take on water from a higher elevation such as floodwater. When the surface of the inflow water source drops to an elevation that is lower than the wetland pool water surface, the flap gate automatically closes, preventing the loss of water from the wetland area. A wetland area is enhanced by having the ability to automatically fill with water when the hydrologic opportunity presents itself to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,667.98$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,667.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 6 | \$377.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |

Materials

| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 49 | \$366.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 922.4 | \$2,139.97 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 4 | \$89.64 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 82 | \$222.22 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#8 - Watertight Flap gate Inflow WCS, Surface Water Control, >15 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A structure in a water management system that conveys water, controls the direction or rate of flow, maintains a desired water surface elevation or measures water. This practice applies wherever a permanent structure is needed as an integral part of a water-control system. A Flap/Slide Gate with a pipe of greater than 15 ' diameter is placed in a levee to manage water level elevation. Payment incorporates pipe, anti seep collar, trash guard, animal guard, and flap gate.

## Before Situation:

A wetland or other area is in need of a flap gate to control the flow of water through a pipe to provide habitat for fish and wildlife. The landowner wishes to provide a way for water to flow into a managed wetland pool yet automatically prevent flow from leaving the pool when the water source inflow head becomes less than the pool head.

## After Situation:

A WCS pipe with flap gate greater than 15' in diameter is installed. The pipe is installed through a water management embankment allowing shallow water impoundments to take on water from a higher elevation such as floodwater. When the surface of the inflow water source drops to an elevation that is lower than the wetland pool water surface, the flap gate automatically closes, preventing the loss of water from the wetland area. A wetland area is enhanced by having the ability to automatically fill with water when the hydrologic opportunity presents itself to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,034.68$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 9,034.68$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 6 | \$377.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |

Materials

| Steel, Plate, 1/8 in. | 1047 | Flat Steel Plate, 1/8 inch thick, materials only. | Square Feet | \$7.47 | 49 | \$366.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 8 | \$179.28 |
| Trash Guard, metal | 1608 | Trash Guard, fabricated-steel, includes materials, equipment, and labor to transport and place Conical shaped trash guard for drop inlet spillway. Typically fabricated of CMP and steel. Includes materials, equipment, and labor to fabricate and transport. | Pound | \$2.71 | 155 | \$420.05 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. => 18 in., weight priced | 1958 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters equal to or greater than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.44 | 2532.4 | \$6,179.06 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#9 - Inline WCS, Subsurface Drainage Control, <=10 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) outlets through a control structure which is operated with stoplogs. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil. This retention time allows nutrients to be reduced by bacteria such that the nutrients do not leave with the water. A single stoplog structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures which can be counted as structures also for a separate payment. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management

## Before Situation:

The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams, often laden with sediment and nutrients.
After Situation:
The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams only when the soil profile needs to be dry. The retention time in the soil profile removes nutrients. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10-20 acres. A single structure with stoplogs may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures, which may be paid for as separate structures also.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,329.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,329.94

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 3 | \$188.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 169.6 | \$393.47 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x Diameter | \$18.68 | 48 | \$896.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#10 - Inline WCS, Subsurface Drainage Control, >10 in. dia. Pipe

## Scenario Description:

A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) outlets through a control structure which is operated with stoplogs. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil. This retention time allows nutrients to be reduced by bacteria such that the nutrients do not leave with the water. A single stoplog structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures which can be counted as structures also for a separate payment. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management

## Before Situation:

The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams, often laden with sediment and nutrients.
After Situation:
The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams only when the soil profile needs to be dry. The retention time in the soil profile removes nutrients. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10-20 acres. A single structure with stoplogs may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures, which may be paid for as separate structures also.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,266.39

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,266.39
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 3 | \$188.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 380 | \$881.60 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height $x$ <br> Diameter | \$18.68 | 72 | \$1,344.96 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#11-Inline WCS, Subsurface Drainage Control, float activated head pressure valve

## Scenario Description:

A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) outlets through an inline water level control structure which is used in conjunction with 3 float activated head pressure valves. Each float activated head pressure valve increases the zone of influence by 1'. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil. This retention time allows nutrients to be reduced by bacteria such that the nutrients do not leave with the water. Multiple buried float-activated structures can be used to extend the influence of a single inline water control structure. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management

Before Situation:
The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams, often laden with sediment and nutrients.
After Situation:
The discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams only when the soil profile needs to be dry. The retention time in the soil profile removes nutrients. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10-20 acres.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 3.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 3,919.40$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 9 | \$566.19 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 9 | \$413.73 |

## Materials

Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight

Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the Pound only.

| Water Level Control Valve, Inline | 2189 | Automatic float valve for drainage pipes up to 8 inch dia. To maintain <br> head differential in flowing agricultural drains. | Each | $\$ 607.24$ | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Mobilization

1138
Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds.

Each $\$ 288.90$ 1 \$288.90

| Practice: 587-Structure for Water Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#12-Straight Pipe, Surface Water Control, <=10 in. dia. Pipe (w/o adjustable control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used as an outlet for Wetland; no drop box; straight through 10' diameter PVC pipe; pipe is backfilled with \#57 stone to 1 ' over the top of the pipe; 12 ' thick layer of Type D riprap is placed at the outlet end with 6 ' thick of \#57 stone under it. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The landowner wishes to establish a wetland area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A straight pipe (principal spillway) is installed through an earth embankment to create a wetland. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Feet of pipe installed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 30.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,113.79 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 70.46 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 5 | \$314.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 5 | \$201.35 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 5 | \$199.45 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 199.2 | \$462.14 |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material only | 2131 | Graded Rock Riprap for 12 ' to 24' size ranges. Includes material costs only. Delivery or placement not included. | Ton | \$33.15 | 2 | \$66.30 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |


| Practice: 587-Structure for Water Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#13-Straight Pipe, Surface Water Control, >=12 in. dia. Pipe (w/o adjustable control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used as an outlet for Wetland; no drop box; straight through 12' diameter PVC pipe; pipe is backfilled with \#57 stone to 1' over the top of the pipe; 12 ' thick layer of Type D riprap is placed at the outlet end with 6 ' thick of $\# 57$ stone under it. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The landowner wishes to establish a wetland area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A straight pipe (principal spillway) is installed through an earth embankment to create a wetland. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Feet of pipe installed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 30.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,512.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$83.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 5 | \$314.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 5 | \$201.35 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 6 | \$239.34 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 354 | \$821.28 |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material only | 2131 | Graded Rock Riprap for 12 ' to 24' size ranges. Includes material costs only. Delivery or placement not included. | Ton | \$33.15 | 2 | \$66.30 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control

## Scenario: \#54 - Automated DWM Control Structure

## Scenario Description:

A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) that outlets through a control structure which is operated with an automated slide gate. This structure configuration facilitates meeting the conservation practice standard 554 - Drainage Water Management by managing the subsurface water table year-round. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil for crop health or field operations. This retention time reduces the volume of water discharged and thereby the quantity of nutrients lost. A single automated structure may have its influence extended by buried floatactivated structures to provide a greater area of control. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 Drainage Water Management

## Before Situation:

Uncontrolled discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams, often laden with sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

The water surface profile in the subsurface drainage system is managed in a manner which retains moisture in the soil for plant update and to allow for enhanced nutrient utilization. The use of automated control structures allow water levels to be monitored and adjusted remotely to allow for more active management in accordance with the drainage systems Drainage Water Management plan. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10 to 20 acres. A single structure with an automated slide gate may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,384.18$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8,384.18

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all <br> Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any <br> Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The <br> completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, <br> service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height $x$ Diameter | \$18.68 | 60 | \$1,120.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valve, Inline, < 12 inch dia. | 2367 | Inline valve less than 12 inch diameter to control direction and volume of flow within a pipeline system. Materials only. | Each | \$468.77 | 1 | \$468.77 |
| Battery Bank, Hydroelectric | 2593 | Device used to provide a way to store surplus energy when more is being produced than consumed. When demand increases beyond what is generated, the batteries can be called on to release energy to keep household loads operating. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$672.44 | 1 | \$672.44 |
| Light Duty Linear Actuator | 2724 | 12VDC aluminum light duty linear actuator with 12??? stroke and potentiometer. 110 lb dynamic load rating with $20: 1$ gear ratio, 500 lb static load rating. | Each | \$152.19 | 1 | \$152.19 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#329-Flow Meter with Mechanical Index

## Scenario Description:

Permanently installed water flow meter with mechanical, cumulative volume and rate index. Meters can be any flow measurement device that meets CPS 433, (i.e. meters: turbine, propeller, acoustic, magnetic, venturi, orifice, etc.) with or without straightening vanes. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilitiesAssociated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449-Irrigation Water Management, 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface, 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, 634-Waste Transfer, and 590-Nutrient Management.

## Before Situation:

Producer estimates seasonal and individual irrigation application flow rate and volumes based on energy costs, system operating pressure, or other means.
After Situation:
Producer is able to access instantaneous rate and cumulative flow volume data at the meter location. The information gained will enable the irrigator to improve irrigation water management, recognize system performance issues before they become critical, and reduce energy use.

Feature Measure: Nominal Diameter of Meter

Scenario Unit: Inch
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,088.22$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$208.82

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Meter, with mechanical Index | 1450 | 10 inch, Turbine Type Flow Meter with Mechanical Index, permanently installed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,742.08 | 1 | \$1,742.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#335-Flow Meter with Electronic Index \& Telemetry
Scenario Description:
Permanently installed water flow meter with an electronic flow rate and volume index and data telemetry transmission system. Meters can be any flow measurement device that meets CPS 433, (i.e. meters: turbine, propeller, acoustic, magnetic, venturi, orifice, etc.) with or without straightening vanes. Meter nominal diameter for insert type turbine meters will be installation pipe size. Typical installation would include installation of a 10 inch magnetic flow meter, with electronic index output and telemetry data transfer system for monitoring irrigation system flow rate.Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilitiesAssociated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449-Irrigation Water Management, 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface, 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, 634-Waste Transfer, and 590-Nutrient Management.

Before Situation:
Producer estimates seasonal and individual irrigation application flow rate and volumes based on energy costs, system operating pressure, or other means.
After Situation:
Producer is able to access instantaneous rate and cumulative flow volume data from a personal computer or cell phone at any time. The information gained will enable the irrigator to improve irrigation water management, recognize system performance issues before they become critical, and reduce energy use.

Feature Measure: Nominal Diameter of Meter

Scenario Unit: Inch

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,554.37
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$555.44

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Meter, with electronic Index and telemetry | 1451 | 10 inch Magnetic Irrigation Flow Meter, with electronic index and equipped for telemetry, permanently installed. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$5,208.23 | 1 | \$5,208.23 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#341-Automated DWM Control Structure, 12 to 18 inch diameter pipe

## Scenario Description:

A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) that outlets through a control structure which is operated with an automated slide gate and accommodates 12 to 18 inch diameter pipe sizes. This structure configuration facilitates meeting the conservation practice standard 554 Drainage Water Management by managing the subsurface water table year-round. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil for crop health or field operations. This retention time reduces the volume of water discharged and thereby the quantity of nutrients lost. A single automated structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures to provide a greater area of control. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management

Before Situation:
Uncontrolled discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams, often laden with sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

The water surface profile in the subsurface drainage system is managed in a manner which retains moisture in the soil for plant update and to allow for enhanced nutrient utilization. The use of automated control structures allow water levels to be monitored and adjusted remotely to allow for more active management in accordance with the drainage systems Drainage Water Management plan. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10 to 20 acres. A single structure with an automated slide gate may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,036.39
Scenario Cost/Unit:
\$11,036.39
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

Switches and Controls,
1193 Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless Each \$623.08 1 telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems
Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced

Data Logger with Telemetry System

Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion
Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion

Valve, Inline, => 12 inch dia.

Light Duty Linear Actuator

1323 Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only.
1454 Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only.
2145 Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only.
2146 Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only.
2368 Inline valve greater than or equal to 12 inch diameter to control direction and volume of flow within a pipeline system. Materials only.

12VDC aluminum light duty linear actuator with 12??? stroke and potentiometer. 110 lb dynamic load rating with 20:1 gear ratio, 500 lb static load rating.

## Mobilization

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control

## Scenario: \#357-Automation Retrofit to Manual Drainage Water Management Control Structure

## Scenario Description:

A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) that outlets through a control structure which has been retrofitted to operate with an automated slide gate. This structure configuration facilitates meeting the conservation practice standard 554 - Drainage Water Management by managing the subsurface water table year-round. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil for crop health or field operations. This retention time reduces the volume of water discharged and thereby the quantity of nutrients lost. A single retrofitted automated retrofit structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures to provide a greater area of control. Resource Concerns: Field Sediment, Nutrient and Pathogen Loss. Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management.

Before Situation:
Control structure with manually operated slide gate.

## After Situation:

The water surface profile in the subsurface drainage system is managed in a manner which retains moisture in the soil for plant update and to allow for enhanced nutrient utilization. The use of retrofitted control structures for automated slide gate operation allow water levels to be monitored and adjusted remotely to allow for more active management in accordance with the drainage systems Drainage Water Management plan. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10 to 20 acres. A single structure with a retrofitted automated slide gate may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,308.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,308.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.25 | \$640.91 |
| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 1 | \$623.08 |
| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 1 | \$1,663.47 |
| Battery Bank, Hydroelectric | 2593 | Device used to provide a way to store surplus energy when more is being produced than consumed. When demand increases beyond what is generated, the batteries can be called on to release energy to keep household loads operating. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$672.44 | 1 | \$672.44 |
| Light Duty Linear Actuator | 2724 | 12VDC aluminum light duty linear actuator with 12??? stroke and potentiometer. 110 lb dynamic load rating with $20: 1$ gear ratio, 500 lb static load rating. | Each | \$152.19 | 1 | \$152.19 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#378-Inlet Flashboard Riser, Metal
Scenario Description:
A Flashboard Riser fabricated of metal and used in a water management system that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concerns: Inadequate Water - Inefficient use of Irrigation Water and Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stoplogs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at the inlet (Half-Rounds). They are often fabricated from half pipes (i.e. half-rounds) or sheet steel in a box shape. Payment rate is based upon the Flashboard Weir Length in inches multiplied by the outlet length in feet (Inch-Foot). Cost estimate is based on a 'Half-Round' flashboard riser shop fabricated using a longitudinal cut 36' smooth steel pipe, a 50 ' long - 30 ' outlet pipe passing through an embankment.

Before Situation:
The operator presently flood irrigates his field and has no means to accurately maintain a constant water level at varying elevations resulting in a lack of flexibility, and inefficient use of water and energy during pumping. The operator also desires to maintain a permanent pool for water fowl during the winter.

## After Situation:

The operator has the capability to more efficiently control and maintain a range of water surface elevations thereby reducing the flow rate needed. Less water is wasted and both water and energy is conserved. The operator is now able to maintain adequate water during the winter as a benefit to waterfowl. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Irrigation Water Management (449), Irrigation Land Leveling (464), Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320), Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery (447), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Flashboard Weir Length (in) x barre
Scenario Unit: Diameter Inch Foot

Scenario Typical Size: 1,800.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,149.43
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 190 | \$729.60 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 10 | \$59.90 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. $x 2$ 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, $21 / 2$ inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch $\times 1 / 4$ inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 24 | \$100.08 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 4 | \$89.64 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 32 | \$107.84 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 6788.6 | \$6,517.06 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control

## Scenario: \#379-Inline Flashboard Riser, Metal

Scenario Description:
A Flashboard Riser fabricated of metal and used in a water management system that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concerns: Inadequate Water - Inefficient use of Irrigation Water and Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stoplogs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at the embankment. They are often fabricated from vertical pipes with the stoplogs are located in the middle (i.e. Full-Rounds) or sheet steel in a box shape. Payment rate is based upon the Flashboard Weir Length in inches multiplied by the outlet length in feet (Inch-Foot). Cost estimate is based on a 'Half-Round' flashboard riser shop fabricated using a longitudinal cut $36^{\prime}$ smooth steel pipe, a $50^{\prime}$ long - 30 ' outlet pipe passing through an embankment.

Before Situation:
The operator presently flood irrigates his field and has no means to accurately maintain a constant water level at varying elevations resulting in a lack of flexibility, and inefficient use of water and energy during pumping. The operator also desires to maintain a permanent pool for water fowl during the winter.

## After Situation:

The operator has the capability to more efficiently control and maintain a range of water surface elevations thereby reducing the flow rate needed. Less water is wasted and both water and energy is conserved. The operator is now able to maintain adequate water during the winter as a benefit to waterfowl. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Irrigation Water Management (449), Irrigation Land Leveling (464), Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320), Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery (447), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Flashboard Weir Length (in) x Barre
Scenario Unit: Diameter Inch Foot

Scenario Typical Size: 1,800.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,428.02
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 190 | \$729.60 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 15 | \$89.85 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 4 | \$384.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 7 | \$280.98 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel, Angle, 2 1/2 in. $x 2$ 1/2 in. $x$ 1/4 in. | 1372 | Materials: Angle, $21 / 2$ inch $\times 2$ 1/2 inch $\times 1 / 4$ inch. Meets ASTM A36 | Feet | \$4.17 | 24 | \$100.08 |
| Steel, Plate, 3/8 in. | 1375 | Flat steel plate, $3 / 8$ inch thickness. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$22.41 | 10 | \$224.10 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, treated | 1609 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$3.37 | 4 | \$13.48 |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 6518.6 | \$6,257.86 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#380-Commercial Inline Flashboard Riser

## Scenario Description:

An Inline Water Control Structure (WCS) composed of plastic that maintains a desired water surface elevation, controls the direction or rate of flow, or conveys water to address the resource concern: Inadequate habitat for Fish and Wildlife. The water surface elevation is controlled by addition or removal of slats or 'stoplogs'. This scenario is applicable to variable crest weir structures where the elevation is controlled at point along a pipe extending through an embankment, providing ease of access to the structure and provide better protection against beaver activity. There are commercially available models composed of plastic that are commonly used when the width of the is 24 ' or less. Payment rate is based upon the Flashboard Weir Length in inches multiplied by the outlet length in feet (Inch-Foot). Cost estimate is based on a using a such a commercial product. The typical scenario is an inline structure with a width of $20^{\prime}$, height of six feet, The pipe is 50 ' of $15^{\prime}$ SCH 40 PVC (inlet and outlet combined).

## Before Situation:

The landowner wishes to provide for a way to control the water surface elevation in a wetland area. The landowner wishes to enhance and enlarge the area to provide habitat for fish and wildlife.

## After Situation:

A WCS is installed in a flow line allowing shallow water impoundments. A wetland area is enhanced and water levels can be varied to better accommodate wildlife needs. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644), Dike (356), and Grade Stabilization Structure (410) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Flashboard Weir Length (in) x Barre
Scenario Unit: Diameter Inch Foot

Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,327.60
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 190 | \$729.60 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 15 | \$89.85 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 2 | \$192.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1287 | \$2,985.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#381-Slide Gate

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is the installation of a permanent slide gate structure to control the conveyance of water. The typical size is a 4' diameter opening. The slide gate may be installed on an open channel or pipeline. The slide gate is made of steel and has a hand operated mechanical lifting system, i.e. screw. This scenario assists in addressing the resource concerns: water management. Conservation practices that may be associated are: 533-Pumping Plant.

## Before Situation:

A channel or pipeline is in need of a head gate to control the flow of water.

## After Situation:

A 4' slide gate is installed and operated by hand is installed.
Feature Measure: diameter
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,499.23
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,374.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 6 | \$377.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Screw gate, cast iron, 4 ft . diameter, 10/0 head | 1746 | 4 ft . diameter cast iron screw (canal) gate rated at 10 seating head 0 feet unseating head. Includes materials only. | Each | \$7,327.99 | 1 | \$7,327.99 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#382 - Flap Gate

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is the installation of a permanent flap (tide) gate structure to control the direction of flow resulting from tides or high water or back-flow from flooding. The typical size is a 4' diameter opening. The gate may be installed on an open channel or pipeline. It is made of steel and operates automatically. This scenario assists in addressing the resource concerns: water management. Conservation practices that may be associated are:

Before Situation:
A wetland or other area is in need of a flap gate to control the direction of the water.
After Situation:
A flap gate 4 ' wide is installed.
Feature Measure: Feet Diameter (of Gate)
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,125.91
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,531.48
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 6 | \$377.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 6 | \$241.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flap Gate, cast iron, 4 ft . diameter | 1745 | 4 ft . diameter cast iron flap gate. Materials only. | Each | \$7,954.67 | 1 | \$7,954.67 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587-Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#383-Flap Gate w/ Concrete Wall

## Scenario Description:

Install a concrete cut off wall with tide gate at the outlet of a channel. A typical scenario would be installed in a 25 foot channel, 6 foot deep, with $2: 1$ side slopes. A concrete wall will extend 10 feet on each side, and include a 4' flap gate structure to control flooding. Work includes site preparation, forming and pouring concrete, backfilling and acquiring and installing the tide gate.

Before Situation:
Tides or flooding inundate and affect water quality of wetlands or other managed systems.
After Situation:
Tide or flood inundation is controlled. Associated practices could be Aquaculture Ponds (397), Aquatic Organism Passage (396), Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control (400), Constructed Wetland (656), Drainage Water Management (554), Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320), Irrigation Field Ditch (388), Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (443), Irrigation Water Management (449), Salinity and Sodic Soil Management (610), Subsurface Drain (606), Surface Drain, Field Ditch (607), Surface Drain, Main or Lateral (608), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Enhancement (659), Wetland Restoration (657), and Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644).

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Concrete

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 14,772.63$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 10 | \$5,357.00 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 200 | \$484.00 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 200 | \$768.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 4 | \$151.68 |
| Flap Gate, cast iron, 4 ft . diameter | 1745 | 4 ft . diameter cast iron flap gate. Materials only. | Each | \$7,954.67 | 1 | \$7,954.67 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#384-Rock Checks for Water Surface Profile
Scenario Description:
Typical setting is in a stream that has become incised and is therefore disconnected from the floodplain. Typical installation consists of installing a 'Vee' shaped rock structures with points facing upstream for the purpose of raising the water surface profile. Cost estimate is for three check dams with a top width of 3 ', max height of $6^{\prime}$, min height of $3^{\prime}$, and 28 ' length; containing an average of 58 cubic yards or 29 tons of rock for a total of 87 tons. The check dams are underlain with geotextile fabric. Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as water quality degradation and soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion.

Before Situation:
The stream presently is incised with near vertical banks caused by bank toe erosion and sloughing. This condition has caused the floodplains to be disconnected from the stream, with only floods well above normal high-water escaping the high banks of the stream.

## After Situation:

Banks are stabilized, and pools are created raising the Water Surface Profile elevation and effectively reducing the slope. Riffle pool scheme is restored and banks are protected. Water quality is protected downstream due to erosion protection, and wetland features are restored in the floodplain. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), and Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Tons of rock installed
Scenario Unit: Ton
Scenario Typical Size: 87.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,845.56$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$101.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 84 | \$126.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 57 | \$7,612.92 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#385-In-Stream Structure for Water Surface Profile
Scenario Description:
Typical setting is in a stream that has become incised and is therefore disconnected from the floodplain. Typical installation consists of installing a 'Vee' shaped concrete structure which points facing upstream for the purpose of raising the water surface profile. Cost estimate is for one cross vane with a effective length (Streambed width) of 36 ', and total length of 65 ', effective height of $3^{\prime}$, max height of 6 ', and a $3^{\prime}$ by $1.5^{\prime}$ footer; containing 19 cubic yards of Concrete. Disturbed areas are protected with permanent vegetative cover. Addresses resource concerns such as water quality degradation and soil erosion-concentrated flow erosion.

Before Situation:
The stream presently is incised with near vertical banks caused by bank toe erosion and sloughing. This condition has caused the floodplains to be disconnected from the stream, with only floods well above normal high-water escaping the high banks of the stream.

After Situation:
Banks are stabilized, and pools are created raising the water surface elevation and effectively reducing the slope. Riffle pool scheme is restored and banks are protected. Water quality is protected downstream due to erosion protection, and wetland features are restored in the floodplain. Any needed re-vegetation of disturbed areas use Critical Area Planting (342). Other associated practices such as; Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580) Channel Bed Stabilization (584), Stream Habitat Improvement and Management (395), and Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644) will use the corresponding Standard(s) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Streambed Width

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 36.00

| \$12,135.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$337.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 19 | \$10,178.30 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 18 | \$43.56 |
| Water management, Flooding \& dewatering | 969 | Includes equipment and power unit. Labor not included. | Acre Feet | \$254.69 | 1 | \$254.69 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 7 | \$321.79 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#386-CMP Turnout
Scenario Description:
A corrugated metal pipe (CMP) equipped with a slide gate diverts water from a ditch or canal into a field or field ditch. This scenario is for a 15 inch diameter gate and pipe that will transmit approximately 4 cfs of flow.

Before Situation:
A ditch or canal exists, but a means to move water from the ditch into a smaller ditch or field does not exist. A water supply of sufficient quantity and quality is available for irrigation.

After Situation:
Water is diverted from a canal or ditch to meet irrigation requirements. A 15 inch diameter CMP is installed through the canal containment dike,. A 15 inch diameter slide gate is attached to the upstream end of the pipe. The top of the pipe inlet is below canal water surface elevation.

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,483.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,4 | 3.46 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, CMP, 18-16 gauge, weight priced | 1322 | 18 and 16 gauge galvanized helical corrugated metal pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.03 | 94 | \$190.82 |
| Slide gate, steel, 1 ft . diameter, low head | 1830 | 1 ft . diameter steel slide gate for low head installations | Each | \$201.00 | 1 | \$201.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587-Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#387-Concrete Turnout Structure - Small

## Scenario Description:

A reinforced concrete turnout structure equipped with slide boards or panels diverts irrigation water from a ditch or canal into a field or field ditch. This scenario is for a four ft tall, two foot wide, and five foot long turnout structure.

Before Situation:
A ditch or canal exists, but a means to move water from the ditch into a smaller ditch or field does not exist. A water supply of sufficient quantity and quality is available for irrigation.

After Situation:
Water is diverted from a canal or ditch to meet irrigation requirements. A two foot wide and four foot tall turnout structure equipped with slots for slide boards and panels conducts water through the canal berm into a field. The concrete structure is five feet long and has an end sill. All footings, floors. and walls have a minimum thickness of six inches. The structure delivers water to field elevation or ditch bottom elevation. The top of the pipe inlet is below canal water surface elevation.

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,924.99
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,924.99
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 2 | \$1,071.40 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 1 | \$62.91 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#388-Concrete Turnout Structure

## Scenario Description:

A reinforced concrete turnout structure equipped with a 48 inch screw gate diverts irrigation water from a canal into a field or field ditch. This scenario is for a six ft tall, eight foot wide, and ten foot long turnout structure. A sloping trash rack fabricated from rebar is installed on the inlet. If needed fish screens may be installed at the inlet..

## Before Situation:

A delivery canal exists, but a means to move water from the canal into a smaller ditch or field does not exist. A water supply of sufficient quantity and quality is available for irrigation.

After Situation:
Water is diverted from a canal to meet irrigation requirements. A eight foot wide and six foot tall turnout structure equipped with a 48 inch slide gate conducts water through the canal berm. The concrete structure is ten feet long and has an end sill. All footings, floors. and walls have a minimum thickness of six inches. The structure delivers water to field or ditch bottom elevation.

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,779.83$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,779.83
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 5 | \$2,678.50 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Screw gate, cast iron, 4 ft . diameter, 10/0 head | 1746 | 4 ft . diameter cast iron screw (canal) gate rated at 10 seating head 0 feet unseating head. Includes materials only. | Each | \$7,327.99 | 1 | \$7,327.99 |
| Welded Bar Grate, metal | 1980 | Heavy duty vertical bar welded grating, typically 1-1/4 $\times 3 / 16 \mathrm{in}$. bars on 1 in . spacing with cross rod on 4 in . spacing. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$28.10 | 48 | \$1,348.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#390-Flow Meter with Electronic Index
Scenario Description:
Permanently installed water flow meter with an electronic index. Meters can be any flow measurement device that meets CPS 433, (i.e., meters: turbine, propeller, acoustic, magnetic, venturi, orifice, etc.) with or without straightening vanes or data logging capability. Meter nominal diameter for insert type turbine meters will be installation pipe size. Typical installation would include installation of a 10 inch turbine flow meter, with electronic index output. Resource Concerns: Insufficient Water - Inefficient use of irrigation water, and Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable plantproductivity and health, and Inefficient Energy Use - Equipment and facilitiesAssociated Practices: 533-Pumping Plant, 449-Irrigation Water Management, 441-Irrigation System, Microirrigation, 443-Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface, 442-Irrigation System, Sprinkler, 328-Conservation Crop Rotation, 634-Waster Transfer, and 590-Nutrient Management.

Before Situation:
Producer estimates seasonal and individual irrigation application flow rate and volumes based on energy costs, system operating pressure, or other means.
After Situation:
Producer is able to access instantaneous rate and cumulative flow volume data at the meter location. The information gained will enable the irrigator to improve irrigation water management, recognize system performance issues before they become critical, and reduce energy use.

Feature Measure: Nominal Diameter of Meter
Scenario Unit: Inch
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,974.78$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$397.48

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Meter, with Electronic Index | 1452 | 10 inch Turbine Irrigation flow meter, with Electronic Index, Rate and Volume, permanently installed. Materials only. | Each | \$3,628.64 | 1 | \$3,628.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |

Practice: 587 - Structure for Water Control
Scenario: \#419-Automated DWM Control Structure, 6 to 10 inch diameter pipe
Scenario Description:
A subsurface drainage system on a field with a fairly flat slope (less than $2 \%$ and preferably less than $1 \%$ ) that outlets through a control structure which is operated with an automated slide gate and accommodates 6 to 10 inch diameter pipe sizes. This structure configuration facilitates meeting the conservation practice standard 554 Drainage Water Management by managing the subsurface water table year-round. This allows the operator to keep the water in the soil profile when it is not critical to dry the soil for crop health or field operations. This retention time reduces the volume of water discharged and thereby the quantity of nutrients lost. A single automated structure may have its influence extended by buried float-activated structures to provide a greater area of control. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management

Before Situation:
Uncontrolled discharge from a subsurface drainage system enters ditches or streams, often laden with sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

The water surface profile in the subsurface drainage system is managed in a manner which retains moisture in the soil for plant update and to allow for enhanced nutrient utilization. The use of automated control structures allow water levels to be monitored and adjusted remotely to allow for more active management in accordance with the drainage systems Drainage Water Management plan. Typical affected area for a single structure is 10 to 20 acres. A single structure with an automated slide gate may have its influence extended by use of buried float-activated control structures.

Feature Measure: Number of Structures
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,211.57$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,211.57

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

Switches and Controls,
1193 Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless Each \$623.08 telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems
Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced

Data Logger with Telemetry System

Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion
Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion

Valve, Inline, < 12 inch dia.

Light Duty Linear Actuator

1323 Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only.
1454 Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only.
2145 Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only.
2146 Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only.
2367 Inline valve less than 12 inch diameter to control direction and volume of flow within a pipeline system. Materials only.

2724
12VDC aluminum light duty linear actuator with 12??? stroke and $\quad$ Each $\quad \$ 152.19 \quad 152.19$ potentiometer. 110 lb dynamic load rating with 20:1 gear ratio, 500 lb static load rating.

## Mobilization

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#8-Adaptive NM

## Scenario Description:

The practice scenario is for the implementation of nutrient management on a small plot, as detailed in outlined in Agronomy Technical Note 7 - Adaptive Nutrient Management. Scenario includes implementing replicated strip trials on a field plot to evaluate, identify and implement various nutrient use efficiency improvement methods for timing, rate, method of application, or source of nutrients.

## Before Situation:

The practice will be installed on cropland (small grain rotation or typical corn-soybean rotation) to address water quality degradation, air quality degradation and energy concerns. The scenario applies to non-organic and organic operations.

## After Situation:

Installation of this scenario will result in adopting the four R's of nutrient management following the procedures outlined in Agronomy Technical Note 7 - Adaptive Nutrient Management. Implementation involves establishing the replicated plots to evaluate one or more of the 4 R's. The plot will consist of at least 4 replicated plots designed, laid out, managed and evaluated with the assistance of a consultant or extension professional knowledgeable in nutrient management and experimental design and data collection. Results are used to make nutrient application decisions to address water quality degradation issues and nutrient use efficiencies. Yields will be measured and statistically analyzed and summarized following the procedures in Agronomy Technical Note 7. The yields for each plot will be adjusted to the appropriate moisture content.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,050.56$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,050.56

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | \$1.77 | 1 | \$1.77 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 25 | \$716.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 15 | \$1,782.45 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 2 | \$28.14 |
| Test, Plant Tissue Test | 301 | Tissue analysis for crops. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$25.27 | 14 | \$353.78 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 14 | \$168.42 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management

## Scenario: \#21-NM GRID/ZONE Soil Sampling, Variable Rate - Deep Placement

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes a conventional cropping system where either no nutrient management or only a basic level of nutrient management is being practiced. The planned nutrient management system includes activities such as split applications, multiple nutrient concentration tests (other than only soil tests) and methods that more concisely enable scheduling of appropriate fertilizer applications. Nutrients are transported to surface waters through runoff or wind erosion in quantities that degrade water quality and limit use of intended purposes. This scenario is applicable on non-organic and organic land for all nutrient sources. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

## Before Situation:

Conventional fertility programs involve very little or no soil testing. Application of fertilizers and amendments, are completed annually based upon tradition that does not adequately consider the detrimental affects of improper timing, placement, or rates of nutrients, or excess nutrient build-up near the soil surface. Fields are overwintered with little or no erosion protection often resulting in sheet, rill, and ephemeral gully erosion by spring. Runoff flows into adjacent streams, water courses, tile drains, field surface drains, or other water courses causing degradation to receiving waters or leaching of nutrients contaminates shallow ground water. There is typically no environmental evaluation of the potential for off-site movement. Soil quality may also be detrimentally affected. The current system is also typically inefficient energy user due to traditional methods, forms, and amounts of nutrient applications.

## After Situation:

The development and implementation of a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) that meets and exceeds the NRCS 590 standard will benefit plant productivity and reduce off-site movement of nutrients. The NMP will stress the use of the four R's (Right Source of Nutrients, Right Time of Application, Right Rate, and Right Method of Application). These include practices such as use of split applications, slow release nutrients, proper timing of application, more appropriate formulations, etc. Nutrients must be placed 4-8 inches below the soil surface using a GPS guided VRT Strip Tillage type applicator. Account for the increased erosion potential due to the tillage during application. A nutrient budget is developed for each field or section of field annually. Further minimization of risk is accomplished by identifying the variability across the field(s) by using soil survey maps or other simple techniques to establish zones, along with zonal soil testing. The use of pre-plant soil tests will assist with the development of the annual nutrient budget in accordance with Land Grant University fertilizer guides. Soil testing is completed according to LGU recommendations. Analysis are completed at least once every three years for N-P-K, and for $N$ annually. A nutrient budget is developed for each field or management zone annually. Use of a postharvest soil test (interpreted by a crop consultant) will help establish the adequacy of the plan in meeting crop needs while minimizing $P$ application rate and residual $N$, thus reducing the potential for off-site impacts. Record keeping will document application of nutrients based on the 4 R's. ??? Nutrients are applied at rates based on soil test zone analyses. Records will be provided annually of the current soil test, analysis, application rates, forms and rates of nutrients for each field, including crop yields.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$3,316.68

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$82.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 40 | \$853.20 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | \$1.77 | 40 | \$70.80 |
| Chlorophyll Reader | 1125 | Applicator and chlorophyll sensor includes labor. No materials | Acres | \$12.16 | 40 | \$486.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 60 | \$844.20 |
| Test, Plant Tissue Test | 301 | Tissue analysis for crops. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$25.27 | 1 | \$25.27 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 1 | \$12.03 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#286-Small Scale Basic Nutrient Management

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to small farms with diversified cropping systems which will improve the current level of management in applying nutrients. Improved level of management will be such to prevent nonpoint source pollution of surface and ground waters. Typical size is less than 1.0 acre. This scenario includes hand-labor as well as equipment.

## Before Situation:

Little to no soil or manure testing is being conducted and typically lacks a nutrient budget. Application of fertilizers, including manures and amendments, are conducted based upon traditional fertilizer recommendations from LGU or based on historic use rates. Fields are overwintered with little or no erosion protection often times resulting in sheet, rill, and ephemeral erosion. Nutrients runoff into adjacent streams, tile drains, field surface drains, or other water courses is causing degradation to surface waters or leaching to shallow ground water sources.

## After Situation:

Implementation Requirements have been developed to manage nutrients according to the criteria found in Nutrient Management (590) Conservation Practice Standard for either organic or non-organic operations as appropriate. A nutrient budget has been developed for each field or management zone. Nutrients are applied according to the 4 R???s. (Right rate, Right time, Right place and Right source). Records needed to complete the nutrient budget are provided which may include variety of pre-season, in-season, and post-season soil nutrient and plant tissue tests and analysis; compost or manure tests; application timing, method and rate; nutrient sources; and yield data for each field or management zone. Nutrient runoff into adjacent streams is minimized improving water quality and preventing leaching into shallow ground water sources.

Feature Measure: planted area
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 43.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,579.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$36.73
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 1 | \$7.23 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management

## Scenario: \#316-Precision Nutrient Application

## Scenario Description:

The planned Precision Nutrient Application system will meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS General and Additional Criteria. The Application system will include soil sampling methodology for variable rate application and systems. Use of additional nutrient/soil tests including chlorophyll meters, and/or spectral analysis may be used to further refine nutrient applications. Management of nutrients is based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management (apply the right nutrient source at the right rate, time and place) including activities to reduce nutrient loss by Assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions within the field. Nutrient management intensity must be sufficient to address site-specific risk for nutrient loss. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of Precision Nutrient Application system, equipment to implement the practice, implementation of the NMP and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

Before Situation:
Currently, a nutrient management system for the farm operation accounting for all know measurable nutrient sources does not exist or does not meet the Nutrient Management (590) CPS requirements for General and Additional Criteria. Management of nutrients is not based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management. An environmental evaluation or risk assessment for the nutrient application area has not completed. Nutrients are subject to loss through surface water runoff, green-house gas emissions, drainage tile, soil erosion, or to ground water from leaching in quantities that degrade soil/water quality and limit use of the intended purpose.

## After Situation:

A Precision Nutrient Application system will be developed to meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS General and Additional Criteria with nutrient management intensity sufficient to address site-specific risks for nutrient loss. Development and implementation of the NM system is based on site-specific risk assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions for the application of nutrients for each nutrient loss pathway that can negatively impact soil, water and air quality with excess nutrient loss. The NM system utilizes the 4Rs of nutrient stewardship and SMART Nutrient Management ??? the right Source, right Method, right Rate, and right Timing to meet both plant productivity and natural resource conservation goals. Utilizing GIS and GPS technologies, nutrients are applied based on soil test results for each grid or management zone using automated variable rate application equipment. Records provided annually include, the current soil test reports, planned nutrient application rates for each grid or management zone (prescription maps) and/or as applied maps, source, timing, and placement of all nutrients applied, actual crop yields and/or generated yield maps.

Feature Measure: acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,322.23$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$83.06
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 2 | \$232.78 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 40 | \$348.40 |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | \$1.77 | 40 | \$70.80 |
| Chlorophyll Reader | 1125 | Applicator and chlorophyll sensor includes labor. No materials | Acres | \$12.16 | 40 | \$486.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 15 | \$1,782.45 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management

## Scenario: \#317-Prescription Nutrient Efficiency

## Scenario Description:

The planned Precision Nutrient Efficiency system will meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS general and additional criteria. Precision nutrient efficiency system involves the testing or evaluation of crop and/or soil during the growing season to more accurately determine if additional nutrient application(s) are needed. This includes methods or technologies such as PPSN (pre-plant soil nitrate test), PSNT (pre-side dress nitrogen test), LSNT (late spring soil nitrate test), CSNT (corn stalk nitrate test) and chlorophyll meters, and/or spectral analysis may be used to determine if additional nutrients are needed. Nitrification or urease inhibitors (as well as other Enhanced Efficiency Fertilizer technologies recognized by Land Grant Universities) may also be used. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of additional soil and plant nutrient testing and analysis, in-season crop nutrient testing, equipment, labor, implementation of the NMP and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

Before Situation:
This scenario takes a conventional cropping system where either no nutrient management or only a basic level of nutrient management is being practiced and improves it to address air quality (reduce emissions for N fertilizer) and/or minimize agricultural nonpoint source pollution of surface and groundwater. Application(s) of fertilizers, including manures and amendments, are based upon tradition and does not specifically consider the detrimental affects of improper timing or rates of nutrients, nitrous oxide emissions or excess nutrient build-up in the soil. Runoff transports nutrients and sediment to adjacent streams, water courses, tile drains, field surface drains, or other water courses causing degradation to receiving waters. Leaching transports soluble nutrients to shallow ground water sources. There is typically no environmental evaluation of the potential for off-site nutrient movement.

## After Situation:

A Precision Nutrient Management Efficiency system will be developed to meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS general and additional criteria. When applicable the system will also meet NOP (National Organic Program) regulations, including reducing nitrogen emissions. Implementation of the adopted efficiency system improves the $4 R$ Stewardship installation which will reduce nutrient loss reducing the potential of off-site movement of nutrients. A nutrient budget is developed for each field or management zone annually for the crop rotation or sequence. The Nutrient Management Plan will include current soil test results, nutrient application rates, source, timing, and placement of all nutrients applied, risk assessments and actual crop yields. Record keeping includes documentation of as applied nutrients by field annually plus and State record keeping requirements.

Feature Measure: acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,463.69$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$61.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 40 | \$289.20 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 40 | \$445.20 |
| Test, Plant Tissue Test | 301 | Tissue analysis for crops. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$25.27 | 4 | \$101.08 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management

## Scenario: \#369-Nutrient Management

## Scenario Description:

The scenario describes the development and implementation of a Nutrient Management (NM) system which will meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS General as well as Additional Criteria and utilizes synthetic fertilizer as well as animal manure as nutrient sources for crop production. The system provides crop nutrient recommendations which accounts for the removal of nitrogen ( N ), phosphorus ( P ), and potassium ( K ). Management of nutrients is based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management (apply the right nutrient source at the right rate, time and place) including activities to reduce nutrient loss by Assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions within the field. Nutrient management intensity must be sufficient to address site-specific risk for nutrient loss. Payment is to defray the costs of implementation of the NM system and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

Before Situation:
Currently, a nutrient management system for the farm operation accounting for all know measurable nutrient sources does not exist or does not meet the Nutrient Management (590) CPS requirements for General and Additional Criteria. Management of nutrients is not based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management. An environmental evaluation or risk assessment for the nutrient application area has not completed. Nutrients are subject to loss through surface water runoff, green-house gas emissions, drainage tile, soil erosion, or to ground water from leaching in quantities that degrade soil/water quality and limit use of the intended purpose.

## After Situation:

A Nutrient Management (NM) system is developed and implemented to meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS for General and Additional Criteria, with nutrient management intensity sufficient to address site-specific risks for nutrient loss. Development and implementation of the NM system is based on site-specific risk assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions for the application of nutrients for each nutrient loss pathway that can negatively impact soil, water and air quality with excess nutrient loss. The NM system utilizes the 4Rs of nutrient stewardship and SMART Nutrient Management ??? the right Source, right Method, right Rate, and right Timing to meet both plant productivity and natural resource conservation goals.

Feature Measure: Ac.
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,551.46

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$38.79
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 20 | \$144.60 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 4 | \$522.04 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#370-Nutrient Management - Non-Organic

## Scenario Description:

The scenario describes the development and implementation of a Nutrient Management (NM) system which will meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS General as well as Specific Criteria and utilizes synthetic fertilizers as sole nutrient source for crop production. The system provides crop nutrient recommendations which accounts for the removal of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). Management of nutrients is based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management (apply the right nutrient source at the right rate, time and place) including activities to reduce nutrient loss by Assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions within the field. Nutrient management intensity must be sufficient to address site-specific risk for nutrient loss. Payment is to defray the costs of implementation of the NM system and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

Before Situation:
Currently, a nutrient management system for the farm operation accounting for all know measurable nutrient sources does not exist or does not meet the Nutrient Management (590) CPS requirements for General and Additional Criteria. Management of nutrients is not based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management. An environmental evaluation or risk assessment for the nutrient application area has not completed. Nutrients are subject to loss through surface water runoff, green-house gas emissions, drainage tile, soil erosion, or to ground water from leaching in quantities that degrade soil/water quality and limit use of the intended purpose.

## After Situation:

A Nutrient Management (NM) system is developed and implemented to meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS for General and Additional Criteria, with nutrient management intensity sufficient to address site-specific risks for nutrient loss. Development and implementation of the NM system is based on site-specific risk assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions for the application of nutrients for each nutrient loss pathway that can negatively impact soil, water and air quality with excess nutrient loss. The NM system utilizes the 4Rs of nutrient stewardship and SMART Nutrient Management ??? the right Source, right Method, right Rate, and right Timing to meet both plant productivity and natural resource conservation goals.

Feature Measure: Ac.
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,174.02

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$29.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 40 | \$289.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#371 - Nutrient Management - Manure Incorporation

## Scenario Description:

The scenario describes the development and implementation of a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) which will meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS General as well Additional Criteria and utilizes manure as a nutrient source for crop production. Manure nutrient sources will be incorporated into the soil after application. This scenario is applicable for all manure nutrient sources (manure, compost and other organic sources of nutrients). Management of nutrients is based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management (apply the right nutrient source at the right rate, time and place) including activities to reduce nutrient loss by Assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions within the field. Nutrient management intensity must be sufficient to address site-specific risk for nutrient loss. Payment is to defray the costs of implementation of the NMP and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

Before Situation:
Currently, a nutrient management plan for the farm operation accounting for all know measurable nutrient sources does not exist or does not meet the Nutrient Management (590) CPS requirements for General and Additional Criteria. Management of nutrients is not based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management. An environmental evaluation or risk assessment for the nutrient application area has not completed. Currently, manure applications are not incorporated into the soil. Nutrients are subject to loss through surface water runoff, green-house gas emissions, drainage tile, soil erosion, or to ground water from leaching in quantities that degrade water quality and limit use of the intended purpose. Soil quality may be degraded by excess or inadequate nutrients.

## After Situation:

A Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) is developed to meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS for General and Additional Criteria with nutrient management intensity sufficient to address site-specific risks for nutrient loss. Development and implementation of the NMP is based on site-specific risk assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions for the application of nutrients for each nutrient loss pathway that can negatively impact soil, water and air quality with excess nutrient loss. Manure applications are incorporated into the soil. The NMP utilizes the 4Rs of nutrient stewardship and SMART Nutrient Management ??? the right Source, right Method, right Rate, and right Timing to meet both plant productivity and natural resource conservation goals.

Feature Measure: Ac.
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,260.06
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$56.50

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 40 | \$853.20 |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 4 | \$522.04 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Practice: 590-Nutrient Management
Scenario: \#372 - Nutrient Management - Manure Injection

## Scenario Description:

The scenario describes the development and implementation of a Nutrient Management (NM) system which will meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS General as well as Additional Criteria and utilizes liquid manure as a nutrient source. Manure nutrients will be injected or placed subsurface. The system provides crop nutrient recommendations which accounts for the removal of nitrogen ( N ), phosphorus ( P ), and potassium ( K ). Management of nutrients is based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management (apply the right nutrient source at the right rate, time and place) including activities to reduce nutrient loss by Assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions within the field. Nutrient management intensity must be sufficient to address site-specific risk for nutrient loss. Payment is to defray the costs of implementation of the NM system, injection of manure nutrients and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

Before Situation:
Currently, a nutrient management system for the farm operation accounting for all know measurable nutrient sources does not exist or does not meet the Nutrient Management (590) CPS requirements for General and Additional Criteria. Liquid manure is currently surface applied. Management of nutrients is not based on the 4Rs of Nutrient Stewardship \& SMART Nutrient Management. An environmental evaluation or risk assessment for the nutrient application area has not completed. Nutrients are subject to loss through surface water runoff, green-house gas emissions, drainage tile, soil erosion, or to ground water from leaching in quantities that degrade soil/water quality and limit use of the intended purpose.

## After Situation:

A Nutrient Management (NM) system is developed and implemented to meet the current Nutrient Management (590) CPS for General and Additional Criteria, with nutrient management intensity sufficient to address site-specific risks for nutrient loss. Liquid manure applications are injected below the soil surface at appropriate depth according to the nutrient management system using manure injection equipment to reduce nitrogen loss through surface runoff, leaching and ammonia volatilization. Development and implementation of the NM system is based on site-specific risk assessment of comprehensive, site-specific conditions for the application of nutrients for each nutrient loss pathway that can negatively impact soil, water and air quality with excess nutrient loss. The NM system utilizes the 4Rs of nutrient stewardship and SMART Nutrient Management ??? the right Source, right Method, right Rate, and right Timing to meet both plant productivity and natural resource conservation goals.

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,884.82$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$222.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure, compost, injection | 956 | Loading, hauling and injecting manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Gallons | \$0.02 | 400000 | \$8,000.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Practice: 591 - Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste

## Scenario: \#2 - Litter Amendments for Water Quality With Partially Treated Brood Chamber

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the application of a litter treatment amendment that is approved by NRCS to the entire poultry house to reduce ammonia emissions and water-soluble phosphorous in the poultry litter. An entire poultry house is treated year round for air and water quality impacts. In the winter, the producer or integrator treats the brood chamber between flocks with litter amendments solely for bird health and production. The amount being applied by the producer or integrator in winter months does not meet the air and water quality resource concerns. Additional litter amendments are added in winter for Air Quality benefits not being applied by the integrator. Litter amendments are applied spring through fall for entire flocks. NRCS is not responsible for the litter amendments already being applied by the integrator for the purposes of production and bird health. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrients and pathogens and air quality impacts due to particulate matter and associated precursors, and objectionable odors.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590).

## Before Situation

No litter amendments are being applied during the spring through fall months. An amendment is being applied at a lower application rate during the winter months, typically half the house and only two flocks. Partial winter application is solely for production purposes and the lower application rate is not enough to address resource concerns from existing nutrient levels which may contribute to water quality degradation from nutrient runoff and leaching from fields fertilized with poultry litter and cause adverse air quality impacts such as objectionable odors and ammonia emissions.. The operation raises 4 flocks per year and the integrator partially treats 2 flocks in the winter months. Approximately $18.7 \%$ of the needed litter amendments are being applied and only during the winter months.

## After Situation:

An NRCS approved amendment is applied between each flock. All flocks are optimally treated with litter amendments year-round. A typical broiler operation with 4 flocks in a 42 ??? $\times 500$ ??? house ( 21,000 square feet) is treated to reduce the impacts on air and water quality. Typically 100 pounds of litter amendments per 1000 square feet are applied 4 times annually. The total amendment applied is adjusted by $81.3 \%$ to account for the portion of the brood chamber that is receiving partial application during the winter months. The amendment is proven to reduce ammonia emissions and soluble phosphorus in the litter. The selected amendment is applied in conformance with the manufacturer???s recommendations and the rates required. The resulting litter contains higher levels of nutrients and nutrient management plans must account for this. Nutrient level testing of the litter and nutrient planning shall be in conformance with CPS Nutrient Management, Code 590. The amendment successfully addresses water quality degradation from nutrients in surface and ground water and air quality impacts due to objectionable odors, ammonia emissions, PM and PM precursors and bird health resource concerns. Formula to calculate the number of 1000 SF units:(Square Feet of house) / 1000 SF X (Number of houses) X (Number of applications/year) $=$ Number of 1000SF/year. 21,000 SF / 1000 SF X 1 house $\mathrm{X} 4 \mathrm{app} / \mathrm{yr}=84$ units of 1000SF

Feature Measure: Number of 1000SF applications per
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot

Scenario Typical Size: 84.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,668.45

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$19.86

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application of ag waste amendment for poultry litter | 2020 | Litter amendment application performed in house. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Ton | \$53.22 | 3.4 | \$180.95 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag Waste Amendment, aluminum sulfate, alum | 1684 | Aluminum sulfate, alum, poultry Litter amendment. NRCS approved for air and water quality concerns to reduce ammonia emissions and soluble phosphorus in the litter. Materials only. | Ton | \$437.50 | 3.4 | \$1,487.50 |

Practice: 591 - Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste
Scenario: \#3-Litter Amendments applied for Air Quality resource concerns

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the application of a litter treatment amendment that is approved by NRCS to the entire poultry house to reduce ammonia emissions from the house and facilitate manure management. The amendment used is proven to reduce ammonia levels in the house by transforming nitrogen into a form of ammonium. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns from existing nutrient levels that may contribute to air quality impacts such as objectionable odors and ammonia emissions and impacts on bird health due to excess nutrients and pathogens.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590).

Before Situation:
Integrator does not currently apply waste treatment amendments to the litter that reduce ammonia emissions.

## After Situation:

This scenario is based on a typical poultry operation with a 2-house facility and each house size is $40^{\prime} \times 400 ', 16,000 \mathrm{SF}$. An NRCS approved amendment is applied between flocks, 5 flocks annually, at rate required to meet air quality resource concern, typically 100 pounds per 1000 SF . Formula to calculate the amount of amendment per year on a 1000 SF basis:(Square Feet of house) / 1000 SF X (Number of houses) X (Number of Applications per Year)= Number of 1000SF. 16,000 SF / 1000 SF X 2 houses X 5 applications/year $=160$ units of 1000SFAn NRCS approved amendment is applied between each flock, 5 applications, at rate required for treatment to address air quality resource concerns. For most products, this is 100 pounds per 1000 SF. The amendment is proven to control the odor, and to reduce ammonia emissions. The selected amendment is applied in conformance with the manufacturer???s recommendations and the rates required. The resulting litter contains higher levels of nutrients and nutrient management plans must account for this. Nutrient level testing of the litter and nutrient planning shall be in conformance with CPS Nutrient Management, Code 590. The amendment successfully addresses the air quality impacts of objectionable odors, ammonia emissions, PM and PM precursors and bird health resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000SF applications per
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,365.76
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$33.54
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application of ag waste amendment for poultry litter | 2020 | Litter amendment application performed in house. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Ton | \$53.22 | 8 | \$425.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag Waste Amendment, sodium bisulfate | 1686 | Sodium bisulfate poultry litter amendment. NRCS approved for air quality concerns to reduce ammonia emissions from the litter. Includes materials only. | Ton | \$617.50 | 8 | \$4,940.00 |

Practice: 591 - Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste
Scenario: \#4 - Litter Amendments applied on a \%w/w basis for Water Quality Impacts

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the application of a litter treatment amendment that is approved by NRCS to the entire poultry house to reduce water-soluble phosphorous in the poultry litter by a specified percentage. The amendment used is proven to and transform nitrogen into a form of ammonium and reduce the concentration of watersoluble phosphorous in the litter and reduces ammonia levels in the house. Resource concerns from existing nutrient levels may contribute to water quality degradation from nutrient runoff and leaching from fields fertilized with poultry litter and air quality impacts such as objectionable odors and ammonia emissions.Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590).

## Before Situation:

Integrator does not currently apply waste treatment amendments to the litter that reduce ammonia emissions and soluble phosphorus.
After Situation:
This scenario is based on a typical poultry operation with a desired application rate is $10 \%$ by weight of the litter ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) of a phosphorus binding amendment. Typical operation consists of 2 houses, 40 ' 400 house ( $16,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ), 20,000 birds ( 4 pound finished bird weight), 0.5 lb litter/bird (assume 54 pounds P205/Ton of litter). The operation raises 5 flocks per year. Formula to calculate required amendment at the prescribed rate in tons per year is: (Number of birds) $X$ (Finish weight of birds (lbs)) $X$ (Pounds of litter)/bird) X (Number of houses) X (application rate) X (Number of applications per year) / 2000 pounds/ton 20,000 birds X 4 lb bird X 0.50 lb litter/bird X 2 houses X 0.10 lb amendment/ lb litter X $5 \mathrm{app} /$ year / $2000 \mathrm{lb} /$ ton $=20$ tons/year. An NRCS approved amendment is applied between each flock at the prescribed rate. The selected amendment is applied in conformance with the manufacturer???s recommendations and the rates required. The amendment is proven to reduce soluble phosphorus in the litter, to control the odor, and to reduce ammonia emissions. The resulting litter contains higher levels of nutrients and nutrient management plans must account for this. Nutrient level testing of the litter and nutrient planning shall be in conformance with CPS Nutrient Management, Code 590. The amendment successfully addresses water quality degradation due to nutrients in surface and ground water and air quality impacts from objectionable odors, ammonia emissions, PM and PM precursors and bird health resource concerns.

Feature Measure: Tons of amendment per year.
Scenario Unit: Ton
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,814.40
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$490.72
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application of ag waste amendment for poultry litter | 2020 | Litter amendment application performed in house. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Ton | \$53.22 | 20 | \$1,064.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag Waste Amendment, aluminum sulfate, alum | 1684 | Aluminum sulfate, alum, poultry Litter amendment. NRCS approved for air and water quality concerns to reduce ammonia emissions and soluble phosphorus in the litter. Materials only. | Ton | \$437.50 | 20 | \$8,750.00 |



Practice: 591 - Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste

## Scenario: \#11 - Litter Amendments for Air Quality With Partially Treated Brood Chamber

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the application of a litter treatment amendment that is approved by NRCS to the entire poultry house to reduce ammonia emissions from the house and facilitate manure management. An entire poultry house is treated year round for air quality impacts. In the winter, the producer or integrator treats the brood chamber between flocks with litter amendments solely for bird health and production. The amount being applied by the producer or integrator in winter months does not meet the air quality resource concerns. Additional litter amendments are added in winter for Air Quality benefits not being applied by the integrator. Litter amendments are applied spring through fall for entire flocks. NRCS is not responsible for the litter amendments already being applied by the integrator for the purposes of production and bird health. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to air quality impacts due to particulate matter and precursors, and objectionable odors. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590).

## Before Situation:

No litter amendments are being applied during the spring through fall months. An amendment is being applied at a lower application rate during the winter months, typically half the house and only two flocks. Partial winter application is solely for production purposes and the lower application rate is not enough to address the air quality resource concerns. The operation raises 4 flocks per year and the integrator partially treats 2 flocks in the winter months. Approximately $18.7 \%$ of the needed litter amendments are being applied and only during the winter months.

## After Situation:

An NRCS approved amendment is applied between each flock. All flocks are optimally treated with litter amendments year-round. A typical broiler operation with 4 flocks in a 42 ??? $\times 500$ ??? house ( 21,000 square feet) is treated to reduce the impacts on air quality. Typically 100 pounds of litter amendments per 1000 square feet are applied 4 times annually. The total amendment applied is adjusted by $81.3 \%$ to account for the portion of the brood chamber that is receiving partial application during the winter months. The amendment is proven to control the odor, to reduce ammonia emissions from the litter. The selected amendment is applied in conformance with the manufacturer???s recommendations and the rates required. The resulting litter contains higher levels of nutrients and nutrient management plans must account for this. Nutrient level testing of the litter and nutrient planning shall be in conformance with CPS Nutrient Management, Code 590. The amendment successfully addresses the air quality impacts from objectionable odors, ammonia emissions, PM and PM precursors and bird health resource concerns. Formula to calculate the number of 1000 SF units:(Square Feet of house) / 1000 SF X (Number of houses) X (Number of applications/year) = Number of 1000SF/year. 21,000 SF / 1000 SF X 1 house X 4 app/yr = 84 units of 1000SF

Feature Measure: Number of 1000SF applications per
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 84.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,280.45
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$27.15

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application of ag waste amendment for poultry litter | 2020 | Litter amendment application performed in house. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Ton | \$53.22 | 3.4 | \$180.95 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag Waste Amendment, sodium bisulfate | 1686 | Sodium bisulfate poultry litter amendment. NRCS approved for air quality concerns to reduce ammonia emissions from the litter. Includes materials only. | Ton | \$617.50 | 3.4 | \$2,099.50 |

Practice: 592 - Feed Management
Scenario: \#3-Livestock

## Scenario Description:

This example is feed ration management on a swine finishing operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of the nutrients in the manure, at agronomic rates. The resource concernss are water quality, and excessive manure nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. The goal of the practice is to reduce these excess nutrients to a point where they can be fully utilized at agronomic rates on the existing land base, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degredation concerns. Associated Practices: Nutrient management (590)

Before Situation:
The producer is feeding a single diet with a higher level of protein (16\%) and phosphorus ( $0.65 \%$ ) than is needed to meet National Research Council (NRC) recommendations for animals of this type and at this stage of production.

After Situation:
This scenario's operation currently houses 2800 finishing hogs with an average weight of 154 pounds, or 430 animal units (( $2800 \mathrm{hogs} * 154 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{hog} / 1000 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{AU} 154))=$ 430 AU ). The farm typically gows out 2.5 turns per year. A baseline analysis of manure and feed will be completed to determine the current nutrient inputs and outputs. The producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for animals of this type and at this stage of production. Producer will consider alternative feedstuffs, phase feeding, split-sex feeding and other scenarios to achieve the objective. Proper feed management removes excess nutrients from the manure, making the manure easier for the producer to properly manage within his/her land constraints. The improved manaure management prevents surface and groundwater degredation from excess nitrogen and phosphorus.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 pound animal unit
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 1,075.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,454.16
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Manure Analysis | 306 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$48.54 | 4 | \$194.16 |
| Test, Feed Analysis | 1989 | Representative sample of feed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$30.81 | 4 | \$123.24 |

Practice: 592 - Feed Management
Scenario: \#4 - Poultry/Layer Operation
Scenario Description:
This example is feed ration management on a poultry or layer operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of the nutrients in the manure, at agronomic rates. The resource concernss are water quality, and excessive manure nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. The goal of the practice is to reduce these excess nutrients to a point where they can be fully utilized at agronomic rates on the existing land base, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degredation concerns. Associated Practices: Nutrient management (590)

Before Situation:
The producer is feeding animals a single diet with a higher nutrient levels than are needed to meet National Research Council (NRC) recommendations for animals of this type and at this stage of production.

After Situation:
This scenario's operation currently houses 15,000 broilers with an average weight of 5 pounds, or 75 animal units (( 15,000 broilers * $5 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{chicken} / 1000 \mathrm{lbs} /$ ) $=75 \mathrm{AU})$. A baseline analysis of manure and feed will be completed to determine the current nutrient inputs and outputs. The producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for animals of this type and at this stage of production. Producer will consider alternative feedstuffs, phase feeding, split-sex feeding and other scenarios to achieve the objective. Proper feed management removes excess nutrients from the manure, making the manure easier for the producer to properly manage within his/her land constraints. The improved manaure management prevents surface and groundwater degredation from excess nitrogen and phosphorus.

Feature Measure: Number of 1000 pound animal unit
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 75.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,099.26

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$27.99

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Manure Analysis | 306 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$48.54 | 4 | \$194.16 |
| Test, Feed Analysis | 1989 | Representative sample of feed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$30.81 | 4 | \$123.24 |

Practice: 592 - Feed Management
Scenario: \#19-Animal Group

## Scenario Description:

Feed ration management on an animal operation that does not have access to enough acres to spread all of its manure nutrients at an agronomic rate. The resource concerns are water quality degradation, excessive manure nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus. The goal of the practice is to reduce the amount of nutrients in the raw manure so that it is easier for ???landlocked??? farmers to apply the manure at agronomic rates, thereby reducing or eliminating water quality degradation concerns. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590), Prescribed Grazing (528), Forage Harvest Management (511).

Before Situation:
Producer is feeding a higher level of protein and/or phosphorus than is needed to meet National Research Council (NRC) recommendations for a group of animals of this type and at this stage of production. The operation does not have all of the available acres that it needs to use the nutrients in the manure when spread at agronomic rates causing over application of nutrients on land affecting soil quality, which may lead to water quality degradation.

After Situation:
The scenario assumes that a specific group of animals is being evaluated. A baseline analysis of 4 samples each with 4 quarterly reports of manure, and feed will be completed to determine the current inputs and outputs. The producer will reduce feed protein and phosphorus levels to that of NRC recommendations for a group of animals of this type and at this stage of production. The producer will explore alternative feedstuffs and alternative feeding strategies to bring manure nitrogen and/or phosphorus levels down without hurting production of the animals or profitability of the operation. Alternative feeding strategies can include such things as grouping animals by similar age, sex, or stage of production, feeding based on individual rolling average production, or feeding ???homegrown??? or local feedstuffs.

Feature Measure: Group
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,333.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,333.56
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 20 | \$2,376.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Manure Analysis | 306 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$48.54 | 16 | \$776.64 |
| Test, Feed Analysis | 1989 | Representative sample of feed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$30.81 | 16 | \$492.96 |

Practice: 592 -Feed Management
Scenario: \#35-Feed Additive

## Scenario Description:

Feed ration management on an animal operation by inclusion of a feed additive. The resource concerns may be water quality degradation (pathogens, soluble nutrients), air quality (odors, green house gas). The goal of the practice is to add an approved feed additive that has been proven to reduce pathogens, or tie up soluble nutrients, or improve air quality by reducing odors, ammonia, or green house gases to the animal diet. For this scenario, zeolite will be added to beef and dairy rations to reduce ammonia emissions. Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590).

Before Situation:
Producer is feeding a balanced diet to his animals. He knows that the diet, however is leading to resource concerns by soluble nutrients or pathogens entering the surface water from his operation, or that odors, NOx, or Methane are affecting air quality. For this scenario, the producer knows that nitrogen loss via ammonia volatilization from manure is affecting air quality and that adding zeolite to the ration will decrease this effect and improve air quality for his animals.

## After Situation:

The scenario assumes that a specific group of animals is being evaluated for a resource concern, and that a proven feed additive can have an effect on that concern. For this particular scenario, a zeolite is added to a beef or dairy ration at a rate of $2 \%$ of the daily ration. The zeolite will absorb ammonia and other compounds, resulting in lower nitrogen excretion and thus lower ammonia emissions from the manure and result in improved air quality. Assume that one hour of general labor will be needed per year per animal unit to load/unload zeolite into feed mixing equipment. Assume that the zeolite is added based on a $2 \%$ inclusion rate of the dry matter ration of 25 pounds/day/animal unit or the amount of zeolite needed is 0.5 pounds/day/animal unit or about 0.1 tons/year/animal unit.

Feature Measure: Per Animal unit, per year
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$67.34
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$67.34
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zeolite, Bulk | 2683 | Zeolite applied as a pen surface amendment or used as a feed ingredient. Zeolite is added to feed as a nutrition-based strategies or applied to pen surface as an ammonia abatement measures in livestock production, means of reducing ammonia emissions from concentrated animal feeding operations. | Ton | \$387.00 | 0.1 | \$38.70 |


| Scenario: \#114-Plant Health PAMS (acs) Low Labor and Materials | Practice: 595-Pest Management Conservation System |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAMS activities with low labor and material costs will be implemented on a large scale crop production area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Prevention (resistant cultivar selection, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (Degree day monitoring, field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of Management Applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$999.65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$24.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous, containers, traps, etc. | 298 | Pheromone Traps, Culture container with lid. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.90 | 10 | \$49.00 |
| Weather Station, Basic | 314 | Basic Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, and temperature to a home weather console. Includes materials only. | Each | \$287.20 | 1 | \$287.20 |


| Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAMS activities with low labor costs will be implemented on a large scale crop production area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Prevention (resistant cultivar selection, pest habitat removal, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of management applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$663.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$16.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#116 - Pest Management Precision Ag

## Scenario Description:

This scenario takes a conventional cropping system where either no pest management or only a basic level of pest management is being practiced and improves it to address air quality and/or minimize agricultural nonpoint sources pollution of surface and groundwater. The planned Pest Management system will meet the current Pest Management Conservation System (595) CPS general and additional criteria. Precision pest management system includes such items as pest monitoring, targeted applications, eliminates overlap, tissue testing, specialized nozzles etc. to further refine pesticide applications. Payment for implementation is to defray the costs of tissue testing, additional testing and analysis, equipment implementation of the PMCS and recordkeeping. Typical treatment area is 40 acres.

## Before Situation:

Conventional pest management programs involve little or no monitoring and testing. Application of pesticides are completed annually based upon product salesmen recommendations that do not specifically consider the detrimental affects of inexact application methods. Fields are overwintered with little or no erosion protection often resulting in sheet, rill and ephemeral erosion. Runoff flows into adjacent streams, water courses, tile drains, field surface drains or other water courses causing degradation to receiving waters or leaching of pesticides to shallow ground water sources. There is typically no environmental evaluation of the potential for off-site movement. Soil health may also be detrimentally affected.

## After Situation:

A precision pest management system will be developed to meet the current Pest Management Conservation System (595) CPS general and additional criteria, when applicable the system will also meet NOP regulations. Development and implementation of a PMCS will benefit plant productivity while reducing potential of off-site movement of pesticides. PMCS may include practices such as use of spot applications, proper timing of applications, more appropriate formulations etc. Additional monitoring and tissue testing may also be used to further refine pesticide applications. Smart sprayer and advanced nozzle technology may also be employed. Records will be provided annually of the current monitoring, test analysis, application rates, formulations for each field including crop yields.

Feature Measure: Acres of management applied
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 2,678.37$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$66.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | $\$ 17.23$ | 8 | $\$ 137.84$ |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | $\$ 1.77$ | 40 | $\$ 70.80$ |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous, containers, traps, etc. | 298 | Pheromone Traps, Culture container with lid. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.90 | 4 | \$19.60 |
| Test, Plant Tissue Test | 301 | Tissue analysis for crops. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$25.27 | 1 | \$25.27 |


| Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#117-Plant Health PAMS activities (Small Farm - each) labor, materials and mitigation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAMS activities with labor and material costs plus mitigation will be implemented on a small scale crop production area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation over 30 points. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Prevention (Netting to exclude insect or birds, resistant cultivar selection, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (Degree day monitoring, field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation over 30 points. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Small farm, typically = 5Ac |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Each |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$7,236.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$7,2 | 6.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 27 | \$1,083.78 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 17 | \$2,020.11 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous, containers, traps, etc. | 298 | Pheromone Traps, Culture container with lid. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.90 | 3 | \$14.70 |
| Weather Station, Basic | 314 | Basic Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, and temperature to a home weather console. Includes materials only. | Each | \$287.20 | 1 | \$287.20 |
| Netting, Crop Protection, Large Mesh | 2762 | Synthetic netting, large mesh to exclude birds and small animals. Approximately 6 to 7 inch mesh. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.04 | 87120 | \$3,484.80 |


| Practice: 595-Pest Management Conservation System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant Health PAMS (acs) High labor only (intensive scouting etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Prevention (resistant cultivar selection, pest habitat removal, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of Management Applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,063.79 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$51.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#120-Plant health PAMS (Small Farm - each) labor and mitigation.
Scenario Description:
PAMS activities with labor costs will be implemented plus mitigation on a small scale crop production area.
Before Situation:
Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation up to 30 points.

After Situation:
Planned Prevention (resistant cultivar selection, pest habitat removal, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure).
Mitigation up to 30 points.
Feature Measure: Small farm, typically = 5Ac
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,976.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,976.94

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 14 | \$561.96 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 9 | \$1,069.47 |

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#122-Plant Health PAMS (acs) High Labor, materials and mitigation.

## Scenario Description:

PAMS activities with high labor and material costs (weather station, netting, field sanitation, mating disruption) plus mitigation will be implemented on a large scale crop production area.

Before Situation:
Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation over 30 points.

## After Situation:

Planned Prevention (Netting to exclude insect or birds, resistant cultivar selection, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (Degree day monitoring, field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation over 30 points.

Feature Measure: Acres of management applied
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$20,692.79
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$517.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 150 | \$10,711.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 150 | \$4,296.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 22 | \$2,614.26 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |


| Practice: 595-Pest Management Conservation System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAMS activities with low labor and material costs will be implemented plus mitigation on a large scale crop production area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation up to 30 points. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Prevention (Netting to exclude insect or birds, resistant cultivar selection, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (Degree day monitoring, field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). Mitigation up to 30 points. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of Management Applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,666.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$66.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous, containers, traps, etc. | 298 | Pheromone Traps, Culture container with lid. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.90 | 10 | \$49.00 |
| Weather Station, Basic | 314 | Basic Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, and temperature to a home weather console. Includes materials only. | Each | \$287.20 | 1 | \$287.20 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#126-Water Quality Pesticide Mitigation > 30 Point AND/OR Beneficial Insect Pesticide Mitigation - Small Farm

## Scenario Description:

The minimum amount of planned IPM mitigation techniques needed to reduce water quality pesticide-related resource concerns is > 30 mitigation index score. An IPM plan will be developed in accordance with this standard and the CPS 595 Implementation Requirement will document how specific pesticide hazards will be prevented or mitigated AND/OR impacts to wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using many pest suppression techniques (pesticides, tillage for weed control, burning, etc.) to the client is using many different pest suppression techniques for many different pests, but in all cases at least one planned pest suppression technique has risk to an identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water AND/OR Wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators).

After Situation:
An IPM system with planned. Mitigation techniques (>30 points) have been implemented to meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water or Fish) AND 10 points of mitigation for Wildlife (beneficial insects including pollinators) with either risk prevention (i.e. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (i.e. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 AND Agronomy Technical Note 9).

Feature Measure: Small Farm, typically <= 5 acs
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,109.93
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,109.93

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 11 | \$1,307.13 |

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#128 - Plant Health PAMS (acs) High Labor and materials

## Scenario Description:

PAMS activities with high labor and material costs will be implemented on a large scale crop production area (weather station, netting, field sanitation, mating disruption etc.).

Before Situation:
Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure).

## After Situation:

Planned Prevention (Netting to exclude insect or birds, resistant cultivar selection, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (Degree day monitoring, field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure).

Feature Measure: Acres of Management Applied
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$18,427.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$460.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 150 | \$10,711.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 150 | \$4,296.00 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#130 - Water Quality Pesticide Mitigation = 30 Point AND/OR Beneficial Insect Pesticide Mitigation - Small Farm

## Scenario Description:

The minimum amount of planned IPM mitigation techniques needed to reduce water quality pesticide-related resource concerns is = 30 mitigation index score. An IPM plan will be developed in accordance with this standard and the CPS 595 Implementation Requirement will document how specific pesticide hazards will be prevented or mitigated AND/OR impacts to wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using many pest suppression techniques (pesticides, tillage for weed control, burning, etc.) to the client is using many different pest suppression techniques for many different pests, but in all cases at least one planned pest suppression technique has risk to an identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water AND/OR Wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators).

After Situation:
An IPM system with planned. Mitigation techniques ( $=30$ points) have been implemented to meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water or Fish) AND/OR 10 points of mitigation for Wildlife (beneficial insects including pollinators) with either risk prevention (i.e. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (i.e. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 AND/OR Agronomy Technical Note 9).

Feature Measure: Small Farm, typically <= 5 acres
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,274.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,274.94

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 14 | \$561.96 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

## Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System

Scenario: \#132 - Water Quality Pesticide Mitigation > 30 Point AND/OR Beneficial Insect Pesticide Mitigation

## Scenario Description:

The minimum amount of planned IPM mitigation techniques needed to reduce water quality pesticide-related resource concerns is > 30 mitigation index score. An IPM plan will be developed in accordance with this standard and the CPS 595 Implementation Requirement will document how specific pesticide hazards will be prevented or mitigated AND/OR impacts to wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using many pest suppression techniques (pesticides, tillage for weed control, burning, etc.) to the client is using many different pest suppression techniques for many different pests, but in all cases at least one planned pest suppression technique has risk to an identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water AND/OR Wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators).

After Situation:
An IPM system with planned. Mitigation techniques (>30 points) have been implemented to meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water or Fish) AND/OR 10 points of mitigation for Wildlife (beneficial insects including pollinators) with either risk prevention (i.e. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (i.e. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 AND/OR Agronomy Technical Note 9).

Feature Measure: Acres of Management Applied

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,978.70$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$74.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 20 | \$2,376.60 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#134-Water Quality Pesticide Mitigation = 30 Point AND/OR Beneficial Insect Pesticide Mitigation

## Scenario Description:

The minimum amount of planned IPM mitigation techniques needed to reduce water quality pesticide-related resource concerns is = 30 mitigation index score. An IPM plan will be developed in accordance with this standard and the CPS 595 Implementation Requirement will document how specific pesticide hazards will be prevented or mitigated AND/OR impacts to wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators.

## Before Situation:

Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using many pest suppression techniques (pesticides, tillage for weed control, burning, etc.) to the client is using many different pest suppression techniques for many different pests, but in all cases at least one planned pest suppression technique has risk to an identified resource concern (e.g. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water AND/OR Wildlife-beneficial insects including pollinators).

After Situation:
An IPM system with planned. Mitigation techniques ( $=30$ points) have been implemented to meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Water Quality - Impacts to Human Drinking Water or Fish) AND/OR 10 points of mitigation for Wildlife (beneficial insects including pollinators) with either risk prevention (i.e. planned pesticides have no risk to the identified resource concern) or risk mitigation (i.e. planned pesticides have appropriate mitigation planned from Agronomy Technical Note 5 AND Agronomy Technical Note 9).

Feature Measure: Acres of Management Applied

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,706.94

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$42.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 7 | \$280.98 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |

Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System
Scenario: \#136 - Plant health PAMS (Small Farm - each) labor only
Scenario Description:
PAMS activities with labor costs will be implemented on a small scale crop production area.
Before Situation:
Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure).

After Situation:
Planned Prevention (resistant cultivar selection, pest habitat removal, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure).

Feature Measure: Small farm, typically $<=5$ ac
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$623.31
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$623.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |


| Practice: 595 - Pest Management Conservation System |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAMS activities with labor and material costs will be implemented on a small scale crop production area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before practice conditions vary widely. Conditions range from the client is not using any PAMS techniques to the client is using many different PAMS techniques for many different pests. In all cases at least one planned PAMS technique has risk to an identified resource concern (Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planned Prevention (Netting to exclude insect or birds, resistant cultivar selection, etc. ), Avoidance (IWM for disease avoidance, change in rotation to avoid problem spots, etc. ), and Monitoring (Degree day monitoring, field scouting, etc.) activities have been implemented to help meet the minimum criteria for the identified resource concerns (i.e. Plant Pest Pressure). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Small Farm, typically <= 5 ac |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Each |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,4 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$4, | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous, containers, traps, etc. | 298 | Pheromone Traps, Culture container with lid. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.90 | 3 | \$14.70 |
| Weather Station, Basic | 314 | Basic Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, and temperature to a home weather console. Includes materials only. | Each | \$287.20 | 1 | \$287.20 |
| Netting, Crop Protection, Large Mesh | 2762 | Synthetic netting, large mesh to exclude birds and small animals. Approximately 6 to 7 inch mesh. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.04 | 87120 | \$3,484.80 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#1-Broadbase, with Topsoiling
Scenario Description:
An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase terraces where channel and berm are farmed. Topsoil is stripped and stockpiled during construction. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment include all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation:

Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of broadbased terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and $5: 1$ front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342) and Grassed Waterway (412).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,438.22

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 4500 | \$3,735.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 3750 | \$5,625.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#2-Broadbase, no Topsoiling

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase terraces where channel and berm are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

After Situation:
A system of broadbased terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and 5:1 front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342) and Grassed Waterway (412).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,703.22$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 3750 | \$5,625.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#3-Broadbase, with Topsoiling, Crop Season Construction

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase terraces where channel and berm are farmed. Topsoil is stripped and stockpiled during construction. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape and compact terraces, stripping and stockpiling topsoil and foregone income for the loss of crop income due to construction of the practice during the crop season. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation:

Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of broadbased terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and $5: 1$ front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Underground Outlet (620), Critical Area Planting (342) and Grassed Waterway (412).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 15,477.74$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.19

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 4500 | \$3,735.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 3750 | \$5,625.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 6 | \$2,788.62 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 6 | \$2,250.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#10-Grassed Terrace, with Topsoiling

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of terraces where each terrace is constructed as a narrowbase terrace with $2: 1$ slopes, OR where each terrace is constructed with one relatively flat (5:1) slope and one steep (2:1) slope. Topsoil is stripped from the borrow area and replaced upon completion of the terrace. The steep slopes are established to permanent vegetation and the flatter slopes are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length is installed with the spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Terraces are installed with either $2: 1$ slopes and a 4.2 ??? height, OR with one steep ( $2: 1$ ) and one flat ( $5: 1$ ) slope and 3.2 ' height. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,688.22
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 4500 | \$3,735.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 3250 | \$4,875.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#11-Grassed Terrace, no Topsoiling
Scenario Description:
An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of terraces where each terrace is constructed as a narrowbase terrace with $2: 1$ slopes, OR where each terrace is constructed with one relatively flat ( $5: 1$ ) slope and one steep ( $2: 1$ ) slope. The steep slopes are established to permanent vegetation and the flatter slopes are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length is installed with the spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Terraces are installed with either $2: 1$ slopes and a 4.2 ??? height, OR with one steep (2:1) and one flat ( $5: 1$ ) slope and 3.2 ' height. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader.Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,953.22
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 3250 | \$4,875.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#12-Grassed Terrace, with Topsoiling, Crop Season Construction

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of terraces where each terrace is constructed as a narrowbase terrace with $2: 1$ slopes, OR where each terrace is constructed with one relatively flat ( $5: 1$ ) slope and one steep ( $2: 1$ ) slope. Topsoil is stripped from the borrow area and replaced upon completion of the terrace. The steep slopes are established to permanent vegetation and the flatter slopes are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway, other open outlet or Underground Outlet through associated practices. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape and compact terraces, stripping and stockpiling topsoil, and foregone income for the loss of crop income due to construction of the practice during the crop season. For the establishment of permanent vegetation on the terraces use associated practice Critical Area Planting (342). This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation:

Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length is installed with the spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Terraces are installed with either $2: 1$ slopes and a 4.2 ??? height, OR with one steep ( $2: 1$ ) and one flat ( $5: 1$ ) slope and 3.2 ' height. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,727.74
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 4500 | \$3,735.00 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 3250 | \$4,875.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 6 | \$2,788.62 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 6 | \$2,250.90 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#14-Terrace, no topsoiling, open outlet
Scenario Description:
PILOT SCENARIO: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase or grassed terraces. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway (paid as an associated practice). Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Associated practices are Grassed Waterway (412).

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

After Situation:
A system of terraces measuring 6,250 feet in length, 2.5 height, and $5: 1$ front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader.

Feature Measure: Treated Acres: Top of Drainage are

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 15,261.14$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$610.45

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 9375 | \$14,062.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#15-Terrace, with topsoiling, open outlet
Scenario Description:
PILOT SCENARIO: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase or grassed terraces. Topsoil is stripped and stockpiled during construction. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway (paid as an associated practice). Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terraces, and stripping and stockpiling topsoil. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Associated practices are Grassed Waterway (412).

## Before Situation:

Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of terraces measuring 6,250 feet in length, 2.5 height, and 5:1 front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader.

Feature Measure: Treated Acres: Top of Drainage are
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 24,598.64$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$983.95

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 11250 | \$9,337.50 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 9375 | \$14,062.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#16-Terrace, no topsoiling, closed outlet
Scenario Description:
PILOT SCENARIO: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase or grassed terraces. A stable outlet is provided in the form of an underground outlet. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape and compact terraces, pipe, riser inlet, trench excavation and trench backfill . This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. This payment scenario includes the cost of the underground outlet, so practice 620 UGO should not be contracted in association with this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

After Situation:
A system of terraces measuring 6,250 feet in length, 2.5 height, and 5:1 front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Underground outlet length of $3,000 \mathrm{ft}$ is installed to convey stormwater to a stable outlet.

Feature Measure: Treated Acres: Top of Drainage are
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 41,521.69$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 3020 | \$10,388.80 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 9375 | \$14,062.50 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 13 | \$34.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlet, riser, 6 in. | 1261 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 6 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$99.14 | 3 | \$297.42 |
| Inlet, riser, 8 in. | 1262 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 8 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$154.23 | 3 | \$462.69 |
| Inlet, riser, 10 in . | 1263 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 10 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$208.47 | 3 | \$625.41 |
| Inlet, riser, 12 in . | 1264 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 12 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$651.27 | 4 | \$2,605.08 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 190 | \$440.80 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 4757 | \$10,037.27 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 304 | \$641.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#17-Terrace, with topsoiling, closed outlet
Scenario Description:
PILOT SCENARIO: An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. Scenario is for the installation of a system of broadbase or grassed terraces. Topsoil is stripped and stockpiled during construction. A stable outlet is provided in the form of an underground outlet. Payment includes all equipment and labor necessary to excavate, shape and compact terraces, stripping and stockpiling topsoil, pipe, riser inlet, trench excavation and trench backfill. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. This payment scenario includes the cost of the underground outlet, so practice 620 UGO should not be contracted in association with this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of terraces measuring 6,250 feet in length, 2.5 height, and $5: 1$ front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Underground outlet length of $3,000 \mathrm{ft}$ is installed to convey stormwater to a stable outlet.

Feature Measure: Treated Acres: Top of Drainage are
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 50,859.19$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,034.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide x 48 inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 3020 | \$10,388.80 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 11250 | \$9,337.50 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 50 ft | 1222 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.50 | 9375 | \$14,062.50 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 13 | \$34.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |

## Materials

| Inlet, riser, 6 in. | 1261 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 6 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$99.14 | 3 | \$297.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inlet, riser, 8 in. | 1262 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 8 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$154.23 | 3 | \$462.69 |
| Inlet, riser, 10 in . | 1263 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 10 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$208.47 | 3 | \$625.41 |
| Inlet, riser, 12 in . | 1264 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 12 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$651.27 | 4 | \$2,605.08 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 190 | \$440.80 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in . weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 304 | \$641.44 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 4757 | \$10,037.27 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#24-Broadbased

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. The typical installation is a broadbased terrace having 5:1 upstream and 5:1 downstream slopes measuring 2,500 feet in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. Channel and berm are farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway or Underground Outlet. Costs include all equipment and forces necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terrace. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of broadbased terraces measuring 2,500 feet in length, 2.5 height, and $5: 1$ front and back slopes is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,612.00$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 34 | \$3,240.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 34 | \$1,369.18 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#25-Flat Channel

## Scenario Description:

An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths, and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. The typical installation is a flat channel (level) terrace storing runoff with a length of 2,500 feet and side slopes of $8: 1$ or greater in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. Costs include all equipment and forces necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terrace. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

After Situation:
A system of flat channel (level) terraces with approximately $8: 1$ front and back slopes, 2.5 feet height, and 2,500 feet in length is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. The installed terrace is typically farmed. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,822.05

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.53

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 57 | \$5,432.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 57 | \$2,295.39 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#29-Grass Backed

## Scenario Description:

\{Only name change from 5 to $1 \& 2$ to 1 to Grass Back\} An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. The typical installation is a system of terraces ( 2,500 feet in length) that have one relatively flat (5:1) slope and one steep (2:1) slope constructed in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ installed in loam soils or similar soils in regards to workability. The steep slope is established to permanent vegetation with the flatter slope farmed. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway or Underground Outlet. Costs include all equipment and forces necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terrace. Seeding is not included. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of terraces with one steep (2:1) and one flat (5:1) slope measuring 2,500 feet in length and 2.5' height is installed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,440.54$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.38

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 19 | \$1,810.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 19 | \$765.13 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#30-Narrow Base, less than 8\% slope
Scenario Description:
An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. The typical installation is a system of narrow base terraces with $2: 1$ slopes, 2,500 ' length, and $2.5^{\prime}$ height in a field with slopes from $3 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway or Underground Outlet. Costs include all equipment and forces necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terrace. Permanent vegetation is established. Seeding is not included. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of narrow base terraces with approximately 2:1 front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 2.5 feet height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,118.39
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.65

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 24 | \$2,287.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 600-Terrace
Scenario: \#31 - Narrow Base, greater than 8\% slope
Scenario Description:
An earthen embankment with channel constructed across the field slope as part of a system to shorten slope lengths and reduce sheet, rill, and gully erosion in a cropped field. The typical installation is a system of narrow base terraces with $2: 1$ slopes, 2,500 ' length, and 2.5 ' height in a field with slopes exceeding $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. A stable outlet is provided in the form of a Grassed Waterway or Underground Outlet. Costs include all equipment and forces necessary to excavate, shape, and compact terrace. Permanent vegetation is established. Seeding is not included. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion and Excessive Sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Long slope lengths contribute to excessive sedimentation and soil erosion in cropped fields as a result of gully, rill, and sheet erosion. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport.

## After Situation:

A system of narrow base terraces with approximately $2: 1$ front and back slopes measuring 2,500 feet in length and 2.5 ' height is constructed with spacing designed to intercept flow of water and shorten slope length to reduce erosion to acceptable levels. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), and Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Length of Terrace

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,435.50$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.77

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 26 | \$2,477.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 26 | \$1,047.02 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 601 - Vegetative Barrier
Scenario: \#2-Seeded Barrier
Scenario Description:
Permanent strips of stiff, dense vegetation established along the general contour of slopes.
Before Situation:
Significant erosion is occurring resulting in substantial transport of sediment across the slope. A large amount of sediment is subsequently delivered to the edge of the field and/or waterways.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared and implemented for the site according to the Vegetative Barrier (601) standard. A strip or strips of stiff, dense vegetation is established by seeding along the general contour of the slope that effectively settles a significant amount of sediment above the leading edge of the vegetative barrier. Barrier may also help to connect green areas to provide shelter for wildlife.

Feature Measure: Per 1000 Linear feet of practice inst
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$305.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.31

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.09 | \$1.26 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.09 | \$1.89 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 0.09 | \$0.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.09 | \$12.15 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 601 -Vegetative Barrier
Scenario: \#3 - Vegetative Planting
Scenario Description:
Permanent strips of stiff, dense vegetation established along the general contour of slopes.
Before Situation:
Significant erosion is occurring resulting in substantial transport of sediment across the slope. A large amount of sediment is subsequently delivered to the edge of the field and/or waterways.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared and implemented for the site according to the Vegetative Barrier (601) standard. A strip or strips of stiff, dense vegetation such as Vetiver Grass is/are established along the general contour of the slope that effectively settles a significant amount of sediment above the leading edge of the vegetative barrier. Barrier may also help to connect green areas to provide shelter for wildlife.

Feature Measure: Per 100 foot Linear feet of practice

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$859.97

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8.60$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 0.01 | \$0.06 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 0.01 | \$0.07 |
| Ground sprigging | 1101 | Includes costs for equipment, power unit and labor. | Acres | \$63.34 | 0.01 | \$0.63 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of N supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 0.46 | \$0.47 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 0.01 | \$0.13 |
| Perennial Grass, Legume, and/or Forb Liners or Plugs, linear planting per 100 feet | 2324 | Perennial grasses, legumes and/or forbs established in a row using vegetative propagules including liners or plugs. Includes materials and shipping. | 100 Foot | \$858.60 | 1 | \$858.60 |

Practice: 601 - Vegetative Barrier
Scenario: \#9 - Caribbean and Virgin Island Veg Barriers with Cuttings
Scenario Description:
Permanent strips of stiff, dense vegetation established along the general contour of slopes.
Before Situation:
Significant erosion is occurring resulting in substantial sheet and rill erosion. A large amount of sediment is subsequently delivered to the edge of the field and/or waterways, and the soil resource is degraded.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements for 601 are prepared for the unique site conditions and the practice is implemented. A strip or strips of stiff, dense vegetation such as Vetivier Grass is/are established along the general contour of the slope that effectively settles a significant amount or sediment above the leading edge of the vegetative barrier. Barrier may also help to connect green areas to provide shelter for wildlife.

Feature Measure: Length treated
Scenario Unit: 100 Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,264.84$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,264.84

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Loader, 95HP | 935 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$92.26 | 2 | \$184.52 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Site Preparation, Mechanical | 944 | Aerator, rolling drum chopper, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$84.94 | 0.01 | \$0.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |

## Materials

| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 1 | \$0.81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perennial Grass, Legume, and/or Forb Liners or Plugs, linear planting per 100 feet | 2324 | Perennial grasses, legumes and/or forbs established in a row using vegetative propagules including liners or plugs. Includes materials and shipping. | 100 Foot | \$858.60 | 1 | \$858.60 |

Practice: 601 -Vegetative Barrier
Scenario: \#10-Pac. Island Area Vegetative Barrier
Scenario Description:
Permanent strips of stiff, dense vegetation established along the general contour of slopes.
Before Situation:
Significant erosion is occurring resulting in substantial transport of sediment across the slope. A large amount of sediment is subsequently delivered to the edge of the field and/or waterways.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared for the site according to the 601 Vegetative Barrier Standard and is implemented. A strip or strips of stiff, dense vegetation such as Vetivier Grass is/are established along the general contour of the slope that effectively settles a significant amount or sediment above the leading edge of the vegetative barrier. Barrier may also help to connect green areas to provide shelter for wildlife.

Feature Measure: Linear feet planted
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,861.09$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.86

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial Grass, Legume, and/or Forb Liners or Plugs, linear planting per 100 feet | 2324 | Perennial grasses, legumes and/or forbs established in a row using vegetative propagules including liners or plugs. Includes materials and shipping. | 100 Foot | \$858.60 | 10 | \$8,586.00 |

Practice: 601 - Vegetative Barrier
Scenario: \#11 - Pacific Islands Area - Vegetative Barrier for Small Area
Scenario Description:
Permanent strips of stiff, dense vegetation established along the general contour of slopes for small areas needing erosion control.
Before Situation:
Significant erosion is occurring resulting in substantial transport of sediment across the slope. A large amount of sediment is subsequently delivered to the edge of the field and/or waterways.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements are prepared for the site according to the 601 Vegetative Barrier Standard and is implemented. A strip or strips of stiff, dense vegetation of a warm season grass established along the general contour of the slope that effectively settles a significant amount or sediment above the leading edge of the vegetative barrier. Barrier may also help to connect green areas to provide shelter for wildlife.

Feature Measure: Number of 100 ft segments
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,746.53$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 8,746.53$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial Grass, Legume, and/or Forb Liners or Plugs, linear planting per 100 feet | 2324 | Perennial grasses, legumes and/or forbs established in a row using vegetative propagules including liners or plugs. Includes materials and shipping. | 100 Foot | \$858.60 | 10 | \$8,586.00 |

Practice: 603 - Herbaceous Wind Barriers
Scenario: \#1-Cool Season Annual/Perennial Species

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the implementation of herbaceous barriers to reduce wind velocities and wind-borne particulate matter. In this scenario barriers are composed of cool season annual or perennial vegetation. Plant materials shall be selected for local adaptation and climatic conditions and are resistant to lodging and are nonspreading in their habit. Barriers will be designed as close to perpendicular to prevailing winds as practical. Barrier direction, spacing, and composition needed to achieve the desired purpose shall be designed using the currently approved wind erosion technology.

Before Situation:
Typically cropland has excessive soil disturbance and unsheltered distance that results in excessive wind erosion that affect soil resources. Seedling development and wildlife habitat are negatively affected by wind-borne sediment and sediment-borne contaminants travelling offsite.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements will be prepared and implemented for the site according to the Herbaceous Wind Barrier (603) standard. Implementation of herbaceous wind barriers will modify the flow and velocity of air dependent upon barrier height, porosity, spacing and wind speed. Orientation is generally placed across an entire field perpendicular to applicable prevailing wind direction. Implementation will reduce soil loss, protect growing plants from damage by wind-blown soil particles, and provide food and cover for wildlife. The scenario includes the design and implementation of annual barriers and required reestablishment.

Feature Measure: linear feet of barrier planted
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$130.18
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.10
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 0.09 | \$3.67 |

Practice: 603 - Herbaceous Wind Barriers
Scenario: \#6-Small Farm Herbaceous Barrier

## Scenario Description:

This scenario describes the annual implementation of herbaceous barriers to reduce wind velocities and wind-borne particulate matter. In this scenario barriers are composed of annual living vegetation. Plant materials shall be selected for local adaptation and climatic conditions and are resistant to lodging and are non-spreading in their habit. Barriers will be designed as close to perpendicular to prevailing winds as practical. Barrier direction, spacing, and composition needed to achieve the desired purpose shall be designed using the currently approved wind erosion technology. Establishment is done either by using light tillage or chemical application and no till drill.

Before Situation:
Typically cropland has excessive soil disturbance and un-sheltered distance that results in excessive wind erosion that affect soil resources. Seedling development and wildlife habitat are negatively affected by wind-borne sediment and sediment-borne contaminants travelling offsite.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements will be prepared for the site according to the 603 Herbaceous Wind Barrier Standard and implemented. Implementation of herbaceous wind barriers will modify the flow and velocity of air dependent upon barrier height, porosity, spacing and wind speed. Orientation is generally placed across an entire field perpendicular to applicable prevailing wind direction. Implementation will reduce soil loss; protect growing plants from damage by wind blown soil particles, provide food and cover for wildlife. Payment is for the design and implementation of annual barriers and required reestablishment.

Feature Measure: Linear Feet Planted

Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$329.69
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.33

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.5 | \$10.51 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density

2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 603 - Herbaceous Wind Barriers
Scenario: \#7-Pacific Island Area Herbaceous Wind Barriers

## Scenario Description:

This is for the Pacific Island Area. This scenario describes implementation of warm or cool season perennial herbaceous barriers to reduce wind velocities and wind-borne particulate matter. In this scenario barriers are composed of annual living vegetation. Plant materials shall be selected for local adaptation and climatic conditions and are resistant to lodging and are non-spreading in their habit. Barriers will be designed as close to perpendicular to prevailing winds as practical. Barrier direction, spacing, and composition needed to achieve the desired purpose shall be designed using the currently approved wind erosion technology. Establishment is done either by using light tillage or chemical application and no till drill.

## Before Situation:

Typically cropland has excessive soil disturbance and unsheltered distance that results in excessive wind erosion that affect soil resources. Seedling development and wildlife habitat are negatively affected by wind-borne sediment and sediment-borne contaminants travelling offsite.

After Situation:
Implementation Requirements will be prepared for the site according to the 603 Herbaceous Wind Barrier Standard and implemented. Implementation of perennial herbaceous wind barriers will modify the flow and velocity of air dependent upon barrier height, porosity, spacing and wind speed. Orientation is generally placed across an entire field perpendicular to applicable prevailing wind direction. Implementation will reduce soil loss; protect growing plants from damage by wind blown soil particles, provide food and cover for wildlife. Payment is for the establishment of perennial barriers and required reestablishment.

Feature Measure: Feet extablished
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$126.09

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.10

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.06 | \$0.84 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 0.06 | \$1.26 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Soybeans Irrigated | 1962 | Irrigated Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$442.21 | 0.02 | \$8.84 |
| FI, Wheat Irrigated | 1964 | Irrigated Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$345.13 | 0.02 | \$6.90 |
| FI, Vegetables | 2033 | Vegetables is Primary Crop | Acres | \$2,088.46 | 0.02 | \$41.77 |

## Labor

General Labor
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and
Hours $\quad \$ 28.64 \quad 1$
\$28.64 other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

## Materials

Native and Introduced Perennial
2757 A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or
Acres
\$228.68
0.06
\$13.72

Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density
forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 604-Saturated Buffer
Scenario: \#2-Saturated Buffer

## Scenario Description:

Water discharging from a subsurface drainage system is dispersed along a buffer strip (often a riparian buffer). The water flows underground through the buffer area where nutrients and sediment can be removed before the water reaches the stream. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients) Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management

## Before Situation:

Water from a subsurface drainage system flows directly into a stream, carrying sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

Water from a subsurface drainage system is dispersed through at 400 feet of $5^{\prime}$ HDPE single wall perforated pipe tile drain along an established vegetated buffer strip at least 30 feet from the receiving stream. Drainage pipe is trenched in at 4 feet depth. The water is detained by passing underground where the nitrogen is removed by bacteria and natural processes.

Feature Measure: Length of Dispersal conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 400.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,974.71
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.44
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 400 | \$548.00 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 3 | \$188.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1 | \$31.94 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 169.6 | \$393.47 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 200 | \$422.00 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x Diameter | \$18.68 | 60 | \$1,120.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 604-Saturated Buffer
Scenario: \#10-Saturated Buffer with Automated Water Control Structure
Scenario Description:
Water discharging from a subsurface drainage system is dispersed from an automated water control structure along a buffer strip (often a riparian buffer). The water flows underground through the buffer area where nutrients and sediment can be removed before the water reaches the stream. Resource Concerns: Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients) Associated Practices: 606 - Subsurface Drain; 554 - Drainage Water Management; Structure for Water Control (587).

Before Situation:
Water from a subsurface drainage system flows directly into a stream, carrying sediment and nutrients.

## After Situation:

Water from a subsurface drainage system is dispersed from an automated water control structure through 400 feet of 5' HDPE single wall corregated perforated pipe tile drain along an established vegetated buffer strip at least 30 feet from the receiving stream. Drainage pipe is trenched in at 4 feet depth. The water is detained by passing underground where the nitrogen is removed by bacteria and natural processes.

Feature Measure: Length of Dispersal conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 400.00

## Scenario Total Cost:

## \$8,002.64

Scenario Cost/Unit:
\$20.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 400 | \$548.00 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 3 | \$188.73 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 1 | \$31.94 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 1 | \$623.08 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 169.6 | \$393.47 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 200 | \$422.00 |
| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 1 | \$1,663.47 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x Diameter | \$18.68 | 60 | \$1,120.80 |
| Valve, Inline, < 12 inch dia. | 2367 | Inline valve less than 12 inch diameter to control direction and volume of flow within a pipeline system. Materials only. | Each | \$468.77 | 1 | \$468.77 |
| Light Duty Linear Actuator | 2724 | 12VDC aluminum light duty linear actuator with 12??? stroke and potentiometer. 110 lb dynamic load rating with $20: 1$ gear ratio, 500 lb static load rating. | Each | \$152.19 | 1 | \$152.19 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 605 -Denitrifying Bioreactor
Scenario: \#5 - Denitrifying Bioreactor with liner, no soil cover

## Scenario Description:

Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitratenitrogen in subsurface agricultural drainage flow via enhanced nitrification. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor has a geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) LINER between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters.Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Before the installation, the subsurface drainage system is contributing nitrates to a surface water source (ditch or stream), high nitrates are a resource concern to the receiving water, and it is feasible to install a bioreactor to reduce the nitrate load from drainage outflows.

## After Situation:

Bioreactor has geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) LINER between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy 6 feet of the pit plus $10 \%$ crowned ( $366 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{yd}$.) and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. A geotextile fabric (or PE material) LINER surrounds the chips to prevent migration of soil into the pit. Water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline water control structures are in place. Upper WCS connected to the upper 6' diameter single-wall CPT manifold pipe (15' each, note that 6' HDPE dual wall is the only type available and used in the scenario components) by $6^{\prime}$ diameter dual wall pipe ( $20^{\prime}$ each). $20^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ dual wall pipe connects the downstream manifold to the lower WCS which is connected back to the main with additional $20^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ dual wall pipe. Flow rates are dependent upon the availability of drainage water from the 10' drainage mainline. 40' of mainline is replaced with non-perforated 10' above and below the upper WCS. The soil excavated from the pit is spoiled onto the nearby field. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554).

Feature Measure: Volume of Carbon Source
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 366.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 27,800.30$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$75.96
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 807 | \$871.56 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 333 | \$805.86 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 333 | \$1,098.90 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 366 | \$18,182.88 |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 50 | \$180.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 68.4 | \$144.32 |
| Water Level Control Structure, Inline, 2 Baffle, 10 in . diameter | 2021 | Inline inlet WCS 6 ft . high x 10 in . diameter connections, 2 baffle ( 3 compartments) | Each | \$2,047.32 | 1 | \$2,047.32 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x <br> Diameter | \$18.68 | 60 | \$1,120.80 |

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, <= 12-inch, watertight, weight priced

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 605 -Denitrifying Bioreactor
Scenario: \#6 - Denitrifying Bioreactor, without Liner, Soil Cover

## Scenario Description:

Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitratenitrogen in subsurface agricultural drainage flow via enhanced denitrification. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor has the following components: woodchip filled pit, a soil cover, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters.Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Before the installation, the subsurface drainage system is contributing nitrates to a surface water source (ditch or stream), high nitrates are a resource concern to the receiving water, and it is feasible to install a bioreactor to reduce the nitrate load from drainage outflows.

## After Situation:

Bioreactor has the following components: woodchip filled pit, a soil cover, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy the lower 4 feet of the pit ( 222 cu . yd.) and a soil blanket over the woodchips is 2.0 ft . and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. Water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline water control structures are in place. Upper WCS connected to the upper 6' diameter single-wall CPT manifold pipe (15' each, note that 6' HDPE dual wall is the only type available and used in the scenario components) by 6 ' diameter dual wall pipe ( 20 ' each). 20 ' of 6 ' dual wall pipe connects the downstream manifold to the lower WCS which is connected back to the main with additional $20^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ dual wall pipe. Flow rates are dependent upon the availability of drainage water from the 10 ' drainage mainline. 40 ' of mainline is replaced with non-perforated 10' above and below the upper WCS. The soil excavated from the pit is spoiled onto the nearby field. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554).

Feature Measure: Volume of Carbon Source
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 222.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$19,335.92

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$87.10

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 333 | \$805.86 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 200 | \$660.00 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 222 | \$11,028.96 |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 50 | \$180.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |

Materials

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, $<=12$ in. weight priced Compound
Water Level Control Structure, Inline, 2 Baffle, 10 in. diameter
Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion

Water Control Structure, Stoplog,
Inline, variable cost portion

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, <= 12-inch, watertight, weight priced

1380 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single Pound \$2.11 68.4 \$144.32 wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. compartments)
2145 Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only.

2146 Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only.
2816 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double $\quad$ Pound $\quad \$ 3.77 \quad 92.4 \quad \$ 348.35$
wall corrugated pipe $<=12$-inch diameter. Materials only.

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 605 -Denitrifying Bioreactor
Scenario: \#16-Denitrifying Bioreactor, with liner and soil cover

## Scenario Description:

Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitratenitrogen in subsurface agricultural drainage flow via enhanced nitrification. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor has a geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) LINER between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, a soil cover, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters.Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Before the installation, the subsurface drainage system is contributing nitrates to a surface water source (ditch or stream), high nitrates are a resource concern to the receiving water, and it is feasible to install a bioreactor to reduce the nitrate load from drainage outflows.

## After Situation:

Bioreactor has geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) LINER between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchips occupying the lower 4 feet of the pit ( 222 cy ) and a 2 foot soil blanket over the wood chips that will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy 4 feet of the pit and a soil cover occupies the remaining 2 feet, plus $10 \%$ crowned and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. A geotextile fabric (or PE material) LINER surrounds the chips to prevent migration of soil into the pit. Water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline water control structures are in place. Upper WCS connected to the upper 6' diameter single-wall CPT manifold pipe (15' each, note that 6' HDPE dual wall is the only type available and used in the scenario components) by 6 ' diameter dual wall pipe ( $20^{\prime}$ each). 20 ' of 6 ' dual wall pipe connects the downstream manifold to the lower WCS which is connected back to the main with additional $20^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ dual wall pipe. Flow rates are dependent upon the availability of drainage water from the 10 ' drainage mainline. 40 ' of mainline is replaced with non-perforated 10' above and below the upper WCS. The soil excavated from the pit is spoiled onto the nearby field. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554).

Feature Measure: Volume of Carbon Source
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 222.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 20,207.48$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$91.02

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 807 | \$871.56 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 333 | \$805.86 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 200 | \$660.00 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 222 | \$11,028.96 |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 50 | \$180.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |

## Materials

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, $<=12$ in. weight priced Compound
Water Level Control Structure, Inline, 2 Baffle, 10 in . diameter
Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion

Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion

1380 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. compartments)
2145 Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only.

2146 Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only.

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, <= 12-inch, watertight, weight priced

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 605 -Denitrifying Bioreactor
Scenario: \#17-Denitrifying Bioreactor, without liner, no soil cover

## Scenario Description:

Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitratenitrogen in subsurface agricultural drainage flow via enhanced nitrification. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor includes the following components: woodchip filled pit, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters.Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Before the installation, the subsurface drainage system is contributing nitrates to a surface water source (ditch or stream), high nitrates are a resource concern to the receiving water, and it is feasible to install a bioreactor to reduce the nitrate load from drainage outflows.

## After Situation:

Bioreactor the following components: woodchip filled pit, two water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy 6 feet of the pit plus $10 \%$ crowned ( 366 cu . yd.) and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. Water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline water control structures are in place. Upper WCS connected to the upper $6^{\prime}$ diameter single-wall CPT manifold pipe ( 15 ' each, note that $6^{\prime}$ HDPE dual wall is the only type available and used in the scenario components) by 6 ' diameter dual wall pipe ( 20 ' each). 20' of $6^{\prime}$ dual wall pipe connects the downstream manifold to the lower WCS which is connected back to the main with additional 20 ' of 6 ' dual wall pipe. Flow rates are dependent upon the availability of drainage water from the 10' drainage mainline. 40' of mainline is replaced with non-perforated 10 ' above and below the upper WCS. The soil excavated from the pit is spoiled onto the nearby field. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554).

Feature Measure: Volume of Carbon Source
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 366.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 26,928.74$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$73.58
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 333 | \$805.86 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 333 | \$1,098.90 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 366 | \$18,182.88 |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 50 | \$180.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |

## Materials

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, $<=12$ in. weight priced Compound
Water Level Control Structure, Inline, 2 Baffle, 10 in . diameter
Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion
Water Control Structure, Stoplog,
Inline, variable cost portion

Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, <= 12-inch, watertight, weight priced

1380 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single Pound \$2.11 68.4 \$144.32 wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. compartments)
2145 Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only.

2146 Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only.
2816 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double $\quad$ Pound $\quad \$ 3.77 \quad 92.4 \quad \$ 348.35$
$\$$

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 605 -Denitrifying Bioreactor
Scenario: \#21 - Denitrifying Bioreactor Recharge

## Scenario Description:

Recharge of an existing denitrifying bioreactor that was designed and installed to meet NRCS standards. Recharge is needed when the bioreactor has operated for its 10year design life. The water control structure will be re-used. The wood chips will be replaced along with the distribution and collection pipe plumbing and the liner in the bioreactor chamber. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554), Critical Area Planting (342). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters. Management and maintenance of the bioreactor, as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Before the recharge installation, the existing bioreactor has operated for its 10-year design life and is no longer removing the nitrates from the drainage water. The wood chip media have broken down and are clogging the perforations in the distribution and collection pipes. The subsurface drainage system is once again contributing significant nitrates to surface water.

## After Situation:

After recharge, the bioreactor is again functioning to remove nitrates from drainage water as designed. Bioreactor has new 6??? corrugated plastic tubing in the bioreactor chamber, and new wood chip media. Wood chips occupy the lower 4 feet of the pit ( 222 cy ), with a new geotextile fabric liner above the wood chips ( 15 feet wide by 100 feet long), and 2 feet of soil cover on top plus $10 \%$ mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. If the newly constructed soil cover will not be cropped, revegetate using Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: Volume of Carbon Source
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 222.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,229.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$64.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 520 | \$561.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 333 | \$805.86 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 120 | \$396.00 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 222 | \$11,028.96 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 22.8 | \$48.11 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 605 -Denitrifying Bioreactor
Scenario: \#23 - Denitrifying Bioreactor with Automated Water Control Structures

## Scenario Description:

Scenario describes a structure containing a carbon source installed to intercept subsurface drain (tile) flow or ground water, and reduce the concentration of nitratenitrogen in subsurface agricultural drainage flow via enhanced nitrification. Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. This bioreactor has a geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) LINER between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, two automated water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor.
Woodchips serve as the carbon source necessary to the denitrification process. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554). Resource concern: Water Quality Degradation - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters. Management and maintenance of the bioreactor (including chip replenishment), as well as monitoring and reporting to demonstrate the performance of the practice are not included in this scenario.

## Before Situation:

Before the installation, the subsurface drainage system is contributing nitrates to a surface water source (ditch or stream), high nitrates are a resource concern to the receiving water, and it is feasible to install a bioreactor to reduce the nitrate load from drainage outflows.

## After Situation:

Bioreactor has geotextile fabric (or polyethylene - PE) LINER between the wood chips and the surrounding soil plus the following components: woodchip filled pit, two automated water control structures (to allow management of the flow rate and free water elevation within the bioreactor), and piping to convey water to and from the bioreactor. The approximate bioreactor excavated pit volume is 333 cubic yards (e.g. 6 feet deep, 15 feet wide and 100 feet long). Woodchips occupy 6 feet of the pit plus $10 \%$ crowned ( 366 cu. yd.) and will be mounded above ground level to shed precipitation. A geotextile fabric (or PE material) LINER surrounds the chips to prevent migration of soil into the pit. Automated water control structures should be installed using practice standard (587) Structure for Water Control. Two inline automated water control structures are in place. The upper automated water control structure is connected to 20' of 6' diameter CPT, which is connected to the upstream manifold pipe ( $15^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ diameter CPT). The downstream manifold ( $15^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ diameter CPT) is connected to $20^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ diameter CPT to the lower automated water control structure, which is connected back to the main with additional $20^{\prime}$ of $6^{\prime}$ diameter CPT. Flow rates are dependent upon the availability of drainage water from the 10 drainage mainline. $40^{\prime}$ of mainline is replaced with non-perforated $10^{\prime}$ above and below the upper automated water control structure. The soil excavated from the pit is spoiled onto the nearby field. Associated practices: Subsurface Drain (606), Structure for Water Control (587), Drainage Water Management (554).

Feature Measure: Volume of Carbon Source
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 366.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$34,040.04
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$93.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 807 | \$871.56 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 333 | \$805.86 |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 333 | \$1,098.90 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 366 | \$18,182.88 |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in . | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 50 | \$180.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 2 | \$1,246.16 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 68.4 | \$144.32 |


| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 2 | \$3,326.94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Level Control Structure, Inline, 2 Baffle, 10 in . diameter | 2021 | Inline inlet WCS 6 ft . high $\times 10 \mathrm{in}$. diameter connections, 2 baffle ( 3 compartments) | Each | \$2,047.32 | 1 | \$2,047.32 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, fixed costs portion | 2145 | Fixed cost portion of Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Materials only. | Each | \$367.01 | 1 | \$367.01 |
| Water Control Structure, Stoplog, Inline, variable cost portion | 2146 | Variable cost portion of a Water Level Control Structure, Inline stoplog type. Typically made of PVC or fiberglass materials. Calculate total variable costs by multiplying by the structure height x pipe diameter. Materials only. | Height x <br> Diameter | \$18.68 | 60 | \$1,120.80 |
| Valve, Inline, < 12 inch dia. | 2367 | Inline valve less than 12 inch diameter to control direction and volume of flow within a pipeline system. Materials only. | Each | \$468.77 | 2 | \$937.54 |
| Light Duty Linear Actuator | 2724 | 12VDC aluminum light duty linear actuator with 12??? stroke and potentiometer. 110 lb dynamic load rating with $20: 1$ gear ratio, 500 lb static load rating. | Each | \$152.19 | 2 | \$304.38 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, <= 12-inch, watertight, weight priced | 2816 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe <= 12-inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.77 | 92.4 | \$348.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#1-<= 5in CPP
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 5-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices. Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 Waste Storage Facility

Before Situation:
Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) grassed waterways have a high failure rate due to the prolonged wetness that prohibits plant germination and/or drowns new growth.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,576.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.79
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 2000 | \$2,740.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 1000 | \$2,110.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#2-6in CPP
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes construction of 2,000 feet of 6-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices.Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility

Before Situation:
Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) grassed waterways have a high failure rate due to the prolonged wetness that prohibits plant germination and/or drowns new growth.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,674.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.34
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. $\mathrm{x} 48 \mathrm{in}$. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 2000 | \$2,740.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 1520 | \$3,207.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

[^3]Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#3-8in CPP
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 8-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices.Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608 - Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility

Before Situation:
Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) grassed waterways have a high failure rate due to the prolonged wetness that prohibits plant germination and/or drowns new growth.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,307.30
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 2000 | \$7,200.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 2550 | \$5,380.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#4-10in CPP

## Scenario Description:

Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 10 -inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices.Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility

Before Situation:
Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) grassed waterways have a high failure rate due to the prolonged wetness that prohibits plant germination and/or drowns new growth.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$16,092.50
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.05
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 2000 | \$7,200.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 3870 | \$8,165.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#5-12in CPP
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of 12 -inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices.Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility

Before Situation:
Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) grassed waterways have a high failure rate due to the prolonged wetness that prohibits plant germination and/or drowns new growth.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$19,046.50
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 2000 | \$7,200.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 5270 | \$11,119.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#6->=15in CPP
Scenario Description:
Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline, using a trencher. Scenario describes the construction 2,000 feet of $15-\mathrm{inch}$, twin-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices.Resource Concerns: Excess Water (Seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation
(Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility

Before Situation:
Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) grassed waterways have a high failure rate due to the prolonged wetness that prohibits plant germination and/or drowns new growth.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, allowing for sufficient aeration to allow vegetation to establish. Gully erosion and sediment transport are minimized by established vegetation, a direct result of removing excess water from the soil profile. Plant stress due to excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due to increased erosion control.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$30,006.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 15.00$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 24 in. x 60 in. | 1460 | Trenching, earth, 24 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling. | Feet | \$3.60 | 2000 | \$7,200.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 9200 | \$22,080.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#7 - Enveloped Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), Single-Wall, <= 6 inch

## Scenario Description:

Description: Below ground installation of perforated HDPE (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) pipeline with Sand-Gravel envelope, using a drainage trencher. Scenario includes the construction of 2,000 feet of 5-inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE Corrugated Plastic Pipe (CPP), installed below ground to a minimum depth of 5 feet, and surrounded with a sand-gravel envelope. The unit is in weight of pipe material in pounds. 2,000 feet of 5 -inch, Single-Wall, perforated HDPE CPP weighs $0.50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$, or a total of 1,000 pounds. The typical volume sand-gravel for 2,000 feet of $12^{\prime}$ wide $\times 12^{\prime}$ high envelope is 64 cubic yards. Subsurface drainage is installed as a supporting practice for a number of associated conservation practices including (but not limited to) perimeter drainage around a waste storage facility.Resource Concerns: Excess Water (seasonal High Water Table); Degraded Plant Condition; Water Quality Degradation (Nutrients). Associated Practices: 608-Surface Drain, Main or Lateral; 587 - Structure for Water Control, 533 - Pumping Plant; and 554 - Drainage Water Management; 620 - Underground Outlet; 412 - Grassed Waterway; 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 484 - Mulching; 410 - Grade Stabilization Structure; 468 - Lined Waterway or Outlet; 313 - Waste Storage Facility

## Before Situation:

Before installation soil conditions are excessively wet in the spring due to poor internal soil drainage. Excess soil water is causing crop stress and delay of field operations (seed bed preparation, planting, etc.). Conservation practice implementation including (but not limited to) waste storage facilities have a high failure rate due to uplift pressures damaging the integrity of the facility, particularly in high water table areas.

## After Situation:

The drainage modifications result in reduced water in the upper horizons of the soil profile, preventing uplift pressures from damaging the integrity of installed structures. Excessive wetness caused by a seasonal high water table is minimized, and drainage water quality is improved due decreased erosion.

Feature Measure: length of pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,095.96

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.55
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Loader, 95HP | 935 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$92.26 | 8 | \$738.08 |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 60 in. | 1459 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 60$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching, laying 3 to 6 inch CPP drain line with envelope, and backfilling. | Feet | \$1.84 | 2000 | \$3,680.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |

## Materials

| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 64 | \$2,552.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 1000 | \$2,110.00 |
| Drainage Lateral Connection | 1458 | Connect 3-6 inch drainage lateral to main drain, includes excavation to 6 foot depth, install tee on main line, connect lateral, and backfill. Includes material cost for tee. | Each | \$29.48 | 3 | \$88.44 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 606-Subsurface Drain
Scenario: \#8 - Secondary Main Retrofit for DWM

## Scenario Description:

An agricultural field has existing patterned tile system installed at 75 foot spacings. The field is 75 acres in size: $2475^{\prime} \times 1320$ ', with a single main line at the low end of the field ( 2475 '). The laterals are installed perpendicular to the topographic contours. The field has 3.5 feet of fall in the $1 / 4$ mile length of the laterals, so a secondary main will be needed to allow drainage water management to be implemented on the higher half of the field.

## Before Situation:

The patterned tile drainage system allows free flow of drainage water to a receiving ditch. Drainage water carries nitrogen and phosphorus out of the soil and these nutrients pollute the receiving waters.

## After Situation:

A 12 inch diameter secondary mainline is retrofitted to the drainage system, located halfway up the field and relatively parallel to the topographic contours. This new mainline is hooked to each individual lateral and continued to a stable outlet. A Drainage Water practice must be completed along with the mainline; typically Structures for Water Control (587) installed at two foot vertical intervals so that water can be retained in the field. This scenario also applies to systems where the secondary main is used to connect drain lines that formerly each exited separately to the ditch, with a structure that distributes the drainage water into the subsurface soil at a vegetated buffer (772) OR a Denitrifying Bioreactor (747) might be installed at the outlet. In combination or singly, one of these practices must be installed with the secondary main.

Feature Measure: Feet of Pipe
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 3,135.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 26,649.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.50

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, tile line plowing, earth, 60 in. | 1457 | Plowing in 3-15 inch CPP drain line into earth, 60 inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching, laying, and backfilling. | Feet | \$2.36 | 3135 | \$7,398.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 8260.72 | \$17,430.12 |
| Drainage Lateral Connection | 1458 | Connect 3-6 inch drainage lateral to main drain, includes excavation to 6 foot depth, install tee on main line, connect lateral, and backfill. Includes material cost for tee. | Each | \$29.48 | 32 | \$943.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 609-Surface Roughening |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#11-Tillage for Random Surface Roughness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Tillage on soils that are stable enough to sustain effective ridges and cloddiness and have a high wind erosion potential due to lack of surface cover. Wind erodibility factor (I) is less than 104. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current well -planned and properly applied wind erosion control systems have failed. Tillage operations have not effectively reduced soil erosion from wind and windborne sediment, Particulate Matter emissions occur. Crop damage from wind-borne particles can occur. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Tillage has been conducted to produce random roughness ( $R$ R) values large enough to achieve a $25 \%$ reduction in the potential erosion rate, or reduced wind erosion during the management period by $25 \%$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of Surface Roughening |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 160.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,8 | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 24.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 160 | \$3,412.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 609-Surface Roughening |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#12-Tillage with Wind Erodibility factor (1) greater than 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Tillage on soils that are stable enough to sustain effective ridges and cloddiness and have a high wind erosion potential due to lack of surface cover. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current well-planned and properly applied wind erosion control systems have failed. Tillage operations have not effectively reduced soil erosion from wind and windborne sediment, Particulate Matter emissions occur. Crop damage from wind-borne particles can occur. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Tillage has been conducted to produce random roughness (RR) values large enough to achieve a $25 \%$ reduction in the potential erosion rate, or reduced wind erosion during the management period by $25 \%$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres of Surface Roughening |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 160.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,050.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 25.31 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ripper or subsoiler, 16 to 36 inch depth | 1235 | Deep ripper or subsoiler, (16-36 inches depth) includes tillage implement, power unit and labor. | Acres | \$22.44 | 160 | \$3,590.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |

Practice: 612-Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#1-Direct Seeding

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of tree and shrubs through direct seeding after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will handle a medium density planting rate. The resource concerns addressed is degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes tree seed, equipment and labor to seed, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

The land has a little or no tree cover and has been intensively row cropped. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

Seed from native species are collected or purchased and planted at prescribed rates. 5 acres of land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 7,123.38$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,424.68$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 5 | \$70.20 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 5 | \$66.60 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trees and shrubs, seed | 1871 | Tree or shrub seed, e.g., acorns, to establish trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Pound | \$9.36 | 400 | \$3,744.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

[^4]Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#2 - Direct Seeding, no Foregone Income

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of tree and shrubs through direct seeding after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will handle a medium density planting rate. The resource concerns addressed is degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes tree seed and equipment and labor to seed. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
The land has a little or no tree cover, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

After Situation:
Seed from native species are collected or purchased and planted at prescribed rates. 5 acres of land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,023.58
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,004.72

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 5 | \$70.20 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 5 | \$66.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trees and shrubs, seed | 1871 | Tree or shrub seed, e.g., acorns, to establish trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Pound | \$9.36 | 400 | \$3,744.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#3 - Hardwood Establishment, Bareroot

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of bare-root hardwood tree seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 436 trees per acre ( $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ spacing). Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

The land has a little or no tree cover and has been intensively row cropped. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,235.18
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,223.52
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 4360 | \$7,063.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 612-Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#4-Hardwood Establishment, Bareroot, Free Seedlings

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of bare-root hardwood tree seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 436 trees per acre ( $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ spacing). Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes equipment and labor to plant and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Seedlings are acquired for no charge to the landowner. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

The land has a little or no tree cover and has been intensively row cropped. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,171.98

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$517.20

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#5 - Shrub Establishment, Bareroot

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of bare-root shrub seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 1210 shrubs per acre ( $6^{\prime} \times 6$ ' spacing). Plantings are in either uplands or bottomlands. The site lacks ground level habitat structure and diversity for wildlife. Resource concern is inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife - habitat fragmentation. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
The land has a little or no shrubby cover and has been intensively row cropped. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in topsoil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition.

## After Situation:

Multiple small blocks of shrubs are planted that total 1 acre. The blocks are based on a habitat appraisal that determines the specific size and location to maximize habitat structure and diversity.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,775.90$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,775.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 4 | \$50.04 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

Shrub, Seedling, Medium

Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical
containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only.

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#6 - Conifer Establishment, Bareroot

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of bare-root conifer tree seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 436 trees per acre ( $10^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ spacing). Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of crop production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

The land has a little or no tree cover and has been intensively row cropped. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,921.58

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$892.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Medium | 1514 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 8 or 10 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings 1+1 (two-year old seedlings that grew one year in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed), or bare root seedlings $2+0$ (two-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.86 | 4360 | \$3,749.60 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, small equipment
1138 Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with
Each
\$288.90
\$288.90

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#7-Bareroot Trees and Shrubs, Each

## Scenario Description:

Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

The land has little/no tree cover, is understocked, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 4,360.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,093.82$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.86
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 4 | \$58.24 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 4360 | \$7,063.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#8-Bareroot Trees and Shrubs, with Tree Shelters, Each

## Scenario Description:

Bare-root trees and/or shrubs to be planted or interplanted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Seedlings are protected from deer browsing by installing tree tube shelters. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, tree shelters, and equipment and labor to plant and install shelters. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

The land has little/no tree cover, is understocked, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in topsoil depleted). The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition.

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 4,360.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$31,038.54

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.12

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than $30^{\prime}$ in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 4 | \$58.24 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |

Labor

| General Labor 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and <br> other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, <br> herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <br> $<12$ in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew <br> supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for <br> adopting new technology, etc. |


| Hours | $\$ 28.64$ | 12 | $\$ 343.68$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Materials

| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 4360 | \$7,063.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 36 in | 1565 | 4 inch x 36 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.90 | 4360 | \$17,004.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 8720 | \$610.40 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. | 1581 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.17 | 4360 | \$5,101.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#9-Hardwood Planting, 1 gallon pots
Scenario Description:
Improving the hardwood forest setting by hand planting containerized hardwood tree seedlings. The number of trees to plant ( 100 per acre) is lower than establishing a new forest. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesireable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife - habitat degradation. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

In an existing upland forest the present trees are poor quality, at low stocking levels, or are undesirable species. Existing conditions do not meet landonwer objectives of growing high quality trees. Wildlife habitat is poor due to the above described conditions. Resource concerns are degrade plant condition - undesireable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife - habitat degradation. Prior to planting any needed vegetation control will be conducted first.

After Situation:
The area of treatment is 5 acres. Containerized hardwood seedlings are planted by hand in the best locations for seedling survival. Post planting vegetation control is planned to ensure seedling survival.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,383.60$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,076.72

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 30 | \$375.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 500 | \$2,705.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 500 | \$65.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#10-Hardwood Planting, 1 gallon pots with tree shelters

## Scenario Description:

Improving the hardwood forest setting by hand planting containerized hardwood tree seedlings. Seedlings are protected from deer browsing. The number of trees to plant (100 per acre) is lower than establishing a new forest. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesireable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife - habitat degradation. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

Before Situation:
In an existing upland forest the present trees are poor quality, at low stocking levels, or are undesirable species. Existing conditions do not meet landonwer objectives of growing high quality trees. Wildlife habitat is poor due to the above described conditions. Resource concerns are degrade plant condition - undesireable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife - habitat degradation. Prior to planting any needed vegetation control will be conducted first.

After Situation:
The area of treatment is 5 acres. Containerized hardwood seedlings are planted by hand in the best locations for seedling survival. Solid tree tubes are installed to protect seedlings from animal browsing damage. Post planting vegetation control is planned to ensure seedling survival.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,307.80$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 30 | \$375.30 |


| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 60 | \$1,718.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 500 | \$2,705.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 36 in | 1565 | 4 inch x 36 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.90 | 500 | \$1,950.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 1000 | \$70.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 48 in. | 1582 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.09 | 500 | \$1,045.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in . length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 500 | \$65.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#11-Container Trees and Shrubs, 2 gallon and larger, Each
Scenario Description:
Containerized trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or inter planted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesirable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife. Payment includes 3 gallon containerized plants and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

The land has little/no tree cover, is under stocked, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,998.14
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$19.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 100 | \$1,577.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#12-Container Trees and Shrubs 2 gallon and larger with tree shelters, Each

## Scenario Description:

Containerized trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or inter planted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Seedlings are protected from deer browsing by installing tree tube shelters. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesirable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife.
Payment includes 3 gallon containerized plants, tree shelters, and equipment and labor to plant and install tree shelters. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

Before Situation:
The land has little/no tree cover, is under stocked, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,154.49

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$31.54
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 17 | \$212.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 100 | \$1,577.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $x 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch x 48 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 200 | \$14.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 100 | \$243.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#50-Hardwood Establishment, Bareroot, Pasture Conversion

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves planting of bare-root tree seedlings after the site has been prepared for seedling growth and establishment. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 500 trees per acre. Tree tube shelters are placed on $10 \%$ of the seedlings to reduce damage from deer browsing. Resource concerns addressed are degraded plant condition -- undesirable plant productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition and degraded wildlife habitat. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, equipment and labor to plant, and foregone income for the land taken out of grazing production. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

The land has a little or no tree cover and has been in pasture. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). Native wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concern is degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: area of treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,234.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,023.43
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 2 | \$29.12 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 4 | \$22.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 26 | \$518.44 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 5000 | \$8,100.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 3$1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. $\times 30 \mathrm{in}$. | 1560 | 3-1/4 inch $x 30$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$3.25 | 50 | \$162.50 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 100 | \$7.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. | 1581 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.17 | 500 | \$585.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

## Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment

Scenario: \#53-Bareroot Trees and Shrubs, Hand Planting

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves hand planting of bare-root tree seedlings in an existing woodland. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 300 trees per acre. Plantings are in either uplands or bottomlands. The site lacks ground level habitat structure and diversity for wildlife and degraded plant condition. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, and equipment and labor to hand plant seedlings. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

Before Situation:
The land is an existing woodland where diversity of trees and wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition - inadequate structure and composition, and fish and wildlife ??? inadequate habitat (cover/shelter) and/or inadequate food.

After Situation:
The land is regenerated with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition and wildlife habitat structure and diversity.
Feature Measure: Each Tree or Shrub
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,801.95

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.87
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 17 | \$409.87 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 34 | \$425.34 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 34 | \$973.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 34 | \$1,562.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 1500 | \$2,430.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#66-Tree/Shrub Regeneration Area with Protection

## Scenario Description:

Naturally regenerate a forest stand using properly timed even-aged overstory harvesting methods and necessary site preparation. When implemented with the guidance of a professional forester, such silvicultural activities result in well stocked stands representative of the natural community. Standard forestry measures are used to provide temporary protection for regenerating trees, to improve the likelihood of successful regeneration and achieve landowner objectives for future forest stand composition. Seedlings are protected by temporary 8 ' high fencing materials or poly netting, designed to be moved when regeneration is established. Clearing of brush and undesirable trees is not necessary. Resource concerns include: Degraded Plant Condition - Inadequate structure and composition, Undesirable plant productivity and health, and/or Inadequate wildlife \& fish habitat; and/or Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters.

Before Situation:
Forest stands lack the desired species composition and/or structure, and/or have been impacted by environmental stressors and are unhealthy. Wildlife habitat is inadequate. Forest conditions do not meet landowner objectives. Environmental conditions are limiting to natural forest regeneration and establishment, and temporary protection will be needed. Primary resource concerns are Degraded Plant Condition - Inadequate structure and composition and Inadequate wildlife \& fish habitat.

## After Situation:

Natural forest regeneration has been accomplished on 20 acres of forest land. Trees are successfully established and the forest exhibits the planned mix of diverse native tree species. The future forest will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, and sequester carbon.

Feature Measure: Area of Treatment
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,671.31
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,067.13

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 15 | \$361.65 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |

## Materials

| Wire, Woven, Wildlife, 96 in. | 6 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 96 inch - 330 foot roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$684.30 | 8 | \$5,474.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 12-14 ft. | 13 | Wood Post, Line/End 6 inch dia. X 12-14 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$37.41 | 8 | \$299.28 |
| Post, Steel T, 1.33 lbs, 10 ft . | 17 | Steel Post, Studded 10 ft . - 1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.40 | 127 | \$1,574.80 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 2704 | \$405.60 |
| Property/Safety Signs | 293 | Plastic fence safety or property sign, printed on both sides with 6 predrilled holes for hanging or nailing. $7.5 \times 4.75$ inch. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.09 | 25 | \$52.25 |
| Gate, Game, 8 ft . High X 4 ft . Wide | 1082 | 4 Foot wide game gate (8 feet tall). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$265.26 | 1 | \$265.26 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#70-Container Trees and Shrubs, less than 2 gallon, Each
Scenario Description:
Containerized trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or inter planted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesirable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife. Payment includes 1 quart size containerized plants and equipment and labor to plant. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation.Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

## Before Situation:

The land has little/no tree cover, is under stocked, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition and inadequate structure and composition.

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: trees planted

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$962.14
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.62

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 100 | \$541.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#74 - Bareroot Trees and Shrubs, Hand Planting with Shelters

## Scenario Description:

This practice involves hand planting of bare-root tree seedlings in an existing woodland. The productivity of the site is good and will support a planting rate of 300 trees per acre. Plantings are in either uplands or bottomlands. The site lacks ground level habitat structure and diversity for wildlife and degraded plant condition. Payment includes bare-root seedlings, and equipment and labor to hand plant seedlings and install shelters. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching

## Before Situation:

The land is an existing woodland where diversity of trees and wildlife habitat is lacking. The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition - inadequate structure and composition, and fish and wildlife ??? inadequate habitat (cover/shelter) and/or inadequate food.
After Situation:
The land is regenerated with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition and wildlife habitat structure and diversity.
Feature Measure: Each Tree or Shrub
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1,500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,078.50

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.05

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 17 | \$409.87 |
| Trailer, flatbed, small | 1505 | Small flatbed trailer (typically less than 30 ' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$9.85 | 17 | \$167.45 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 68 | \$850.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 68 | \$1,947.52 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 34 | \$1,562.98 |

## Materials

Tree, Hardwood, Seedling,
Medium

Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in .
x 36 in
Cable ties, plastic 1575
in.

Stakes, wood, $3 / 4 \mathrm{in} .\mathrm{x} \mathrm{3/4} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{x} 36 \quad 1581 \quad .3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 3 / 4 \mathrm{in} . \times 36 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes Each $\$ 1.17 \quad 1500$
1510 Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only.

| 4 inch x 36 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials <br> and shipping only. | Each | $\$ 3.90$ | 1500 | $\$ 5,850.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | $\$ 0.07$ | 1500 | $\$ 105.00$ |
| 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes <br> materials only. | Each | $\$ 1.17$ | 1500 | $\$ 1,755.00$ |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#75-Container Trees and Shrubs, less than 2 gallon with tree shelters, Each

## Scenario Description:

Containerized trees and/or shrubs (potted) to be planted or inter planted to establish woody plants in any area where they can be grown for wildlife, erosion control, water quality improvement, carbon sequestration, forest products, and aesthetics. Seedlings are protected from deer browsing by installing tree tube shelters. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesirable productivity and health, and Inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife. Payment includes containerized plants less than 2 gallons in size, tree shelters, and equipment and labor to plant and install tree shelters. Foregone income is not included with this scenario. Site preparation is implemented through associated practice 490 Tree/Shrub Site Preparation. Additional associated practices may include: 315 Herbaceous Weed Control, 660 Tree/Shrub Pruning, 484 Mulching.

Before Situation:
The land has little/no tree cover, is under stocked, or is stocked with the wrong tree species. Competing vegetation is a before and after planting concern. Soil condition is degraded due to the loss of the native forest ecosystem (organic matter in top soil depleted). The main resource concerns are degraded plant condition andinadequate structure and composition

## After Situation:

The land is established with permanent tree cover that will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, sequester carbon and reduce invasive species presence. Establishing forest vegetation also creates corridors for wildlife movement.

Feature Measure: per plant
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,118.49

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.18

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 17 | \$212.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 100 | \$541.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 5 in. $x 48$ in. | 1571 | 5 inch x 48 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 200 | \$14.00 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 100 | \$243.00 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#77-Tree/shrub Planted Area with Protection

## Scenario Description:

Tree/shrub seedlings will be hand planted in a forested area where few or no forest trees are currently growing. When implemented with the guidance of a professional forester, a well-stocked stand of a predetermined species composition will result. Standard forestry measures are used to provide temporary protection for planted trees, to improve the likelihood of successful establishment and achieve landowner objectives for future forest stand composition. Seedlings are protected by temporary 8 ' high fencing materials or poly netting, designed to be moved when regeneration is established. Resource concerns include: Degraded Plant Condition - Inadequate structure and composition, Undesirable plant productivity and health, and/or Inadequate wildlife \& fish habitat; and/or Water Quality Degradation - Excessive sediment in surface waters.

## Before Situation:

Forest stands lack the desired species composition and/or structure, and/or have been impacted by environmental stressors and are unhealthy. Wildlife habitat is inadequate. Forest conditions do not meet landowner objectives. Environmental conditions are limiting to natural forest regeneration and establishment, and tree/shrub planting along with temporary protection will be needed. Primary resource concerns are Degraded Plant Condition - Inadequate structure and composition and Inadequate wildlife \& fish habitat.

## After Situation:

Trees/shrubs have been successfully established on 10 acres of forest land. The re-established forest exhibits the planned mix of diverse native tree species. The future forest will improve degraded plant condition, reduce soil erosion, establish wildlife habitat, and sequester carbon.

Feature Measure: area
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,973.87

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,397.39

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 25 | \$602.75 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than $30^{\prime}$ in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 30 | \$436.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 30 | \$1,379.10 |

## Materials

| Wire, Woven, Wildlife, 96 in. | 6 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 96 inch - 330 foot roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$684.30 | 8 | \$5,474.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 12-14 ft. | 13 | Wood Post, Line/End 6 inch dia. X 12-14 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$37.41 | 8 | \$299.28 |
| Post, Steel T, 1.33 lbs, 10 ft . | 17 | Steel Post, Studded 10 ft . - 1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.40 | 127 | \$1,574.80 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 2704 | \$405.60 |
| Property/Safety Signs | 293 | Plastic fence safety or property sign, printed on both sides with 6 predrilled holes for hanging or nailing. $7.5 \times 4.75$ inch. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.09 | 25 | \$52.25 |
| Gate, Game, 8 ft . High X 4 ft . Wide | 1082 | 4 Foot wide game gate (8 feet tall). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$265.26 | 1 | \$265.26 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 4356 | \$3,310.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 612 -Tree/Shrub Establishment
Scenario: \#103-Tree-Shrub Establishment - Small Acreage

## Scenario Description:

Seedling (potted) to be planted for conservation purposes other than reforestation. Planting will be by hand. The resource setting is an area that historically was an upland forest. Resource concerns are degraded plant condition - undesirable productivity and health, and inadequate structure and composition; inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife.

Before Situation:
The native forest has been removed and the land is either row cropped, farmstead, or associated agricultural land. If any upland trees exist, they are poor quality or undesirable species. Terrain is gently to moderately sloping with soil erosion-sheet and rill occurring.

After Situation:
Typical treatment area can range from less than 1 acre to 5 acres; typical scenario based on $1 \mathrm{ac}, 150 \mathrm{TPA}$. Potted/containerized hardwood seedlings are planted by hand. Post vegetation control should be evaluated and conducted, if necessary.

Feature Measure: Planted Seedling
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 150.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,001.66
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.01
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Trailer, flatbed, small | 1505 | Small flatbed trailer (typically less than $30^{\prime}$ in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$9.85 | 3 | \$29.55 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 5 | \$62.55 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 35 | \$1,002.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 75 | \$584.25 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 75 | \$1,182.75 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#1 - Permanent Tank, <450 gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials with less than 450 gallons of capacity that provides adequate quantity and quality of water for storage and or direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve and wildlife escape ramp. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility with a capacity of less than 450 gallons is typically installed for 30 animal units with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife for storage and or direct drinking access and provides improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561), as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of Watering Points
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,630.84
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$726.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times 30$ ', for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 5 | \$381.00 |
| Tank, Galvanized, 400 gallon | 279 | Tank Galvanized - 400 gallon capacity | Each | \$360.20 | 5 | \$1,801.00 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 5 | \$513.95 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 101 | \$234.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 614-Watering Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#2-Portable Tank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment of a portable watering facility for livestock as part of an intensively managed grazing system where the livestock are frequently moved. Payment includes the watering tank and float valve. If needed, a stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Payment is per portable tank. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This practice is typically installed for 30 animal units. It consists of a portable trough of either durable plastic, steel, or rubber that provides adequate water and access for the livestock. The trough includes a float for control of inflow of water. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: Pipeline (516), Critical Area Planting (342), Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), and Heavy Use Area Protection (561). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Number of Portable Tanks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Each |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$245.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$245.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 100 gallon | 290 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$142.44 | 1 | \$142.44 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 1 | \$102.79 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#3 - Tire Tank

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed from a rubber tire that provides an adequate quantity and quality of water for storage and or direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve, wildlife escape ramp, and freeze proof hydrant. A stabilized area around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through associated practices of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

This practice is typically installed for 50 animal units. It consists of a necessarily large rubber tire trough, that provides adequate water and access for the livestock. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: Pipeline (516), Critical Area Planting (342), Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), and Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561), as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of Watering Points
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 7,581.88$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,516.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 1.6 | \$332.59 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 10 | \$629.10 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10 | \$402.70 |

## Materials

| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times$ x 30 ', for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 5 | \$381.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tank, Tire, 8' diameter | 286 | Tire, includes material cost for tank and shipping. Labor and other appurtenance costs not included. | Each | \$732.17 | 5 | \$3,660.85 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 5 | \$513.95 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 101 | \$234.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#4 - Large Permanent Tank, 450-1000 gallons, or Fountain

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of a large permanent watering facility using materials such as a large concrete trough or fountain type watering facilities. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the watering tank, float valve and wildlife escape ramp. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561).

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

This practice is typically installed for 50 animal units. It consists of a necessarily large permanent concrete trough, or fountain type watering facility that provides adequate water and access for the livestock. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices: Pipeline (516), Critical Area Planting (342), Water Harvesting Catchment (636), Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), and Heavy Use Area Protection (561). Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561), as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of Watering Points
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 7,071.74$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,414.35$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 15 | \$361.65 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 15 | \$429.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times$ x 30 ', for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 5 | \$381.00 |
| Tank, Concrete, 500 gallon | 1049 | Concrete tank for water storage, with riser and lid. Includes materials and delivery | Each | \$995.63 | 5 | \$4,978.15 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 5 | \$513.95 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 101 | \$234.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#5 - Above Ground Storage, 1,000-3,000 gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials having 1,000 to 3,000 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and
health.
Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

After Situation:
A permanent watering facility with water storage capacity of 1,000 to 3,000 gallons is typically installed for 30 animal units to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of tanks
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,241.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,241.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, >1,000 | 1075 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.20 | 2500 | \$3,000.00 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 13 | \$30.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#6 - Above Ground Storage, >3,000 gallons

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of a large permanent watering facility having 3,001 to 5,000 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility with water storage capacity of 3,001 to 5,000 gallons is typically installed for 50 animal units to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of tanks

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 6,797.82$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 6,797.82$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 3 | \$188.73 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, $>1,000$ | 1075 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.20 | 4500 | \$5,400.00 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 13 | \$30.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#7-Underground Storage Tank

## Scenario Description:

A precast concrete tank used for storing water as part of a watering system. The storage tank will consist of 1 storage tank (2500 gal.) adequate base material and backfill around the tank, access riser with lid, and 20 ft of 4 inch for overflow pipe.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

After Situation:
A permanent watering facility for livestock constructed of approved materials with a 2,500 gallons of additional storage capacity for adequate quantity and quality of water for storage when backup capacity is needed peak water demand periods. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of tanks
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,450.35$
Scenario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 5 | \$620.25 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 5 | \$201.35 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Concrete, 2500 gallon | 1055 | Concrete tank for water storage, with riser and lid. Includes materials and delivery. | Each | \$3,280.88 | 1 | \$3,280.88 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 2 | \$61.28 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 40 | \$92.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 614-Watering Facility
Scenario: \#8 - Frost Free Waterer

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials that provides adequate quantity and quality of water for direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the frost free waterer. The stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and
health.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility is typically installed for 30 animal units with all waterer materials to provide an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife for direct drinking access and provides improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentration will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

## Feature Measure: Number of Waterers

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,891.97

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,778.39
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 10 | \$629.10 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 10 | \$402.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Geothermal Tube Waterer | 1062 | Two head 18 gallon waterer. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,183.77 | 5 | \$5,918.85 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 5 | \$513.95 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#9-Access Ramp

## Scenario Description:

The bank of the stream or pond is severely eroded and water quality is poor due to the unrestricted access of livestock or wildlife. A conservation plan includes provisions for controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, or provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering ramp with a level section at the base is installed to provide drinking water for livestock or wildlife. The access ramp is constructed of approved materials consisting of rock and or gravel surfacing on geotextile fabric foundation, with a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, soil erosion, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health have been addressed. The watering facility includes all materials, equipment, and labor to shape the ramp and install the surfacing material. Seeding of berms and construction areas is to be specified using 342 - Critical Area Planting, and 484 - Mulching, as needed. Use 382 - Fence to limit livestock
access.
Feature Measure: Area of access ramp
Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 560.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,309.18
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 84 | \$90.72 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 3 | \$413.49 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 24 | \$957.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#59 - Permanent Drinking or Storage, Capacity less than 500 Gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with less than 500 gallons of capacity that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

After Situation:
A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with less than 500 gallons of capacity, installed with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. The watering facility is placed on a properly prepared foundation with all required materials, plumbing and vegetation for stabilizing disturbed areas. All needed pipelines are installed using Livestock Pipeline (516). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations or overflow from the watering facility will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate. All fencing will use Fence (382).

Feature Measure: Capacity in Gallons
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 250.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,534.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.14
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 0.5 | \$1.21 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times \times 30$, for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |
| Tank, Galvanized Steel Livestock, >75-300 gallon | 1067 | Includes tank materials and float valve | Gallons | \$1.56 | 250 | \$390.00 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 0.5 | \$15.32 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#60 - Permanent Drinking or Storage Capacity from 500 to 1000 Gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with 500 to 1,000 gallons of capacity that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

After Situation:
A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with a capacity of 500 to 1,000 gallons of capacity, installed with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. The watering facility is placed on a properly prepared foundation with all required materials, plumbing and vegetation for stabilizing disturbed areas. All needed pipelines are installed using Livestock Pipeline (516). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations or overflow from the watering facility will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate. All fencing will use Fence
(382).

Feature Measure: Capacity in Gallons
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 750.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,873.05$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.83
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 2 | \$4.84 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 6 | \$377.46 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |

Labor

Skilled Labor
Labor requrng agh electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc.
General Labor
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Equipment Operators, Light
Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers

242 Pool size $15^{\prime} \times 30$ ', for small mammals less than one pound.
1068 Includes tank materials and float valve

1099 Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included.
2750 Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#61 - Permanent Drinking or Storage, Capacity greater than 1000 to 5000 Gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with greater than 1,000 to 5,000 gallons of capacity that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

After Situation:
A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with a capacity of greater than 1,000 to 5,000 gallons of capacity, installed with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. The watering facility is placed on a properly prepared foundation with all required materials, plumbing and vegetation for stabilizing disturbed areas. All needed pipelines are installed using Livestock Pipeline (516). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations or overflow from the watering facility will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate. All fencing will use Fence (382).

## Feature Measure: Capacity in Gallons

Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,384.07
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 4 | \$1,894.04 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 4 | \$9.68 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 9 | \$257.76 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 7 | \$265.44 |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times 30$ ', for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |
| Tank, Galvanized Steel | 1069 | Includes tank materials, shipping, and float valve, no liner | Gallons | \$0.44 | 2000 | \$880.00 |

Bottomless Livestock, <= 6,000
gallon

# Native Perennial Grasses, Low 

 DensityNative perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual
species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping.
Mobilization

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#62 - Permanent Drinking or Storage, Capacity greater than 5000 Gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with greater than 5,000 gallons of capacity that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

## Before Situation:

This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

After Situation:
A permanent watering facility as a means for providing controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials with a capacity of greater than 5,000 gallons of capacity, installed with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage or direct drinking access. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. The watering facility is placed on a properly prepared foundation with all required materials, plumbing and vegetation for stabilizing disturbed areas. All needed pipelines are installed using Livestock Pipeline (516). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations or overflow from the watering facility will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate. All fencing will use Fence
(382).

Feature Measure: Capacity in Gallons
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 10,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,476.50$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.05

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 7 | \$3,314.57 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 13 | \$31.46 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 12 | \$754.92 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 12 | \$289.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 13 | \$372.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 12 | \$383.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 12 | \$551.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 13 | \$492.96 |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times 30$ ', for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |


| Tank, Galvanized Steel <br> Bottomless Livestock, > 6,000 <br> gallon | 1070 | Includes tank materials, shipping, and float valve, no liner | Gallons | \$0.30 | 10000 | \$3,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#68-Water Ramp, Rock on Geotextile

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility-water ramp as a means for providing drinking water by storing or providing controlled access for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials consisting of rock and or gravel surfacing on geotextile fabric foundation. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility includes all materials, equipment, labor and needed vegatation of disturbed areas to install the surfacing material and will address the resource concerns of inadequate water, soil erosion, water quality degradation and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility-water ramp as a means for providing drinking water by storing or providing controlled access for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials consisting of 640 square feet of rock and or gravel surfacing on 84 square yards of geotextile fabric foundation for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials for providing controlled access to drinking water. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. The watering facility includes all materials, equipment, and labor to install the surfacing material and any needed vegetation for stabilizing disturbed areas. Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), Pond (378), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. All fencing will use Fence (382).

Feature Measure: Area of Ramp
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 640.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,318.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$2.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 24 | \$58.08 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 12 | \$9.96 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 12 | \$367.68 |
| Geotextile, non-woven, heavy weight | 1210 | Non-woven greater than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.91 | 84 | \$160.44 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility

## Scenario: \#69-Water Ramp, Rock in GeoCell on Geotextile

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility-water ramp as a means for providing drinking water by storing or providing controlled access for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials consisting of rock and or gravel surfacing in cellar containment grid place on geotextile fabric foundation. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility includes all materials, equipment, labor, and needed vegatation of disturbed areas to install the surfacing material and will address the resource concerns of inadequate water, soil erosion, water quality degradation and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for a watering facility for livestock or wildlife, where there is a source of water that is adequate in quantity and quality for the purpose, and where soils and topography are suitable for a facility to provide controlled access to drinking water for livestock or wildlife to provide daily water requirements, improve animal distribution to better utilize grazing resources, provide a water source that is an alternative to a sensitive resource.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility-water ramp as a means for providing drinking water by storing or providing controlled access for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials consisting of 640 square feet of rock and or gravel surfacing in 72 square yards of 4 inch cellar containment grid on 84 square yards of geotextile fabric foundation for livestock or wildlife constructed of approved materials for providing controlled access to drinking water. The watering facility will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. The watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. The watering facility includes all materials, equipment, and labor to install the surfacing material and any needed vegetation for stabilizing disturbed areas. Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), Pond (378), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. All fencing will use Fence (382).

Feature Measure: Area of Ramp
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 640.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,865.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.48
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 24 | \$58.08 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 12 | \$9.96 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GeoCell, 4 inch | 1054 | 4-inch thick cellular confinement system, three-dimensional, expandable panels made from high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polyester or another polymer material. Includes materials, labor and equipment for the geocell only, does not include backfill | Square Yard | \$20.69 | 72 | \$1,489.68 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 12 | \$367.68 |
| Geotextile, non-woven, heavy weight | 1210 | Non-woven greater than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.91 | 84 | \$160.44 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 0.01 | \$1.35 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#70-Above ground poly storage tank <300 gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials having <300 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility with water storage capacity of <300 gallons is typically installed to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: number of Tanks
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,546.57$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 1,546.57$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 2 | \$80.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times \times 30$, for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, <= 300 gallon | 1073 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$2.18 | 160 | \$348.80 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 13 | \$30.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#71-Above ground poly storage tank 300-1000 gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials having 300 to 1,000 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility with water storage capacity of 300 to 1,000 gallons is typically installed to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of tanks
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,155.51$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 2,155.51$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |

Materials

| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times \times 30$, for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tank, Poly enclosed Storage, 3001000 gal | 1074 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.68 | 580 | \$974.40 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 13 | \$30.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#72-Above ground poly storage tank 1000-3000 gallons

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility constructed of approved materials having 1,000 to 3,000 gallons of water storage capacity for an adequate quantity and quality of water in situations where a lower capacity water supply source such as a spring or solar pump is the only feasible water source and backup capacity is needed during peak water demand periods. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Payment includes materials and labor costs for installing the storage tank. A stabilized area under and around the watering facility is not included and must be addressed through an associated practice of Heavy Use Area Protection (561). This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and or wildlife, where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations, and habitat, water quality, or plant productivity and health needs to be improved.

## After Situation:

to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife. Installation facilitates improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Number of tanks
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost:
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,181.11
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times \times 30$, for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |
| Tank, Poly Enclosed Storage, $>1,000$ | 1075 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.20 | 2500 | \$3,000.00 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 13 | \$30.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 614 - Watering Facility
Scenario: \#124-Tire Trough

## Scenario Description:

A permanent watering facility for livestock and/or wildlife constructed from tires that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage and/or direct drinking access. All watering facilities will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. This watering facility will address the resource concerns of inadequate supply of water for livestock and/or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health.

Before Situation:
There is insufficient water to meet livestock or wildlife watering needs at a site. Animals may have access to streams or ponds, need to travel a long distance to available water or pasture use and rotation may be affected. This practice applies to all land uses where there is a need for new or improved watering facilities for livestock and/or wildlife where water is not available in sufficient quantities at specific locations; and where habitat, water quality, plant productivity and health needs to be improved. Resource concerns include, but may not be limited to, Plant Condition and Quality, Soil Erosion and Stream Habitat/Water Quality.

## After Situation:

A permanent watering facility using a 10 ' diameter tire is installed with all tank materials, tank plumbing and float valve, to provide adequate water storage capacity to ensure an adequate supply and quality of water for livestock or wildlife for storage and/or direct drinking access and provides improved plant productivity and health, water quality, and habitat. All watering facilities are constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation and placed on a properly prepared foundation with required plumbing. All needed pipelines are installed using Livestock Pipeline (516). Any needed vegetation of disturbed areas will use Critical Area Planting (342). All collectors or catchments for collecting precipitation will be addressed by using Water Harvesting Catchment (636). Any needed water source installation will use Water Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Spring Development (574), or Livestock Pipeline (516) as appropriate. Areas around watering facilities where animal concentrations or overflow from the watering facility will cause resource concerns will be protected by using Heavy Use Area Protection (561) as appropriate.

Feature Measure: Capacity in Gallons
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 981.00
Scenario Total Cost:

$$
\$ 2,770.03
$$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.82
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 0.7 | \$145.51 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 6 | \$377.46 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 13 | \$372.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 7 | \$223.58 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freeze Proof Hydrant, <= 3 ft . bury | 240 | Freeze Proof Hydrant, 3 foot or less bury. Materials only. | Each | \$160.26 | 1 | \$160.26 |
| Wildlife Escape Ramp | 242 | Pool size $15^{\prime} \times \times 30$, for small mammals less than one pound. | Each | \$76.20 | 1 | \$76.20 |
| Tank, Tire, 10' diameter | 287 | Tire, includes material cost for tank and shipping. Labor and other appurtenance costs not included. | Each | \$1,051.10 | 1 | \$1,051.10 |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 24 | \$41.04 |
| Post, Wood, CCA Treated, 4-5 in. $X 7 \mathrm{ft}$. | 1050 | Wood Post, Line 4-5 inch dia. X 7 feet, CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$13.76 | 2 | \$27.52 |
| Tank, Float Valve Assembly | 1077 | Float Valve, Stem, Swivel, Float Ball | Each | \$102.79 | 1 | \$102.79 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 1.7 | \$52.09 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#1-<= 5in Diameter Pipe with Catch Basin

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 5' or less diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,945.73$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. $\times 48$ in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 500 | \$685.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 21 | \$48.72 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 240 | \$506.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#2-<= 5in Diameter Pipe with Risers

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 5' or less diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,262.50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 4.53$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 500 | \$685.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Inlet, riser, 6 in. | 1261 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 6 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$99.14 | 2 | \$198.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 21 | \$48.72 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 240 | \$506.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#3-6in Diameter Pipe with Catch Basin

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 6' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,269.84$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. $\mathrm{x} 48 \mathrm{in}$. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 500 | \$685.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 47.2 | \$109.50 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 364.8 | \$769.73 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#4-6in Diameter Pipe with Risers

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 6' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds 'T' from farm fields and other locations.
After Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is intalled in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 2,586.61$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.17

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. $\mathrm{x} 48 \mathrm{in}$. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 500 | \$685.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

Materials

| Inlet, riser, 6 in. | 1261 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 6 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$99.14 | 2 | \$198.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 47.2 | \$109.50 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 364.8 | \$769.73 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#5-8in Diameter Pipe with Catch Basin

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 8' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,658.67$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 9.32$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 500 | \$1,465.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 84.8 | \$196.74 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 612 | \$1,291.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#6-8in Diameter Pipe with Risers

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 8' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,085.62

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.17

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 500 | \$1,465.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlet, riser, 8 in. | 1262 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 8 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$154.23 | 2 | \$308.46 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 84.8 | \$196.74 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 612 | \$1,291.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#7-10in Diameter Pipe with Catch Basin

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 10' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 5,785.41$

Scenario Cost/Unit:
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 132.8 | \$308.10 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 928.8 | \$1,959.77 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#8-10in Diameter Pipe with Risers

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 10' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds 'T' from farm fields and other locations.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is intalled in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,320.84$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.64

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlet, riser, 10 in . | 1263 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 10 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$208.47 | 2 | \$416.94 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 132.8 | \$308.10 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 928.8 | \$1,959.77 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#9 - >=12in Diameter Pipe with Catch Basin

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 12' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,464.54$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.93
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $3 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3 \mathrm{ft}$. $\times 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 1258 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 3 feet square or round, cast grate, 6 feet deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$1,718.97 | 1 | \$1,718.97 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 190 | \$440.80 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in . weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 1264.8 | \$2,668.73 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#10->=12in Diameter Pipe with Risers

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 12' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, perforated PVC riser inlet, trench excavation, and trench backfill. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds 'T' from farm fields and other locations.
After Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after UGO is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,048.11

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 14.10$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlet, riser, 12 in . | 1264 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 12 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$651.27 | 2 | \$1,302.54 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 190 | \$440.80 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 1264.8 | \$2,668.73 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#11-Blind Inlet

## Scenario Description:

Install an excavated earthen box with perforated collector tubing placed in the bottom and filled to the surface with bedding material and rock riprap to direct surface flow into a 'main line' or subsurface drain. Typically installed at the upper end of a waterway to protect the vegetation of the waterway from prolonged surface flow, thus facilitating vegetative growth and controlling ephemeral gully erosion. Costs include the collection pipe, excavation, and rock. This practice is often installed in conjunction with waterways or simlar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled through the installation of the blind inlet and grassed waterway. Vegetation is successfully established within the waterway. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 4,489.05$
Senario Cost/Unit:

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 30 | \$80.70 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 30 | \$46.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 15 | \$2,003.40 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 15 | \$598.35 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 30.4 | \$64.14 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#12 - Blind Inlet for Water Quality

## Scenario Description:

Install an excavated earthen box with perforated collector tubing placed in the bottom and filled to the surface with bedding material and sand to direct surface flow into a 'main line' or subsurface drain. Typically installed in low areas to replace surface risers, thus reducing direct flow of sediment into tile and out to stream. Costs include the collection pipe, excavation, gravel and sand layers. This practice can be installed in conjunction with small drainage area WASCoBs or similar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations enters surface riser.

## After Situation:

Runoff water is filtered through blind inlet before out letting through tile. Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Volume of Aggregate
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 23.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,004.71

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$87.16

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 22 | \$59.18 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 22 | \$33.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 8 | \$303.36 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 15 | \$598.35 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 75.5 | \$175.16 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 7.6 | \$16.04 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mobilization

## Practice: 620-Underground Outlet

Scenario: \#13-Trickle Flow Collector

## Scenario Description:

Install a perforated pipe to collect surface flow and redirect water to a subsurface outlet. The Trickle Flow Collector consists of a rock/rip rap area bedded around the perforated pipe to trap sediment prior to outletting water. Scenario describes a 10 ' long by 30 ' wide by 1.5' deep rectangular shaped area lined with riprap. This scenario includes the installation of pipe in the bottom of the rock bedding to serve as a trickle flow collector. These typically are installed adjacent to waterway and with same flow dimensions. Half the flow channel is excavated, before excavation for riprap. Excess excavation is spoiled in the immediate area. Cost include excavation, spoiling of excess material, geotextile underlayment and installing Rock Riprap. TFC area is measured from upstream to downstream flow catchment area.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of ephemeral or classic gully erosion. Erosion is occuring in areas that cannot maintain established vegetation and are not otherwise protected. Water quality is compromised as nutrient/pesticide-laden sediments are leaving the site. Water quantity is also a concern as excessive surface water flow is contributing to gully erosion.

After Situation:
Rock lined area is 10 ' long by 30 ' wide by $1.5^{\prime}$ deep. This armor will result in a protected surface to address the initial concern of erosion. Placement of the perforated pipe and rock/rip rap bedding will not only armor the surface area from erosion, but will provide a filter for trapping sediment laden with nutrients and/or pesticides, to result in an improvement to water quality. Area is excavated and rock is placed using a hydraulic excavator. Geotextile underlayment is installed by laborers. Associated practices are Subsurface Drain (606), Underground Outlet (620), Structure for Water Control (587), and Critical Area Seeding (342).

Feature Measure: Width of collector area (ft)
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,343.85
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$111.46
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 22 | \$53.24 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 13 | \$1,736.28 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 13 | \$518.57 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 81.2 | \$188.38 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#14-<=5in Diameter Pipe

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 5' or less diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,064.22
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.13

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. $\times 48$ in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide x 48 inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 500 | \$685.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 21 | \$48.72 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 240 | \$506.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#15-6in Diameter Pipe

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 6' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,388.33
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.78

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 500 | \$685.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 47.2 | \$109.50 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in . weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 364.8 | \$769.73 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#16-8in Diameter Pipe

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 8' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,032.16$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.06
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 84.8 | \$196.74 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 612 | \$1,291.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#17-10in Diameter Pipe

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 10' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,903.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.81

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 132.8 | \$308.10 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 928.8 | \$1,959.77 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#18->=12in Diameter Pipe

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for the Installation of a 12' diameter approved plastic pipe to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes pipe, trench excavation, and trench backfill. The typical use for this scenario is non-pressure underground outlet for roof runoff management and non-perforated outlet for upstream drainage installed adjacent to a wetland.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contaminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,745.57$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.49
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, clay, 24 in. x 48 in. | 55 | Trenching, earth, clay, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling and shoring/dewatering | Feet | \$3.44 | 500 | \$1,720.00 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 190 | \$440.80 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 1264.8 | \$2,668.73 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#61 - Perforated Pipe Riser
Scenario Description:
Scenario is for the Installation of approved perforated plastic pipe riser to convey storm water from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Payment includes perforated PVC riser inlet, stone. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or similar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' T ' from farm fields and other locations.
After Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion is controlled after perforated plastic pipe riser is installed in association with terraces or water and sediment control basin.
Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606).

Feature Measure: Number of Risers
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$458.50

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$458.50

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 10 | \$29.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 1 | \$39.89 |
| Inlet, riser, 8 in. | 1262 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 8 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$154.23 | 1 | \$154.23 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 7.6 | \$16.04 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#81-6 inch or less pipe

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 6 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench is excavated 52' deep and 24 ' wide by hydraulic track excavator. Costs include 6' SDR-35 pipe, Precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

Before Situation:
Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway (412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,022.67$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.05
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 170 | \$457.30 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 170 | \$261.80 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \times 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 1180 | \$2,737.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#82-6 inch or less, Riser

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 6 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench is excavated approximately $54^{\prime \prime}$ deep and $15^{\prime}$ wide by trencher. Costs include 6' HDPE corrugated single wall plastic tubing, 8' Perforated PVC Riser Inlet, trench excavation, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,078.37$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 105 | \$282.45 |
| Trencher, wheel type | 1259 | Wheel type Trencher, typically 350 HP with 6 foot max depth. Equipment only. | Hours | \$168.30 | 5 | \$841.50 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inlet, riser, 8 in. | 1262 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 8 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$154.23 | 2 | \$308.46 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated single wall, <= 12 in. weight priced Compound | 1380 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into single wall corrugated pipe or tubing. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.11 | 380 | \$801.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#83-12 inch or less

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 10 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench Excavation is 58' deep and 28 ' wide. Costs include 10' HDPE pipe, Precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,172.91$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 210 | \$564.90 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 210 | \$323.40 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, LTE-12 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1587 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe LTE-12 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.14 | 1155 | \$3,626.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#84-12 inch or less, riser

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 10 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench Excavation is 58 ' deep and 28 wide. Costs include 10' HDPE pipe, 12' Perforated PVC Riser Inlet, trench excavation, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 7,386.14$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.77
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 210 | \$564.90 |
| Trencher, wheel type | 1259 | Wheel type Trencher, typically 350 HP with 6 foot max depth. Equipment only. | Hours | \$168.30 | 5 | \$841.50 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inlet, riser, 10 in . | 1263 | Riser, polymer, complete vertical perforated UGO inlet with Tee, orifice plate if needed, 10 inch diameter. Materials only. | Each | \$208.47 | 2 | \$416.94 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, LTE-12 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1587 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe LTE-12 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.14 | 1155 | \$3,626.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#85-18 inch or less

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 18 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench excavation is 66 ' deep x 39 ' wide. Costs include 18' HDPE pipe, Precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, bedding material, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practice is often is installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,163.21

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$28.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 330 | \$887.70 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 330 | \$508.20 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 60 | \$2,393.40 |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 3215 | \$7,716.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#86-24 inch or less

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 24 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench excavation is 72 ' x 48 ' wide. Costs include 24 ' HDPE pipe, Precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, 24 ' HDPE pipe, trench excavation, bedding material, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. Practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 21,154.91$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 42.31$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 445 | \$1,197.05 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 445 | \$685.30 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 85 | \$3,390.65 |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $2 \mathrm{ft} . \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1257 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 2 ft . square or round, cast grate, 6 ft . deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$881.51 | 1 | \$881.51 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 5510 | \$13,224.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#87-30 inch or less

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 30 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench excavation is 78 ' deep $\times 56$ ' wide. Costs include 30' HDPE pipe, Precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, bedding material, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practices is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

After Situation:
Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 28,589.77$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$57.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 565 | \$1,519.85 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 565 | \$870.10 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 105 | \$4,188.45 |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $3 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1258 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 3 feet square or round, cast grate, 6 feet deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$1,718.97 | 1 | \$1,718.97 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 7715 | \$18,516.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 620-Underground Outlet
Scenario: \#88-Greater than 30 inch

## Scenario Description:

Install 500 feet of 36 ' approved plastic pipe to convey stormwater from one location to a suitable and stable outlet. Trench excavation is 84 ' deep $\times 64$ ' wide. Costs include 36 ' HDPE pipe, Precast concrete drop inlet with steel grate, trench excavation, bedding material, trench backfill, rodent guard and laid up stone headwall at outlet. This practice is often installed in conjunction with terraces, diversions, sediment control basins, waterways or simlar practices.

## Before Situation:

Excessive sedimentation and soil erosion as a result of gully, rill or sheet erosion which exceeds ' $T$ ' from farm fields and other locations. Also, roof runoff or surface runoff that becomes contminated with agricultural wastes that significantly contributes to the amount of runoff that has to be stored or treated.

## After Situation:

Field system meets ' $T$ ' or 'clean' storm water runoff is diverted away from an agricultural waste management system to minimize the volume of runoff that is contaminated by agricultural waste. Associated practices are Critical Area Planting (342), Grassed Waterway ( 412), Terrace (600), Diversion (342), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), and Subsurface Drainage (606)

Feature Measure: Length of Conduit
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 35,607.22$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$71.21

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 690 | \$1,856.10 |
| Excavation, common earth, side cast, large equipment | 1227 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less greater than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$1.54 | 690 | \$1,062.60 |
| Compaction, earthfill, vibratory plate | 1260 | Compaction of earthfill with a walk behind vibratory plate compactor in typical 6-8 inch thick lifts, 2 passes. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.68 | 2 | \$5.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |

## Materials

| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 1 | \$133.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 135 | \$5,385.15 |
| Catch Basin, concrete, $3 \mathrm{ft} . \times 3 \mathrm{ft}$. x 6 ft . | 1258 | Catch Basin, Precast Concrete, 3 feet square or round, cast grate, 6 feet deep. Includes materials, equipment and labor. | Each | \$1,718.97 | 1 | \$1,718.97 |
| Pipe, HDPE, corrugated double wall, GTE 15 in., soil tight, weight priced | 1588 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into double wall corrugated pipe Greater Than or Equal to 15 inch diameter. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.40 | 9920 | \$23,808.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |
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Practice: 629-Waste Treatment
Scenario: \#39-Aerator less than or equal to 5 hp

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes installation of an aerator into a liquid storage pond or tank that has a surface area less than 1 acre. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to (excess nutrient and pathogens) and air quality impacts (PM \& PM precursors, and objectionable odors).Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590) and Waste Storage Facility (313)

## Before Situation:

A dairy, swine, or other agricultural operation in which the waste goes into a storage pond. The pond is not managed as an anaerobic lagoon and the nutrients stratify over time and odors are objectionable. It is difficult to properly estimate the nutrient content being pumped onto the land because of the stratification. There is also not enough aerobic microbial activity in the pond to prevent objectionable odors.

After Situation:
This scenario assumes that the producer would like to increase oxygen content in the storage pond and mix the waste for even nutrient distribution. Under aerobic conditions microorganisms can convert nutrients and odors will be reduced. Nutrient content of the liquid waste is more uniform which is better for uniform agronomic application rates improving nutrient management and to protect air and water quality resources.

Feature Measure: Horse Power of aerator
Scenario Unit: Horsepower
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,673.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,673.28

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aerator, pond, 1 hp | 1708 | 1 hp Aerator for pond or tank with less than 10 acres of surface area. Materials only. | Each | \$1,593.00 | 1 | \$1,593.00 |

Practice: 629-Waste Treatment
Scenario: \#40-Aerator greater than 5 hp

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes installation of an aerator into a liquid storage pond or tank with a surface area larger than 1 acre. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to (excess nutrient and pathogens) and air quality impacts (PM \& PM precursors, and objectionable odors).Associated practices: Nutrient Management (590) and Waste Storage Facility (313)

## Before Situation:

A dairy, swine, or other agricultural operation in which the waste goes into a storage pond. The pond is not managed as an anaerobic lagoon and the nutrients stratify over time and odors are objectionable. It is difficult to properly estimate the nutrient content being pumped onto the land because of the stratification. There is also not enough aerobic microbial activity in the pond to prevent objectionable odors.

After Situation:
This scenario assumes that the producer would like to increase oxygen content in the storage pond and mix the waste for even nutrient distribution. Under aerobic conditions microorganisms can convert nutrients and odors will be reduced. Nutrient content of the liquid waste is more uniform which is better for uniform agronomic applications rates improving nutrient management and to protect air and water quality resources.

Feature Measure: Horse Power of aerator
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,048.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13,048.92

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aerator or Circulator, Pond, Large | 1709 | Aerator or Circulator for pond or tank, 10 or more HP and/or 10 or more acres of surface area. Materials only | Each | \$12,928.50 | 1 | \$12,928.50 |

Practice: 629-Waste Treatment
Scenario: \#41-Aerobic Circulator

## Scenario Description:

Aerobic circulators are added to an existing lagoon or liquid storage pond with adequate minimum treatment volume (at least 270 days storage) and depth (at least 4.5 feet) to circulate lagoon water in order to allow for oxygen interchange at the surface of the lagoon, creating aerobic conditions in the lagoon and reducing emissions of odors and other volatile gases.Associated practices: Waste Treatment Lagoon (359)

Before Situation:
The existing uncovered anaerobic lagoon or liquid storage pond results in emissions of odors, ammonia, methane, and other volatile gases.

## After Situation:

One aerobic circulator is added to the existing lagoon or storage pond for each 100 animal units of manure input to the lagoon or storage pond, resulting in a conversion of the anaerobic liquid storage to an aerobic liquid storage and reducing emissions of odors and other volatile gases. The circulators are spaced at least 25 feet apart.

Feature Measure: Number of Animal Units Treated
Scenario Unit: Animal Unit
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 65,055.50$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$130.11

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aerator or Circulator, Pond, Large | 1709 | Aerator or Circulator for pond or tank, 10 or more HP and/or 10 or more acres of surface area. Materials only | Each | \$12,928.50 | 5 | \$64,642.50 |

Practice: 630-Vertical Drain
Scenario: \#4-Sinkhole, Minimal Excavation

## Scenario Description:

A well, pipe, pit or bore in porous, underground strata into which drainage water can be discharged. Installation will provide a stable outlet for drainage water from a surface or subsurface drainage system. The practice is used to treat a sinkhole with a depth of less than 20 feet in shallow karst areas such as found in Perry, St. Genevieve, and Cape Girardeau counties in Missouri. The sinkhole is located in cropland within karst topography and is expanding through gully erosion. The scenario incorporates concrete, pipe and earthwork necessary to install the practice. Associated practices including, Filter strips (393), Grassed Waterway (412), and Sediment Basins (350) will be used as needed to provide suitable filtering and removing of sediment from water before entering well. Other associated practices are Critical area planting (342), Fence (382), Diversion (362), Open Channel (582), Subsurface Drain (606), Lined Waterway (468), Underground Outlet (620).

## Before Situation:

A sinkhole is eroding, fields around sinkhole are flooding and ponding water with inadequate outlets and water is being contaminated with pesticides, nutrients, and sediment. Resource concerns include Water Quality: Excess nutrients in surface water or Excess nutrients in groundwater; Water Quality Degradation: Pesticides transported to surface water, or Pesticides transported to groundwater; Soil Erosion: Classic gully soil erosion, Excess Water: Ponding and Flooding.

## After Situation:

Treatment of a 15 foot deep sinkhole. Installation includes a 20 foot long (includes 5 feet inlet height about ground surface), 12 inch diameter pipe, 8 Cubic yards of concrete for sealing creviced bedrock and stabilizing the pipe, excavation and earth backfill. The sinkhole treatment will provide an adequate outlet for drainage water, protect surface water quality and will also provide control of erosion caused by surface runoff into a natural sinkhole. Associated practices Filter strips (393), Grassed Waterway (412), and Sediment Basins (350) will be used as needed to provide suitable filtering and removing of sediment from water before entering well. Other associated practices include Critical area planting (342), Fence (382), Diversion (362), Open Channel (582), Subsurface Drain (606), Lined Waterway (468), Underground Outlet (620).

Feature Measure: Number of Sinkholes Treated
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 5,012.44$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 5,012.44$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 7 | \$1,455.09 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 3 | \$372.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 7 | \$281.89 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, Steel, Std Wt., Used, weight priced | 2870 | Schedule 40 steel pipe, used. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.96 | 991.2 | \$951.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 632-Waste Separation Facility
Scenario: \#1 - Earthen Settling Structure

## Scenario Description:

An earthen structure, such as a basin or a terrace or dike like structure, used to capture and separate a portion of the solids from a liquid stream from a feedlot or confinement facility. A concrete pad should be installed on the bottom of the basin and around outlet structures to facilitate cleanout. Removes as portion of the solids to facilitate waste handling and to address water quality concerns. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629).

## Before Situation:

Applicable to situations where partitioning solids, liquids, and nutrients will facilitate the management of an animal waste management system, improve air quality (reduce odors), and address water quality concerns.

## After Situation:

One earthen settling basin structure ( 60 ft wide by 200 ft long by 3 ft deep, with three screening outlet structures) constructed around or at a livestock feeding operation. Removes a portion of the solids that otherwise would leave with the runoff from an animal feeding operation. Part of an animal waste management system.

Feature Measure: Cubic Foot of Total Storage
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 30,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,255.64

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 12 | \$5,682.12 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 1000 | \$3,840.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 14 | \$558.46 |
| Weeping Wall | 1765 | Weeping wall or picket screen structure for solid settling basin. Materials only. | Feet | \$38.76 | 24 | \$930.24 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 632-Waste Separation Facility
Scenario: \#2 - Concrete Basin

## Scenario Description:

A concrete structure, such as a basin with concrete walls and floor, used to capture and separate a portion of the solids from a liquid stream from a feedlot or confinement facility. Removes as portion of the solids to facilitate waste handling and to address water quality concerns. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable to situations where partitioning solids, liquids, and nutrients will facilitate the management of an animal waste management system, improve air quality (reduce odors), and address water quality concerns.

## After Situation:

One $3^{\prime}$ deep concrete settling basin structure ( $20^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ flat bottom with 3 ' walls on 2 sides, $10: 1$ ramps on other sides, 50 'x50' overall footprint) and weeping wall/picket structure or outlet control) constructed at the outlet of a open feedlot. Removes a portion of the solids that otherwise would leave with the runoff from an animal feeding operation. Part of an animal waste management system.

Feature Measure: Cubic Foot of Total Storage
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,900.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$25,709.27

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.59

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 33 | \$15,625.83 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 12 | \$6,428.40 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 50 | \$121.00 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 50 | \$192.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 38 | \$1,515.82 |
| Weeping Wall | 1765 | Weeping wall or picket screen structure for solid settling basin. Materials only. | Feet | \$38.76 | 15 | \$581.40 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 632-Waste Separation Facility
Scenario: \#3 - Concrete Sand Settling Lane

## Scenario Description:

A concrete structure, a concrete lane with curbs, used to capture and separate a portion of the solids, mainly sand, from a liquid stream from a confinement facility. Removes as portion of the solids to facilitate waste handling and to address water quality concerns. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable to situations where partitioning solids, liquids, and nutrients will facilitate the management of an animal waste management system, improve air quality (reduce odors), and address water quality concerns.
After Situation:
One concrete settling lane structure ( 25 ft wide by 200 ft long by 0.5 ft thick with 18 ' walls on each side.) constructed around or at a livestock feeding operation. Removes a portion of the solids (sand) that otherwise would leave with the runoff from an animal feeding operation. Part of an animal waste management system.

Feature Measure: Square Foot of Settling Lane Footpr

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 5,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 57,274.86$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 78 | \$36,933.78 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 30 | \$16,071.00 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 180 | \$435.60 |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 90 | \$345.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 62 | \$2,473.18 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 632-Waste Separation Facility
Scenario: \#4-Gravity Tank

## Scenario Description:

A concrete tank used for gravity separation of solid material in a daiy waste management system. The waste management system must utilize a 'flush' type system in order to convey and agitate the material. The flush system is needed to maintain high solids removal. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable to situations where partitioning solids, liquids, and nutrients will facilitate the management of an animal waste management system, improve air quality (reduce odors), and address water quality concerns.

## After Situation:

A concrete tank 20' x $20^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ with a full width ramp of $20^{\prime} \times 72^{\prime}$. For a total structure capacity of $6,720 \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{ft}$. Separator description: Dairy manure is flushed into the Gravity Tank (Pull Plug) Separator that utilizes a vertical pipe, surrounded by a baffle, that is open at the top. The vertical pipe maintains 4.5 feet of material in the tank. When the manure is flushed into the tank the level rises in the tank and slowly drains through the baffle, floating mat of fibrous material (roughage from the dairy manure) and the open top of the vertical pipe as the level returns to 4.5 feet. The liquid goes to a storage structure. This process is repeated each time the manure is flushed into the tank, typically 2 times per day. The floating material will form a mat on the surface of the separator, the heavy material will sink to the bottom of the separator. Eventually the floating mat and the heavy material will meet and the tank level will not return to 4.5 feet. The basin will continue to be used a few more weeks. This helps to dewater the separated solids. When the separator is ready to be cleaned out the vertical pipe (Pull Plug) is removed and the basin dewaters for 12 to 24 hours. The solids are removed. The vertical pipe installed and the process starts again.

Feature Measure: Total capacity of basin
Scenario Unit: Cubic Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 6,720.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$40,186.38
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 20 | \$9,470.20 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 50 | \$26,785.00 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 250 | \$605.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 42 | \$1,675.38 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 85 | \$197.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 632-Waste Separation Facility
Scenario: \#9-Mechanical Separation Facility

## Scenario Description:

A small mechanical separation facility to partition solids, liquids, and/or associated nutrients from animal waste streams. The partitioning of the previously mentioned components facilitates the protection of air and water quality, protects animal health, and improves the management of an animal waste management system. Mechanical separators may include, but are not limited to: static inclined screens, vibratory screens, rotating screens, centrifuges, screw or roller presses, or other systems. Associated practices include Nutrient Management (590), Composting Facility (317), Anaerobic Digester (366), Waste Storage Facility (313), Waste Recycling (633), Waste Transfer (634), Amendments for the Treatment of Agricultural Waste (591), Pumping Plant (533), Vegetated Treatment Area (635), Pond Lining or Sealing (521A-D), and Waste Treatment (629).

Before Situation:
Applicable to situations where partitioning solids, liquids, and nutrients will facilitate the management of an animal waste management system, improve air quality (reduce odors), and address water quality concerns.

## After Situation:

One small mechanical separation facility (a vibratory or rotating screen) installed at livestock facility before storage or treatment or after treatment, for example, after an anaerobic digester. Part of an animal waste management system.

Feature Measure: Item

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 68,834.43$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 68,834.43$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 10 | \$4,735.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vibratory or Rotating Screen | 1948 | Vibratory or Rotating Screen, includes materials, shipping and equipment. | Each | \$59,990.00 | 1 | \$59,990.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 3 | \$519.21 |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

## Practice: 633 - Waste Recycling

Scenario: \#5 - Export Ag Waste By-products Recycled for Use Off Farm

## Scenario Description:

Agricultural by-products on the farm are in excess of the ability of the farm and limited crop landbase to utilize. These waste materials are accumulating in such a manner that the water, soil and/or air quality have resource concerns. The application of a waste management plan will recycle these by-products such that the quality of the natural resources will be improved and the environment protected. The agricultural by-products are tested and exported off the farm operation for external uses. Records are kept detailing disposition of the waste, including date, amount, and receiver of the waste. Results of the agricultural by-product laboratory analysis is also provided to the receiver.Associated practices: 313-Waste Storage Facility, 317-Composting Facility, 590-Nutrient Management

Before Situation:
Agricultural by-products are produced or accumulated on the farm in amounts that cannot be utilized by the farm without causing resource concerns such as degradation of water quality, soil health and/or air quality.

## After Situation:

Twice a year the excess agricultural by-products that have been collected at the farm are sampled and laboratory tested to determine the characteristics of the waste material that is recycled. The results of this analysis will determine the basis of its use. The agricultural by-products are then handled according to the waste management system plan. The intended off-farm use of the recycled agricultural waste by-products will refer to the laboratory analysis. Records shall be kept of the analysis, dates and quantities of recycled waste exported.

## Feature Measure: Farm

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$518.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$518.62

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Manure Analysis | 306 | Moisture, Total N, P, K. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$48.54 | 2 | \$97.08 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#1 - Manure Auger

## Scenario Description:

Scenario is for a manure auger associated with an agricultural production operation to transfer agricultural waste product from the storage facility to manure spreading equipment for proper utilization. This auger is used when the manure consistency will not allow for pumping. Payment includes the cost of the auger and labor for the electrical hook-up. The waste transfer equipment is installed to address water quality concerns by facilitating timely land application of waste at agronomic rates according to the nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

Before Situation:
In this typical setting, the operator has waste production from an animal feeding operation without an effective waste handling and transfer system to manage the waste stream departing from the facility.

After Situation:
A typical installation would be for an auger to remove manure from an animal waste storage structure and facilitate the transfer of this material to the next step of waste treatment or utilization. This auger is for a tank less than 14' deep and is part of an animal waste management system to address water quality concerns. Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling

Feature Measure: Auger, installed

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,977.05
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,977.05

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure Transfer, Auger or screw conveyor to transfer waste solids | 1773 | Auger or screw conveyor to transfer waste solids to a storage facility or manure spreading equipment. Includes shipping. | Each | \$11,768.57 | 1 | \$11,768.57 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#3-Concrete Channel with Wall

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with a 2??? wall on each side of the channel to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

Before Situation:
Current facility operations are allowing liquid waste to flow uncontrolled during periods of precipitation events or cleaning operations such that water resources can be contaminated.

After Situation:
Typical installation of a 12 foot wide 100' long concrete channel that consists of a 5 ' thick concrete slab with a wall that is 2 ??? high, 6 ??? thick with footing for the entire length. The purpose is to transfer liquids or manure slurry from one area to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

Feature Measure: Bottom surface area of concrete ch
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$22,057.01

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$18.38

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 15 | \$7,102.65 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 22 | \$11,785.40 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 26 | \$796.64 |
| Safety gate, span manure transfer channel or chute | 1952 | Safety gate to span manure transfer channel at push off wall or chute outlet. Minimum of 4 ft . tall with openings that will not pass a 6 inch or larger sphere. Includes materials only. | Feet | \$22.48 | 12 | \$269.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#4 - Concrete Channel with Curb

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with a 6??? curb on each side of the channel to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

Before Situation:
Current facility operations are allowing liquid waste to flow uncontrolled during periods of precipitation events or cleaning operations such that water resources can be contaminated.

After Situation:
Typical installation of a 12 foot wide 100 ' long concrete channel that consists of a 5 ' thick concrete slab with a curb each side that is 6 ??? high for the entire length. The purpose is to transfer liquids or manure slurry from one area to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

Feature Measure: Bottom surface area of concrete ch
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,384.67$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.32

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 21 | \$9,943.71 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 26 | \$796.64 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#5 - Lot Runoff Containment Wall, >1ft Tall

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete wall with footing to direct manure laden lot runoff to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility.
Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

## Before Situation:

Current facility operations are allowing manure laden lot runoff to discharge from the feedlot and cause water resources to be contaminated.

## After Situation:

Typical installation consists of a 2 ' high concrete wall with an adjacent 5' wide, 5 ' thick concrete slab. Typical length is 300'. The purpose is to direct lot runoff to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Wall also allows manure to be scraped to waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management; 633, Waste Recycling; 561, Heavy Use Protection Area.

Feature Measure: Length of Wall installed
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 24,835.54$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$82.79

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 14 | \$6,629.14 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 30 | \$16,071.00 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 31 | \$949.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634 - Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#6-Concrete Channel with push-off wall at pond and safety gate

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with curb and footing on each side of the slab for the entire length of the channel and push off wall to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. The purpose is to transfer liquids or manure slurry from one area to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Includes safety gate for human and animal exclusion. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

Current facility operations are allowing liquid waste to flow uncontrolled during periods of precipitation events or cleaning operations such that water resources can be contaminated.

## After Situation:

Typical installation of a 12 foot wide 100 ' long concrete channel that consists of a 5 ' thick concrete slab with curbing on each side of the slab that is 2 ' high, 6 ' thick with footing for the entire length. The push-off ramp is a concrete cantilever structure that allows the waste to be moved into the storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

Feature Measure: Bottom surface area of concrete ch
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$23,296.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$19.41

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 22 | \$10,417.22 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 17 | \$9,106.90 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 5 | \$55.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 26 | \$796.64 |
| Safety gate, span manure transfer channel or chute | 1952 | Safety gate to span manure transfer channel at push off wall or chute outlet. Minimum of 4 ft . tall with openings that will not pass a 6 inch or larger sphere. Includes materials only. | Feet | \$22.48 | 16 | \$359.68 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#7-Concrete Channel with Drop Chute

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete channel that consists of a slab with wall and footing on each side of the slab for the entire length of the channel, in addition to an overfall structure at the channel outlet, to enable the facility manager to direct liquid waste to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility. Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

Before Situation:
Current facility operations are allowing liquid waste to flow uncontrolled during periods of precipitation events or cleaning operations such that water resources can be contaminated.

After Situation:
Typical installation of a 12???-wide x 12 ???-long push-off platform having an 8???-thick slab and 4???-high side walls. Push-off platform slab is supported on all four sides by a 6???-high wall with footer. A horizontal concrete beam is installed above the end of the platform to serve as a safety barrier for scraping equipment. Manure scraped off the end of the platform drops vertically onto a 16 ???-wide x 6 ???-thick concrete chute installed on the lower half of a $2: 1$ sideslope of a manure holding pond. The purpose is to transfer manure and runoff from a feedlot area or livestock building to a waste storage facility.

Feature Measure: Channel with Drop Chute, installed
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,338.71
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15,338.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 13.7 | \$6,487.09 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 9.9 | \$5,303.43 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 8 | \$769.44 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 5 | \$55.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 19 | \$757.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#8 - Manure Flush System

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a manure flush system consisting of a flushwater storage tank, flushing mechanism such as a valve, and flush water distribution. This practice scenario is suitable only where the water or wastewater supplies are available for operating a flush system to collect the animal waste deposited on the concrete surfaces. Payment includes tank, valve and distribution pipeline, site prep and concrete to support these structures. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from animal waste.

Before Situation:
An animal production facility does not have an efficient method for collecting and transferring the animal waste produced. A source of sufficient water or wastewater resources are available to design a flush system to clean the production floor and collect the waste materials deposited.

After Situation:
The design flush volume for the flush system is less than 1000 gallons. Concrete slab to support the tank and distribution pipeline is $28 \mathrm{ft} \times 12 \mathrm{ft} \times 5^{\prime}$ thick. with 40 ft of above ground 8' pipe is used for distribution. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

Feature Measure: Gallons of water used per flush
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,829.69$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8.83

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 5 | \$2,367.55 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 4 | \$44.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Poly enclosed Storage, 3001000 gal | 1074 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.68 | 1000 | \$1,680.00 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 215.6 | \$500.19 |
| Plug Valve, 8 in. | 2101 | 8 inch diameter plug valve. Materials only. | Each | \$2,293.79 | 1 | \$2,293.79 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#9 - Wastewater Recycle System for Flush System, Pipes only

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a wastewater recycle pipeline utilied with manure and wastewater flush system using recycled wastewater. Scenario is for the pipe system only to retrofit flush systems to utilize recycled water. Payment includes excavation, placement of bedding as needed, connveyance pipelines with valves and pipe backfill to transport water to the flush tank. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from animal waste.

Before Situation:
An animal production facility does not have an efficient method for collecting and transferring the animal waste produced. Wastewater however is available in a sufficient quantity to provide a flush cycle to clean the production floor and collect the waste materials deposited.

After Situation:
Supplemental piping is needed to install the recycled flush water as a means to collect the animal waste deposited on the concrete production surfaces. The pipe design for the flush volume requires 300 feet of 3 inch diameter pipe for pressure flow. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

Feature Measure: Flush - pipes

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,479.62$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 11.60$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching, Earth, 12 in. x 48 in. | 53 | Trenching, earth, 12 inch wide $x 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$1.37 | 300 | \$411.00 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 12 | \$754.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 12 | \$383.28 |

## Materials

Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed included.

Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced

Mobilization
Mobilization, small equipment 1138 Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with Each $\$ 288.90 \quad 1$

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#10-Gravity or Low Pressure Flow Pipeline, Small

## Scenario Description:

Gravity or low pressure flow pipeline used to transfer manure or wastewater according to the CNMP. Payment includes the pipe plus clean-out risers and fittings, trench excavation and backfill, labor and equipment for installation. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. This scenario addresses the transport of liquid waste to a waste storage or treatment facility to prevent a water quality resource concern of excessive nutrients/organics and harmful levels of pathogens in surface water and/or excessive nutrients/organics in ground water.

Before Situation:
There is a need to transport manure or wastewater within a waste management system.
After Situation:
Install a 100 foot long 8 inch diameter PVC gasket IPS pipe to transfer the manure wastewater. The transfer pipeline will deliver the manure slurry according to the CNMP, thereby protecting water quality resources. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling; 635, Vegetated Treatment Area.

Feature Measure: Length of pipe installed

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,028.76

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.29
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 100 | \$293.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 530 | \$1,229.60 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#11-Gravity or Low Pressure Flow Pipeline, Large
Scenario Description:
Gravity or low pressure flow pipeline used to transfer manure or wastewater according to the CNMP. Payment includes the pipe plus clean-out risers and fittings, trench excavation and backfill, gravel bedding, labor and equipment for installation. This scenario addresses the transport of liquid waste to a waste storage or treatment facility to prevent a water quality resource concern of excessive nutrients/organics and harmful levels of pathogens in surface water and/or excessive nutrients/organics in ground water.

Before Situation:
There is a need to transport manure or wastewater within a waste management system.
After Situation:
Install a 100 foot long 24 inch diameter dual wall gasket IPS pipe to transfer the manure wastewater. The transfer pipeline will deliver the manure slurry according to the CNMP, thereby protecting water quality resources. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling; 635, Vegetated Treatment Area.

Feature Measure: Length of pipe installed
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 4,688.48$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 46.88$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 8 | \$1,102.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 72 | \$2,872.08 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#12 - Pressurized Pipeline
Scenario Description:
Pressure flow pipeline used to transfer manure wastewater by pumping from the waste storage pond to the field where it is to be applied according to the CNMP. The pressure pipe moves the water by pumping from the intake riser location, through a buried mainline with outlet risers. Payment includes the pipe plus an inlet riser structure, clean-out risers and outlet risers plus all other valves and fittings, trench excavation and backfill, labor and a equipment for installation. Appurtenances include: couplings, fittings, air vents, pressure relief valves, thrust blocks, risers, and inline valves. Cost of appurtenances does not include flow meters or backflow preventers. Typical installation applies to soils with no special bedding requirements. This pipeline is part of a manure transfer system for a planned waste management or comprehensive nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the transport of liquid waste to a waste storage or treatment facility to prevent a water quality resource concern of excessive nutrients/organics and harmful levels of pathogens in surface water and/or excessive nutrients/organics in ground water.

Before Situation:
There is a need to transport manure or wastewater within a waste management system. The pressure distribution pipeline is utilized in the land application aspect of the operation.

## After Situation:

Install a 2000 foot long 8 inch diameter PVC gasket IPS pipe that has an SDR of 21 and is water tight under pressure flow to transfer the manure wastewater. An inlet riser and is located near the pump site of the waste storage pond and designed for the desired pressure and flow for the application system. The transfer pipeline will deliver the manure slurry to the fields for agronomic nutrient utilization according to the CNMP, thereby protecting water quality resources. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling; PS 635, Vegetated Treatment Area.

Feature Measure: Length of pipe installed
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$43,009.11

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$21.50

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 4 | \$831.48 |
| Earthfill, Manually Compacted | 50 | Earthfill, manually compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$5.99 | 180 | \$1,078.20 |
| Trenching, Earth, loam, 24 in. x 48 in. | 54 | Trenching, earth, loam, 24 inch wide $\times 48$ inch depth, includes equipment and labor for trenching and backfilling | Feet | \$2.93 | 2000 | \$5,860.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |

## Materials

| Valve, Pressure Relief | 1042 | Materials for <2 inch Pressure Relief Valve | Each | \$133.90 | 1 | \$133.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valve, Air Vacuum Release, Continuous | 1106 | Materials for <2 inch Automatic Air/Vacuum Relief Valve (3-Way Air Vac) | Each | \$201.15 | 3 | \$603.45 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 11100 | \$25,752.00 |
| Plug Valve, 8 in. | 2101 | 8 inch diameter plug valve. Materials only. | Each | \$2,293.79 | 1 | \$2,293.79 |
| Valve, sprinkler hydrant irrigation valve with riser, metal, $8 \times 4 \times 42$ inch | 2104 | Irrigation hydrant valve assembly including saddle tee, coated metal riser and integral valve installed on a 8 inch dia. pipeline, 4 inch dia. $X$ 42 inch long riser. Materials only. | Each | \$463.67 | 7 | \$3,245.69 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#13-Agitator, small, < 10 ft deep reception pit

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is for a manure and wastewater agitator associated with an agricultural production operation to transfer agricultural waste product from the production source to a storage facility for proper utilization. This agitator is typically no more than 15 HP and is used for smaller waste storage facilities that are less than 10 feet deep. Payment includes cost of the agitator equipment materials and labor for the electrical hook-up. Payment does not include a pump.The waste transfer equipment is installed to address water quality concerns by facilitating timely land application of waste at agronomic rates according to the nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

In this typical setting, the operator has a small waste storage structure from a confined animal feeding operation without an effective waste handling and transfer system to manage the waste stream departing from the facility.

## After Situation:

The typical installation would be for a small manure 10 HP agitator to put settled manure solids into suspension for removal from an animal waste storage structure and transfer to the next step of waste treatment, utilization or storage. Part of an animal waste management system to address water quality concerns. If required a wastewater reception pit, concrete channel or transfer conduit scenario may need to be contracted to support the operation of this waste transfer system equipment.Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; PS 533, Pumping Plant; PS 430, Irrigation Pipeline; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet; PS 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling

Feature Measure: Agitator for wastewater, installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,508.94
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,508.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure agitator, mixing depth less than 10 feet. | 1768 | Agitator to move put settled manure solids into suspension for removal from an animal waste storage structure. Materials only. | Each | \$11,894.33 | 1 | \$11,894.33 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#14-Agitator, medium, 10 ft to 15 ft deep reception pit

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is for a manure and wastewater agitator associated with an agricultural production operation to transfer agricultural waste product from the storage facility to a site for proper utilization. This agitator is typically 30 HP and is used where the waste storage facility tank or pond is 10 to 15 feet deep. Payment includes cost of the agitator equipment materials and labor for the electrical hook-up. Payment does not include a pump.The waste transfer equipment is installed to address water quality concerns by facilitating timely land application of waste at agronomic rates according to the nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

In this typical setting, the operator has waste production from a confined animal feeding operation without an effective waste handling and transfer system to manage the waste stream departing from the facility.

## After Situation:

A typical installation would be for a medium 30 HP manure agitator to put settled manure solids into suspension for removal from an animal waste storage structure and transfer to the next step of waste treatment, utilization or storage. Part of an animal waste management system to address water quality concerns. If required a wastewater reception pit, concrete channel or transfer conduit scenario may need to be contracted to support the operation of this waste transfer system equipment.Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; PS 533, Pumping Plant; PS 430, Irrigation Pipeline; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet; PS 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling

Feature Measure: Agitator for wastewater, installed
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$13,800.91

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13,800.91
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure agitator, mixing depth 10 to 15 feet deep | 1766 | Agitator to move put settled manure solids into suspension for removal from an animal waste storage structure. Materials only. | Each | \$13,030.33 | 1 | \$13,030.33 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#15-Agitator, large, > 15 ft deep reception pit

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is for a large manure and wastewater agitator associated with an agricultural production operation to transfer agricultural waste product from the storage facility to a site for proper utilization. This agitator is typically 100 HP and is used where the waste storage facility tank or pond is greater than 15 feet deep. Payment includes cost of the agitator equipment materials and labor for the electrical hook-up. Payment does not include a pump.The waste transfer equipment is installed to address water quality concerns by facilitating timely land application of waste at agronomic rates according to the nutrient management plan. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation.

## Before Situation:

In this typical setting, the operator has waste production from a confined animal feeding operation without an effective waste handling and transfer system to manage the waste stream departing from the facility.

## After Situation:

A typical installation would be for a large 100 HP manure agitator to put settled manure solids into suspension for removal from an animal waste storage structure and facilitate the transfer of this material to the next step of waste treatment or utilization. This agitator is for a tank deeper than 15 feet and is part of an animal waste management system to address water quality concerns. Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; PS 533, Pumping Plant; PS 430, Irrigation Pipeline; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet; PS 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; PS 633, Waste Recycling

Feature Measure: Agitator for wastewater, installed
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$15,560.15
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15,560.15
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure agitator, mixing depth greater than 15 feet deep. | 1767 | Agitator to move put settled manure solids into suspension for removal from an animal waste storage structure. Materials only. | Each | \$14,351.67 | 1 | \$14,351.67 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#38-Cased Pipeline with Boring

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a 6' plastic pipeline with an outer casing, bored under a road or other obstruction to convey wastewater from a storage structure to points of use.

## Before Situation:

Waste material needs to be transported across a road from the storage facility.

## After Situation:

The typical installation consists of installing 120 ft of 6 ' PVC SDR 21 pipe with a 10 ' outer casing under a roadbed. Pipeline boring includes all pipe under roadbed and labor and equipment involved during installation of pipe. The pipeline is installed as a facilitating practice for utilization of waste in a waste management system, to improve water quality. Payment incorporates couplers and fittings. Cost represents typical situations for conventional, organic, and transitioning to organic producers. Associated practices include Waste Storage Facility (313), Pumping Plant (533).

Feature Measure: foot

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 120.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$21,037.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$175.31

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 12 | \$754.92 |
| Horizontal Boring, Greater Than 3 in. diameter | 1132 | Includes equipment, labor and setup. | Feet | \$118.17 | 120 | \$14,180.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |

## Materials

Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight
priced pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only.

Mobilization
Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\quad \$ 726.80 \quad 2$

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#39-Lot Runoff Containment Wall, <=1ft tall

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a concrete wall with footing to direct manure laden lot runoff to a collection basin and/or waste storage facility.
Water quality concerns will be addressed by preventing liquid waste from entering surface waters, and to facilitate timely land application of manure and wastewater at agronomic rates according to the CNMP. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility for storage structures; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management for waste application; 633, Waste Recycling.

## Before Situation:

Current facility operations are allowing manure laden lot runoff to discharge from the feedlot and cause water resources to be contaminated.

## After Situation:

Typical installation consists of a 9 ' high concrete wall with an adjacent 5' wide, 5 ' thick concrete slab. Typical length is 300'. The purpose is to direct lot runoff to a collection basin or waste storage facility. Wall also allows manure to be scraped to waste storage facility. Associated practices may include: 313 Waste Storage Facility; 533, Pumping Plant; 632, Waste Separation Facility; 590 Nutrient Management; 633, Waste Recycling; 561, Heavy Use Protection Area.

Feature Measure: Length of wall installed

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$21,085.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$70.29

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 14 | \$6,629.14 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 23 | \$12,321.10 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 31 | \$949.84 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 634 - Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#51 - Wastewater catch basin, less than or equal to 1000 gal.

## Scenario Description:

Installation for a wastewater collection system that includes materials and structures to collect liquids of a design volume less than 1000 gallons such as silage leachate, lot runoff and other contaminated liquid effluent. This may include curbs, screens, precast manholes, sumps or catch basins. The wastewater will typically be transferred from the collection basin to a waste storage facility through a gravity or low pressure flow conduit.Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility; PS 533, Pumping Plant; PS 430, Irrigation Pipeline; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet; PS 590 Nutrient Management; PS 633, Waste Recycling. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from liquid wastewater running unchecked out of silage bunkers and off of animal feeding lots.

Before Situation:
Inadequate storage is available to collect wastewater from an operation that may contaminate surface or groundwater resources. The liquids contain few solids or limited solids that can be easily screened out without blocking the collection intake.
After Situation:
This practice scenario is suitable where the estimated design volume for wastewater transfer is less than 1000 gallons of contaminated liquid that may flow from silage bunkers or animal lot areas after a precipitation event. The practice scenario typically includes materials and installation of flat and formed concrete for curbs and/or gutters to collect liquids. With the installation of a precast manhole with lid or catch basin with grate. The cost includes excavation, placement of bedding as needed, placement of structure and backfill with construction of concrete inlet collection area. Transfer pump if needed must be contracted under pumping plant, PS 533.

Feature Measure: Collection volume installed
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,903.51
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 4 | \$1,894.04 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 2 | \$1,071.40 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 8 | \$503.28 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 4 | \$285.64 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 2 | \$22.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 5 | \$153.20 |
| Catch Basin, concrete, 60 in dia. | 1754 | Precast 60-in diameter catch basin, 6 feet deep, with collar and grate cover. Materials only. | Each | \$2,644.15 | 1 | \$2,644.15 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: 634 - Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#52-Wastewater reception pit, 1000 to 5000 gal.
Scenario Description:
Installation for a wastewater collection system that includes materials and structures to collect liquids of a design volume between 1000 and 5000 gallons such as silage leachate, lot runoff and other contaminated liquid effluent. This scenario includes a reinforced concrete manure reception pit for temporary storage and transfer of manure and wastewater for an animal operation. Reception Pit includes safety fence w/gate or solid/grated cover. The wastewater will typically be transferred from the collection basin to a waste storage facility through a gravity or low pressure flow conduit. Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility; PS 533, Pumping Plant; PS 430, Irrigation Pipeline; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet; PS 590 Nutrient Management; PS 633, Waste Recycling.

This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from liquid wastewater running unchecked out of silage bunkers and off of animal feeding lots.

## Before Situation:

Inadequate storage is available to collect wastewater from an operation that may contaminate surface or groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

This practice scenario is suitable where the estimated design volume for waste collection and transfer is between 1000 and 5000 gallons of liquid waste. The practice scenario typically includes materials and installation of flat and formed concrete for curbs and gutters to collect liquid slurry waste and the installation of an 8 'x12'x6' reinforced concrete reception pit formed in place that includes safety fence w/gate or solid/grated cover. The cost includes excavation, placement of subgrade as needed, forming, pouring and finishing of concrete structure and backfilling. Transfer pump if needed must be contracted under pumping plant, PS 533.

Feature Measure: Collection volume installed
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 4,300.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 20,007.42$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 6 | \$2,841.06 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 14 | \$7,499.80 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 24 | \$1,509.84 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 8 | \$571.28 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 3 | \$33.24 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 64 | \$1,832.96 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 12 | \$367.68 |
| Safety chain tractor barrier | 1725 | 3/8 in. transport chain barrier installed to prevent tractor equipment from entering wastewater collection basin or pit. Material cost only. | Feet | \$3.60 | 40 | \$144.00 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 634-Waste Transfer
Scenario: \#53 - Wastewater basin, 5000 gal. and larger

## Scenario Description:

Installation for a wastewater collection system that includes materials and structures to collect liquids of a design volume greater than 5000 gallons such as lot runoff, manure slurry and other contaminated liquid effluent. The wastewater collected in this pit is intended to be transferred to final storage within a 48 hour period. This scenario includes a reinforced concrete manure reception pit for temporary storage and transfer of manure and wastewater for an animal operation. Reception Pit includes safety fence w/gate or solid/grated cover. The wastewater will typically be transferred from the collection basin to a waste storage facility through a gravity or low pressure flow conduit. Associated practices may include: PS 313 Waste Storage Facility; PS 533, Pumping Plant; PS 430, Irrigation Pipeline; PS 632, Waste Separation Facility; PS 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet; PS 590 Nutrient Management; PS 633, Waste Recycling. This scenario addresses the potential for surface water and groundwater quality degradation from liquid wastewater running unchecked out of silage bunkers and off of animal feeding lots.

## Before Situation

Inadequate storage is available to collect wastewater from an operation that may contaminate surface or groundwater resources.

## After Situation:

This practice scenario is suitable where the estimated maximum design volume for wastewater collected is greater than 5000 gallons of liquid waste within 48 hours or before it is stored or treated. The practice scenario typically includes materials and installation of flat and formed concrete for curbs and gutters inlet area to collect liquid slurry waste and the installation of an 12 ft wide $\times 16 \mathrm{ft}$ long x 6 ft deep reinforced concrete reception pit formed in place that includes safety fence $w /$ gate or solid/grated cover. The cost includes excavation, placement of subgrade as needed, forming, pouring and finishing of concrete structure and backfilling. Transfer pump if needed must be contracted under pumping plant, PS 533.

Feature Measure: Collection volume installed
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: $8,600.00$
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 30,107.01$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, slab on grade, reinforced | 37 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed as a slab on grade by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$473.51 | 11 | \$5,208.61 |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 22 | \$11,785.40 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 32 | \$2,013.12 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 12 | \$893.04 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 16 | \$1,142.56 |
| Demolition, concrete | 1498 | Demolition and disposal of reinforced concrete structures including slabs and walls. Includes labor and equipment. | Cubic Yards | \$11.08 | 4 | \$44.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 28 | \$894.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 32 | \$1,288.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 15 | \$459.60 |
| Safety chain tractor barrier | 1725 | 3/8 in. transport chain barrier installed to prevent tractor equipment from entering wastewater collection basin or pit. Material cost only. | Feet | \$3.60 | 60 | \$216.00 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 635 - Vegetated Treatment Area
Scenario: \#1 - VTA-Constructed Vegetative Area with Flow Distribution

## Scenario Description:

This is a permanent herbaceous vegetative area installed near livestock production area. Wastewater (runoff or milking parlor wastewater) is properly collected and released with a controlled gravity outflow or is pumped into distributionn piping within the the VTA. The VTA vegetation is harvested to removed nutrients on a regular basis. This practice addresses water quality degradation due to uncontrolled nutrient rich wastewater that can flow into surface waters or leach into ground
water.
Associated practices: Waste Storage Facility
(313), Fence (382), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Manure Transfer (634), Roof runoff Management (558), Pumping Plant (533), Subsurface Drain (606), Critical Area Planting (342), Terrace (600), Nutrient Management (590), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Land Smoothing (466), Precision Land Forming (462), Waste Treatment (629)
Before Situation:
Nutrient rich wastewater is running off from or directly discharging from an animal operation that has the potential to pollute surface waters or pond and leaching into groundwater.

## After Situation:

Typical VTA is 1.0 ac in size, includes a gravel trench for distribution flow (sheet flow) into the VTA. Typically requires grading and shaping, gravel spreader trenches and perforated pipe to maintain sheet flow throughout the VTA. A settling basin for wastewater collection is contracted using Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632) . For milkhouse waste, Waste Treatment (629) ond/or Waste Storage Facility (313) could be contracted to provide pre-treatment/ storage prior to being released into the VTA. The VTA practice will provide a controlled release of nutrient rich wastewater into a designed vegetative area for nutrient uptake. This system will improve water quality by treating nutrient rich wastewater and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Amount of VTA installed
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,516.88
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$8,516.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 400 | \$432.00 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 70 | \$169.40 |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 16 | \$1,190.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 16 | \$644.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 70 | \$2,792.30 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 31.1 | \$72.15 |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 474.4 | \$1,726.82 |
| Coupling, PVC, endcap, 2 in., SCH 20 | 1727 | 2 inch - PVC- SCH 40- ASTM D1785 pipe endcaps. Materials only. | Each | \$1.10 | 15 | \$16.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 635 - Vegetated Treatment Area

Scenario: \#2-VTA-Constructed with Mechanical distribution

## Scenario Description:

This is a permanent herbaceous vegetative area located adjacent to a livestock production area. Wastewater (runoff or milking parlor wastewater) is properly collected at the production area and pumped to mechanically distribute wastewater onto the VTA. The VTA vegetation is harvested to removed nutrients on a regular basis. This practice addresses water quality degradation due to uncontrolled nutrient rich wastewater that can flow into surface waters or leach into ground

water.
(313), Fence (382), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Manure Transfer (634), Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442), Roof runoff Management (558), Pumping Plant (533), Subsurface Drain (606), Critical Area Planting (342), Terrace (600), Nutrient Management (590), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Land Smoothing (466), Precision Land Forming (462), Waste Treatment (629)

Before Situation:
Nutrient rich wastewater is running off from an animal operation that has the potential to pollute surface waters or ponding and leaching into groundwater.

## After Situation:

Typical VTA is 1.0 ac in size, includes the sizing, grading and shaping of the VTA area. Typically requires grading and shaping to maintain sheet flow onto the VTA. A settling basin for wastewater collection is contracted using Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632) and Pumping Plant (533) to get the wastewater to the VTA mechanical distribution component that is contracted using Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442). For milkhouse waste, Waste Treatment (629) could be contracted to provide pretreatment prior to being pumped and distributed onto the VTA. The VTA practice will provide a controlled release of nutrient rich wastewater into a designed vegetative area for nutrient uptake. This system will improve water quality by treating nutrient rich wastewater and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Amount of VTA installed
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,020.08
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,020.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 16 | \$1,190.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 16 | \$644.32 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 635 - Vegetated Treatment Area
Scenario: \#3 - VTA using an Existing Vegetative Area with Flow Distribution

## Scenario Description:

An existing permanent herbaceous vegetated area that meets the requirements for a VTA and is used as an overland flow area for nutrient rich runoff treatment. A flow distribution component is installed to achieve sheet flow at the start of the VTA. Clean runoff is diverted where possible. The VTA vegetation is harvested to removed nutrients on a regular basis. This practice addresses water quality degradation due to uncontrolled nutrient rich runoff that can flow into surface waters or leach into ground water. Associated practices: Waste Storage Facility (313), Fence (382), Solid/Liquid Waste Separation Facility (632), Manure Transfer (634), Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442), Roof runoff Management (558), Pumping Plant (533), Subsurface Drain (606), Critical Area Planting (342), Terrace (600), Nutrient Management (590), Diversion (362), Pipeline (516), Land Smoothing (466), Precision Land Forming (462), Waste Treatment Area (629)

Before Situation:
Nutrient rich wastewater is running off from an animal operation that has the potential to pollute surface waters or ponding and leaching into groundwater.
After Situation:
Typical VTA is 1.0 ac in size, includes a gravel trenchs and perforated pipe to establish sheet flow into the VTA where and existing permanent herbaceouse vegetated area meets the requirements for a VTA. Does not include any grading or seeding. The VTA practice will provide a controlled release of nutrient rich runoff into an existing vegetative area for nutrient uptake. This system will improve water quality by treating nutrient rich runoff and prevent contamination of surface and ground water resources.

Feature Measure: Amount of VTA treating wastewate
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,006.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,006.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 7 | \$3,749.90 |
| Geotextile, woven | 42 | Woven Geotextile Fabric. Includes materials, equipment and labor | Square Yard | \$1.08 | 445 | \$480.60 |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 75 | \$181.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 75 | \$2,991.75 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 27.6 | \$64.03 |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight priced | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into smooth wall pipe. Materials only. | Pound | \$3.64 | 593 | \$2,158.52 |
| Coupling, PVC, endcap, 2 in., SCH 20 | 1727 | 2 inch - PVC- SCH 40- ASTM D1785 pipe endcaps. Materials only. | Each | \$1.10 | 20 | \$22.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

## Practice: 636-Water Harvesting Catchment

## Scenario: \#32-Surface Catchment

## Scenario Description:

Construct an apron, approximately 50 feet wide by 90 feet long, utilizing: a plastic or rubber membrane laid on a prepared ground surface; or an asphalt or concrete surface with curbing; to collect rain water. Divert collected water from the surface catchment by gravity through an 8' diameter, PVC SDR-35 pipe to an existing tank or plastic-lined earthen reservoir. Exclusion of animals is required, so conservation practice 382 - Fencing, may be needed to protect the catchment. Resource Concern: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water.Associated Practices: 382 - Fencing; 614 - Watering Facility; 436 - Irrigation Reservoir; and 521A - Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane.

Before Situation:
Inadequate water available to address resource concerns. Client hauls water to supply needs.
After Situation:
Design and construct an impervious surface as the primary collection component, and a pipe to convey the water to create a reliable water supply for livestock.
Feature Measure: Surface Area of Catchment
Scenario Unit: Square Yard
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,000.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$18.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 12 | \$893.04 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 12 | \$483.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 593.6 | \$1,377.15 |
| Synthetic Liner, 40 mil | 1387 | Synthetic 40 mil HDPE, LLDPE, EPDM, etc membrane liner material. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$7.46 | 500 | \$3,730.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 636-Water Harvesting Catchment

## Scenario: \#33-Elevated Catchment

## Scenario Description:

Build a wooden frame, 'post-and-pier' structure, with a corrugated metal roof (dimensions are 24 feet wide by 20 feet long), to collect rain water. The structure is supported by 9 -each, 'poured-in-place', concrete footings (dimensions are 2'x2' square x1' thick), 8 feet on-center, with tie-down straps. Divert collected water from catchment area with guttering and downspout through a 4' diameter PVC Schedule 40 pipe, to a tank (not included )for a reliable storage and subsequent use. Resource concerns: Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock water; Insufficient water - Inefficient use of irrigation water.Associated practices: 382 - Fence; 614 Watering Facility; or 436 - Irrigation Reservoir.

Before Situation:
Inadequate water available to address resource concerns. Client hauls water to supply needs.
After Situation:
The guttering and downspouts collects the roof runoff and the water is conveyed through a pipe, by gravity, to a storage tank for use by livestock or a very small irrigation system. This system is the primary collection component of a Water Harvesting Catchment (CPS 636) facility. Divert collected water from roof with guttering and downspout through a 4' diameter PVC Sch-40 pipe,

Feature Measure: Surface Area of Catchment
Scenario Unit: Square Yard
Scenario Typical Size: 53.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,882.44$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$186.46

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formed reinforced | 38 | Steel reinforced concrete formed and cast-in-placed in formed structures such as walls or suspended slabs by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$535.70 | 1.5 | \$803.55 |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 120 | \$4,816.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1 | \$40.27 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimension Lumber, Treated | 1044 | Treated dimension lumber with nominal thickness equal or less than 2 inches. Includes lumber and fasteners | Board Feet | \$1.71 | 512 | \$875.52 |
| Pipe, PVC, dia. < 18 in., weight priced | 1323 | Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) pressure rated pipe priced by the weight of the pipe materials for pipes with diameters less than 18 inch. Materials only. | Pound | \$2.32 | 214.8 | \$498.34 |
| Gutter, Downspout, PVC, 5 in. | 1388 | 5 inch PVC guttering. Materials only. | Feet | \$1.28 | 24 | \$30.72 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 636-Water Harvesting Catchment
Scenario: \#34-Plastic tank, less than or equal to 1,000 gallons

## Scenario Description:

Install a small, typically 1,000 gallons or less, above-ground polyethylene tank to store rainwater from an impervious surface on 6' of well-compacted drain rock or a $4^{\prime}$ thick reinforced concrete support pad. The typical dimensions of the tank are 72' in diameter and 66' tall. The scenario also assumes a 96 ' diameter gravel base or concrete pad to extend a minimum of 12 ' past the base of tank for adequate foundation support. Stored water can be used with watering facilities, irrigation systems, or other conservation practices. The tank shall be constructed of approved materials that stores adequate quantity and quality of water for storage and or direct drinking access. Additional components may be needed to channel water from the impervious surface to the storage tank. All components used will be constructed from approved durable materials that have a life expectancy that meets or exceeds the planned useful life of the installation. Resource concerns addressed include: Inadequate water quantity for livestock, wildlife or crops; habitat degradation, water quality, and undesirable plant productivity and health. Associated Practices: 614 - Watering Facility; 516 - Livestock Pipeline; 558 - Roof Runoff Structure; 620 - Underground Outlet; 430 - Irrigation Pipeline; 441 - Micro Irrigation; 533 - Pumping Plant; 342 - Critical Area Planting; 382 Fencing
Before Situation:
Impervious surface currently exists, but there is no storage available. Water quantity is not available or supplemented to provide for wildlife, livestock watering, irrigation, or for other conservation practices.

## After Situation:

A 1,000 gallon above-ground Poly tank with all tank materials, stabilized in place, with overflow protection, is installed to collect and store water from an impervious surface. Tank will provide or support adequate water storage capacity to ensure against inadequate supply of water for livestock and or wildlife, habitat degradation, water quality, water quantity, crops, and undesirable plant productivity and health, and provide conservation benefit.

Feature Measure: Gallons of Tank Storage Capacity
Scenario Unit: Gallons
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,500.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 1 | \$96.18 |
| Plate compactor | 1915 | Manually guided vibratroy plate compactor. Equipment only. | Hours | \$18.08 | 1 | \$18.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tank, Poly enclosed Storage, 3001000 gal | 1074 | Water storage tanks. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$1.68 | 1000 | \$1,680.00 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 1 | \$30.64 |
| Cable, Galvanized steel | 2182 | Galvanized steel aircraft cable in $7 \times 19$ strand core. Materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.68 | 40 | \$27.20 |
| Anchor, earthen, low disturbance, large | 2184 | Low disturbance, galvanized or aluminum alloy earthen anchors with holding power greather than 3,000 pounds in normal soil. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$59.59 | 4 | \$238.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 638-Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#1-Base

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Rebuild work includes the removal of accumulated sediment from the pool area to restore original capacity. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices.

Before Situation:
Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concerns addressed include soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reducing erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

After Situation:
Water and Sediment Control Basin is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earthfill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: CY of WASCOB Embankment
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 700.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,655.77$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.79

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer $<100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 700 | \$1,883.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638-Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#2 - Topsoil

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenarios for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Prior to constructing/reconstructing the embankment, 6 inches of topsoil is removed and stockpiled. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Topsoil is replaced following construction of the embankment. Costs include all equipment necessary to strip and stock pile topsoil, excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin, spread and replace topsoil after construction and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader.

Before Situation:
Site has shallow topsoil which if removed by earthwork for construction of embankment will significantly impact yields. Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concern addressed includes soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reduce erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) is being transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

## After Situation:

Water and Sediment Control Basis is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earthfill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: CY of WASCOB Embankment
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 700.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,863.27

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 250 | \$207.50 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 700 | \$1,883.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638-Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#3 - Narrow Base

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen WASCOB. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. The typical installation includes a WASCOB system with 2.5 ' ridge height, 6 ' top width, and $2.5: 1$ front and back slopes. The finished WASCOB system measures 1,800 feet ( 6 WASCOBs at 300 ' each) in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices.

Before Situation:
Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concerns addressed include soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reducing erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

After Situation:
A system of six (6) Water and Sediment Control Basins are constructed measuring 1,800 feet in length, 2.5 ' height, 6 ' top width with $2.5: 1$ front and $2.5: 1$ back slopes. Excavation/earthfill conducted with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Length of embankment
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: $1,800.00$
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,176.54$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.88

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 1620 | \$4,357.80 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638-Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#4 - Farmable

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen WASCOB. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. The typical installation includes a broadbased WASCOB system consisting of 6 WASCOBs in series having a 6 ' top width, 7.5:1 upstream and 7.5:1 downstream slopes. The finished WASCOB system measures 1,800 feet ( 6 WASCOBs at 300 ' each) in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. WASCOB area is farmed. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices.

## Before Situation:

Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concerns addressed include soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reducing erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

## After Situation:

A series of six (6) Water and Sediment Control Basins are constructed measuring 1,800 feet in length, 2.5 ' height, 6 ' top width, with $7.5: 1$ front and back slopes. Excavation/earthfill conducted with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Length of embankment
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,800.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$12,014.76
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 4145 | \$11,150.05 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638-Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#5-Base, crop seasonal construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Rebuild work includes the removal of accumulated sediment from the pool area to restore original capacity. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

Before Situation:
Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concerns addressed include soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reducing erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

After Situation:
Water and Sediment Control Basin is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earthfill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: CY of WASCOB Embankment
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards

## Scenario Typical Size: 700.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,075.73$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.39

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer $<100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 700 | \$1,883.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638-Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#6-Topsoil, crop seasonal construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenarios for the construction of an earthen embankment or the rebuild of an existing WASCOB. Prior to constructing/reconstructing the embankment, 6 inches of topsoil is removed and stockpiled. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed/rebuilt across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. Topsoil is replaced following construction of the embankment. Costs include all equipment necessary to strip and stock pile topsoil, excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin, spread and replace topsoil after construction and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

## Before Situation

Site has shallow topsoil which if removed by earthwork for construction of embankment will significantly impact yields. Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concern addressed includes soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reduce erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) is being transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

After Situation:
Water and Sediment Control Basis is constructed or rebuilt by the excavation/earthfill with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: CY of WASCOB Embankment

Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 700.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,283.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 4.69 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 250 | \$207.50 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 700 | \$1,883.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#7-Narrow Base, crop seasonal construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen WASCOB. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. The typical installation includes a WASCOB system with 2.5 ' ridge height, 6 ' top width, and $2.5: 1$ front and back slopes. The finished WASCOB system measures 1,800 feet ( 6 WASCOBs at 300 ' each) in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

Before Situation:
Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concerns addressed include soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reducing erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

## After Situation:

A system of six (6) Water and Sediment Control Basins are constructed measuring 1,800 feet in length, 2.5' height, 6 ' top width with 2.5:1 front and 2.5:1 back slopes. Excavation/earthfill conducted with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Length of embankment
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,800.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,596.50
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.11
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer $<100$ HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 1620 | \$4,357.80 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 638 - Water and Sediment Control Basin
Scenario: \#8 - Farmable, crop seasonal construction

## Scenario Description:

Typical scenario for the construction of an earthen WASCOB. Outlet is typically an underground outlet. An earthen embankment or combination ridge and channel generally constructed across the slope and minor watercourses to form a sediment trap and water detention basin. The typical installation includes a broadbased WASCOB system consisting of 6 WASCOBs in series having a 6 ' top width, 7.5:1 upstream and 7.5:1 downstream slopes. The finished WASCOB system measures 1,800 feet ( 6 WASCOBs at 300 ' each) in a field with slopes from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$ constructed in loam soils or similar in regards to workability. WASCOB area is farmed. Work is done with dozer, scraper, or road grader. Costs include all equipment necessary to excavate, shape, grade and compact the Water and Sediment Control Basin and mobilization of equipment. Seeding not included. This practice is utilized to reduce watercourse and gully erosion, trap sediment, reduce and manage onsite and downstream runoff. Sheet and rill erosion will be controlled by other conservation practices. Foregone income reflects entire construction area to account for crop loss while constructing during the growing season.

## Before Situation:

Farming fields with excessive slope length has resulted in multiple rills and/or ephemeral gullies that will continue to worsen over time. The excessive erosion may lead to deterioration of receiving waters due to excessive sedimentation and nutrient transport. Resource concerns addressed include soil erosion and water quality by trapping sediment and/or reducing erosion in a field to protect riparian areas and water bodies from sediment deposition. Surface water causes erosion and the sediment (and potentially pesticides) to be transported into the riparian areas and water bodies downstream.

After Situation:
A series of six (6) Water and Sediment Control Basins are constructed measuring 1,800 feet in length, 2.5 ' height, 6' top width, with $7.5: 1$ front and back slopes. Excavation/earthfill conducted with dozer, scraper and/or road grader. Rill and/or gully erosion is reduced. If riser and underground outlet are needed, then include Underground Outlet (620). Include Critical Area Planting (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Length of embankment
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,800.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$12,434.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$6.91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 4145 | \$11,150.05 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.5 | \$232.39 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.5 | \$187.58 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 3 | \$137.91 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 | 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#1 - Large Diameter Drilled Well

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the drilling of a well using a bucket well drill rig. These wells are large diameter drilled wells. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock, provide water for terrestrial wildlife and/or provide irrigation water. An average well depth is less than 100 foot at 36 diameter. These wells are typically implemented in glacial till areas where the ground water resource has slow recharge rate, and the large diameter of the well allows for storage of water to meet the demand.

Before Situation:
Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source, wildlife are lacking access to water, and/or water for irrigation needs is insufficient.
After Situation:
A 48 ft ., 36 ' diameter well is installed using a bucket drill rig. The large diameter of the well allows for storage of water in glacial till areas where the groundwater recharge rate is less than demand. The well is dug and then cased with concrete. Perforated concrete casing is used as a screen around the bottom of the well. Approximately 6 ' of gravel is placed around the screen. Sufficient water is available for livestock, wildlife and/or irrigation.Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Depth of Well
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 48.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 11,871.67$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$247.33

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 16 | \$5,369.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 16 | \$644.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 4 | \$159.56 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 5 | \$34.75 |
| Well Casing, Concrete | 2173 | Concrete tile 3 feet diameter $\times 8$ feet long. Materials only. | Feet | \$96.46 | 40 | \$3,858.40 |
| Well Casing, Concrete, perforated | 2174 | Perforated concrete tile 3 feet diameter $\times 8$ feet long. Materials only. | Feet | \$106.10 | 8 | \$848.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#2 - Shallow Drilled Well, <= 100 feet, <= 6 in Dia.

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur within 100 feet of the ground surface, and the flow is such that a smaller diameter well is sufficient. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock, provide water for terrestrial wildlife and/or provide irrigation water.

## Before Situation:

Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source, wildlife are lacking access to water and/or irrigation needs is insufficent.

## After Situation:

An average well depth is 100 feet. Well casings are $=6$ ' in diameter. Sufficient water is available for livestock, wildlife and/or irrigation.Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: Depth of Well

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,247.57$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$62.48

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 10 | \$3,356.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 1 | \$700.37 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 6 in. | 1786 | Well cap, 6 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$58.35 | 1 | \$58.35 |
| Well Casing, Plastic, 6 in. | 1804 | PVC or ABS non-threaded well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$11.10 | 70 | \$777.00 |
| Well Screen, plastic, 6 in. | 1999 | 6 inch PVC well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$20.73 | 30 | \$621.90 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^5]Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#3 - Shallow Drilled Well, <= 100 feet, > 6in Dia.

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur within 100 feet of the ground surface, and the flow is such that a larger diameter well is needed. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock, provide water for terrestrial wildlife and/or provide irrigation water.

## Before Situation:

Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source, wildlife are lacking access to water, and/or water for irrigation needs is insufficient.

## After Situation:

An average well depth is 100 feet. Well casings are $12^{\prime}$ in diameter. Sufficient water is available for livestock, wildlife and/or irrigation.Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Depth of Well

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,037.93$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 80.38$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 10 | \$3,356.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 1 | \$700.37 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 12 in . | 1789 | Well cap, 12 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$171.51 | 1 | \$171.51 |
| Well Casing, Plastic, 12 in . | 1807 | PVC or ABS non-threaded well casing, 12 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$30.89 | 70 | \$2,162.30 |
| Well Screen, plastic, 8 in. | 2000 | 8 inch PVC well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$30.46 | 30 | \$913.80 |

Mobilization
Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with $70-150$ HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 1$ 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#4 - Deep Drilled Well, > 100 Feet
Scenario Description:
Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur $>100$ feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock, provide water for terrestrial wildlife and/or provide irrigation water.

## Before Situation:

Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source, wildlife are lacking access to water, and/or water for irrigation needs is insufficient.

## After Situation:

An average well depth is 300 feet. Well casings are 4-6' in diameter. Well is dug into consolidated (bedrock or firm material) where casing and lining is installed to a depth of 240 feet. The remaining depth does not need lining or screening due to the 'open hole' construction and nature of wells in this substrate. Sufficient water is available for livestock, wildlife and/or irrigation.Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: Depth of Well

Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,928.39

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$33.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 16 | \$5,369.92 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 1 | \$700.37 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 6 in. | 1786 | Well cap, 6 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$58.35 | 1 | \$58.35 |
| Well Casing, Plastic, 6 in. | 1804 | PVC or ABS non-threaded well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$11.10 | 220 | \$2,442.00 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 6 in. | 1810 | Steel well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$31.20 | 20 | \$624.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#89-Dug Well

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the excavation of a shallow dug well. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock. A typical dug well is 4 foot in diameter and 15 feet in depth. The well is excavated using a backhoe. Excavate to a depth where the water recharge is greater than the equipment can remove. Washed gravel is placed in the base of the dug opening. Concrete manhole risers are installed to hold the water. Pea gravel is placed above the washed gravel to transition to the earth backfill. The hole is backfilled and sloped to direct surface water away from entering the manhole cover.

Before Situation:
Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source.
After Situation:
Sufficient water is available for livestock. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,176.74

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14,176.74
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 10 | \$629.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 11 | \$442.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 3 | \$119.67 |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Manhole, $4 \mathrm{ft} \times 4 \mathrm{ft}$ | 1053 | Precast Manhole with base and top delivered. 4 feet diameter $\times 4$ feet. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1,387.65 | 5 | \$6,938.25 |
| Aggregate, gravel, washed, pea gravel | 1331 | Washed and graded pea gravel river stone. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$42.71 | 1 | \$42.71 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 7 | \$4,902.59 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 5 | \$34.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#90-Shallow Well

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur within 100 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock or irrigation. An average well depth is 75 feet. Well casings are 4-6' in diameter. Steel casing is installed to a depth of 50 feet.

## Before Situation:

Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source. There is insufficient water for use in micro-irrigation.

## After Situation:

Sufficient water is available for livestock or irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 9,892.79$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 9,892.79$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 10 | \$3,356.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2 | \$1,400.74 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 6 in. | 1786 | Well cap, 6 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$58.35 | 1 | \$58.35 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 6 in. | 1810 | Steel well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$31.20 | 50 | \$1,560.00 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 6 in. | 1995 | 6 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$109.21 | 25 | \$2,730.25 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with $70-150$ HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 1$

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#91-Typical Well

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur 100-600 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock or micro-irrigation. An average well depth is 400 feet. Well casings are 4-6' in diameter. Steel casing is installed to a depth of 300 feet.

Before Situation:
Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source. There is insufficient water for use in micro-irrigation.

## After Situation:

Sufficient water is available for livestock or micro-irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 36,119.95$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 36,119.95$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 40.5 | \$13,592.61 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2 | \$1,400.74 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 6 in. | 1786 | Well cap, 6 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$58.35 | 1 | \$58.35 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 6 in. | 1810 | Steel well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$31.20 | 300 | \$9,360.00 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 6 in. | 1995 | 6 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$109.21 | 100 | \$10,921.00 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 126.80$

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#92 - Deep Well
Scenario Description:
Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur > 600 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock or micro-irrigation. An average well depth is 800 feet. Well casings are 4-6' in diameter. Steel casing is installed to a depth of 600 feet.

Before Situation:
Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source. There is insufficient water for use in micro-irrigation.

## After Situation:

Sufficient water is available for livestock or micro-irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 66,469.55$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 66,469.55$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 70.5 | \$23,661.21 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2 | \$1,400.74 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 6 in. | 1786 | Well cap, 6 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$58.35 | 1 | \$58.35 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 6 in. | 1810 | Steel well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$31.20 | 600 | \$18,720.00 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 6 in. | 1995 | 6 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$109.21 | 200 | \$21,842.00 |

## Mobilization



Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#93-High Volume Shallow Well

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur within 100 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for overhead irrigation. An average well depth is 75 feet. Well casings are $=8^{\prime}$ in diameter. Steel casing is installed to a depth of 50 feet.

## Before Situation:

There is insufficient water for use in irrigation.
After Situation:
Sufficient water is available for livestock or micro-irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 14,888.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 14,888.80$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 10.5 | \$3,524.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2 | \$1,400.74 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 10 in. | 1788 | Well cap, 10 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$120.05 | 1 | \$120.05 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 10 in . | 1812 | Steel well casing, 10 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$63.59 | 50 | \$3,179.50 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 10 in . | 1996 | 10 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$235.09 | 25 | \$5,877.25 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80$ \$726.80

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#94 - High Volume Typical Well

## Scenario Description:

Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur 100-600 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock or micro-irrigation. An average well depth is 400 feet. Well casings are $=8$ ' in diameter. Steel casing is installed to a depth of 300 feet.

Before Situation:
There is insufficient water for use in irrigation.
After Situation:
Sufficient water is available for livestock or micro-irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 58,486.65$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$58,486.65

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 40.5 | \$13,592.61 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2 | \$1,400.74 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 10 in. | 1788 | Well cap, 10 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$120.05 | 1 | \$120.05 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 10 in . | 1812 | Steel well casing, 10 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$63.59 | 300 | \$19,077.00 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 10 in . | 1996 | 10 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$235.09 | 100 | \$23,509.00 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each 1726.80 1

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#95-High Volume Deep Well
Scenario Description:
Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur > 600 feet of the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock or micro-irrigation. An average well depth is 400 feet. Well casings are $=8$ ' in diameter. Steel casing is installed to a depth of 600 feet.

Before Situation:
There is insufficient water for use in irrigation.
After Situation:
Sufficient water is available for livestock or micro-irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construciton activities.

Feature Measure: No.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$111,141.25
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$111,141.25

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 70.5 | \$23,661.21 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 2 | \$1,400.74 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 10 in. | 1788 | Well cap, 10 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$120.05 | 1 | \$120.05 |
| Well Casing, Metal, 10 in . | 1812 | Steel well casing, 10 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$63.59 | 600 | \$38,154.00 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 10 in . | 1996 | 10 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$235.09 | 200 | \$47,018.00 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each 1726.80 1

Practice: 642-Water Well
Scenario: \#121-Steel or Copper, 100 ft . or deeper
Scenario Description:
Typical construction is for the installation of a well, in areas where sufficient water is known to occur greater than 2000 feet from the ground surface. The well shall be drilled, dug, driven, bored, jetted or otherwise constructed to an aquifer for water supply. The purpose of the practice is to provide water for livestock or micro-irrigation. An average well depth is 2500 feet. Plastic Surface casings are 6 in diameter with smaller diameter casing and screen extending into the water bearing formation. Steel casing and screen is installed to a typical depth of 2500 feet.

Before Situation:
Livestock have insufficient water or are fenced from their water source. There is insufficient water for use in micro-irrigation.
After Situation:
Sufficient water is available for livestock or micro-irrigation. Utilize Pumping Plant (533) and Pipeline (516) as associated practices. Use Critical Area Seeding (342) where necessary to prevent erosion following construction activities.

Feature Measure: Depth of Well
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$126,297.67
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.15
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotary Drill Rig | 1595 | Rotary drill rig including equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$335.62 | 120 | \$40,274.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 200 | \$5,728.00 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Standard Water Test, Well Water | 309 | Well Water Suitability test. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$53.50 | 1 | \$53.50 |
| Grout, cement | 1333 | Cement grout meeting ASTM specifications for well sealing. Includes both neat-cement grout and bentonite gout mixtures. Includes materials, equipment and labor to place. | Cubic Yards | \$700.37 | 1 | \$700.37 |
| Chlorine | 1335 | Liquid chlorine bleach. Includes materials only. | Gallons | \$6.95 | 1 | \$6.95 |
| Well Cap, 6 in. | 1786 | Well cap, 6 inch. Materials only. | Each | \$58.35 | 1 | \$58.35 |
| Well Casing, Stainless Steel/Copper, 2 in. | 1796 | Stainless steel or Copper well casing, 2 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$35.00 | 2000 | \$70,000.00 |
| Well Casing, Plastic, 6 in. | 1804 | PVC or ABS non-threaded well casing, 6 inch. Materials only. | Feet | \$11.10 | 500 | \$5,550.00 |
| Well Screen, stainless steel, 2 in. | 2278 | 2 inch Stainless steel well screen. Materials only. | Feet | \$49.45 | 50 | \$2,472.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 643-Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#2 - Habitat Monitoring and Management, Low Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where native plant conditions (T\&E plants) or wildlife have been identified as the resource concern, and where low intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxs, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 8 hours labor per year.

## Before Situation:

Rare or declining habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.

## After Situation:

Rare and declining habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.
Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$767.46

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.80
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.5 | \$36.17 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#3 - Rare or Declining Habitat Monitoring and Management, Medium Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified related to rare or declining habitats, and where medium intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two or three monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. Two or three adaptive management efforts are required (such as cutting of limbs that impede monitoring efforts, replacing damaged fence markers, or other minor adaptive management activities). The adaptive mgmt requires hand labor and the occasional use of light equipment. A crew of 2 is needed for the hand labor efforts and the crew will require less than 16 total hours of labor per mgmt effort. Mowing of roads and trail is required to provide access for monitoring and management.

Before Situation:
Rare or declining habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.
After Situation:
Rare or declining habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.
Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,289.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description |  | Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 5 | \$150.15 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#4-Habitat Monitoring and Management, High Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where high intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two - four monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions ( $2-5$ efforts) such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires hand labor and light equipment, requiring a 2-person crew less than 1 day per effort.

Before Situation:
Wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.

## After Situation:

Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.

Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 80.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$2,189.09

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$27.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |

Practice: 643-Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#5 - Development of Shallow Micro-Topographic Features with Normal Farming Equipment.

## Scenario Description:

This typical scenario is installed on open non-wetlands. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed to loosen the soil. Then the soil is excavated with normal farming equipment (e.g. tractor and box-blade) to a depth of 2-6 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a box-blade restores the original micro-topographic features ( 6 ' X 6 ' depressions and mounds) common to most landscapes and landforms prior to clearing, tilling, and annual mowing. Restoration of shallow but frequent micro-topographic features has been lost by the smoothing action of tillage, mowing and the original land-clearing. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as prairie restoration and range-land restoration, and particularly on moderately well-drained soils.

Before Situation:
Micro-topographic features have been eliminated by past conversion to agriculture and/or past cultural practices. This has resulted in the lack of micro-soil moisture gradients within the field. The opportunity for plant species richness and diversity is minimal. Water storage potential is absent. Water rapidly runs off the field after rains and snow melt, carrying nutrients, solids and surface organic materials. No micro-ponding sites are available for invertebrate use.

## After Situation:

Shallow micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. This varied micro-topographic features provided varied moisture gradients required for high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the micro depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.

Feature Measure: hours of tractor use

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,046.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$52.34
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 20 | \$426.60 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 6 | \$428.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 6 | \$191.64 |

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#6-Development of Deep Micro-Topographic Features with Heavy Equipment.

## Scenario Description:

This typical scenario is installed on open non-wetlands, where micro-topographic features have been removed by past farming and/or ranching cultural practices. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed 2 weeks prior to excavation to kill existing vegetation and allow for proper dirt work. Then the soil is excavated with track equipment (dozer) to a depth of 6-12 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a dozer -blade restores the original deep micro-topographic features (10' X10' depressions and mounds) common to many landscapes and landforms prior to the lands conversion to agricultural lands. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as wetland restoration (herbaceous or prior to planting of woody species), prairie restoration and range-land restoration. It is most commonly applied to well-drained soils as the purpose is for the micro-depression to pond water for short duration (less than 7 days).

## Before Situation:

Micro-topographic features have been eliminated by past conversion to agriculture and/or past cultural practices. This has resulted in the lack of micro-soil moisture gradients within the field. The opportunity for plant species richness and diversity is minimal. Water storage potential is absent. Water rapidly runs off the field after rains and snow melt, carrying nutrients, solids and surface organic materials. No micro-ponding sites are available aquatic dependent invertebrates. Vertebrate wildlife habitat is lacking diversity.

After Situation:
Deep ( $6^{\prime}-12^{\prime}$ depth) micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. These varied micro-topographic features provide varied moisture gradients required for development of high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the deep micro-depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.

Feature Measure: Hours
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,669.20$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$133.46

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 6 | \$1,042.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 20 | \$426.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 | Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons.

## Mobilization

Mobilization, large equipment
1140 Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or
Each
$\$ 877.52$
1
\$877.52

Practice: 643 -Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#23-Glade Restoration, Heavy

## Scenario Description:

Removing or reducing woody plant canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth to restore and manage glades where the Ecological Site Description indicates a glade. Scenario is for glade restoration where greater than $50 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover. Payment is based on impacted acres only. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as glades. Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover, and shelter are lacking, and woody cover control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as glades.

After Situation:
The glade habitat is restored and flora and fauna that depend on the glade habitat flourish.
Feature Measure: area treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 927.78$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$927.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 16 | \$95.84 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 1 | \$34.16 |

Practice: 643 -Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#25-Glade Restoration, Light

## Scenario Description:

Removing or reducing woody plant canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth to restore and manage glades where the Ecological Site Description indicates a glade. Scenario is for glade restoration where $10 \%-50 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover. Payment is based on impacted acres only. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as glades. Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover, and shelter are lacking, and woody cover control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as glades.

After Situation:
The glade habitat is restored and flora and fauna that depend on the glade habitat flourish.
Feature Measure: size of area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$480.97
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$480.97

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 1 | \$77.77 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 1 | \$34.16 |

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#26-Savanna or Prairie Restoration, Heavy
Scenario Description:
Removing or reducing woody plant canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth to restore and manage savannas or prairies where the Ecological Site Description indicates a savanna or prairie. Scenario is for savanna or prairie restoration where greater than $60 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover. Payment is based on impacted acres only. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as savannas or prairies Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover and shelter are lacking and control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as savannas and prairies.

After Situation:
Savanna or prairie is restored and flora and fauna that depend on that habitat flourish.
Feature Measure: treated acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,341.57$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$334.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 24 | \$720.72 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2.5 | \$194.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 55 | \$2,207.70 |

## Materials

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#27-Savanna or Prairie Restoration, Medium
Scenario Description:
Removing or reducing woody plant canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth to restore and manage savannas or prairies where the Ecological Site Description indicates a savanna or prairie. Scenario is for savanna or prairie restoration where $40 \%$ - $60 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover. Payment is based on impacted acres only. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as savannas or prairies Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover and shelter are lacking and control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as savannas and prairies.

After Situation:
Savanna or prairie is restored and flora and fauna that depend on that habitat flourish
Feature Measure: acres treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,993.59
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$199.36

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 16 | \$480.48 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2.5 | \$194.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 28 | \$1,123.92 |

## Materials

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#28-Savanna or Prairie Restoration, Light
Scenario Description:
Removing or reducing woody plant canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth to restore and manage savannas or prairies where the Ecological Site Description indicates a savanna or prairie. Scenario is for savanna or prairie restoration where $10 \%-39 \%$ canopy cover across the treatment area is in undesirable non-herbaceous cover. Payment is based on impacted acres only. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as savannas or prairies Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover and shelter are lacking and control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as savannas and prairies.

After Situation:
Savanna or prairie is restored and flora and fauna that depend on that habitat flourish.
Feature Measure: Area treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$836.50

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$83.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 5 | \$150.15 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2.5 | \$194.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 5 | \$170.80 |

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#29-Woodland Restoration, Heavy
Scenario Description:
Removing or reducing the tree canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth or early woody succession to benefit wildlife habitat where the Ecological Site Description indicates a woodland. Scenario is for open woodland restoration where basal area removal is $>40$ square feet per acre, or $>400$ stems per acre. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands by felling the majority of the undesirable trees to allow installation of associated practices. Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

## Before Situation:

A stand of trees is even aged and lacks structural diversity. The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover and shelter are lacking, and woody cover control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands.

After Situation:
The ecological site is restored and flora and fauna that depend on open woodland habitat flourish
Feature Measure: Treatment area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,969.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 6.91 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 30 | \$179.70 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 5 | \$388.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 55 | \$2,207.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 10 | \$192.80 |

Practice: 643-Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#30-Woodland Restoration, Medium
Scenario Description:
Removing or reducing the tree canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth or early woody succession to benefit wildlife habitat where the Ecological Site Description indicates a woodland. Scenario is for open woodland restoration where basal area removal is 30-40 square feet per acre, or 200-400 stems per acre. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands by felling the majority of the undesirable trees to allow installation of associated practices. Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

## Before Situation:

A stand of trees is even aged and lacks structural diversity. The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover and shelter are lacking, and woody cover control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands.

After Situation:
The woodland ecological site is restored and flora and fauna that depend on open woodland habitat flourish.
Feature Measure: Area Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,307.05$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 230.71$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 5 | \$388.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 10 | \$192.80 |

Practice: 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#31-Woodland Restoration, Light

## Scenario Description:

Removing or reducing the tree canopy and utilizing chemical treatment (except for non-sprouting woody species) to promote herbaceous growth or early woody succession to benefit wildlife habitat where the Ecological Site Description indicates a woodland. Scenario is for open woodland restoration where basal area removal is 20-29 square feet per acre, or 100-199 stems per acre. This scenario is intended to restore and manage the ecological site for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands by felling the majority of the undesirable trees to allow installation of associated practices. Associated practices may include, but are not limited to; Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338) and Conservation Cover (327).

## Before Situation:

A stand of trees is even aged and lacks structural diversity. The understory has a minimal amount of herbaceous and early woody successional vegetation. Wildlife food, cover and shelter are lacking, and woody cover control is needed to manage for associated wildlife species that benefit from habitats such as open woodlands.

After Situation:
The woodland ecological site is restored and flora and fauna that depend on open woodland habitat flourish.
Feature Measure: Area Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,875.70$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 187.57$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 15 | \$89.85 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 5 | \$388.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 30 | \$1,204.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 10 | \$192.80 |

Practice: 643-Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
Scenario: \#75-High Species Richness on Fallow or Non-Cropland, no FI

## Scenario Description:

A resource concern has identified the need to re-establish, by planting of seed, a rare or declining plant community or community of local cultural importance. This practice scenario applies to areas not recently in crop production, including fallow cropland currently supporting native or non-native vegetation needing control prior to planting. The restoration effort will consist of planting a rich and diverse mix of species native to the area and representative of the historic plant community. Seed for the desired species are not of limited supply (e.g. local genotypes), difficult to produce, or excessively difficult to harvest. Light site preparation will occur prior to planting via herbicide burndown, burning, mowing or disking. If the plant community supported difficult to control species; those species were treated previous to the planting via the implementation of CPS Brush Management (Code 314) and/or Herbaceous Weed Control (Code 315).

Before Situation:
The site supports a common plant community (not rare or declining) in the region and does not require aggressive techniques for control, making the site suitable establishment of the identified rare or declining community or community of local cultural importance.

After Situation:
Desired species have been established, restoring the identified rare and declining community, or community of local cultural importance.
Feature Measure: acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,793.11
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$579.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 10 | \$64.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

Herbicide, Glyphosate

Native Perennial Grasses,
Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 644-Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#2 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Monitoring and Management, Low Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to wetlands on landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where low intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxs, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 8 hours labor per year.

Before Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.

## After Situation:

Widlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.
Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$767.46

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 4.80$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.5 | \$36.17 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#3 - Habitat Monitoring and Management, Medium Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to wetland areas located on all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where medium intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two or three monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. Two or three adaptive management efforts are required (such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures). The adaptive mgmt requires hand labor and the occasional use of light equipment. A crew of 2 is needed for the hand labor efforts and the crew will require less than 16 total hours of labor per mgmt effort. Mowing of roads and trail is required to provide access for monitoring and management.

Before Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.
After Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.
Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,289.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description |  | Cos |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 5 | \$150.15 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#4 - Habitat Monitoring and Management, High Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where high intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two - four monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions ( $2-5$ efforts) such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires hand labor and light equipment, requiring a 2-person crew less than 1 day per effort.

Before Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.
After Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.

Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 80.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,846.37$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$35.58

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#5 - Development of Shallow Micro-Topographic Features with Normal Farming Equipment.

## Scenario Description:

This typical scenario is installed on non-forested wetlands, including openlands prior to tree planting. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed to loosen the soil. Then the soil is excavated with normal farming equipment (e.g. tractor and box-blade) to a depth of 2-6 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a box-blade restores the original microtopographic features ( $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ depressions and mounds) common to most landscapes and landforms prior to clearing, tilling, and annual mowing. Restoration of shallow but frequent micro-topographic features has been lost by the smoothing action of tillage, mowing and the original land-clearing. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as prairie restoration and range-land restoration, and particularly on moderately well-drained soils.

Before Situation:
Micro-topographic features have been eliminated by past conversion to agriculture and/or past cultural practices. This has resulted in the lack of micro-soil moisture gradients within the field. The opportunity for plant species richness and diversity is minimal. Water storage potential is absent. Water rapidly runs off the field after rains and snow melt, carrying nutrients, solids and surface organic materials. No micro-ponding sites are available for invertebrate use.

## After Situation:

Shallow micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. This varied micro-topographic features provided varied moisture gradients required for high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the micro depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.

Feature Measure: hours of tractor use

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,046.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$52.34
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 20 | \$426.60 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 6 | \$428.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 6 | \$191.64 |

## Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management

Scenario: \#6-Development of Deep Micro-Topographic Features with Heavy Equipment.

## Scenario Description:

This typical scenario is installed on non-forested wetlands (or open land prior to tree planting), where micro-topographic features have been removed by past farming and/or ranching cultural practices. The purpose is to increase plant species richness and diversity, create micro-habitats for invertebrates, increase water infiltration and reduce run-off. The area is plowed 2 weeks prior to excavation to kill existing vegetation and allow for proper dirt work. Then the soil is excavated with track equipment (dozer) to a depth of 6-12 inches and immediately deposited. This lowering and raising of a dozer -blade restores the original deep micro-topographic features (10' X10' depressions and mounds) common to many landscapes and landforms prior to the lands conversion to agricultural lands. This scenario it typically implemented for ecosystem restoration projects such as wetland restoration (herbaceous or prior to planting of woody species), prairie restoration and range-land restoration. It is most commonly applied to well-drained soils as the purpose is for the micro-depression to pond water for short duration (less than 7 days).

## Before Situation:

Micro-topographic features have been eliminated by past conversion to agriculture and/or past cultural practices. This has resulted in the lack of micro-soil moisture gradients within the field. The opportunity for plant species richness and diversity is minimal. Water storage potential is absent. Water rapidly runs off the field after rains and snow melt, carrying nutrients, solids and surface organic materials. No micro-ponding sites are available aquatic dependent invertebrates. Vertebrate wildlife habitat is lacking diversity.

After Situation:
Deep ( $6^{\prime}-12^{\prime}$ depth) micro-depressions and mounds are numerous. These varied micro-topographic features provide varied moisture gradients required for development of high plant species richness and diversity. Wildlife habitat is improved. Water conservation is increased, increasing vegetative production. Water quality is improved as the micro-depressions capture sediments, nutrients and manure. Over time, the micro-depressions become more nutrient rich than the micro-highs, further increasing plant species richness.

Feature Measure: Hours
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 2,669.20$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 6 | \$1,042.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 20 | \$426.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 | Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons.

## Mobilization

Mobilization, large equipment
1140 Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or
Each
$\$ 877.52$
1
$\$ 877.52$

Practice: 644-Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#7-Topographic Feature Creation, Low

## Scenario Description:

The setting is all landuses, but typically is on lands used for the production of forest products grazing and/or fish and wildlife where the slope gradient is less than two percent and soils that are not excessively drained. The State-approved habitat evaluation or appraisal found that a limiting factor for wetland wildlife is the absence of sufficient variability in microtopograpic relief in the area. The construction of topographic features will provide for diverse soil hydrologic conditions needed to treat the degraded plant condition and/or inadequate habitat for wetland wildlife. Excavated spoil is spread adjacent to excavation or moved to designated locations but not compacted. This scenario is for earthwork, not associated with habitat structures or any other national standard (e.g. Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Wetland Creation (658), and Dike (356)). Facilitating practices may include Structure for Water Control (587).

Before Situation:
The site lacks sufficient micro- and macrotopographic features needed for optimal wetland wildlife habitat for target species. Typically the site has been previously manipulated and utilized for agricultural, livestock or forest production. With the loss of hummocks, depressions and other topographic features scattered throughout the site, both plant and animal species that are dependent on the microenvironments created by these features are no longer present or are in decline within the planning unit.

After Situation:
As a result of the installation, the topograpic releif needed to provide the varied wetland wildlife habitat needs is provided.
Feature Measure: Acres of constructed features
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,646.44$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$864.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 56 | \$5,336.80 |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | \$1.77 | 100 | \$177.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 56 | \$2,255.12 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, large equipment
1140 Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#8 - Topographic Feature Creation, High

## Scenario Description:

The setting is all landuses, but typically is on lands used for the production of forest products grazing and/or fish and wildlife where the slope gradient is less than two percent and soils that are not excessively drained. The State-approved habitat evaluation or appraisal found that a limiting factor for wetland wildlife is the absence of sufficient variability in microtopograpic relief in the area. The construction of topographic features will provide for diverse soil hydrologic conditions needed to treat the degraded plant condition and/or inadequate habitat for wetland wildlife. Excavated spoil is needed to further enhance macrotopograpic relief by placing and compacting the fill in strategic areas. This scenario is for earthwork, not associated with habitat structures or any other national standard (e.g. Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Wetland Creation (658), and Dike (356)). Facilitating practices may include Structure for Water Control (587).

Before Situation:
The site lacks sufficient micro- and macrotopographic features needed for optimal wetland wildlife habitat for target species. Typically the site has been previously manipulated and utilized for agricultural, livestock or forest production. With the loss of hummocks, depressions and other topographic features scattered throughout the site, both plant and animal species that are dependent on the microenvironments created by these features are no longer present or are in decline within the planning unit.

After Situation:
As a result of the installation, the topograpic relief needed to provide the varied wetland wildlife habitat needs is provided.
Feature Measure: Acres of constructed features
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$16,902.44

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,690.24

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Roller Compacted | 49 | Earthfill, roller or machine compacted, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.84 | 2150 | \$8,256.00 |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 56 | \$5,336.80 |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | \$1.77 | 100 | \$177.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 56 | \$2,255.12 |

## Mobilization

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#9 - Management and monitoring only, foregone income

## Scenario Description:

Site management will include managing/monitoring the site to provide food and cover for wetland wildlife species on cropland. Annual vegetation (crops or other annual vegetation) will be allowed to establish and persist during critical nesting and brood rearing seasons and will remain standing (not harvested) until migratory species have left the site. The setting is on lands used for the production of crops where the slope gradient is less than two percent and soils that are not excessively drained. The Stateapproved habitat evaluation or appraisal found that a limiting factor for wetland wildlife is the absence of sufficient cover and food in the area. The manipulation of existing cover will be accomplished thru mechanical methods to provide a diverse vegetation mosaic with in and adjacent to the existing wetland addressing inadequate habitat for wetland wildlife. Where this occurs on cropped fields, annual crops will be lost for one growing season (foregone income is included).

Before Situation:
The site lacks sufficient and diverse cover and food needed for optimal wetland wildlife habitat or target species. Typically the site has been previously manipulated and utilized for agricultural. With the loss of abundant and diverse cover and food throughout the site, both plant and animal species that are dependent on these elements are no longer present or are in decline within the planning unit.

## After Situation:

Agricultural crop or annual vegetation has been allowed to persist providing needed food and cover essential for identified species. Crops and annual vegetation will not be harvested during the critical seasons as identified by the habitat evaluation. As a result of the installation, adequate habitat needs have been provided.

Feature Measure: Acres of Wetland Wildlife Cover an
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,412.90$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$441.29
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 10 | \$213.30 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |

## Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management

Scenario: \#10-Management and Monitoring on Idled Cropland for Wetland Wildlife, foregone income - Level 1 (Year 2-5)

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses wildlife habitat management for wetter or more water saturated portions of cropland fields which are valuable source of forage and cover for many waterfowl, shorebird and wading bird species. The cession of cropping and maintenance of hydrology will provide adequate forage and cover in areas where normal cropland production restricts the growth of cover and forage sources. Where this occurs on cropped fields, annual crops will be lost for one growing season (foregone income is included).

Before Situation:
Setting is any prairie pothole. The wetlands must be wholly or partially in cropland. These wetlands are currently cropped, and hydrology has or could be diverted from the wetland by way of tiling, field or road ditching, diking or any other feature that removes wetland hydrology. These wetter or more water saturated portions of cropland fields have the potential to produce a significant amount of moist soil plants which are valuable source of forage and cover for many waterfowl, shorebird and wading bird species. Under normal cropland production, the native vegetation is restricted on these sites through mechanical and/or chemical control. The current system provides little to no wildlife habitat with habitat limiting factors such as quality, quantity and continuity of forage, cover, shelter and space being identified. Drainage could also result in inadequate wildlife water and inadequate habitat.

## After Situation:

The planning unit is adequately covered with permanent and/or annual (non-persistent) vegetation. The cession of cropping and maintenance of hydrology provides adequate forage and cover in areas where normal cropland production restricts the growth of cover and forage sources. Monitoring assures hydrology is intact and provides wildlife water and habitat. Acres will be assessed and score 0.5 or greater as both Wetlands and Cropland on the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide. Monitoring will be used to determine if the hydrology remains intact and cover is adequate and free of invasive weed species. Examples of monitoring include but are not limited to: photo points with comparisons to surrounding wetlands, use documentation by livestock, regeneration or breeding success, completing an annual management records log, documenting wildlife sightings, documenting location and species of invasive plants and condition of vegetative and structural treatments.

## Feature Measure: Area idled from crop production to

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$972.79

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$486.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1 | \$375.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#11-Idling Cropland for Wetland Wildlife - Level 2

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses wildlife habitat management for wetter or more water saturated portions of cropland fields which are valuable source of forage and cover for many waterfowl, shorebird and wading bird species. The cession of cropping and maintenance of hydrology will provide adequate forage and cover in areas where normal cropland production restricts the growth of cover and forage sources. Where this occurs on cropped fields, annual crops will be lost for one growing season (foregone income is included).

Before Situation:
Setting is any wetland being 2 acres or less on the National Wetland Inventory with fully intact hydrology. The wetlands must be wholly or partially in cropland. These wetlands are currently cropped, and hydrology could be diverted from the wetland by way of tiling, field or road ditching, diking or any other feature that removes wetland hydrology. These wetter or more water saturated portions of cropland fields have the potential to produce a significant amount of moist soil plants which are valuable source of forage and cover for many waterfowl, shorebird and wading bird species. Under normal cropland production, the native vegetation is restricted on these sites through mechanical and/or chemical control. The current system provides little to no wildlife habitat with habitat limiting factors such as quality, quantity and continuity of forage, cover, shelter and space being identified. Drainage could also result in inadequate wildlife water and inadequate habitat.

After Situation:
The planning unit is adequately covered with annual (non-persistent) vegetation. The cession of cropping and maintenance of hydrology provides adequate forage and cover in areas where normal cropland production restricts the growth of cover and forage sources. Monitoring assures hydrology is intact and provides wildlife water and habitat. Acres will be assessed and score 0.5 or greater as both Wetlands and Cropland on the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide. Monitoring will be used to determine if the hydrology remains intact and cover is adequate and free of invasive weed species. Examples of monitoring include but are not limited to: photo points with comparisons to surrounding wetlands, use documentation by livestock, regeneration or breeding success, completing an annual management records log, documenting wildlife sightings, documenting location and species of invasive plants and condition of vegetative and structural treatments.

Feature Measure: Area idled from crop production to
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$986.83
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$493.42
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1 | \$375.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#12 - Monitoring and Management - Level 3

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to cropped wetlands, two acres or less in size identified on the National Wetland Inventory, with intact hydrology, currently cropped (typically in a corn-wheat-soybean rotation) and lacking adequate food and cover for migratory water fowl during critical periods. The inadequate wildlife habitat resource concern can be addressed by allowing EXISTING annual vegetation (crops or other annual vegetation) to establish and persist during critical nesting and brood rearing seasons. Annual crops may be lost for one growing season.

Before Situation:
Existing habitat is a cropped wetland, lacking wildlife food and cover during the cropping season. Normal seeding and/or harvest occurs about $30 \%$ of the time. Excess wetness during the early planting season in the remaining years often cause ag producers to seed these small areas after the initial seeding date resulting in soil compaction, reduced hydrology, and limited crop success. As these areas are intermingled with upland, managing as a separate land use is unfeasible. Monitoring (with supporting photo documentation) and a State-approved Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide confirms an inadequate wildlife habitat resource concern exists.

## After Situation:

Agricultural crop or annual vegetation will be allowed to persist providing food and cover essential for migratory birds. Crops and annual vegetation will not be harvested during the primary nesting season as identified by the habitat evaluation guide. This allows for successful nesting and brood rearing. The Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide documents an increase in planning criteria (and at a minimum meet planning criteria) for the inadequate wildlife habitat resource concern. Monitoring (with supporting photo documentation) demonstrates wildlife habitat has been improved to levels consistent with management goals/objectives, as well as observed use of the wetland habitat by wildlife.

Feature Measure: NWI for sizing

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 2.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$706.77
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$353.39

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.7 | \$325.34 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.7 | \$262.61 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#54-Establishment of annual vegetation on cropland, with FI

## Scenario Description:

The typical scenario is applied on cropped wetlands (cropland). The habitat assessment identified the lack of wildlife forage or cover during the same season of year as crops are grown. In response to the need to provide wetland dependent wildlife needed forage or cover during the cropping season, annual wildlife forages will be planted on cropland for one year, in lieu of the traditional cash crop. Seedbed preparation is limited to one light disking and cultipacking. The only fertilizer need is a light application of $N$ as cropland $P$ and $K$ levels are sufficient. These wildlife forages and/or seasonal cover are planted in lieu of the cash crop, such as a corn and soybean rotation. Thus, income from the traditional cash crop will be foregone for the year.

Before Situation:
The existing habitat is cropland, lacking high quality forage for cover during the cropping season.
After Situation:
Annual wildlife forages are planted in lieu of a cash crop. Target wildlife health is improved and local populations are increased.
Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,374.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$537.40
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 10 | \$72.30 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 10 | \$96.80 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 40 | \$41.20 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 10 | \$613.60 |

## Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management

Scenario: \#55 - Establishment of annuals for wildlife on cropland, without FI

## Scenario Description:

The habitat assessment identified the need to provide seasonal forage or cover for target wildlife species or guild. This identified habitat need will be met through the establishment of annual vegetation by planting of seed. This typical scenario is that this activity will occur on cropland, but outside of the normal cropping season. Thus, income will not be foregone. Seed bed preparation will be furthered by firming the seed bed by cultipacking the site. The only fertilizer need is N as this is cropland and P and K levels are sufficient.

Before Situation:
Cropland that fails to provide adequate wildlife habitat (forage and/or cover) seasonnally for the target wildlife species..
After Situation:
The availability of high-quality seasonal forage for the target wildlife species is provided and target wildlife health and populations are increased.
Feature Measure: acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$1,195.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$119.50

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 10 | \$140.40 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 10 | \$72.30 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 10 | \$96.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 60 | \$61.80 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 10 | \$613.60 |

Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#56-Establishment of seasonal wildlife forage or cover on non-cropland

## Scenario Description:

The habitat assessment identifies the need to provide seasonal forage or cover for target wildlife species or guild. This habitat need will be met through the establishment of annual plants by planting of seed. The typical scenario will occur on areas supporting perinneal herbaceous vegetaion, not currently in cropland. Due to existing dense vegetation, these area will need to be mowed $2-3$ weeks prior to disking (primarily disking), then followed by a light disking. Seed bed preparation will be furthered by firming the seed bed by cultipacking the site. Mixed fertilizer is required to establish planted wildlife forage. A seedmix consisting of annuals is typical for this activity.

## Before Situation:

The existing habitat has an excess of herbaceous perineal habitat suitable for cover, but is lacking high quality forage seasonal forage, or the cover conditions is too thick and establishement of annuals create a diverse cover condition for the target wildlife species.

## After Situation:

The availability of high-quality seasonal forage for the target wildlife species is provided and target wildlife health is improved, and populations are increased.
Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,728.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$172.82
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 20 | \$280.80 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 10 | \$213.30 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 10 | \$72.30 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 10 | \$96.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 60 | \$61.80 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 60 | \$48.60 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 60 | \$40.80 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 10 | \$613.60 |

## Practice: 644 - Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management

Scenario: \#57-Habitat Monitoring and Management, Very-Low Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to wetlands within all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where very-low intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxs, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 16 hours of labor per year.

Before Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of very-low intensity and complexity.
After Situation:
Wetland wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of very- low intensity and complexity.
Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 640.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$776.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.21

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 3 | \$356.49 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#1-Macro Topography, deep

## Scenario Description:

Establishment of a topographic feature on the landscape consisting of a small dam and pool or small excavated depression that will hold water to provide a source of water for wildlife, including habitat for reptiles and amphibians. Payment includes the equipment and labor assocaited with establishing the water feature.

Before Situation:
This practice will be installed on any area where seasonal or permenent water for wildlife is inadequate. The resource concerns to be addressed by this practice are inadequate water needed to meet the life needs of the target species or guild. Water storage during the critical period (season) is absent. No micro-ponding sites are available aquatic dependent invertebrates. Vertebrate wildlife habitat is lacking richness.

## After Situation:

This practice consists of an excavated depresion to collect water for wildlife to access. Excavated depresions are typically 1000 ft2 and 3 feet deep in center with $4: 1$ side slopes around edge. Associated practices: Critical Area Planting (342), Conservation Cover (327)

Feature Measure: Each deep macro-topography featu
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,269.08

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,269.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 4 | \$381.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#3 - Habitat Monitoring and Management, Low Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where low intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Only 1-2 monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and 4 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxs, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires only hand labor and less than 8 hours labor per year.

Before Situation:
Wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.

## After Situation:

Widlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of low intensity and complexity.

Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$767.46

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 4.80$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1.5 | \$36.17 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 7 | \$200.48 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#4 - Habitat Monitoring and Management, Medium Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where medium intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two or three monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. Two or three adaptive management efforts are required (such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures). The adaptive mgmt requires hand labor and the occasional use of light equipment. A crew of 2 is needed for the hand labor efforts and the crew will require less than 16 total hours of labor per mgmt effort. Mowing of roads and trail is required to provide access for monitoring and management.

Before Situation:
Wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.
After Situation:
Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of medium intensity and complexity.
Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,289.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description |  | Cos |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 5 | \$150.15 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#5 - Habitat Monitoring and Management, High Intensity and Complexity

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied to all landuse types including those with wildlife as a modifier, where any resource concern is identified for wildlife, and where high intensity and complexity of monitoring or management will treat the identified resource concern. Two - four monitoring efforts are needed and each requiring less than 2 people and less than 8 hours per effort. The adaptive management actions ( $2-5$ efforts) such as cutting of limbs that are impeding access of birds into nest boxes, replacing damaged fence markers, cleaning of nest structures and debris around other structures requires hand labor and light equipment, requiring a 2-person crew less than 1 day per effort.

Before Situation:
Wildlife habitat is deficient due to the absence of annual monitoring and adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.

## After Situation:

Wildlife habitat is improved by implementation of annual adaptive management actions of high intensity and complexity.

Feature Measure: Monitoring efforts and adaptive m

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 80.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,846.37$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$35.58

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 4 | \$496.20 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 20 | \$572.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 645-Upland Wildlife Habitat Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#6-Wildlife Habitat Enhancement w/ FI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exclusion of livestock on 40 acres of pastureland for the enhancement of habitat for wildlife. Monitoring to assure gates are closed and cattle remain exclused duirng critical nesting period. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wildlife habitat is grazed during the primary nesting and development of wildlife species. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Livestock are excluded for wildlife habitat enhancement for the desired wildlife species. Implementation includes the exclusion of livestock to allow for adequate deferment for sufficient regrowth and development of the habitat. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres Excluded |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$889.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$22.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 40 | \$797.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#7 - Deferred Acres

## Scenario Description:

Setting is any land use with the potential to provide habitat for species of plants and animals identified as Rare and Declining and the habitat potential is not currently being captured. The identified habitat limiting factors can be restored, enhanced or created, with the application of this practice alone, or in combination with other supporting and facilitating practices. Monitoring will be used to determine if the conservation system meets or exceeds the minimum quality criteria for the targeted wildlife. Management will be implemented based on the findings of the habitat assessment and monitoring. Habitat management and monitoring needed to treat the resource concerns requires no training, no qualitative data assessment, no water quality monitoring and is low in complexity and intensity. Examples of prescribed monitoring include but are not limited to: photo points taken, use documentation by livestock, regeneration/breeding success, completing an annual management records log, documenting wildlife sightings, documenting location and species of invasive plants and condition of vegetative and structural treatments. The planner will specify locations and identify the methods to the customer who will implement the monitoring and management plan. Includes foregone income. Setting is cropland that will be managed to benefit rare and declining habitats through deferral or seeding to permanent vegetation.

Before Situation:
Existing degraded plant conditions and resulting inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife have resulted in low use of the area by target species. On dryland fields.
After Situation:
Based on the results of a State-approved upland wildlife habitat assessment process, the application of habitat management efforts and prescribed monitoring has been implemented. Crop production has been halted to allow for implementation, management, and monitoring of wildlife habitat, resulting in income foregone. With the application of this practice alone, or in combination with other supporting and facilitating practices, the inadequate habitat conditions have been addressed. Monitoring has maximized the benefits of the needed habitat treatment efforts.

Feature Measure: Acres Managed and Monitored
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,191.74
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$438.35
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
Scenario: \#327-Establishment of seasonal forage or cover for wildlife on non-cropland.

## Scenario Description:

The habitat assessment identifies the need to provide seasonal forage or cover for target wildlife species or guild. This habitat need will be met through the establishment of annual plants by planting of seed. The typical scenario is that this activity will occur on herbaceous areas, not currently in cropland. Due to existing dense vegetation, these area will need to be mowed 2-3 weeks prior to disking (primarily disking), then followed by a light disking. Seed bed preparation will be furthered by firming the seed bed by cultipacking the site. Mixed fertilizer is required to establish planted wildlife seasonal forage or seasonal cover..

## Before Situation:

The existing habitat has an excess of herbaceous perineal habitat, but is lacking high-quality seasonal forage, or the existing cover is too dense and cover conditions found in annual plant communities are absent.

After Situation:
The availability of high-quality seasonal forage, or cover condition common in annual plant communities is provided and target wildlife health and populations are increased.

Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,500.99$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$350.10
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 20 | \$280.80 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 10 | \$213.30 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 10 | \$72.30 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 10 | \$96.80 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Sulfate | 70 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Sulfate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$1.03 | 1000 | \$1,030.00 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P2O5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 600 | \$486.00 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 600 | \$408.00 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 10 | \$613.60 |

Practice: 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management

Scenario: \#344-Delayed Mowing on Hay Fields to Meet Life History Requirements

## Scenario Description:

This scenario is applied on currently well-maintained hay fields (cropland) to protect field-nesting birds and other wildlife from mowing equipment and subsequent loss of cover. Maintained hay fields contain high quality forage grasses including orchard grass, timothy, and fescue. Some hay fields may also contain legumes, such as alfalfa or clover. Hay fields are mowed using a sickle bar or disc mower. When hay fields are mowed during critical seasons (e.g. primary nesting season), wildlife (e.g. birds, bees, and turtles) can be injured from mowing equipment or nests are exposed to predation. A wildlife habitat evaluation (WHEG) indicates that the timing and/or method of mowing is detrimental to the habitat for target species. This practice scenario involves delaying mowing to avoid those critical seasons. Examples include (1) delayed mowing until August 1 or (2) in suitable areas a 65-day delayed second cut following a first cut that occurs before the primary nesting season. When mowing is delayed the nutritional content and digestibility of forage is significantly reduced and often the crop loses most value as livestock feed resulting in a loss of income for the agricultural producer. Over time, this management strategy will degrade agricultural crop (hay) value of the plant community mildly to significantly. However, it will provide valuable food and cover for wildlife.

## Before Situation:

The site is a productive hay field dominated by cool or warm season forage grasses. The producer receives income from harvesting and selling quality hay. Wildlife (e.g. birds, bees, and turtles) are injured or killed during mowing operations and cover habitat is removed during critical seasons.

## After Situation:

A wildlife habitat evaluation (WHEG) indicates that habitat degradation is addressed by the altered timing and method of harvest. Wildlife injury is reduced, and suitable cover is maintained because mowing operations are delayed. The hay field vegetative species composition transforms resulting in a greater variety of species. This change creates more structural diversity and provides valuable cover and forage for wildlife. The delay in mowing operations results in a loss of forage production quantity and quality as the field transitions towards more perennial broad leaf plants that are less valuable for hay production. The agricultural producer incurs a loss in income due to the delayed harvest beyond what is optimum for the forage.

Feature Measure: acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,861.44
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$186.14
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 4 | \$120.12 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, General Grass | 2122 | General Grass Hay is Primary Land Use | Ton | \$49.18 | 30 | \$1,475.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |

Practice: 646-Shallow Water Development and Management
Scenario: \#1 - Low Level Management, Natural Ponding

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife on cropland. The resource concern is addressed by providing shallow water habitat for wildlife such as shorebirds, waterfowl, wading birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, amphibians, and other species that require shallow water for at least part of their life cycle. Sites are flooded up to a depth of 18 ' with an average depth of 9 '. Before flooding, fields may be prepared by rolling residue and/or some grain may be left in the field unharvested. Water is provided by natural flooding and/or precipitation

Before Situation:
The site has existing infrastructure (reliable water source, dikes, water control structures, pumps, gates), or has adequate natural topography to provide a reliable seasonal water source. The area is not managed to provide optimum resting, nesting, and feeding habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wildlife (amphibians, reptiles, mammals, invertebrates, etc.).

## After Situation:

A single or series of shallow water areas are managed per standard and specification. Water levels are regulated to maintain temporary wildlife habitat utilizing natural ponding (pumping is not required for this scenario). Flooded sites vary from mudflats to water depths of 18' with an average depth of 9'. The hydrologic conditions of ponding and saturation (frequency, depth, duration, timing) provides optimum seasonal habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wildlife (amphibians, reptiles, mammals, invertebrates, etc.). Associated practices include Structure for Water Control (587) and Dike (356) if needed. Depending on local conditions, other Conservation Practices may also be required.

Feature Measure: Managed Area
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$789.43
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$39.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Irrigated | 1960 | Irrigated Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$617.47 | 0.5 | \$308.74 |
| FI, Soybeans Irrigated | 1962 | Irrigated Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$442.21 | 0.5 | \$221.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |

Practice: 646-Shallow Water Development and Management
Scenario: \#2 - High Level Management, Pumping

## Scenario Description:

This scenario addresses inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife on cropland. The resource concern is addressed by providing shallow water habitat for wildlife such as shorebirds, waterfowl, wading birds, mammals, fish, reptiles, amphibians, and other species that require shallow water for at least part of their life cycle. Sites are flooded up to a depth of 18 ' with an average depth of 9 '. Before flooding, fields may be prepared by rolling residue and/or some grain may be left in the field unharvested. Water is provided by pumping to ensure target levels are met if needed.

## Before Situation:

The site has existing infrastructure (reliable water source, dikes, water control structures, pumps, gates) to provide a reliable seasonal water source. The area is not managed to provide optimum resting, nesting, and feeding habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wildlife (amphibians, reptiles, mammals, invertebrates, etc.).

After Situation:
A single or series of shallow water areas that are managed per standard and specification. Water levels are regulated to maintain temporary wildlife habitat. Timing and duration of flooding and de-watering is dependent on specific species requirements. Water is pumped into area to be flooded when adequate natural precipitation, runoff, or groundwater recharge is limited. Flooded sites vary from mudflats to water depths of 18' with an average depth of 9'. The hydrologic conditions of ponding and saturation (frequency, depth, duration, timing) provides optimum seasonal habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wildlife (amphibians, reptiles, mammals, invertebrates, etc.). Associated practices include Structure for Water Control (587) and Dike (356) if needed and Pumping Plan (533) if a natural water source (i.e. precipitation for flooding) is not available. Depending on local conditions, other Conservation Practices may also be required.

Feature Measure: Managed Area
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,171.47
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$58.57
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water management, Flooding \& dewatering | 969 | Includes equipment and power unit. Labor not included. | Acre Feet | \$254.69 | 1.5 | \$382.04 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Irrigated | 1960 | Irrigated Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$617.47 | 0.5 | \$308.74 |
| FI, Soybeans Irrigated | 1962 | Irrigated Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$442.21 | 0.5 | \$221.11 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt

## Scenario: \#1 - Mowing

## Scenario Description:

This scenario address inadequate habitat for fish and wildlife where setting back succession by mowing incoming woody species will improve habitat for the target species. Mowing can be used to increase structural diversity by creating areas of shorter vegetation prefered by some species or certain life stages of species. This scenario can be used nationwide. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, at the edge of woodlands or brushy areas, and in odd areas such as pivot corners. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required use conservation practice 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering).

## Before Situation:

The site is static or trending to later successional plant community. The disturbance regeme to maintain an earlier successional plant community is lacking. Pastures are often monotypic, lacking in diversity. Competition for sunlight from dense grass stands prevents seedling establishment. Stands are often dense and inhibit the movements of young wildlife such as game bird chicks. Area lacks diversity in the height of vegetation.

## After Situation:

Early successsional habitat maintained. Mowing has provided more sun light for forb establishment. The heterogeneity of the habitat structure has been increased.
Feature Measure: width and length of treated area
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$474.81
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$237.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt

## Scenario: \#2 - Disking

## Scenario Description:

This practice addresses inadequate wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional habitat. This scenario provides early successional habitat by setting back succession and manipulating species composition by disking vegetation and exposing bare ground. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, and in odd areas such as pivot corners. This scenario is applicable nationwide. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required, use conservation practice 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering).

Before Situation:
The site is static or trending to higher successional plant species. The disturbance regeme to maintain a lower successional stage is lacking. Pastures are often monotypic, lacking in diversity. Bare ground for seedling establishment is absent. Stands are often dense and inhibit the movements of younger wildlife species suchh as game bird chicks.

After Situation:
The application of this scenario improves wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional plant communities by reducing competition and creating bare ground for the establishment of early successional plants. Additionally, brood rearing habitat is improved both by the resultant food resources and the increased openess of the plant community that allows chicks to negotiate the terrain and exploit those food resources.

Feature Measure: width and length of treated area

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$316.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$158.49

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
Scenario: \#3 - Mowing and Disking

## Scenario Description:

This practice addresses inadequate wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional habitat. This scenario provides early successional habitat by setting back succession and manipulating species composition by mowing dense vegetation and then a light disking to expose bare ground. All mowed areas are also disked. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, idle land and in odd areas such as pivot corners. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required, use conservation practice 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering).

Before Situation:
The site is static or trending to higher successional plant species. The disturbance regeme to maintain a lower successional stage is lacking. Pastures are often monotypic, lacking in diversity. Bare ground for seedling establishment is absent. Stands are often dense and inhibit the movements of younger wildlife species suchh as game bird chicks.

After Situation:
The application of this scenario improves wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional plant communities by reducing competition and creating bare ground for the establishment of early successional plants. Additionally, brood rearing habitat is improved both by the resultant food resources and the increased openess of the plant community that allows chicks to negotiate the terrain and exploit those food resources.

Feature Measure: Width and length of treated area

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$502.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$251.45

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 2 | \$28.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
Scenario: \#4 - Mowing and Heavy Disking

## Scenario Description:

This practice addresses inadequate wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional habitat. This scenario provides early successional habitat by setting back succession and manipulating species composition by mowing dense vegetation and then a heavy disking (multiple passes) to expose bare ground. All mowed areas are also disked. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, idle land and in odd areas such as pivot corners. This scenario is applicable nationwide. Where the management of woody plants is require to create or maintain early successional habitat conservation practice 314 brush management should be used. Where chemical control of weeds, including invasives, is required to reduce competition for the desired plant community conservation practice 315 herbaceous weed control should be used. Where the seedbank is inadequate for natural regeneration and seeding is required 327 Conservation Cover. Where the need is to create early successional habitat within or at the edge of woodland or forest use conservation practice 645 Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (edge feathering).

Before Situation:
The site is static or trending to higher successional plant species. The disturbance regeme to maintain a lower successional stage is lacking. Pastures are often monotypic, lacking in diversity. Bare ground for seedling establishment is absent. Stands are often dense and inhibit the movements of younger wildlife species suchh as game bird chicks.

After Situation:
The application of this scenario improves wildlife habitat for species requiring early successional plant communities by reducing competition and creating bare ground for the establishment of early successional plants. Additionally, brood rearing habitat is improved both by the resultant food resources and the increased openess of the plant community that allows chicks to negotiate the terrain and exploit those food resources.

Feature Measure: Width and length of treated area

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$530.97
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$265.49

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 4 | \$56.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
Scenario: \#17-Strip Spraying

## Scenario Description:

Inadequate wildlife habitat for a target species is improved by altering plant community succession through strip spraying. Strip spraying can be used to increase structural diversity by creating areas of shorter vegetation preferred by some wildlife species or specific life stages of wildlife species as well as through management of incoming woody plant species. The typical setting for this scenario is at the edge of crop fields, in pastures, in odd areas such as pivot corners, or other areas being managed for wildlife habitat.

Before Situation:
The site is currently overly uniform in composition and structure. The disturbance regime is needed based on the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide (WHEG) developed for the target species, guild, or ecosystem desired at this location.

After Situation:
A more desirable, heterogeneous plant community (composition and structure) is restored. Strip spraying has created alternating bands of early and later (untreated) successional habitat. The heterogeneity of the habitat structure has been increased.

Feature Measure: width and length of treated area

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$448.65

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2.5 | \$16.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 2.5 | \$31.65 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
Scenario: \#18-Heavy Mechanical low intensity cut

## Scenario Description:

The implementation of early successional habitat in a moderately to heavily forested area consisting of trees with an average stand DBH ranging from $5-10$ inches. The size and density of vegetation to be removed requires mechanized forestry equipment such as a log skidder, feller buncher, forwarder, etc. in order to establish desired habitat. Multiple pieces of equipment may be needed to complete the practice. The boundary of the enhancement area, as well as any trees to be retained within the habitat establishment area are to be marked in the field. Implementation is done in accordance with a site specific cutting plan developed by a professional forester. The existing standing timber typically has adequate acceptable growing stock, as identified in the site specific management plan. Stand and site conditions allow for typical operation of equipment. Treatment area is not to exceed $1 / 10$ of the forested area.

Before Situation:
Area is completely forested. Mechanical treatment is needed to control woody vegetation. Wildlife needing early successional habitat has left the area. Stand is lacking species diversity, food sources, and structure for wildlife species that utilize early successional habitat.

## After Situation:

Appropriate habitat is restored creating required conditions for wildlife needing early successional habitat.
Feature Measure: Area treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,682.44

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,068.24

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Feller buncher | 941 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$141.12 | 30 | \$4,233.60 |
| Log skidder | 942 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$58.59 | 30 | \$1,757.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 50 | \$2,013.50 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 647-Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
Scenario: \#19-Medium Mechanical - Woody Removal

## Scenario Description:

Practice to be used in old fields and forests where average size class DBH ranges from 2-5 inches. Control is achieved with a chainsaw, mower, or other mechanical means and takes more time per acre. Treatment area is not to exceed $1 / 10$ of the forested area.

Before Situation:
Area is reverting to pole sized forest from early successional. Scrub / shrub area that has interspersion of maturing hardwoods. Mechanical treatment is needed to control woody vegetation. Wildlife needing early successional habitat is leaving the area. Stand is lacking species diversity, food sources, and structure for wildlife species that utilize early successional habitat.

## After Situation:

Appropriate habitat is restored creating required conditions for wildlife needing early successional habitat. Treatment area is to be left to undergo succession until intended results (i.e. diversity, density) have been achieved. Treatment area is to be left to undergo succession until intended results (i.e. diversity, density) have been achieved.

Feature Measure: Area treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 9,166.20$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$916.62

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 20 | \$119.80 |
| Brush Chipper, 6 in. capacity | 938 | Brush Chipper, 6 inch capacity, typically 35 HP. Includes chipper and power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$33.25 | 10 | \$332.50 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 40 | \$4,444.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 20 | \$802.80 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 50 | \$2,013.50 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and
Each
$\$ 726.80$
2
\$1,453.60

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#2 - Nesting Box, Small, with pole

## Scenario Description:

Constructing a nest box and mounting on a pole. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of targeted species, such as pollinators and birds. Trees, buildings or other structures are not available. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter.

Before Situation:
This area lacked sufficient nesting sites to support viable populations of targeted species. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed.

## After Situation:

The installation nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted speces, such as blue birds and waterfowl. Location and conditions suggest that predator guards are not needed. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability.

Feature Measure: Number of structures with poles.

## Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$78.41
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$78.41

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.75 | \$21.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 1 | \$24.24 |
| Habitat Box, Bird | 251 | Bluebird nesting box to increase nesting success. Each is $1-1 / 2 \times 6 \times 12-$ $1 / 2$ Inch with a 1-1/2 inch diameter opening. Includes materials and shipping. | Each | \$32.69 | 1 | \$32.69 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#4 - Nesting Box or Raptor Perch, Large, with Pole
Scenario Description:
Constructing a nest box or rapture perch on a steel pole with a predator guard where needed. A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of larger targeted species such as woodducks, bats, barn owls or to provide needed perches or nesting structures for raptures. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter.

Before Situation:
The area lacks sufficient overall nesting sites to support viable populations of targeted species. Predator guards provide needed protection of target species during nesting and rearing.

## After Situation:

The installation of pole mounted nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as bats and waterfowl.
Feature Measure: Number of structures
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$440.08
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$440.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete, CIP, formless, non reinforced | 36 | Non reinforced concrete cast-in-placed without forms by chute placement. Typical strength is 3000 to 4000 psi. Includes materials, labor and equipment to transport, place and finish. | Cubic Yards | \$207.87 | 0.1 | \$20.79 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 0.5 | \$8.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1.5 | \$42.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pipe, steel, galvanized, threaded, 1 1/4 inch, schedule 40 | 256 | Spec. A-53, includes coupling and clevis hanger assembly sized for covering, 10 ft . OC | Feet | \$18.60 | 10 | \$186.00 |
| Habitat Box, waterfowl | 1449 | Wood Duck Box, typically $24 \times 11 \times 12$ inch with 4 inch wide oval entrance, single. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$130.79 | 1 | \$130.79 |
| Predator Guard | 1461 | Predator guards (i.e. stove pipes, cone, hole guard, etc.) for habitat boxes. Materials only. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$50.93 | 1 | \$50.93 |



| $\xrightarrow{\text { USDA United States }}$ Natural Resour |  | of Agriculture ation Service |  | e Scena | - Fis | Ohio $\text { ar } 2024$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice: 649 - Structures for Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#6 - Fence Markers, Vinyl Undersill |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing fences are retrofitted with vinyl markers that increase wire visibility and reduce mortality due to collision for wildlife species of concern. Markers are installed approximately every 3 feet along top wire. Scenario is typically implemented along fences in potential high risk areas (red areas in SGI Fence Collision Risk Model) or where a known problem exists. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire fences located in high risk areas pose a collision threat to wildlife of special concern. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence related mortality of species of special concern is reduced. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: feet of fence marked |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$297.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$0.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 0.5 | \$8.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vinyl Undersill Strips | 241 | Marking material using the undersill strips of vinyl siding. Priced per foot of fence per each wire. Materials only. | Feet | \$0.11 | 1320 | \$145.20 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#7-Brush Pile, Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small brush piles are created to provide shrubby/woody escape cover for wildlife. Pushing or cutting of select small trees and placement in selected locations to provide wildlife cover. Typical scenario of 10 x 20 area for structure covered by interlocking limbs of trees less than 12 inches in diameter. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The existing habitat lacks escape, ground nesting and safe loafing cover. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small brush piles provide needed escape, ground nesting and safe loafing cover for targeted wildlife species. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: brush piles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Each |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$43.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 33.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 0.5 | \$27.04 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 0.5 | \$15.97 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#8 - Downed Tree Structure

## Scenario Description:

Downed tree structures will be created to provide shrubby/woody escape cover for wildlife. Felling of select trees and placement in selected locations to provide wildlife cover. Minimum 30' x 50' area for structure covered by interlocking limbs of trees at least 12 ' in diameter. Payment includes tree felling and placement. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
A 40 acre operation managing for quail and other small game habitat. Shrubby/woody escape cover is often the missing habitat component for bobwhite quail and other small game in fields managed for upland wildlife wildlife.

After Situation:
The installation of a downed tree structure enhances the overall habitat needs of quail and other small game species. These structures/features enhance habitat and improve species survivability.

Feature Measure: area covered by structure
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$333.24

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$333.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 2 | \$142.82 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 2 | \$63.88 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#9 - Edgefeathering, light

## Scenario Description:

Trees are cut and brush clipped in the border along a woodland edge using a chainsaw to create dense woody cover and a transitional area between a timbered edge and the adjacent land use such as cropland, pasture, or idle lands. The edge feathering will extend at least 30' wide, measured from the outside tree trunk, and at least 50 ' long -- resulting in a minimum area of 1500 square feet covered by interlocking woody branches. Cut stumps will be treated with brush herbicide. Some hand placement of the cut trees is necessary. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
Forested land with a hard edge of mature trees adjacent to cropland or pasture. Woody cover is lacking for wildlife species such as rabbits, quail, songbirds and other wildlife species requiring dense woody cover near ground level. Average diameter of the main stand trees is 4 inches or less.

After Situation:
The cut trees serve as brush small piles, enhancing the overall habitat needs of wildlife species requiring dense woody cover and increase survival and the population of these species.

Feature Measure: area covered by edgefeathering

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$709.78
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$709.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 14.5 | \$86.86 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 21.75 | \$622.92 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#10-Edgefeathering, heavy

## Scenario Description:

Trees are cut and brush clipped in the border along a woodland edge using a tractor and chainsaw to create dense woody cover and a transitional area between a timbered edge and the adjacent land use such as cropland, pasture, or idle lands. The edge feathering will extend at least 30 ' wide, measured from the outside tree trunk, and at least 50 ' long -- resulting in a minimum area of 1500 square feet covered by interlocking woody branches. Cut stumps will be treated with brush herbicide. Some hand placement of the cut trees is necessary. Facilitating practices may include but not limited to: Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Wetland Creation (658), Wetland Restoration (657), Wetland Enhancement (659), Early Successional Habitat Management/Development (647), Prescribed Burning (338), Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643), and Conservation Cover (327).

Before Situation:
Forested land with a hard edge of mature trees adjacent to cropland or pasture. Woody cover close to the ground is lacking for wildlife species such as rabbits, quail, songbirds and other wildlife species requiring dense woody cover near ground level. Average diameter of the main stand trees is greater than 4 inches.

After Situation:
Creation of woody debris and small piles improves the overall habitat needs of wildlife species requiring dense woody cover and increase survival and the population of these species.

Feature Measure: area covered by edgefeathering

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,334.48
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,334.48

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 14.5 | \$86.86 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 7.25 | \$517.72 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17.4 | \$498.34 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 7.25 | \$231.57 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#36-Rock or Large Brush Pile

## Scenario Description:

A large brush pile or rock pile provides improved wildlife habitat by providing resting and escape cover. These structures are located and constructed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. While size varies, large brush piles are typically constructed by felling select trees and covered with interlocking limbs of 12 ??? diameter trees. Stumps, logs, rocks and pipes are typically placed at the bottom with limbs and leaves placed on top, thereby allowing easy access to the bottom of the pile. These piles can provide nesting habitat, resting areas, concealment, and protection from some predators for birds, rabbits, and other small mammals. Rock piles provide shelter and basking areas for amphibians and reptiles such as frogs, lizards, salamanders and snakes. Large rocks are typically placed at the bottom. Materials for rock piles and large brush piles are collected locally. Addresses resource concern of Inadequate wildlife habitat: inadequate cover/shelter.

Before Situation:
These structures are targeted for areas that lack sufficient overall habitat conditions to support viable populations of targeted species. Insufficient ground cover is available for resting, basking, and escape cover. Existing brushy cover is lacking or not well distributed.

## After Situation:

The installation of brush piles and rock piles enhances the overall habitat needs of numerous terrestrial species. These structures/features enhance habitat and improve species survivability. By providing resting, basking, and escape cover, larger open spaces are more effectively used by ground nesting birds, amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals. Increased cover reduces predation.

Feature Measure: Each Pile
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$327.29
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$327.29

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 3 | \$162.21 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#37-Rock Structure

## Scenario Description:

This activity constructs piles of rock to provide habitat for wildlife where needed in both upland and aquatic systems, e.g. small mammals in uplands and aquatic cover/shelter to provide structure and nesting habitat for herpetofauna and/or fish in otherwise structurally deficient fresh water aquatic systems (wetlands, ponds, and shallow water areas). Typical activity involves placing quarried rock (riprap) in piles randomly across the area where habitat is to be improved. Piles are approximately 7 ' L X 5' W X 3' H ( 5 tons/pile). Addresses resource concern of Inadequate wildlife habitat: inadequate cover/shelter.

Before Situation:
Existing habitat is deficient in habitat structure and lacks shelter for various species of small mammals, amphibians, reptiles and/or fish when used in upland or fresh water aquatic systems (wetlands, ponds, and shallow water areas).

After Situation:
Upland sites, ponds, wetlands, and other aquatic systems are enhanced/restored by the addition of habitat structure for loafing and escape and brood rearing.
Feature Measure: Rock Pile
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,194.51

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,194.51
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 1 | \$124.05 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 1 | \$40.27 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, graded, angular, material and shipping | 1200 | Graded Rock Riprap for all gradation ranges. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$54.95 | 5 | \$274.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#38-Downed Habitat Log, on-site source

## Scenario Description:

Construction and installation of habitat logs utilizing on-site woody material. Downed habitat logs may be installed in an upland or aquatic location and may serve to provide a basking site, provide shelter, or to concentrate invertebrate food resources. A habitat evaluation indicates this type of habitat is a limiting factor for turtles, amphibians, or other wildlife and where natural recovery of this habitat element is unlikely or will take many years. Addresses the Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - Habitat Degradation resource concerns of inadequate quantity/quality of food and cover/shelter.

Before Situation:
According to a Wildlife Habitat Evaluation, existing habitat elements for basking/loafing cover or quantity/quality of food is absent, or is inadequate for turtles, amphibians, or other wildlife. Natural recovery of these habitat elements is either unlikely or will take many years.

After Situation:
According to a Wildlife Habitat Evaluation, habitat elements for basking/loafing cover or quantity/quality of food is adequate for turtles, amphibians, or other wildlife in an environment where natural recovery of these habitat elements was either unlikely or would take many years.

Feature Measure: Each Log

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$551.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$137.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 4 | \$285.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#39 - Downed Habitat Log, off-site source

## Scenario Description:

Construction and installation of habitat logs utilizing woody material that has been brought in from an off-site location. Downed habitat logs may be installed in an upland or aquatic location and may serve to provide a basking site, provide shelter, or to concentrate invertebrate food resources. A habitat evaluation indicates this type of habitat is a limiting factor for turtles, amphibians, or other wildlife and where natural recovery of this habitat element is unlikely or will take many years. Addresses the Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife - Habitat Degradation resource concerns of inadequate quantity/quality of food and cover/shelter.

Before Situation:
According to a Wildlife Habitat Evaluation, existing habitat elements for basking/loafing cover or quantity/quality of food is absent, or is inadequate for turtles, amphibians, or other wildlife. Natural recovery of these habitat elements is either unlikely or will take many years.

After Situation:
According to a Wildlife Habitat Evaluation, habitat elements for basking/loafing cover or quantity/quality of food is adequate for turtles, amphibians, or other wildlife in an environment where natural recovery of these habitat elements was either unlikely or would take many years.

Feature Measure: Each Log

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,517.68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 29.42 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 2 | \$125.82 |
| Truck, dump, 8 CY | 1401 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 12 ton or 8 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$52.49 | 2 | \$104.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log, un-anchored | 2035 | Price of log picked up at the Mill. Includes material only. | Ton | \$176.38 | 4 | \$705.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#40-Hibernacula, Rock

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a rock filled cavity to provide refuge or cover for reptiles during an unfavorable season. Hibernacula structures are designed and installed to meet the biology and life history needs of the targeted species. These structures are strategically located as far from roadways as possible to reduce road mortality and are typically constructed by excavating an area, partially backfilling the excavated area with quarried rock (riprap), then backfilling the remaining portion of the excavated area with soil. A portion of the riprap is left exposed to provide for a basking area as well as access to the hibernacula. Addresses resource concern of Inadequate wildlife habitat: inadequate cover/shelter.

## Before Situation:

Existing habitat is deficient in habitat structure and lacks shelter to support the targeted species. A habitat assessment (using an approved habitat assessment method, protocol or tool) has identified inadequate cover as the factor which is limiting habitat for the targeted species.

After Situation:
The installation of a hibernaculum enhances the overall habitat needs of the targeted species. The structure/feature enhances habitat and improves survivability by providing resting, basking, and escape cover for the targeted species.

Feature Measure: Number of Hibernacula
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,621.44
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,621.44

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock Riprap, Placed with geotextile | 44 | Rock Riprap, placed with geotextile. Includes materials, local delivery within 20 miles of quarry, and placement. | Cubic Yards | \$133.56 | 3 | \$400.68 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#41-Hibernacula, Woody material

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a cavity filled with woody debris to provide refuge or cover during an unfavorable season for reptiles, amphibians, or other small mammals. Hibernacula structures are designed and installed to meet the biology and life history needs of the targeted species. These structures are strategically located as far from roadways as possible to reduce road mortality and are typically constructed by excavating an area, partially backfilling the excavated area with woody debris, then backfilling the remaining portion of the excavated area with soil. A portion of the woody debris is left exposed to provide for a basking area as well as access to the hibernacula.
Addresses resource concern of Inadequate wildlife habitat: inadequate cover/shelter.

## Before Situation:

Existing habitat is deficient in habitat structure and lacks shelter to support the targeted species. A habitat assessment (using an approved habitat assessment method, protocol or tool) has identified inadequate cover as the factor which is limiting habitat for the targeted species.

## After Situation:

The installation of a hibernaculum enhances the overall habitat needs of the targeted species. The structure/feature enhances habitat and improves survivability by providing resting, basking, and escape cover for the targeted species.

Feature Measure: Number of Hibernacula
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,290.02
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,290.02

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 6 | \$171.84 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 649-Structures for Wildlife
Scenario: \#55-Nesting Box, Large

## Scenario Description:

A structure is provided to support the nesting and rearing of larger targeted species such as waterfowl, bats and barn owls, and is directly mounted to a tree, building or other structure. These structures are designed to meet targeted species biology and life history needs. Addresses Resource Concern: Inadequate Cover/Shelter.

Before Situation:
The area lacks sufficient overall habitat conditions to support viable populations of targeted species. A suitable location to mount the box is available. Predator guards not needed.

After Situation:
The installation of nesting and rearing boxes support the life-cycle needs of targeted species, such as birds, bats and pollinators. Because of suitable location and conditions the nesting box can be directly mounted such as on a tree or building, thereby eliminating the need for mounting poles and predator guards. Species such as cavity dwelling birds and pollinators use this approach, but this treatment is not limited to those species. These structures/features enhance habitat, cover, and improve species survivability.

Feature Measure: Number of structures.
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$145.11

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat Box, waterfowl | 1449 | Wood Duck Box, typically $24 \times 11 \times 12$ inch with 4 inch wide oval entrance, single. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$130.79 | 1 | \$130.79 |

Practice: 650 - Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
Scenario: \#1-Removal and/or Thinning with Chain Saw

## Scenario Description:

Windbreak renovation requires the removal of degraded, overcrowded, or inappropriate trees or shrubs within a windbreak. This may include removal of entire rows, including stumps or roots, and/or selected trees/shrubs in order to prepare for the necessary planting of a replacement row or parts of the row within the windbreak, improve the health of the remaining rows, and/or allow for supplemental planting to expand the windbreak. Resource concerns: Degrade plant condition- undesirable plant productivity and health; Livestock Production-Inadequate livestock shelter, Soil erosion-wind.

Before Situation:
Plant (trees and/or shrubs) health has degraded decreasing the effectiveness of the original windbreak design. Plants lack leaf cover, have dead branches, gaps of no live green material and some are completley dead. Wind now moves freely thru areas that lack any leaves.

After Situation:
Integrity and function of windbreak restored. 1,000 feet of windbreak/shelterbelt renovated.
Feature Measure: Length of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$778.28

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.78
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 10 | \$59.90 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 2 | \$4.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 1 | \$34.16 |

Practice: 650-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
Scenario: \#2 - Within Row Replacement, Containerized Planting Stock

## Scenario Description:

Parts of the windbreak being renovated have died. Supplemental plantings of containerized trees/shrubs within existing rows or establishment of an additional row will improve the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock. Resource concerns include Soil erosion - Wind erosion, Degraded plant condition -Inadequate structure and composition, and Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock shelter.

Before Situation:
Sections of the windbreak had dead trees/shrubs that were inhibiting windbreak effectiveness. The dead plants have been removed and the site has been prepared for planting.

After Situation:
The integrity and function of the windbreak is restored by hand planting containerized trees/shrubs in gaps created through previous plant moratlity.
Feature Measure: Length of Renovation
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 1,284.19$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.57
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 3 | \$37.53 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 3 | \$356.49 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 25 | \$394.25 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Medium | 1537 | Potted conifer seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.68 | 25 | \$367.00 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 50 | \$6.50 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 50 | \$36.50 |

Practice: 650-Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
Scenario: \#4 - Within Row Replacement, Bare-root Planting Stock

## Scenario Description:

Parts of the windbreak being renovated have died. Supplemental plantings of bare-root trees/shrubs within existing rows or establishment of an additional row will improve the effectiveness and longevity of the windbreak. Payment includes materials, labor and equipment needed to hand plant the stock. Resource concerns include Soil erosion - Wind erosion, Degraded plant condition -Inadequate structure and composition, and Livestock production limitation - Inadequate livestock shelter.

## Before Situation

Sections of the windbreak had dead trees/shrubs that were inhibiting windbreak effectiveness. The dead plants have been removed and the site has been prepared for planting.

After Situation:
The integrity and function of the windbreak is restored by hand planting bare-root trees/shrubs in gaps created through previous plant moratlity.

Feature Measure: Length of Renovation

## Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$264.98

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.53
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 1 | \$12.51 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 25 | \$40.50 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Medium | 1514 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 8 or 10 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $1+1$ (two-year old seedlings that grew one year in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed), or bare root seedlings $2+0$ (two-year old seedlings grown in their original seedbed). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.86 | 25 | \$21.50 |
| Wire flags | 1586 | Small vinyl flags attached to wire stakes, typically, 36 in. length, for marking tree rows | Each | \$0.13 | 50 | \$6.50 |
| Fertilizer, tree, slow release, premix packet or spike | 1594 | Slow release fertilizer to gradually apply nutrients over time for tree establishment. 2.0 Oz Packet (Premixed: 16-16-16 or 16-8-8 ) or Fertilizer Spike | Each | \$0.73 | 50 | \$36.50 |

Practice: 655 - Forest Trails and Landings

## Scenario: \#1 - Water Bar Installation

## Scenario Description:

Rehabilitation of existing forest access trails and landings by addressing erosion and sedimentation through the installation of water bars and light shaping/grading between water bars. Typically the trail is a single lane, existing 12 -foot wide seasonal or temporary trail on a moderate slope (10\%) on forestland requiring sustained erosion control measures applied by using traditional logging equipment such as a log skidder or dozer. The purpose is to hydrologically disconnect existing trail/landing system from the streams and natural drainages. This scenario applies to only those segments of the trail system that have resource concerns requiring rehabilitation. A typical water bar installed in this scenario is on a 75 to 80 foot spacing with a depth of about 1 foot. Some hand work (chainsaw) will be needed to allow the use of the equipment without causing damage to residual trees. The work will be supervised. No mobilization is required, as equipment and personel are already on site. Other practices such as Stream Crossing, and Critical Area Planting, Access Road and Structure for Water Control can be adjacent/appurtenant but not part of this practice scenario. Resource concerns include: Excessive sedimentation in surface waters, Concentrated flow erosion, Sheet and rill erosion, and Degradation of wildlife species.

## Before Situation:

Trails are delivering sediment to waterways, impacting riparian/wetlands and/or possibly affecting fish/T\&E species. The usefulness of the trail/landing system is being adversely affected by erosion.

After Situation:
Trails and landings provide access and do not adversely affect the resources concerns.
Feature Measure: Number of water bars
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 34.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,109.07

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$62.03

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Log skidder | 942 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$58.59 | 3 | \$175.77 |
| Water Bars | 1500 | Installation of graded trail water controlling structures such as water bars, broad based dips for erosion control. Typical cross section is 1.5 feet high with $4: 1$ side slopes yielding about $0.33 \mathrm{CY} / \mathrm{ft}$ of length. | Feet | \$3.02 | 510 | \$1,540.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

Practice: 655 - Forest Trails and Landings
Scenario: \#2-Shaping and Grading

## Scenario Description:

Rehabilitation of existing forest access trails and landings by addressing rutting, erosion, and sedimentation through shaping and grading and installing other widely spaced mitigating practices such as broad based drainage dips, water bars, and water turnouts. Typically the trail is a single lane, existing 12 -foot wide seasonal or temporary trail on a relatively flat slopes (2\%) on forestland requiring sustained erosion control measures applied by using traditional logging equipment such as a log skidder or dozer. The purpose is to hydrologically disconnect the existing trail/landing system from streams and natural drainages and to establish a vegetative cover. Some hand work (chainsaw) will be needed to allow the use of the equipment. The work will be supervised. Other practices such as Stream Crossing, and Critical Area Planting. Access Road and Structure for Water Control can be adjacent/appurtenant but not part of the practice scenario. Treatments are for long-term reduction of sediment, restore fish habitat, create fire access and to move routes off unstable slopes. Resource concerns include: Excessive sediment in surface waters, Concentrated and Sheet \& rill flow erosion, Soil compaction, and Habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

Trail/landings are delivering sediment to waterways, impacting riparian/wetlands and/or possibly affecting fish/T\&E species. The usefulness of the trail/landing system is being adversely affected by erosion.

## After Situation:

A trail system is installed that provides access to the forested tract and does not cause excessive erosion or water quality concerns.
Feature Measure: Length of trail treated
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,640.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,258.22

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.86

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |

Materials

Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded,
Quarry Run
Mobilization, medium equipment

1139 Equipment with $70-150$ HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds.

Practice: 655 - Forest Trails and Landings
Scenario: \#3 - Shaping and Grading with Vegetation Establishment

## Scenario Description:

Rehabilitation of existing forest access trails and landings by addressing rutting, erosion, and sedimentation through shaping and grading and installing other widely spaced mitigating practices such as broad based drainage dips, water bars, and water turnouts. It also includes seedbed preparation, seeding and soil amendments determined to be needed. Typically the trail is a single lane, existing 12 -foot wide seasonal or temporary trail on a relatively flat slope ( $2 \%$ ) on forestland requiring sustained erosion control measures applied by using traditional logging equipment such as a log skidder or dozer. The purpose is to hydrologically disconnect the existing trail/landing system from streams and natural drainages and to establish a vegetative cover. Some hand work (chainsaw) will be needed to allow the use of the equipment. The work will be supervised. Other practices such as Stream Crossing, and Critical Area Planting. Access Road and Structure for Water Control can be adjacent/appurtenant but not part of the practice scenario. Treatments are for long-term reduction of sediment, restore fish habitat, create fire access and to move routes off unstable slopes. Resource concerns include: Excessive sediment in surface waters, Concentrated and Sheet \& rill flow erosion, Soil compaction, and Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
Trail/landings are delivering sediment to waterways, impacting riparian/wetlands and/or possibly affecting fish/T\&E species. The usefulness of the trail/landing system is being adversely affected by erosion.

## After Situation:

A trail system is installed that provides access to the forested tract and does not cause excessive erosion or water quality concerns.
Feature Measure: Length of trail treated
Scenario Unit: Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 2,640.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,126.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.18
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 4 | \$297.68 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 1 | \$5.99 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.73 | \$10.25 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 0.73 | \$5.28 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 0.73 | \$6.41 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 0.73 | \$9.72 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Nitrate | 69 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Nitrate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.70 | 60 | \$42.00 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 90 | \$72.90 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 90 | \$61.20 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 0.5 | \$13.09 |


| Aggregate, Gravel, Ungraded, Quarry Run | 1099 | Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$30.64 | 30 | \$919.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.73 | \$34.86 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: 655 - Forest Trails and Landings
Scenario: \#62-Log Landing Shaping and Grading with Vegetation Establishment

## Scenario Description:

Rehabilitation of existing log landings by addressing rutting, erosion, and sedimentation through shaping and grading and establishing vegetative cover, including seedbed preparation, seeding and soil amendments determined to be needed. Typically the landing is 0.5 acres on a relatively flat slope ( $<2 \%$ ) on forestland requiring sustained erosion control measures applied by using traditional logging equipment such as a log skidder or dozer. The purpose is to hydrologically disconnect the existing trail/landing system from streams and natural drainages and to establish a vegetative cover. Some hand work (chainsaw) will be needed to allow the use of the equipment. The work will be supervised. Other practices such as Stream Crossing, and Critical Area Planting. Access Road and Structure for Water Control can be adjacent/appurtenant but not part of the practice scenario. Treatments are for long-term reduction of sediment, restore fish habitat, create fire access and to move routes off unstable slopes. Resource concerns include: Excessive sediment in surface waters, Concentrated and Sheet \& rill flow erosion, Soil compaction, and Habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

Log landings are delivering sediment to waterways, impacting riparian/wetlands and/or possibly affecting fish/T\&E species. The usefulness of the trail/landing system is being adversely affected by erosion.

After Situation:
The log landings are shaped/graded and established to permanent cover and do not cause excessive erosion or water quality concerns.
Feature Measure: size of landing treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.50

## Scenario Total Cost:

\$1,627.75

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,255.50

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | tal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 0.5 | \$37.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 0.5 | \$3.00 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 0.5 | \$7.02 |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 0.5 | \$3.62 |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 0.5 | \$4.39 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 0.5 | \$6.66 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.5 | \$14.32 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 0.5 | \$20.14 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Ammonium Nitrate | 69 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Ammonium Nitrate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.70 | 15 | \$10.50 |
| Phosphorus, P2O5 | 73 | Price per pound of P 2 O 5 supplied by Superphosphate. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.81 | 45 | \$36.45 |
| Potassium, K2O | 74 | K2O supplied by Muriate Of Potash. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 45 | \$30.60 |
| Lime, ENM | 75 | Fertilizer: Limestone Spread on field. | Ton | \$26.18 | 0.25 | \$6.55 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 0.5 | \$23.88 |

## Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70 \mathrm{HP}$ but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with <br> typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | $\$ 288.90$ | 2 | $\$ 577.80$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mobilization, medium equipment |  | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150$ HP or typical weights between 14,000 and |  | Each | $\$ 726.80$ | 1 |

Practice: 656-Constructed Wetland
Scenario: \#1-Constructed Wetland, Dense Planting

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the basic earthwork and native and/or organic wetland vegetation needed to create a constructed wetland to treat contaminated agricultural runoff or effluent from a drainage system high in nutrients. All other components, such as water control structures, dikes or upstream sediment basins, must be paid for under facilitating practices. Soil, water and tissue sampling are required. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrients and pathogens. Associated practices: Structure for Water Control (587); Sediment Basin (350); Dike (356); Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D); Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A); Fence (382); Grade Stabilization Structure (410); Pumping Plant (533); Waste Transfer (634); Critical Area Planting (342); Filter Strip (393).

Before Situation:
Degraded water quality and/or ponding due to the nutrient content and/or sediment of agricultural runoff.

## After Situation:

A 1 acre constructed wetland (measured by the size of the treatment pool suitable for wetland vegetation) will be constructed with an average 18' depth. Only the earthwork and wetland vegetation are considered in this scenario. Vegetation is planted at a spacing of 3 by 3 feet. Any structures or sediment basins will be designed under a separate practice. The constructed wetland is sited near the property boundary, but still takes cropland out of production ( $1 / 2$ wetland acreage). The constructed wetland treats the effluent by creating conditions at the plant/soil/water interface for biochemical nutrient removal before the effluent is transported to a waste storage facility or discharged off site if permitted by regulation.

Feature Measure: Area of Constructed Wetland
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,245.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14,245.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 40 | Clearing and Grubbing, includes materials, equipment and labor | Acres | \$281.94 | 1 | \$281.94 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 807 | \$669.81 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 1613 | \$4,338.97 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.25 | \$116.19 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.25 | \$93.79 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 48.4 | \$1,386.18 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Aquatic Plants, Emergent or Submerged | 2336 | Native aquatic emergent or submerged. All required materials for establishing vegetation. Includes material and shipping. | Each | \$1.22 | 4840 | \$5,904.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 656-Constructed Wetland
Scenario: \#2 - Constructed Wetland, Light Planting

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the basic earthwork and native and/or organic wetland vegetation needed to create a constructed wetland to treat contaminated agricultural runoff or effluent from a drainage system high in nutrients. All other components, such as water control structures, dikes or upstream sediment basins, must be paid for under facilitating practices. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrients and pathogens. Associated practices: Structure for Water Control (587); Sediment Basin (350); Dike (356); Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment (521D); Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A); Fence (382); Grade Stabilization Structure (410); Pumping Plant (533); Waste Transfer (634); Critical Area Planting (342); Filter Strip (393).

Before Situation:
Degraded water quality and/or ponding due to the nutrient content and/or sediment of agricultural runoff.

## After Situation:

A 1 acre constructed wetland (measured by the size of the treatment pool suitable for wetland vegetation) will be constructed with an average 18 depth. Only the earthwork and wetland vegetation are considered in this scenario. Vegetation is planted at a wide spacing of 4 by 4 feet. Any structures or sediment basins will be designed under a separate practice. The constructed wetland is sited near the property boundary, but still takes cropland out of production ( $1 / 2$ wetland acreage). The constructed wetland treats the effluent by creating conditions at the plant/soil/water interface for biochemical nutrient removal before the effluent is transported to a waste storage facility or discharged off site if permitted by regulation.

Feature Measure: Area of Constructed Wetland

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,055.37
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,055.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 40 | Clearing and Grubbing, includes materials, equipment and labor | Acres | \$281.94 | 1 | \$281.94 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 807 | \$669.81 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 1613 | \$4,338.97 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.25 | \$116.19 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.25 | \$93.79 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 27.2 | \$779.01 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Aquatic Plants, Emergent or Submerged | 2336 | Native aquatic emergent or submerged. All required materials for establishing vegetation. Includes material and shipping. | Each | \$1.22 | 2723 | \$3,322.06 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 656-Constructed Wetland
Scenario: \#12 - Constructed Wetland, Earthwork only

## Scenario Description:

This practice scenario includes the basic earthwork needed to create a constructed wetland to treat storm water runoff or outflow from a subsurface drainage system high in nutrients. Hydrophytic vegetation will be established through natural regeneration. All other components, such as water control structures, dikes, vegetation or upstream sediment basins, must be paid for under facilitating practices. The purpose of the practice is to address resource concerns related to water quality degradation due to excess nutrients and pathogens. Associated practices: Structure for Water Control (587); Sediment Basin (350); Dike (356); Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment (520); Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner (521); Fence (382); Grade Stabilization Structure (410); Pumping Plant (533); Waste Transfer (634); Critical Area Planting (342); Filter Strip (393).

Before Situation:
Degraded water quality due to the nutrient content of storm water runoff or tile discharge from a subsurface drainage system.

## After Situation:

A 1 acre constructed wetland (measured by the size of the treatment pool suitable for wetland vegetation) will be constructed with an average 18 depth. Only the earthwork is considered in this scenario. Hydrophytic vegetation will be established through natural regeneration. Any structures, vegetation or sediment basins will be designed under a separate practice. The constructed wetland is sited near the property boundary, but still takes cropland out of production (1/2 wetland acreage). The constructed wetland treats the inflow by creating conditions at the plant/soil/water interface for biochemical nutrient removal before it is discharged off site.

Feature Measure: Area of Constructed Wetland
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,227.50$

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,227.50$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 40 | Clearing and Grubbing, includes materials, equipment and labor | Acres | \$281.94 | 1 | \$281.94 |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 807 | \$669.81 |
| Excavation, common earth, small equipment, 50 ft | 1220 | Bulk excavation of common earth with dozer <100 HP with average push distance of 50 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.69 | 1613 | \$4,338.97 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.25 | \$116.19 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.25 | \$93.79 |

## Mobilization

Mobilization, medium equipment 1139 Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and $\quad$ Each $\$ 726.80 \quad 10$

Practice: 657 - Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#1 - Mineral Flat, Tile Removal
Scenario Description:
A Mineral Flat wetland is to be restored. The wetland size is 40 Acres consists of surface saturated soils interspersed with shallow depressions that are not depressional class HGM wetlands. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site has been drained with a tile drain system. A suitable seed bank exists for natural regeneration to re-establish hydrophytic vegetation. The site is in agricultural production.

## After Situation:

The drain tiles have been rendered non-functional by excavating 50 foot lengths of tile mains and laterals in 6 separate locations, and backfilling with excavated earth, which is compacted with the excavator bucket. There are no facilitating practices. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Acres of Wetland
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 18,112.26$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$452.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 8 | \$769.44 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 20 | \$9,295.40 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 20 | \$7,503.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 657 - Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#2 - Riverine Levee Removal, ditch plugs and floodplain features

## Scenario Description:

A Riverine HGM tract on a large floodplain is to be restored. It has been converted to agricultural production by surface ditching and clearing of woody vegetation. The wetland extent is 60 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

A levee prevents floodwater from entering the tract. The original cover was forest. The site is drained by surface ditches which collect surface water and direct it to the river through a flap gate structure. The site has been completely cleared, and no suitable adjacent seedwall exists for natural regeneration of forest species. The lateral connectivity between the channel and floodplain has been altered by construction of levees along the reach.

After Situation:
The hydrology of the site is restored with the installation of ditch plugs, and the excavation of macrotopographic features with an average depth of 6 ' over $30 \%$ of the wetland area. Excavated spoil is placed adjacent to the features on the wetland and adjacent non-wetland area with a maximum depth of 24 inches. The levee has been breached at the upstream and downstream ends of the tract reach, restoring dynamic stream flooding. The breach length is 150 feet long at both locations. Both the wetland and non-wetland areas are planted with a Bottomland Hardwood species mix. The levee breaches are armored with rock riprap. Facilitating practices include Grade Stabilization Structure and Tree and Shrub Planting. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Acres of wetland
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 60.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$71,033.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,1 | 3.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 36 | \$6,257.52 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 113 | \$31,823.06 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 30 | \$13,943.10 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 30 | \$11,254.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 149 | \$6,000.23 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 657-Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#3 - Depression Sediment Removal and Ditch Plug

## Scenario Description:

A Depressional HGM class wetland is to be restored. The wetland size is 10 acres. The site is a recharge depression, fed only from surface runoff. Resource Concerns are: 4SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The wetland has been converted to agricultural production, and the tract drained with a surface ditch. The ditch is 4 ' average depth, and 12 feet average width. The wetland receives surface runoff from an adjacent upland watershed, and ponds water on a shallow perched layer. The watershed has been converted from native to agricultural landuse, and the resultant soil erosion has deposited 6 ' of sediment in the bottom of the depression.

After Situation:
The ditch has been plugged by the installation of a 50 ' long section of compacted clay fill, and the deposition has been removed down to the original topsoil layer. A herbaceous plant community has been seeded. Facilitative practices include Conservation Cover. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Acres of Wetland

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$26,661.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 2,666.19$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 2 | \$347.64 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 63 | \$17,742.06 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 65 | \$2,617.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 657 - Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#4 - Riverine Channel and Floodplain Restoration

## Scenario Description:

A Riverine HGM landscape on a small stream on a low stream order riparian landscape has been partially converted to agricultural production on 10 acres of the 15 acre wetland tract. The stream channel has degraded. The reach is 1500 feet in length. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

Channel incision has broken the lateral connectivity between the stream and floodplain. The coversion to cropland was accompanied by filling and leveling of backswamp, side channel, and oxbow features which formerly ponded water or exposed the floodplain groundwater table. The site no longer has access to floodwater or water surface profile supported groundwater. No suitable seed bank exists for natural regeneration of the original hydrophytic plant community, either in the channel, or on the floodplain.

## After Situation:

The hydrology of the site is restored by the installation of a series of rock check structures to raise the stream water surface profile. Floodplain macrotopographic features replicating the original side channels, oxbows, and backswamps are constructed by excavation. Spoil is placed adjacent to the excavations to replicate natural depositional features. The average depth of the excavated features is 0.5 feet, and the surface area of the excavations is $25 \%$ of the tract size. The eroding stream bank is stabilized with soil bio-engineering features, and fish habitat improvement measures are installed in the channel. The tract is seeded to appropriate hydrophytic and upland vegetation, both woody and herbaceous. Facilitating practices are Streambank and Shoreline protection, Structure for Water Control, Conservation Cover, Tree/Shrub Establishment, and Stream Habitat Improvement and Management. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Acres of wetland

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$20,959.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,3 | 7.27 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 34 | \$5,909.88 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 24 | \$6,758.88 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 58 | \$2,335.66 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

[^6]Practice: 657 - Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#5 - Vernal Pool

## Scenario Description:

Restoration of vernal pools on suitable sites within areas of hardwood forest. This involves restoration of hydrology to a vernal pool site that provides season shallow surface water . Resource concerns include INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site has mature trees and vegetation typical to vernal pools but the hydrology of the site has been altered such that the site no longer is inundated seasonally which is required for wildlife that are dependent on vernal pools for part of their life cycle. The existing native vegetation precludes the use of standard wetland restoration construction methods.

After Situation:
Seasonal inundation of the site has been restored to the site without significant disturbance to the native vegetation. Wildlife habitat for species that utilize vernal pools has been restored to the site.

Feature Measure: Area of pool
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.25
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,117.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$16,470.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 16 | \$1,006.56 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 24 | \$766.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 657 - Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#6 - Riverine, oxbow wetland

## Scenario Description:

Restoration of an 0.40 acre oxbow wetland to create off-channel habitat for species such as Topeka Shiner. Includes removal of post settlement alluvium down to the original soil surface, slope, and contour. Reconnection of the oxbow to the stream channel using original, natural inflow and outflow channels will include excavation of post settlement alluvium to the original soil surface, slope, and contour. Resource concerns include habitat degradation and excessive sedimentation.

## Before Situation:

Backwater lakes and oxbows have become filled with sediment eliminating or degrading habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. Substrates are not compact enough to support emergent vegetation. The stream is no longer connected to this off-channel habitat necessary for parts of the life cycle of fish and aquatic organisms that live in the main channel.

After Situation:
A 0.4 acre off-channel oxbow ( $35^{\prime}$ bottom width, $56^{\prime}$ topwidth, $3.5^{\prime}$ depth, 310 feet long) is excavated to remove the post settlement alluvium down to orginal soil surface, slope and contour. Oxbow is reconnected to the stream channel by excavating the orginal inflow and outflow channels to post setlement grade, slope and contour.

Feature Measure: area of excavation

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.40
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 10,606.90$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 18 | \$1,715.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 36 | \$4,961.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 54 | \$2,174.58 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 657-Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#18-Tile Break
Scenario Description:
The tract size is 80 Acres interspersed with shallow depressions. The typical wetland size is 1 acre. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site has been drained with a tile drain system. A suitable seed bank exists for natural regeneration to re-establish hydrophytic vegetation. The site is in agricultural production.

After Situation:
The drain tiles have been rendered non-functional by excavation of tile, replacing with non-perforated tile and backfilling with excavated earth, which is compacted with the excavator bucket. There are no facilitating practices. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Tile Break

Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$782.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$782.86

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 4 | \$251.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 657 - Wetland Restoration
Scenario: \#24-Excavation
Scenario Description:
A Depressional or Riverine HGM class wetland is to be restored. The wetland size is 5 acres. The site is fed from surface runoff or flood flows. Resource Concerns are: 4SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The wetland has been converted to agricultural production. The wetland receives surface runoff from an adjacent upland watershed, and ponds water on a shallow perched layer. The watershed has been converted from native to agricultural land use, and the resultant soil erosion has deposited over 12 ??? of sediment in the bottom of the depression.

After Situation:
The deposition has been removed down to the original topsoil layer (12??? average depth). The excavated material has been spread on adjacent areas. Facilitative practices include Conservation Cover. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Area of Excavation
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$31,292.49

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,258.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 8067 | \$28,315.17 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 658 - Wetland Creation
Scenario: \#1-Excavated
Scenario Description:
A wetland is created on a flat mineral upland at a location where surface runoff may be intercepted and ponded by excavation. Resource concerns are 22 - INDEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site is in cropland on an upland, non floodplain site (interfluve).
After Situation:
An excavation with an average depth of 12 ' has created a shallow depression in a broad swale which intercepts surface runoff. The excavated material has been spread on adjacent areas. The INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE resource concern has been addressed with the provision of seasonal open water for terrestrial, aquatic, and waterfowl species.

Feature Measure: Acres of Wetland

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 22,499.46$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 4,499.89$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excavation, Common Earth, side cast, small equipment | 48 | Bulk excavation and side casting of common earth with hydraulic excavator with less than 1 CY capacity. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$2.42 | 8067 | \$19,522.14 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 658-Wetland Creation
Scenario: \#2-Embankment
Scenario Description:
A wetland is created on a flat mineral upland at a location where surface runoff may be intercepted and ponded by excavation and with an embankment. Facilitating practices may include Structure for Water Control (587). Resource concerns are 22 - INDEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site is in cropland on an upland, non floodplain site (interfluve).
After Situation:
An excavation/embankment with an average depth of 12 ' has created a shallow depression in a broad swale which intercepts surface runoff. The excavated material has been spread on adjacent areas and used to compact the embankment. The INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE resource concern has been addressed with the provision of seasonal open water for terrestrial, aquatic, and waterfowl species.

Feature Measure: <Unknown>

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$19,923.57
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 3,984.71$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 125 | \$11,912.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 2.5 | \$1,161.93 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 2.5 | \$937.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 125 | \$5,033.75 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 1 | \$877.52 |

Practice: 659-Wetland Enhancement
Scenario: \#1 - Mineral Flat, Tile Removal
Scenario Description:
A Mineral Flat wetland is to be enhanced. The tract size is 40 Acres consists of surface saturated soils interspersed with shallow depressions that are not depressional class HGM wetlands. The tract is tile drained and will be rendered non-functional by excavating 50 foot lengths of tile mains and laterals in 6 separate locations. The wetland size is also 40 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate structure and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site has been drained with a tile drain system. A suitable seed bank exists for natural regeneration to re-establish hydrophytic vegetation. The site is in agricultural production.

After Situation:
The drain tiles have been rendered non-functional by excavating 50 foot lengths of tile mains and laterals in 6 separate locations, and backfilling with excavated earth, which is compacted with the excavator bucket. There are no facilitating practices. Enhancement of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Number of Tile Breaks

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 6.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$18,112.26
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,018.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 8 | \$769.44 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 20 | \$9,295.40 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 20 | \$7,503.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 659-Wetland Enhancement
Scenario: \#2 - Riverine, Levee Removal, ditch plugs and floodplain features

## Scenario Description:

A Riverine HGM tract on a large floodplain is to be enhanced. It has been converted to agricultural production by surface ditching and clearing of woody vegetation. The wetland extent is 60 acres. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

A levee prevents floodwater from entering the tract. The original cover was forest. The site is drained by surface ditches which collect surface water and direct it to the river through a flap gate structure. The site has been completely cleared, and no suitable adjacent seedwall exists for natural regeneration of forest species. The lateral connectivity between the channel and floodplain has been altered by construction of levees along the reach.

After Situation:
The hydrology of the site is enhanced with the installation of ditch plugs, and the excavation of macrotopographic features with an average depth of 6 ' over $30 \%$ of the wetland area. Excavated spoil is placed adjacent to the features on the wetland and adjacent non-wetland area with a maximum depth of 24 inches. The levee has been breached at the upstream and downstream ends of the tract reach, restoring dynamic stream flooding. The breach length is 150 feet long at both locations. Both the wetland and non-wetland areas are planted with a Bottomland Hardwood species mix. The levee breaches are armored with rock riprap. Facilitating practices include Grade Stabilization Structure and Tree and Shrub Planting. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Acres of Wetland
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 60.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$71,033.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,1 | 3.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 36 | \$6,257.52 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 113 | \$31,823.06 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 30 | \$13,943.10 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 30 | \$11,254.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 149 | \$6,000.23 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 659-Wetland Enhancement
Scenario: \#3-Depression, Sediment Removal and Ditch Plug
Scenario Description:
A Depressional HGM class wetland is to be enhanced. The wetland size is 10 acres. The site is a recharge depression, fed only from surface runoff. Resource Concerns are: 4-SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION - Organic matter depletion, 11- WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters, 12 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Pesticides transported to surface and ground waters, 16 - WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excessive sediment in surface waters, 18 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health, 19 - DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION, Inadequate strucuture and composition, 22- INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The wetland has been converted to agricultural production, and the tract drained with a surface ditch. The ditch is 4 ' average depth, and 12 feet average width. The wetland receives surface runoff from an adjacent upland watershed, and ponds water on a shallow perched layer. The watershed has been converted from native to agricultural landuse, and the resultant soil erosion has deposited 6 ' of sediment in the bottom of the depression.

After Situation:
The ditch has been plugged by the installation of a 50 ' long section of compacted clay fill, and the deposition has been removed down to the original topsoil layer. A herbaceous plant community has been seeded. Facilitative practices include Conservation Cover. Restoration of hydrology and plant community functions will improve the WATER QUALITY and DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION concerns listed above. The hydrologic and vegetative practices will address the SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION and INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE concerns.

Feature Measure: Acres of Wetland

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$26,661.89
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 2,666.19$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 200 HP | 928 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 160 to 250 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$173.82 | 2 | \$347.64 |
| Scraper, self propelled, 21 CY | 1208 | Self propelled earthmoving scraper with 21 CY capacity. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$281.62 | 63 | \$17,742.06 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 5 | \$2,323.85 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 5 | \$1,875.75 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 65 | \$2,617.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: 659-Wetland Enhancement
Scenario: \#5 - Vernal Pool
Scenario Description:
Wetland hardwood forest with sites that have potential to be enhanced with vernal pools. This involves enhancement of hydrology of a vernal pool site that provides season shallow surface water . Resource concerns include INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation.

Before Situation:
The site has mature trees and vegetation typical to vernal pools but the the site does not pond water seasonally which is required for wildlife that are dependent on vernal pools for part of their life cycle. The existing native vegetation precludes the use of standard wetland enhancement construction methods.

## After Situation:

Seasonal inundation of the site has been enhanced on the site without significant disturbance to the native vegetation. Wildlife habitat for species that utilize vernal pools has been developed on the site.

Feature Measure: Area of pool

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.25

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,117.52 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$16,4 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backhoe, 80 HP | 926 | Wheel mounted backhoe excavator with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$62.91 | 16 | \$1,006.56 |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 24 | \$766.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: 659-Wetland Enhancement
Scenario: \#32 - Riverine, oxbow wetland

## Scenario Description:

Restoration of an 0.40 acre oxbow wetland to create off-channel habitat for species such as Topeka Shiner. Includes removal of post settlement alluvium down to the original soil surface, slope, and contour. Reconnection of the oxbow to the stream channel using original, natural inflow and outflow channels will include excavation of post settlement alluvium to the original soil surface, slope, and contour. Resource concerns include habitat degradation and excessive sedimentation.

## Before Situation:

Backwater lakes and oxbows have become filled with sediment eliminating or degrading habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms. Substrates are not compact enough to support emergent vegetation. The stream is no longer connected to this off-channel habitat necessary for parts of the life cycle of fish and aquatic organisms that live in the main channel.

After Situation:
A 0.4 acre off-channel oxbow ( $35^{\prime}$ bottom width, $56^{\prime}$ topwidth, $3.5^{\prime}$ depth, 310 feet long) is excavated to remove the post settlement alluvium down to original soil surface, slope and contour. Oxbow is reconnected to the stream channel by excavating the original inflow and outflow channels to post settlement grade, slope and contour.

Feature Measure: area of excavation

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 0.40
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,606.90$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 18 | \$1,715.40 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 36 | \$4,961.88 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 54 | \$2,174.58 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment >150HP or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |



Practice: 660-Tree-Shrub Pruning
Scenario: \#21-Pruning Individual Agroforestry tree - small acreage

## Scenario Description:

In agroforestry settings (crop or forest lands) overstory tree crowns are pruned to increase sunlight to understory shrubs, low growing trees, and crop plants that have been purposely established to grow on the same ground. Thirty trees or less per acre require pruning. Associated Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 384 - Woody Residue Treatment. Resource concern is degraded plant condition - undesirable plant productivity and health.

## Before Situation:

Overstory trees are expanding their crowns, providing too much shade on the understory plants. The shade is affecting the growth and production of the understory plants. Pruning branches, leaves, frawns, etc. are needed to maintain the desired about of sunlight reaching the understory.

After Situation:
Pruning of the overstory tree crowns is completed, allowing the proper amount of sunlight to reach the understory vegetation, maintaining their grown, health and vigor, and wildlife benefits. Typical treatment area is less than 1 acre; typical scenario based on 1 ac, 30 TPA.

Feature Measure: Tree Pruned
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 30.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$393.58

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.12
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 5 | \$29.95 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 3 | \$6.93 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

Practice: 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
Scenario: \#1 - Forest Stand Improvement, Light

## Scenario Description:

All materials and labor required to manipulate species composition, stand structure and stocking on forestland. Light forest stand improvement will: Reduce basal area by 20-29 square feet per acre (or) Cut and/or kill 100-199 trees per acre (or) Release 10-20 crop trees per acre and/or kill any vines growing on crop trees by an approved method such as 'cut stump' with herbicide to prevent resprouting. Use a current and approved Forest Managment Plan for estimated basal area to be removed, number of trees needing to be cut and/or killed, crop trees needing to be released, and/or vines needing killed.

Before Situation:
Forest stand is slightly overstocked and/or composed of some undesirable species due to lack of forest management. This has negatively impacted forest health, productivity, and/or sustainability. Wildlife habitat,such as hard and soft mast production, browse, nesting cover, bedding areas, etc. is lacking.

After Situation:
After adjusting the stocking to an acceptable level, stand growth, condition, and overall quality is improved. In addition, wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase of sunlight reaching the forest floor.

Feature Measure: Area Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 55.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 7,570.30$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 137.64$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 90 | \$539.10 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 20 | \$1,555.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 110 | \$4,415.40 |

## Materials

337 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.

Practice: 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
Scenario: \#2 - Forest Stand Improvement, Medium

## Scenario Description:

All materials and labor required to manipulate species composition, stand structure and stocking on forestland. Medium forest stand improvement will: Reduce basal area by 30-40 square feet per acre (or) Cut and/or kill 200-400 trees per acre (or) Release 21-40 crop trees per acre and kill any vines growing on crop trees by an approved method such as 'cut stump' with herbicide to prevent resprouting. Use a current and approved Forest Managment Plan for estimated basal area to be removed, number of trees needing to be cut and/or killed, crop trees needing to be released, and/or vines needing killed.

Before Situation:
Forest stand is moderately overstocked and/or composed of an unacceptable level of undesirable species due to lack of forest management. This has negatively impacted forest health, productivity, and/or sustainability. Wildlife habitat, such as hard and soft mast production, browse, nesting cover, bedding areas, etc. is lacking.

After Situation:
After adjusting the stocking to an acceptable level, stand growth, condition, and overall quality is improved. In addition, wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase of sunlight reaching the forest floor.

Feature Measure: Area Treated

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 55.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 9,292.62$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 168.96$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 112 | \$670.88 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 26 | \$2,022.02 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 138 | \$5,539.32 |

## Materials

337 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.

Practice: 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
Scenario: \#3 - Forest Stand Improvement, Heavy
Scenario Description:
All materials and labor required to manipulate species composition, stand structure and stocking on forestland. Heavy forest stand improvement will: Reduce basal area by 41 or more square feet per acre (or) Cut and/or kill over 400 trees per acre (or) Release 41 or more crop trees per acre and kill any vines growing on crop trees by an approved method such as 'cut stump' with herbicide to prevent resprouting. Use a current and approved Forest Managment Plan for estimated basal area to be removed, number of trees needing to be cut and/or killed, crop trees needing to be released, and/or vines needing killed.

Before Situation:
Forest stand is heavily overstocked and/or composed of an unacceptable level of undesirable species due to lack of forest management. This has negatively impacted forest health, productivity, and/or sustainability. Wildlife habitat, such as hard and soft mast production, browse, nesting cover, bedding areas, etc. is lacking. Understory vegetation consists of mostly shade-tolerant species with little to no desirable regeneration present.

After Situation:
After adjusting the stocking to an acceptable level, stand growth, condition, and overall quality is improved. In addition, wildlife habitat is improved with the resulting increase of sunlight reaching the forest floor.

Feature Measure: Area Treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 55.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$11,758.19
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$213.79

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 145 | \$868.55 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 34 | \$2,644.18 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 179 | \$7,185.06 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 55 | \$1,060.40 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  | Ohio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#4-Temporary Forest Openings, patch clearcuts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Creating 2 one acre patches in over-mature and/or degraded stands using hand tools such as chainsaws. Resource concerns include: Undesirable plant productivity and health, Inadequate structure and composition, and habitat degradation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The existing stand is overly mature and/or has been degraded in value by past harvesting practices. The level of acceptable growing stock is too low to justify managing this stand in its present condition. The present form, species composition and structure cannot meet the resource concerns and landowner objectives. Creating small openings by cutting all trees greater than 2 ' in diameter will foster the regeneration of high-value shade intolerant species. The work will be done with chainsaws. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A new, young stand of desirable species is established In addition, early successional wildlife habitat as well as forest type diversity are created. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Area treated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$738.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 9.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 16 | \$95.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |

Practice: 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
Scenario: \#56-Thinning for Wildlife and Forest Health

## Scenario Description:

Management to partially open a forest canopy to facilitate development of understory and midstory vegetation, and create conditions more favorable for desired wildlife species and tree health. A forester marks trees to be felled and/or treated. Treatment requires skilled labor using chainsaws and other hand tools, and chemical applications. Costs involved in any commercial harvest operation, including access and transportation costs, are not included in this scenario. Costs involved in marking trees to be treated, and supervising the treatment work, are included. Resource concerns include: - Inadequate structure and composition; - Undesirable plant productivity and health; and- Wildlife habitat degradation.

## Before Situation:

The stand of mature trees is excessively dense, resulting in a closed canopy. The forest lacks midstory and horizontal structure, the herbaceous layer is lacking or in poor condition, and/or the desired and characteristic plant diversity for the eco-site is not present. Without management, the site will not reach the desired future condition and meet landowners' objectives for wildlife habitat and forest health.

## After Situation:

After management, stand density, structure and composition are at an acceptable level. The canopy is opened to the extent necessary to promote herbaceous growth and mid-canopy development. Air movement, and understory plant growth, condition and quality are improved. Habitat for wildlife is improved. Stand density enhances ecosite diversity, Trees are healthy and less susceptible to damaging levels of insect pests and diseases.

Feature Measure: Acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,923.50$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$692.35

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 40 | \$239.60 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 40 | \$3,110.80 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 5 | \$86.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |

Materials

| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 5 | \$61.95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Herbicide, Triazine | 1321 | Broad spectrum herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$66.83 | 5 | \$334.15 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: 670-Energy Efficient Lighting System
Scenario: \#1 - Lighting - Outdoor or High Bay Fixture Conversion

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a lighting system consisting of an outdoor light or an indoor high bay light such as, but not limited to, LED or pulse-start metal halide (PSMH) lamp with a matched ballast. Associated materials for installation of replacement fixtures are included. Appropriate disposal of existing lamps, ballasts and other materials is required. Payment includes lamp, fixtures and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

Inefficient high-bay or exterior lighting (such as mercury vapor, T12 fluorescent, or similar) as evidenced by an on-farm energy audit.
After Situation:
High-efficiency lighting system which reduces energy use. The new lighting equipment will provide suitable light levels and reduce overall power requirements (KW) compared to the existing lighting system as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Each lamp replaced

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$210.22
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$210.22

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting, Exterior Fixture with LED, Min. 5,000 Lumens, IP65 Rated | 2704 | LED Lighting fixture with a minimum of 5,000 lumens for exterior/outdoor uses such as buildings, doorway, or pathways. Includes light and fixture. All materials and appurtenances included. | Each | \$129.94 | 1 | \$129.94 |

## Practice: 670-Energy Efficient Lighting System

Scenario: \#2 - Lighting - LED

## Scenario Description:

To install dimmable LEDs to replace incandescent lamps on a one-for-one basis. Light fixtures do not have to be replaced. A typical poultry house has 48 fixtures. LED requirements: minimum 6 Watt, 3700 Kelvin, dimmable, grow-out bulb; industrial grade; suitably protected from dirt accumulation. In high humidity environments or areas subject to wash down, gasketted or weatherproof housings are required to prevent corrosion and premature failure.

## Before Situation:

An inefficient lighting system such as one using incandescent lamps has been identified by an on-farm energy audit.

## After Situation:

More efficient lighting is provided by Light-Emitting Diode (LED) lamps in order to reduce energy use as evidenced by the energy audit.Associated practices/activities: 122AgEMP - HQ and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on nonrenewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE 6612.

Feature Measure: Each lamp replaced
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 12.19$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.19

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.17 | \$4.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting, bulb, LED, dimmable, minimum 450 lumens | 1167 | Light Emitting Diode (LED), typically 3700 Kelvin, dimmable, grow-out bulb; industrial grade; suitably protected from dirt accumulation. Materials only. | Each | \$7.32 | 1 | \$7.32 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 670-Energy Efficient Lighting System
Scenario: \#3 - Lighting - Indoor Fixture Conversion

## Scenario Description:

nstallation of a lighting system consisting of a four-foot, three-lamp fixture with a single electronic ballast. The high-efficiency lighting system uses high-efficiency LED or T8 or T5 fluorescent lamps. Associated materials for installation of replacement fixtures are included. Appropriate disposal of existing lamps, ballasts and other materials is required. Payment includes lamps, ballast, fixtures and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

Inefficient lighting (such as incandescent or T12 fluorescent tubes driven by magnetic ballasts) as evidenced by an on-farm energy audit.

## After Situation:

High-efficiency lighting system which reduces energy use. The new lighting equipment will provide suitable light levels and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing lighting system as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Each fixture replaced
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$367.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$367.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting, Fixture, LED, min. 6,000 Lumens, wet location rated. | 2627 | Lighting fixture with 4 linear Light Emitting Diode (LED) lamps (4 foot tall) with a minimum of 6,000 lumens per fixture. Minimum of 50,000 hour lifespan. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$327.84 | 1 | \$327.84 |

Practice: 670-Energy Efficient Lighting System
Scenario: \#4 - Lighting - Outdoor or High Bay Bulb Replacement

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a lighting system consisting of an outdoor light or an indoor high bay light such as, but not limited to, LED or pulse-start metal halide (PSMH) lamp. Light fixtures do not have to be replaced. Appropriate disposal of existing lamps, ballasts and other materials is required. Payment includes light bulb and labor to install.

Before Situation:
Inefficient high-bay or exterior lighting (such as mercury vapor, T12 fluorescent, or similar) as evidenced by an on-farm energy audit.
After Situation:
High-efficiency lighting system which reduces energy use. The new lighting equipment will provide suitable light levels and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing lighting system as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Each lamp replaced

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 134.81$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 134.81$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 0.17 | \$4.87 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting, Exterior Fixture with LED, Min. 5,000 Lumens, IP65 Rated | 2704 | LED Lighting fixture with a minimum of 5,000 lumens for exterior/outdoor uses such as buildings, doorway, or pathways. Includes light and fixture. All materials and appurtenances included. | Each | \$129.94 | 1 | \$129.94 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 670-Energy Efficient Lighting System
Scenario: \#22-Lighting - Indoor Fixture Conversion, Multiple Fixture Upgrade

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a lighting system consisting of multiple high efficiency LED light fixtures and lamps providing equivalent lighting levels to the fixture being replaced. Associated materials for installation of replacement fixtures are included. Appropriate disposal of existing lamps, ballasts and other materials as required. Payment includes, lamps, fixture, wiring components, and labor to install. Payment is based on each original fixture that is replaced by multiple fixtures of upgraded LEDs. For example, if a building has 20 existing high pressure sodium light fixtures which are replaced by 100 LED fixtures, the contract item number quantity is 20 .

Before Situation:
Inefficient lighting (such as high pressure sodium light fixtures) as evidenced by an on farm energy audit.
After Situation:
High-efficiency lighting system which reduces energy use. The new lighting equipment will provide suitable light levels and reduce overall power requirements (kW) compared to the existing lighting system as evidenced by the energy audit. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 128-AgEMP, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

## Feature Measure: Each Original Fixture Replaced

Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$189.76
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$189.76
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting, LED Lamp, minimum 90 Lum/Watt, <= 20 watts | 2599 | LED lamp (bulb) rated for damp locations, dimmable. Includes Materials only. | Watt | \$0.87 | 60 | \$52.20 |



# USDA United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 672 - Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#1-Building Envelope - Attic Insulation

## Scenario Description:

Install a minimum R-7 insulation in an existing attic or ceiling to reduce heat transfer. Increased insulation reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. Payment includes materials, equipment and labor to install.

Before Situation:
An agriculture house with an inefficient building envelope with limited attic insulation.
After Situation:
A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through addition of, or increased, attic insulation. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-
Farmstead Energy Improvement.
Feature Measure: Area of Attic Insulated
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 20,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$19,400.00
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.97
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insulation, Fiberglass or cellulose, R-15 | 1196 | Fiberglass or cellulose insulation R-15, includes materials, equipment and labor to install. | Square Feet | \$0.97 | 20000 | \$19,400.00 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 672 - Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#2 - Building Envelope - Wall Insulation with Foam Insulation

## Scenario Description:

Enclose both sidewalls and endwalls from ceiling to floor with closed-cell polyurethane foam application (minimum 1' thickness (R-7) of $2.5 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$. or higher density, ( 3.0 or higher density preferred) with a form of physical protective barrier on lower $2^{\prime}$ (may be $6 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$. or higher density $1 / 8$ ' thick foam, or treated lumber). Installation also includes a thermal and ignition barrier intumescent coating or equivalent per NI_210-301. Payment includes materials, equipment and labor to install.

## Before Situation:

An agriculture house with an inefficient building envelope with limited wall insulation.

## After Situation:

A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through addition of, or increased, insulation in a 40' x 400' livestock building. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Area of Wall Insulated

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 7,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 31,392.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insulation, polyurethane, R-7, with sheathing skirt | 1198 | Closed-cell polyurethane foam insulation (minimum 1 inch thickness ( $R$ 7) with a protective sheeting barrier on lower 2 feet of wall height. Includes materials, equipment and labor to install. | Square Feet | \$3.11 | 7200 | \$22,392.00 |
| Painting, porous surface, impermeable | 1497 | Painting of concrete, foam or other porous surfaces with an impermeable coating. Includes materials and application. | Square Feet | \$1.25 | 7200 | \$9,000.00 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 672 - Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#3 - Building Envelope - Sealant

## Scenario Description:

Seal the gaps between walls, gables, ceiling, etc. in a poultry house or greenhouse. Payment includes materials, equipment and labor performed by a professional contractor.

Before Situation:
An agricultural facility with an inefficient building envelope with gaps between walls, ceiling, etc. for a total of 2400 linear feet
After Situation:
A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through interior sealing of the exterior walls at the footer plate, eaves, ridge cap, and gable ends. The sealant reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain due to infiltration which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. The unit basis of payment in this scenario is each house based on $60^{\prime} \times 500$ poultry house with an assumed need of sealant to seal 2400 linear feet of gap. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Perimeter of heated structure
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,400.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,536.00$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sealant | 1150 | Greenhouse and building gap sealant. Performed by a professional contractor spraying the areas with an approved sealant for poultry production facilities. Includes materials, equipment and labor to install. | Feet | \$1.89 | 2400 | \$4,536.00 |

Practice: 672 -Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#4-Building Envelope - Greenhouse Screens

## Scenario Description:

Installation of a mechanical energy screen system associated with a greenhouse consisting of a drive motor, support cables, controls, and shade material, which may be woven, knitted, or non-woven strips of aluminum fiber, polyethylene, nylon or other synthetic material. The screen provides a means to better control solar heat gain and heat transfer during night or cold weather conditions to reduce energy use. Screens and similar devices may also be used to divide internal areas and allow for differentiated heating, ventilation, or cooling system operation to reduce energy use. Payment includes materials and labor to install.

Before Situation:
Heating and cooling of an existing greenhouse, or similar structure with conditioned spaces, is inefficient due to poorly regulated heat transfer. A need to regulate an entire space for uniform conditions when some portions have differing, intermittent requirements can also reduce efficiency.

After Situation:
The greenhouse is fitted with a mechanically controlled energy screen installed truss-to-truss or gutter-to-gutter, with side screens as necessary, reducing heat loss in the greenhouse. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Area of Screen

Scenario Unit: Square Feet

Scenario Typical Size: 25,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$77,142.24

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thermal blanket 10,001-50,000 square foot | 1148 | Thermal blanket greenhouse screens: mechanical energy screen system consists of a drive motor, support cables, controls, and shade material, which may be woven, knitted, or non-woven. Size Range is 10,001 to 50,000 square feet. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$3.06 | 25000 | \$76,500.00 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 672 -Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#5 - Building Envelope - Greenhouse Unglazed Wall Insulation
Scenario Description:
Installation of insulation in greenhouse to address energy loss. The insulation can be either of the cellouse or bubble type (or equivalent). The increased insulation reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. Payment includes materials and labor to install.

Before Situation:
Green house with standard glazing, plastic or polycarbonate walls and no insulation. Heating and cooling of an existing greenhouse is inefficient due to excessive heat loss.
After Situation:
The greenhouse is fitted with insulation installed truss-to-truss or gutter-to-gutter and/or non glazed endwalls and/or sidewalls, reducing heat loss and gain in the greenhouse. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Square Feet of insulation
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 25,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,392.24

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.42
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insulation, Greenhouse, Reflective Bubble | 2410 | Double bubble reflective insulation with aluminum foil on both sides. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.39 | 25000 | \$9,750.00 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

| Practice: 672 - Energy Efficient Building Envelope |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#6-Building Envelope - Insulated Door Upgrade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replace an existing door with an insulated door, such as but not limited to a steel roll up door in a poultry building. Increased insulation reduces seasonal heat loss and heat gain which reduces the respective need for heating and cooling equipment to operate. Payment includes materials and labor to install. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture building's existing door is inefficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A 20 guage $12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ rolling service insulated steel door is installed as a replacement for an existing less efficient door on a poultry building. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Square foot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Square Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 144.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,772.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$12.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Door, Insulated, Roll-up | 2392 | Rolling service insulated steel door, 20 gauge. Includes hardware required to install. Used to replace non insulated door in buildings. Materials only. | Square Feet | \$10.08 | 144 | \$1,451.52 |

Practice: 672 -Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#7-Building Envelope - Insulated Curtain Upgrade

## Scenario Description:

Replacement of an existing non-insulated curtain with a seven layer insulated curtain with an R-value of 3 for a livestock building. The curtain's two outer layers are vinyl and polyethylene and the five inner layers are composed of insulating materials with air trapping fibers and a vapor barrier. Payment includes curtain and labor to install. Payment does not includes mounting accessories because the scenario assumes the curtain is replacing a non-insulated curtain.

## Before Situation:

Existing livestock curtain is inefficient.

## After Situation:

A 7 layer insulated curtain is installed as a replacement for an existing less efficient curtain on a livestock building. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670- Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Square Foot
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,080.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,126.24$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 2.89$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curtain, 7-Layer, R3 Insulated | 2427 | Seven layer insulated curtain with an R-value of 3 for a livestock building. Typical curtain size is $4 \mathrm{ft} . \times 270 \mathrm{ft}$. The curtain's two outer layers are vinyl and polyethylene and the five inner layers are composed of insulating materials with air trapping fibers and a vapor barrier. Does not include mounting accessories, assumes it is replacing a non-insulated curtain. | Square Feet | \$2.30 | 1080 | \$2,484.00 |

Practice: 672 -Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#8-Building Envelope - Curtain Wall Conversion

## Scenario Description:

Converting part or all of a curtain wall to solid insulated wall by installation of an insulated metal cover in a livestock building. Payment includes materials and labor for the installation of a weather proof exterior such as, but not limited to, corrugated steel, and insulation such as, but not limited to polyurethane R-7. Payment does not include upgrade to ventilation.

Before Situation:
Existing livestock curtain is inefficient.
After Situation:
An insualted metal wall is installed as a replacement for an existing less efficient curtain on a livestock building. Conversion is for an building that requires 3040 sq ft of wall to replace the curtains. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612.Associated practices/activities may include: 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670-Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Square Foot
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,040.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 14,873.60$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.89
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corrugated Steel, 28 gauge | 223 | Corrugated or ribbed, galvanized, 28 gauge, includes fasteners, materials only. | Square Feet | \$1.50 | 3040 | \$4,560.00 |
| Insulation, polyurethane, R-7, with sheathing skirt | 1198 | Closed-cell polyurethane foam insulation (minimum 1 inch thickness (R7) with a protective sheeting barrier on lower 2 feet of wall height. Includes materials, equipment and labor to install. | Square Feet | \$3.11 | 3040 | \$9,454.40 |

# United States Department of Agriculture 

Practice: 672 - Energy Efficient Building Envelope
Scenario: \#81-Building Envelope - Wall Insulation with Fiberglass Batt Insulation

## Scenario Description:

Enclose both sidewalls and endwalls from ceiling to floor with $3.5^{\prime}$ fiberglass batts (R-11), vapor barrier, and interior plywood or OSB sheathing. Payment includes materials, equipment and labor to install.

Before Situation:
An agriculture house with an inefficient building envelope with limited wall insulation.
After Situation:
A more effective and efficient building envelope can be created through addition of, or increased, insulation in a 40' x 400' livestock building. The resource concern is inefficient use of energy in the farm operation which increases dependence on non-renewable energy sources and can be addressed through improved energy efficiency. Any improvements are based on a Type 2 energy audit meeting the requirements of ASABE S612. Associated practices/activities: may include 122-AgEMP - HQ, 670Lighting System Improvement, and 374-Farmstead Energy Improvement.

Feature Measure: Area of wall insulated
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 7,200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$20,246.22
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 48 | \$1,926.72 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insulation, Fiberglass or cellulose, R-15 | 1196 | Fiberglass or cellulose insulation R-15, includes materials, equipment and labor to install. | Square Feet | \$0.97 | 7200 | \$6,984.00 |
| Plywood, 3/4 inch, treated | 2363 | Treated $4 \times 8 \mathrm{ft}$. sheets of 3/4 inch exterior grade plywood | Each | \$50.38 | 225 | \$11,335.50 |

Practice: 782 - Phosphorus Removal System
Scenario: \#7-In-Ditch Filter or Tile Discharge

## Scenario Description:

This practice is intended to treat tile drain outflow or surface runoff in an open ditch through a filter to remove phosphorus. The resource concern of water quality degradation - nutrients in surface water will be addressed by treating phosphorus in the water that would otherwise leave the site via direct discharge from tile drains to receiving waters contributing to eutrophication.The components of this scenario reflect materials needed for an in-ditch filter, however either an in-ditch filter or inground chamber may be used to address the resource concern.

Before Situation:
Tile drain or surface runoff discharge to the edge of fields into drainage ditches.

## After Situation:

The practice will be a ditch lined with filter media, or an in-ground tank containing filter media. Tile discharge will enter upstream end and exit downstream end of media. Appropriate piping will be installed to transfer tile discharge to the tank and to transfer flow exiting tank to same receiving water as before installation.

Feature Measure: Cubic Yards of Media
Scenario Unit: Cubic Yards
Scenario Typical Size: 28.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,180.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$220.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 8 | \$769.44 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 8 | \$397.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 20 | \$2,376.60 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, gravel, washed, pea gravel | 1331 | Washed and graded pea gravel river stone. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$42.71 | 20 | \$854.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |
| Aggregate, Shipping, Cubic Yardmile | 2360 | Mobilization of aggregate material beyond 20 miles of local delivery from quarry to construction site. Cubic Yard-mile (Cubic Yard * miles of haul). | Cubic Yard Mile | \$0.40 | 2000 | \$800.00 |

## Practice: 805 -Amending Soil Properties with Lime

Scenario: \#17 - Low Rate Lime <= 2.0 Ton

## Scenario Description:

Crop production is impacted by Soil pH. Lime will be applied based on cropping system and according to soil test recommendations to improve the soil physical, chemical, and biological properties.

Before Situation:
Producer has not used lime and as a result the soil pH is acidic and resulting in decrease in plant available nutrients, poor soil structure, soil health is reduced and poor crop production.

After Situation:
Plant productivity and heath is improved due to a increase in availability for applied nutrient and less nutrients being lost. Soil structure \& Health will improve resulting improved water infiltration and less runoff.

Feature Measure: Acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$747.10
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$18.68

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 40 | \$351.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 2 | \$28.14 |

Practice: 805 -Amending Soil Properties with Lime
Scenario: \#18-Market/Gardens
Scenario Description:
Market/Garden production is impacted by Soil pH. Lime will be applied according to soil test recommendations to improve the soil physical, chemical, and biological properties.

Before Situation:
Producer has not used lime and as a result the soil pH is acidic and resulting in decrease in plant available nutrients, poor soil structure, soil health is reduced and poor crop production.

After Situation:
Plant productivity and heath is improved due to a increase in availability for applied nutrient and less nutrients being lost. Soil structure \& Health will improve resulting improved water infiltration and less runoff.

Feature Measure: 1,000 square foot
Scenario Unit: 1,000 Square Foot
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$194.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.99

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 0.34 | \$2.99 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 3 | \$85.92 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 1 | \$14.07 |

Practice: 805 -Amending Soil Properties with Lime
Scenario: \#19-Lime Rate > 2.0 Ton
Scenario Description:
Biogeochemical function of the soil is impacted by Soil pH. Lime will be applied based on cropping system and according to soil test recommendations to improve the soil physical, chemical, and biological properties.
Before Situation:
Producer has not used lime and as a result the soil pH is acidic and resulting in decrease in plant available nutrients, poor soil structure, soil health is reduced and poor crop production.

## After Situation:

Implementation according to the plans and specification has occurred. Plant productivity and heath is improved due to a increase in availability for applied nutrient and less nutrients being lost. Soil structure and health will improve resulting improved water infiltration and less runoff.

Feature Measure: Acres treated

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,282.18

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$32.05
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lime application | 953 | Lime application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.78 | 80 | \$702.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 12 | \$551.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 2 | \$28.14 |

Practice: 810-Annual Forages for Grazing Systems
Scenario: \#1 - Annual Forages for Grazing Systems - Multiple Species (Organic and Non-organic)

## Scenario Description:

Seeding crop, pasture or grazing land to multi-species mix of annual grasses, which may include legumes, forbs or similar species. This mix will address all the planned purposes of the Annual Forages for Grazing Systems (810) standard. Plant forage immediately after harvest of a row crop, small grain, or other forage. Seeding equipment typically used is available on-site. When applicable, terminate the annual forage using an approved method prior to planting a subsequent crop per the NRCS Cover Crop Termination.

Before Situation:
Existing forage stands do not meet the forage demands and or quality for grazing animals, particularly during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns may include undesirable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality. In cases where poor quality or low yields exist in current annual pasture, annual forages replace the existing undesirable forage species and provides temporary forage and erosion control.

## After Situation:

Establish the annual forage mix to improve livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and quantity reduce erosion and improved soil condition. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping or pasture system. This payment scenario is based on the no-till drilling of annual forages with no chemical applications.

Feature Measure: Acres of Annual Forages Planted

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,933.80$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$96.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |

Practice: 810-Annual Forages for Grazing Systems
Scenario: \#13-Annual forages mix

## Scenario Description:

Seeding crop, pasture or grazing land to multi-species mix of annual grasses, legumes, forbs or similar species. This mix will address all the planned purposes of the Annual Forages for Grazing Systems (810) standard. Plant forage immediately after harvest of a row crop, small grain, or other forage. Seeding equipment typically used is available on-site. When applicable, terminate the annual forage using an approved method prior to planting a subsequent crop per the NRCS Cover Crop Termination.

## Before Situation:

Existing forage stands do not meet the forage demands and or quality for grazing animals, particularly during periods of low forage production. Resource concerns include undesirable plant productivity and health, inadequate feed and forage for livestock, soil erosion, and soil quality. In cases where poor quality or low yields exist in current annual pasture, annual forages replace the existing undesirable forage species and provides temporary forage and erosion control. This payment scenario is based on the no-till drilling of annual forages with no chemical applications.

After Situation:
Established annual forage mix improved livestock nutrition through improved forage quality and quantity, reduced erosion and improved soil condition. Over time, soil health is improved due to the additional biomass, ground cover, soil infiltration, and plant diversity introduced to the cropping or pasture system.

Feature Measure: acres of annual forages planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,933.80$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 96.69$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 20 | \$420.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |

## Materials

Practice: 812 - Raised Beds
Scenario: \#33 - Unframed Raised Bed field size $<0.10$ acres Contamination or Debris Sites only

## Scenario Description:

The soil at this site has characteristics that restrict the ability to grow food and fiber crops directly in the soil. The soil has heavy metal contaminants and/or buried debris from past activities on the site. The owner/operator desires to bring the site into agricultural production. Typical size of raised bed is $4 \mathrm{ft} \times 16 \mathrm{ft}$ and minimum 16 inches deep and less than 100 sq ft . Field size 0.10 Acres ( 4356 sq ft ) or less.

## Before Situation:

Soils on site are unsuitable for agricultural production. Soil cannot be be remediated or debris cannot be removed practically.

## After Situation:

Raised beds or mounds are created above the existing soil. Geomembrane may be used to separate plant roots from contacting soil contaminants. Raised beds are designed to meet the owner/operator objectives for overall size. Associated practices may include Trails and Walkways (575), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: square feet of bed

Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 85.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$421.65

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.96

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 3 | \$9.90 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 12 | \$24.96 |
| Earthfill Material, purchased, topsoil | 2745 | Purchased topsoil or screened loam. Material only. | Cubic Yards | \$21.15 | 3 | \$63.45 |

Practice: 812 - Raised Beds
Scenario: \#34-Unframed Raised Bedfield size $<0.5$ acres Contamination or Debris Sites only
Scenario Description:
The soil at this site has characteristics that restrict the ability to grow food and fiber crops directly in the soil. The soil has heavy metal contaminants and/or buried debris from past activities on the site. The owner/operator desires to bring the site into agricultural production. Bed area is 100 to 500 square feet. Raised bed size and shape varies. Field size up to 0.5 acres. NOT TO BE USED FOR RIDGE TILLAGE.

Before Situation:
Soils on site are unsuitable for agricultural production. Soil cannot be be remediated or debris cannot be removed practically.

## After Situation:

Raised beds or mounds are created above the existing soil. Geomembrane may be used to separate plant roots from contacting soil contaminants. Raised beds are designed to meet the owner/operator objectives for overall size. Associated practices may include Trails and Walkways (575), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: square feet per bed
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,071.48

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.57

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 22 | \$72.60 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 46 | \$95.68 |
| Earthfill Material, purchased, topsoil | 2745 | Purchased topsoil or screened loam. Material only. | Cubic Yards | \$21.15 | 22 | \$465.30 |

Practice: 812 - Raised Beds
Scenario: \#35 - Framed Raised Bed Small Lot Contamination or Debris Sites only

## Scenario Description:

The soil at this site has characteristics that restrict the ability to grow food and fiber crops directly in the soil. The soil has heavy metal contaminants and/or buried debris from past activities on the site. The owner/operator desires to bring the site into agricultural production. Typical size of raised bed $4 \mathrm{ft} \times 16 \mathrm{ft}$ less than or equal to 100 sq ft . Field size 0.10 Acres or less.

## Before Situation:

Soils on site are unsuitable for agricultural production. Soil cannot be be remediated or debris cannot be removed practically.

## After Situation:

Raised beds or mounds are created above the existing soil. Geomembrane may be used to separate plant roots from contacting soil contaminants. Raised beds are designed to meet the owner/operator objectives for overall size. Associated practices may include Trails and Walkways (575), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: sq ft
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 64.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$941.21

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.71

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 3 | \$9.90 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 9 | \$18.72 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, untreated, rot resistant | 1612 | Untreated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches, milled from rot resistant species such as cedar. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$4.84 | 108 | \$522.72 |
| Steel, Structural Braces and Supports | 2497 | Structural steel in various sizes and shapes. Includes materials and shipping only. | Pound | \$1.54 | 2 | \$3.08 |
| Earthfill Material, purchased, topsoil | 2745 | Purchased topsoil or screened loam. Material only. | Cubic Yards | \$21.15 | 3 | \$63.45 |

Practice: 812 - Raised Beds
Scenario: \#36-Framed Raised Bed < 500 sq ft Contamination or Debris Sites only
Scenario Description:
The soil at this site has characteristics that restrict the ability to grow food and fiber crops directly in the soil. The soil has heavy metal contaminants and/or buried debris from past activities on the site. The owner/operator desires to bring the site into agricultural production. Typical size of raised bed ranges from 100 square feet to 500 square feet. Field size 0.10 Acres or less.

## Before Situation:

Soils on site are unsuitable for agricultural production. Soil cannot be be remediated or debris cannot be removed practically.

## After Situation:

Raised beds or mounds are created above the existing soil. Geomembrane may be used to separate plant roots from contacting soil contaminants. Raised beds are designed to meet the owner/operator objectives for overall size. Associated practices may include Trails and Walkways (575), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: sq ft
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 200.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,551.64

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.76
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 10 | \$33.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 22 | \$45.76 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, untreated, rot resistant | 1612 | Untreated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches, milled from rot resistant species such as cedar. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$4.84 | 160 | \$774.40 |
| Steel, Structural Braces and Supports | 2497 | Structural steel in various sizes and shapes. Includes materials and shipping only. | Pound | \$1.54 | 2 | \$3.08 |
| Earthfill Material, purchased, topsoil | 2745 | Purchased topsoil or screened loam. Material only. | Cubic Yards | \$21.15 | 10 | \$211.50 |

Practice: 812 - Raised Beds
Scenario: \#37-Framed Raised Bed greater than or equal to 500 sq ft Contamination or Debris Sites only
Scenario Description:
The soil at this site has characteristics that restrict the ability to grow food and fiber crops directly in the soil. The soil has heavy metal contaminants and/or buried debris from past activities on the site. The owner/operator desires to bring the site into agricultural production. Typical size of raised bed ranges from 500 square feet to 2000 square feet. Scenario assumes $16 * 50 \mathrm{ft}$ bed size.

## Before Situation:

Soils on site are unsuitable for agricultural production. Soil cannot be be remediated or debris cannot be removed practically.

## After Situation:

Raised beds or mounds are created above the existing soil. Geomembrane may be used to separate plant roots from contacting soil contaminants. Raised beds are designed to meet the owner/operator objectives for overall size. Associated practices may include Trails and Walkways (575), Critical Area Planting (342).

Feature Measure: sq ft
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 800.00

| Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 3,565.57$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 4.46$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earthfill, Dumped and Spread | 51 | Earthfill, dumped and spread without compaction effort, includes equipment and labor | Cubic Yards | \$3.30 | 40 | \$132.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotextile, non-woven, light weight | 1209 | Non-woven less than 8 ounce/square yard geotextile with staple anchoring. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$2.08 | 35 | \$72.80 |
| Lumber, planks, posts and timbers, untreated, rot resistant | 1612 | Untreated dimension lumber with nominal thickness greater than 2 inches, milled from rot resistant species such as cedar. Includes lumber and fasteners. Does not include labor. | Board Feet | \$4.84 | 352 | \$1,703.68 |
| Steel, Structural Braces and Supports | 2497 | Structural steel in various sizes and shapes. Includes materials and shipping only. | Pound | \$1.54 | 2.5 | \$3.85 |
| Earthfill Material, purchased, topsoil | 2745 | Purchased topsoil or screened loam. Material only. | Cubic Yards | \$21.15 | 40 | \$846.00 |

Practice: 821 - Low Tunnel Systems
Scenario: \#25-Low tunnel < 1000 square feet- Year 1

## Scenario Description:

Garden or small farm grows annual crops including vegetables and other truck crops. Rows require a tunnel or floating cover to extend the growing season (early and late) or to protect from other environmental damage. Typical tunnel floats over crop or is supported by hoop or frame above crop. Tunnel cover is less than 48 inches above the soil. Typical row ranges in size from 30 inches by 200 feet up to 400 feet in length. Producer manages seasonal conditions such as soil temperature, exposure to early or late frost, and insects of food crops. Year 1 of implementation only.

Before Situation:
Crop production occurs within the zone growing season. Plant productivity and health is negatively impacted due to weather/environmental conditions delaying planting.
After Situation:
Row covers are applied and managed to improve plant health and productivity by controlling the micro-climate under the tunnel.

Feature Measure: area covered by tunnel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$2,961.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$5.92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 46 | \$2,114.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear polyethylene plastic, 6.0 mil | 2725 | 6.0 mil, UV-stabilized greenhouse clear plastic with anti-condensation coating. | Square Feet | \$0.14 | 600 | \$84.00 |
| Netting, Crop Protection, Fine mesh | 2761 | Synthetic netting fine mesh to exclude small insects. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.14 | 600 | \$84.00 |
| Row Cover Hoops | 2810 | Galvanized wire hoops to create low tunnels, 9 or 10 gauge wire. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.56 | 44 | \$68.64 |
| Frost Blanket | 2811 | Heavy weight blanket, minimum 2 ounces per square yard, for frost protection. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.17 | 600 | \$102.00 |

Practice: 821 - Low Tunnel Systems
Scenario: \#26-Low tunnel management- Year 2-3

## Scenario Description:

Garden or small farm grows annual crops including vegetables and other truck crops. Rows require a tunnel or floating cover to extend the growing season (early and late) or to protect from other environmental damage. Typical tunnel floats over crop or is supported by hoop or frame above crop. Tunnel cover is less than 48 inches above the soil. Producer manages seasonal conditions such as soil temperature, exposure to early or late frost, and insects of food crops. Year 2-3 of implementation.

Before Situation:
Crop production occurs within the zone growing season. Low tunnel system Year 1 was implemented. Producer is building skill to manage the tunnel coverings as needed during the growing season to improve plant productivity and health.

## After Situation:

Row covers are applied and managed to improve plant health and productivity by controlling the micro-climate under the tunnel.
Feature Measure: area of tunnel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$553.84
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.55
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 2 | \$232.78 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

Practice: 821 - Low Tunnel Systems
Scenario: \#27-Low tunnel 1000-5000 square feet, Year 1

## Scenario Description:

Garden or small farm grows annual crops including vegetables and other truck crops. Rows require a tunnel or floating cover to extend the growing season (early and late) or to protect from other environmental damage. Typical tunnel floats over crop or is supported by hoop or frame above crop. Tunnel cover is less than 48 inches above the soil. Typical row ranges in size from 30 inches by 500 feet up to 2000 feet in length. Producer manages seasonal conditions such as soil temperature, exposure to early or late frost, and insects of food crops. Year 1 of implementation only.

Before Situation:
Crop production occurs within the zone growing season. Plant productivity and health is negatively impacted due to weather/environmental conditions delaying planting.
After Situation:
Row covers are applied and managed to improve plant health and productivity by controlling the micro-climate under the tunnel.

Feature Measure: area covered by tunnel
Scenario Unit: Square Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 3,000.00

| Scenario Total Cost: \$4,805.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$1.60 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 46 | \$2,114.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear polyethylene plastic, 6.0 mil | 2725 | 6.0 mil, UV-stabilized greenhouse clear plastic with anti-condensation coating. | Square Feet | \$0.14 | 3000 | \$420.00 |
| Netting, Crop Protection, Fine mesh | 2761 | Synthetic netting fine mesh to exclude small insects. Includes materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.14 | 3000 | \$420.00 |
| Row Cover Hoops | 2810 | Galvanized wire hoops to create low tunnels, 9 or 10 gauge wire. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.56 | 203 | \$316.68 |
| Frost Blanket | 2811 | Heavy weight blanket, minimum 2 ounces per square yard, for frost protection. Materials and shipping only. | Square Feet | \$0.17 | 3000 | \$510.00 |

Practice: 827 -Strategic Harvested Forage Management
Scenario: \#7-Managing Harvest Forage Grazing

## Scenario Description:

Harvested forages are fed on pasture or cropland as part of the forage supply and livestock demand budget of a prescribed grazing plan.
Before Situation:
Forage supply does not match livestock demand during part of the grazing season. Growing season conditions such as drought, early winter, and wet springs make pasture forage inadequate to meet livestock demand. Areas of bare soil or overgrazing exist over the typical acres. Poor grazing management impacts organic matter depletion and soil organism habitat, increases potential for noxious or invasive weeds and other undesirable vegetation to encroach, and increases potential for soil erosion.

## After Situation:

The feeding of livestock based on a plan to utilize harvested forage in a predetermined pattern has been designed. The plan addresses specific site resource concerns to reduce erosion and sediment loss, improve plant productivity and health and maintain soil health. The quantity of harvested forage for the grazing period includes waste and wildlife consumption. Harvested forage locations and scheduled access allow for even nutrient distribution.

Feature Measure: acres where harvested forage is fed
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,261.21
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$363.06
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 40 | \$964.40 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 36 | \$2,570.76 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 16 | \$275.68 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Trailer, flatbed, small | 1505 | Small flatbed trailer (typically less than 30 ' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$9.85 | 36 | \$354.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 36 | \$1,445.04 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 36 | \$1,031.04 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence $-1,300 \mathrm{ft}$. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 2 | \$91.36 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft. -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 52 | \$362.44 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: B000BFF1 - Buffer Bundle\#1
Scenario: \#1-Buffer Bundle\#1

## Scenario Description:

Addresses water quality degradation, degraded plant condition, fish/wildlife inadequate habitat, and/or air quality impacts.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 3.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,514.93

Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 3,171.64$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 7 | \$44.80 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 6 | \$207.24 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 10 | \$172.30 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 10 | \$125.10 |
| Mechanical tree planter | 1600 | Mechanical tree planter. Requires a pulling unit of either tractor or small dozer depending upon site conditions. Does not include labor. | Hours | \$5.67 | 6 | \$34.02 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1.23 | \$571.67 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1.08 | \$405.16 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.69 | \$153.64 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 6 | \$191.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

## Materials

| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 5 | \$56.70 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 341 | \$473.99 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 2518 | \$4,079.16 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 1 | \$61.36 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 1 | \$272.59 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: B000CPL10 - YEAR 1 Irrigated Cropland (MRBI/Ogallala)
Scenario: \#5 - YEAR 1 Irrigated Cropland (MRBI/Ogallala)

## Scenario Description:

Addresses water quality degradation, insufficient water, soil erosion, and inefficient energy.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$13,832.63
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$138.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 30 | \$723.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 19 | \$762.66 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 41 | \$4,872.03 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 3 | \$1,940.19 |
| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 1 | \$1,663.47 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: B000CPL11-YEAR 2+ Irrigated Cropland (MRBI/Ogallala) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3-YEAR 2+ Irrigated Cropland (MRBI/Ogallala) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addresses water quality degradation, insufficient water, and soil erosion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,975.63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 9.76 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 41 | \$1,645.74 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: B000CPL12-Non-Irrigated Precision Ag (MRBI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3 - Non-Irrigated Precision Ag (MRBI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addresses water quality degradation, soil quality, and soil erosion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,119.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 1.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, precision application | 949 | Chemical application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.31 | 100 | \$831.00 |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 9 | \$1,069.47 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 35 | \$492.45 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |


| United States Natural Resou | ment <br> nserv | of Agriculture ation Service |  | Scenar | - Fis | Ohio <br> 2024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice: B000CPL13-Non-Irrigated Cropland (MRBI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3 - Non-Irrigated Cropland (MRBI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addresses water quality degradation, soil quality, and soil erosion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,618.79 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 3.19 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 10 | \$1,188.30 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |

Practice: B000CPL14 - YEAR 1 Irrigated Precision Ag Cropland (MRBI)
Scenario: \#3 - YEAR 1 Irrigated Precision Ag Cropland (MRBI)

## Scenario Description:

Addresses water quality degradation, insufficient water, soil erosion, and inefficient energy.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,022.93
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$140.23
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 30 | \$723.30 |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 19 | \$762.66 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 41 | \$4,872.03 |

## Materials

| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 35 | \$492.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 3 | \$1,940.19 |
| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 1 | \$1,663.47 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: B000CPL15 - YEAR $2+$ Irrigated Precision Ag Cropland (MRBI)
Scenario: \#3 - YEAR 2+ Irrigated Precision Ag Cropland (MRBI)
Scenario Description:
Addresses water quality degradation, insufficient water, and soil erosion.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,165.93

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$51.66
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 41 | \$1,645.74 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

Materials

| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 35 | \$492.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |

Practice: B000CPL16 - Non-Irrigated Cropland with Water Bodies (MRBI)
Scenario: \#3 - Non-Irrigated Cropland with Water Bodies (MRBI)

## Scenario Description:

Addresses water quality degradation, soil erosion, and soil quality
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,664.15

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$46.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 2 | \$42.02 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 | \$381.11 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.72 | \$270.11 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |

## Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 9 | \$1,069.47 |

Materials

| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 2 | \$85.78 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 2 | \$269.94 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: B000CPL17 - Non-Irrigated Cropland with Water Bodies Riparian Forest Buffer (MRBI)
Scenario: \#3 - Non-Irrigated Cropland with Water Bodies Riparian Forest Buffer (MRBI)

## Scenario Description:

Addresses water quality degradation, soil erosion, and soil quality
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$8,398.08

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$83.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 18 | \$433.98 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2 | \$60.06 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 16 | \$200.16 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 381.11$ |  |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 222.15$ | 0.72 |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 18 | \$515.52 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 9 | \$1,069.47 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |


| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 872 | \$1,412.64 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 48 in. | 1566 | 4 inch x 48 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. | 1578 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 100 | \$216.00 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000CPL18 - Crop Bundle \#18-Precision Ag
Scenario: \#3 - Crop Bundle \#18 - Precision Ag

## Scenario Description:

Addresses water quality degradation, fish and wildlife inadequate habitat, air quality impairment, and either soil erosion or soil quality degradation resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,227.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$42.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, precision application | 949 | Chemical application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.31 | 100 | \$831.00 |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.17 | \$79.01 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.17 | \$63.78 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.17 | \$37.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 35 | \$492.45 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |

Practice: B000CPL19 - Crop Bundle \#19-Soil Health Precision Ag
Scenario: \#3 - Crop Bundle \#19-Soil Health Precision Ag
Scenario Description:
Addresses water quality degradation, soil quality degradation, fish and wildlife inadequate habitat, and insufficient water resource concerns.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,092.11
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$40.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, precision application | 949 | Chemical application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.31 | 100 | \$831.00 |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 13 | \$1,544.79 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 35 | \$492.45 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 1 | \$272.59 |

Practice: B000CPL20 - Crop Bundle \#20 - Soil Health Assessment
Scenario: \#3-Crop Bundle \#20 - Soil Health Assessment
Scenario Description:
Addresses water quality degradation, soil quality degradation, fish and wildlife inadequate habitat, and insufficient water resource concerns.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,039.75
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 40.40$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 16 | \$1,901.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 15 | \$211.05 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 1 | \$272.59 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: B000CPL21 - Crop Bundle \#21-Crop Bundle (Organic)
Scenario: \#3 - Crop Bundle \#21-Crop Bundle (Organic)
Scenario Description:
Addresses soil quality degradation, water quality degradation, and degraded plant condition resource concerns.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,407.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$64.08
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 10 | \$172.30 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 10 | \$125.10 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 | \$381.11 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.72 | \$270.11 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 9 | \$1,069.47 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |


| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 341 | \$473.99 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 340 | \$550.80 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 1 | \$61.36 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: B000CPL22 - Crop Bundle \#22 - Erosion Bundle (Organic)
Scenario: \#3 - Crop Bundle \#22 - Erosion Bundle (Organic)
Scenario Description:
Addresses soil quality degradation, water quality degradation, soil erosion, and fish and wildlife inadequate habitat resource concerns.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.
Feature Measure: acres of cropland where enhancem
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,446.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$44.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 13 | \$521.82 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 20 | \$815.80 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 1 | \$272.59 |

Practice: B000CPL23-Crop Bundle \#23 - Pheasant and quail habitat
Scenario: \#13-Crop Bundle \#23 - Pheasant and quail habitat

## Scenario Description:

Addresses wildlife inadequate habitat, water quality degradation and/or air quality impacts, and soil health and/or degraded plant condition.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standards applied as part of the bundle.
After Situation:
The adoption of these enhancements will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: Acres where the bundle is impleme
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,619.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$65.49
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 | \$190.56 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 | \$135.05 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.23 | \$51.21 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 1 | \$272.59 |

Practice: B000CPL24 - Crop Bundle \#24-Cropland Soil Health Management System
Scenario: \#3 - Crop Bundle \#24- Cropland Soil Health Management System
Scenario Description:
Addresses soil health, water quality (or water quality and air quality), and either soil erosion, soil compaction, or plant pest pressure.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standards applied as part of the bundle.
After Situation:
The adoption of these enhancements will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.
Feature Measure: acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,169.35$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$31.69
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |
| Test, Soil Health, Basic Package | 2734 | Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling. | Number | \$126.15 | 2 | \$252.30 |

Practice: B000CPL25-Climate Smart Advanced Soil Health
Scenario: \#3-Crop Land Bundle\# 25- Climate Smart Advanced Soil Health
Scenario Description:
Improve crop land soil health by minimizing soil disturbance, PAMS pest management, building soil organic matter, providing habitat and reducing nutrient and pesticide loss to water

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standards applied as part of the bundle.
After Situation:
The adoption of these enhancements will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acres applied
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 14,708.60$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$147.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 100 | \$1,404.00 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 100 | \$640.00 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 100 | \$2,101.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 11 | \$441.54 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 17 | \$2,020.11 |

## Materials

| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 100 | \$6,136.00 |
| Test, Soil Health, Basic Package | 2734 | Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling. | Number | \$126.15 | 1 | \$126.15 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: B000FST1 - Forest Bundle\#1

## Scenario: \#1 - Forest Bundle\#1

## Scenario Description:

?Addresses forest management on sites that are not adapted to natural fire disturbances. Addresses resouce concerns air quality impacts, degraded plant condition and fish/wildlife inadequate habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$29,325.17

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,466.26

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 36 | \$215.64 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 21 | \$506.31 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 16 | \$1,777.60 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 50 | \$3,888.50 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 69 | \$863.19 |

## Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 69 | \$1,976.16 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 14 | \$643.58 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 37 | \$4,396.71 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 10 | \$140.70 |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 15 | \$185.85 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 6 | \$75.96 |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 11 | \$212.08 |


| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 6 | \$68.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 6 | \$9.66 |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 150 | \$208.50 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 1225 | \$931.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 60 in. | 1567 | 4 inch x 60 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.67 | 1225 | \$8,170.75 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 3675 | \$257.25 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. | 1577 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.01 | 1225 | \$1,237.25 |
| Certified Organic, Annual Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2343 | Annual grasses, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$76.06 | 3 | \$228.18 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000FST2 - Forest Bundle \#2 - Post-fire Management
Scenario: \#2 - Forest Bundle \#2 - Post-fire Management
Scenario Description:
Forest stand improvement that improves forest health to reduce the risk of wildfire and wildlife habitat. Addresses air quality impacts, degraded plant condition, and fish/wildlife inadequate habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of these enhancements will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$10,540.63
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,054.06

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 28 | \$3,110.80 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 30 | \$2,333.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 28 | \$894.32 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 19 | \$2,257.77 |

Materials

| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of <br> undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product <br> names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | $\$ 42.89$ | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Practice: B000FST3 - Forest Bundle \#3
Scenario: \#3 - B000FST3 - Forest Bundle \#3
Scenario Description:
Forest stand improvement that improves forest health due to poor logging practices. Addresses soil organic matter depletion, degraded plant condition, and inadequate fish/wildlife habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of these enhancements will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,701.68$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$535.08

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 27 | \$161.73 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 5 | \$120.55 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 43 | \$3,344.11 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 20 | \$344.60 |

## Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 59 | \$2,368.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1.5 | \$68.96 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 21 | \$2,495.43 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 10 | \$140.70 |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 15 | \$185.85 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 1 | \$19.28 |
| Herbicide, Triazine | 1321 | Broad spectrum herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$66.83 | 10 | \$668.30 |
| Certified Organic, Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2340 | Perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native, may include biennials. Used for permanent plantings such as pastures. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$88.02 | 3 | \$264.06 |

## Mobilization

Practice: B000FST4 - Forest Bundle \#4
Scenario: \#3-B000FST4 - Forest Bundle \#4
Scenario Description:
Forest management to improve sugar maple stands. Addresses soil organic matter depletion, degraded plant condition, and inadequate fish/wildlife habitat.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied
Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$25,225.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,261.28
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 33 | \$197.67 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 16 | \$1,777.60 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 50 | \$3,888.50 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 36 | \$450.36 |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 33 | \$1,324.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 44 | \$1,260.16 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 40 | \$4,753.20 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 10 | \$140.70 |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 15 | \$185.85 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1.5 | \$18.99 |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 10 | \$192.80 |


| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1.5 | \$17.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1.5 | \$2.42 |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 340 | \$2,648.60 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 360 | \$1,947.60 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 60$ in. | 1567 | 4 inch x 60 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.67 | 360 | \$2,401.20 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 360 | \$25.20 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | 3/4 in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 360 | \$874.80 |
| Certified Organic, Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2340 | Perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native, may include biennials. Used for permanent plantings such as pastures. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$88.02 | 3 | \$264.06 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70 \mathrm{HP}$ but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: B000FST5 - Forest Bundle \#5 Climate Smart Increase Carbon Storage
Scenario: \#3 - B000FST5 - Forest Bundle \# 5: Increase Carbon Sequestration \& Storage
Scenario Description:
Improve forest and tree health, enhance wildlife, and reduce soil erosion to support climate change mitigation. Build carbon stocks by increasing sequestration and storage.

Before Situation:
Resources are enhanced above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the bundle.
After Situation:
The adoption of this bundle will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied

Feature Measure: acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$25,478.11
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,547.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 44 | \$263.56 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 23 | \$554.53 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 8 | \$888.80 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 41 | \$3,188.57 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 16 | \$275.68 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 54 | \$675.54 |

## Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 48 | \$1,926.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 54 | \$1,546.56 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 9 | \$413.73 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 38 | \$4,515.54 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 10 | \$140.70 |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 20 | \$247.80 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 10 | \$428.90 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 11 | \$212.08 |


| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 5 | \$56.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 1075 | \$817.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 60 in. | 1567 | 4 inch $\times 60$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.67 | 1075 | \$7,170.25 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 3225 | \$225.75 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. | 1577 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.01 | 1075 | \$1,085.75 |
| Certified Organic, Annual Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2343 | Annual grasses, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$76.06 | 3 | \$228.18 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000GRZ1 - Grazing Bundle 1 - Range and Pasture
Scenario: \#3 - Grazing Bundle 1 - Range and Pasture

## Scenario Description:

This bundle addresses soil erosion, degraded plant condition, and fish and wildlife inadequate habitat resource concerns through adoption of enhancements E528L, E315A, and E645A.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,836.73$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$95.92
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 24 | \$578.64 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 24 | \$349.44 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 10 | \$199.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 58 | \$1,661.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |

## Materials

| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence-1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000GRZ2 - Grazing Bundle 2 - Range and Pasture
Scenario: \#3-Grazing Bundle 2 - Range and Pasture

## Scenario Description:

This bundle addresses water quality degradation, fish and wildlife inadequate habitat, and soil erosion resource concerns through adoption of enhancements E472A, E382A, and E580A.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 3.50
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 8,737.34$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,496.38
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 13 | \$313.43 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 5 | \$172.70 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 9 | \$155.07 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 8 | \$116.48 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |

## Labor

General Labor
Equipment Operators, Light

231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and
Hours $\quad \$ 28.64 \quad 81 \quad \$ 2,319.84$ other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Supervisor or Manager
232 Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers
234 Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew
Hours $\quad \$ 45.97$ supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc.

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - 1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Vinyl Undersill Strips | 241 | Marking material using the undersill strips of vinyl siding. Priced per foot of fence per each wire. Materials only. | Feet | \$0.11 | 2000 | \$220.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 2 | \$460.82 |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 65 | \$506.35 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 65 | \$351.65 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 65 | \$342.55 |


| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 48 in. | 1556 | 48 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$1.54 | 65 | \$100.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 24$ in. | 1563 | 4 inch $\times 24$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.52 | 65 | \$163.80 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 65 | \$343.85 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. | 1578 | $1 \mathrm{in} . \times 1$ in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 195 | \$421.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000GRZ3 - Grazing Bundle 3 - Range and Pasture
Scenario: \#3 - Grazing Bundle 3 - Range and Pasture

## Scenario Description:

This bundle addresses water quality degradation, fish and wildlife inadequate habitat, and soil erosion resource concerns through adoption of enhancements E472A, E390B, and E580A.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 6.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 10,038.12$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,673.02

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 13 | \$313.43 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 4 | \$25.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 2 | \$42.02 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 5 | \$172.70 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 8 | \$116.48 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 195 |
| :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 81 | \$2,319.84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, 1.33 lbs, 6 ft . | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft . -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |


| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 4 | \$171.56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 2 | \$460.82 |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 65 | \$506.35 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 65 | \$351.65 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 65 | \$342.55 |
| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 48 in. | 1556 | 48 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$1.54 | 65 | \$100.10 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 24$ in. | 1563 | 4 inch $\times 24$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.52 | 65 | \$163.80 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 65 | \$343.85 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. $\times 48$ in. | 1578 | $1 \mathrm{in} . \times 1 \mathrm{in} . x 48 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 195 | \$421.20 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 2 | \$545.18 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000GRZ4-Grazing Bundle 4 - Range and Pasture
Scenario: \#3-Grazing Bundle 4 - Range and Pasture

## Scenario Description:

This bundle addresses water quality degradation, fish and wildlife inadequate habitat, and soil erosion resource concerns through adoption of enhancements E472A, E391C, and E580A.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,998.36$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,249.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 29 | \$699.19 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2 | \$60.06 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 9 | \$310.86 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 8 | \$116.48 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 24 | \$300.24 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 | \$381.11 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.72 | \$270.11 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |

## Labor

General Labo
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and

| Hours | $\$ 28.64$ | 99 | $\$ 2,835.36$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

Equipment Operators, Light
232 Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers

| Hours | $\$ 31.94$ | 9 | $\$ 287.46$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Hours | $\$ 45.97$ | 12 | $\$ 551.64$ |

Supervisor or Manager
234 supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc.

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft. | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, 1.33 lbs, 6 ft . | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - -1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 | Wire


| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 2 | \$460.82 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 872 | \$1,412.64 |
| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 65 | \$506.35 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 65 | \$342.55 |
| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 48 in. | 1556 | 48 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$1.54 | 65 | \$100.10 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 24$ in. | 1563 | 4 inch $\times 24$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.52 | 65 | \$163.80 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 48 in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 165 | \$872.85 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. | 1578 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 295 | \$637.20 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: B000GRZ5-Grazing Bundle 5 - Range and Pasture
Scenario: \#3 - Grazing Bundle 5 - Range and Pasture

## Scenario Description:

This bundle addresses livestock production limitation, degraded plant condition, and fish and wildlife inadequate habitat resource concerns through adoption of enhancements E528A, E315A, and E645A.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied.

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1,050.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,622.46$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 28 | \$675.08 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 24 | \$349.44 |

## Foregone Income

| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 36 | \$717.84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 65 | \$1,861.60 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |

## Materials

| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000LLP1 - Longleaf Pine Bundle\#1
Scenario: \#1-Longleaf Pine Bundle\#1

## Scenario Description:

?lmproves conifer forest health through prescribed burning and grazing management. Addresses water quality degredation, degraded plant condition, and fish/wildlife inadequate habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 110.00
Scenario Total Cost:
\$13,322.28
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$121.11
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 7 | \$41.93 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 24 | \$578.64 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2 | \$60.06 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 9 | \$310.86 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 3 | \$233.31 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 16 | \$200.16 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 2 | \$24.42 |

## Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 464.77$ | 0.82 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 375.15$ | 0.72 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 222.67$ | 0.46 |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | $\$ 102.43$ |  |  |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 55 | \$2,207.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 53 | \$1,517.92 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 9 | \$287.46 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 14 | \$643.58 | Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services.

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft ., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, 1.33 lbs, 6 ft . | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft . -1.33 lb. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 1 | \$19.28 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 2 | \$460.82 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 872 | \$1,412.64 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in . x 48 in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. | 1578 | $1 \mathrm{in} . \times 1 \mathrm{in} . \times 48 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 100 | \$216.00 |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: B000LLP2 - Longleaf Pine Bundle\#2
Scenario: \#1 - Longleaf Pine Bundle\#2

## Scenario Description:

Improves conifer forest health through prescribed burning and forest stand management. Addresses air quality impacts, degraded plant condition, and fish/wildlife inadequate habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$18,842.51

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$376.85

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 67 | \$401.33 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 42 | \$1,012.62 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 16 | \$1,777.60 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 19 | \$1,477.63 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 24 | \$349.44 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 2 | \$24.42 |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 83 | \$3,331.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 50 | \$1,432.00 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 44 | \$5,228.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 5 | \$61.95 |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 1 | \$19.28 |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability Mobilization

| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: B000LLP4 - Longleaf Pine Bundle \#4
Scenario: \#3 - Longleaf Pine Bundle \#4
Scenario Description:
Improves forest health and wildlife habitat through conversion of forest stands that are not predominantly longleaf pine. Addresses degraded plant condition, fish/wildlife inadequate food and habitat, and water quality.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standards applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of the conservation practice standards applied.

Feature Measure: Acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$21,106.89

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$422.14

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 157 | \$940.43 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 18 | \$433.98 |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 20 | \$2,222.00 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 10 | \$64.00 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 23 | \$1,788.71 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 2 | \$24.42 |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 173 | \$6,944.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 20 | \$638.80 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 44 | \$5,228.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 1 | \$19.28 |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: B000PST5 - Pasture Bundle 5
Scenario: \#3 - Pasture Bundle \#5

## Scenario Description:

Implementation of site specific strategies applied to range or pasture land uses through adoption of the following CSP enhancements: E528J, E315A, and E645A.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.

## After Situation

Adoption of these bundled enhancements provides a combined benefit for resource protection that exceeds the minimum level for the associated practice standards in order to address the resource concerns Soil Erosion or Water Quality Degradation, Degraded Plant Condition, and Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat.

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 60.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,988.86$

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$66.48

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 24 | \$578.64 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 6 | \$103.38 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 24 | \$349.44 |

## Foregone Income

| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 6 | \$119.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 56 | \$1,603.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 4 | \$182.72 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank | Each | \$337.65 |  | $\varsigma 3$ |

Practice: B000PSTX - Pasture Bundle \#6 - Pasture
Scenario: \#5 - Pasture Bundle \#6
Scenario Description:
Managing the harvest of vegetation with grazing and/or browsing animals for the purposes of maintaining desired pasture composition/plant vigor and improving/maintaining quantity and quality of forage for the animals' health and productivity following the recommendations of a qualifying professional, as detailed in the documentation and implementation requirements. Establishing adapted and/or compatible species, varieties, or cultivars of perennial, herbaceous species that can provide the structure and composition needed to enhance livestock and wildlife habitat, particularly when targeted forage supply and quality, cover, and shelter are not available in other pastures.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing. Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting. Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 315Herbaceous Weed Treatment

After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing. The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Pasture and Hay Planting. The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 315Herbaceous Weed Treatment.

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,416.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$94.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 25 | \$602.75 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 4 | \$58.24 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 36 | \$717.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 5 | \$143.20 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 4 | \$182.72 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |
| Nutritional Balance Analyzer, fecal sample analysis only | 1127 | NIRS fecal analysis, animal performance report. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$46.07 | 6 | \$276.42 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 100 | \$4,776.00 |

Practice: BO00RNG4 - Range Bundle 4
Scenario: \#3-Range Bundle \#4

## Scenario Description:

Implementation of site specific strategies applied to range through adoption of the following CSP enhancements: E528N, E315A, and E645A.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation practice standard(s) applied as part of the enhancement.
After Situation:
Adoption of these bundled enhancements provides a combined benefit for resource protection that exceeds the minimum level for the associated practice standards in order to address the resource concerns Soil Erosion, Degraded Plant Condition, and Fish and Wildlife Inadequate Habitat.

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,608.81
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\quad \$ 92.18$

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 24 | \$578.64 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 24 | \$349.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 58 | \$1,661.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan

## Scenario: \#3-Single Enterprise-Low

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for one land use by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. This typical scenario involves one agricultural enterprise and a.) 1 Land use with less than or equal to 4 priority resource concerns, OR b.) 2-3 Land Uses with less than or equal to two priority resource concern categories per land use.

Before Situation:
NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for one land use and each land management system.

## After Situation:

TSP conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements ofE199A Guide sheet and meets Conservation Plan CPA 199 or applicable conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 7,088.06$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,088.06

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 10 | \$1,085.10 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 8 | \$853.76 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 60 | \$5,149.20 |

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan

## Scenario: \#19-Single Enterprise-Medium

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for one land use by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. This typical scenario involves one agricultural enterprise and a.) 1 Land Use with greater than 4 priority resource concerns, OR b.) 2-3 Land Use with 3 to 4 priority resource concern categories per land use, OR c.) 4 or more Land Use with less than or equal to 2 priority resource concerns.

## Before Situation:

NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for one land use and each land management system.

## After Situation:

TSP conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements ofE199A Guide sheet and meets Conservation Plan CPA 199 or applicable conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,231.34
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,231.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 10 | \$1,085.10 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 12 | \$1,280.64 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 80 | \$6,865.60 |

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan

## Scenario: \#35-Single Enterprise-High

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for one land use by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. This typical scenario involves one agricultural enterprise and a.) 2-3 Land Use with 4 or more priority resource concern categories per land use, OR b.) 4 or more Land Use with 3 to 4 priority resource concerns.

Before Situation:
NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for one land use and each land management system.

## After Situation:

TSP conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements ofE199A Guidesheet and meets Conservation Plan CPA 199 or applicable conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 11,401.54$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,401.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 30 | \$3,255.30 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 12 | \$1,280.64 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 80 | \$6,865.60 |

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan

## Scenario: \#51-Multiple Enterprise-Medium

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for one land use by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. This typical scenario involves two or more agricultural enterprises and a.) 1 Land Use with up to 4 priority resource concerns, OR b.) 2-3 Land Use with 1 to 2 priority resource concern categories per land use.

## Before Situation:

NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for one land use and each land management system.

## After Situation:

TSP conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements ofE199A Guide sheet and meets Conservation Plan CPA 199 or applicable conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 12,686.62$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 12,686.62$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 30 | \$3,255.30 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 90 | \$7,723.80 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan

## Scenario: \#67-Multiple Enterprise-High

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for one land use by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. This typical scenario involves two or more agricultural enterprises and a.) 2-3 Land Use with 3 to 4 priority resource concern categories per land use, OR b.) 2-3 Land Use with 4 or more priority resource concerns, OR c.) 4 or more Land Use with any amount of priority resource concerns

## Before Situation:

NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for one land use and each land management system.

## After Situation:

TSP conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements ofE199A Guide sheet and meets Conservation Plan CPA 199 or applicable conservation activities CPA 102 CNMP or CPA 106 Forestry Plan.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$14,629.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14,629.92

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hours | \$108.51 | 40 | \$4,340.40 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 16 | \$1,707.52 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect | Hours | \$85.82 | 100 | \$8,582.00 | natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering.

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#83 - Comprehensive Conservation Plan for Operation with > 2 land uses and 2 or more resource concerns

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for the identified land uses by each land management system included in each of the producer???s operations. Does not include livestock waste storage planning or evaluation of existing components.

Before Situation:
NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for all land uses and each land management system for each enterprise or farm operation.

After Situation:
Planner conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements of E199A Guidesheet.

Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,857.46
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,857.46

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 8 | \$853.76 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 35 | \$3,003.70 |

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#99 - Comprehensive Conservation Plan on 2 or more Land Use

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for the identified land uses by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. Does not include livestock waste storage planning or evaluation of existing components.

Before Situation:
NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for 2 land uses and each land management system for each enterprise or farm operation.

After Situation:
Planner conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements of E199A Guidesheet.

## Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,428.36
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,428.36

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 8 | \$853.76 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 30 | \$2,574.60 |

Practice: E199A - Comprehensive Conservation Plan
Scenario: \#115-Basic Comprehensive Conservation Plan-One Land Use

## Scenario Description:

Conservation plan developed by a Technical Service Provider (TSP) for a participant enrolled in a contract through the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP). NRCS will use the Conservation Assessment and Ranking Tool (CART) to identify all State priority resource concern categories (PRCCs) that the TSP must include in the CSP CCP. TSP develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for one land use by each land management system included in the producer???s operation. Does not include livestock waste storage planning or evaluation of existing components.

Before Situation:
NRCS has identified priority resource concern categories that have not met stewardship thresholds. State priority resource concern categories need to be evaluated for one land use and each land management system.

After Situation:
Planner conducts an on-site inventory of all land uses and land management systems in the producer???s operation. Assessment for each priority resource concern is completed by land use. Conservation practices, enhancements or bundles are identified. Develops a minimum of one conservation system alternative for each land use that meet the producer's objectives. Plan document follows the requirements ofE199A Guidesheet.

## Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,570.16
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,570.16
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hours | \$106.72 | 8 | \$853.76 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hours | \$85.82 | 20 | \$1,716.40 |

Practice: E314A - Brush management to improve wildlife habitat
Scenario: \#1-Brush management to improve wildlife habitat

## Scenario Description:

Brush management is employed to create a desired plant community, consistent with the related ecological site steady state, which will maintain or enhance the wildlife habitat desired for the identified wildlife species. It will be designed to provide plant structure, density and diversity needed to meet those habitat objectives. This enhancement does not apply to removal of woody vegetation by prescribed fire or removal of woody vegetation to facilitate a land use change.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 314 - Brush Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 314 - Brush Management

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,007.91
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20.08

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 12 | \$239.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 32 | \$1,284.48 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: E315A - Herbaceous weed treatment to create plant communities consistent with the ecological site
Scenario: \#1-Herbaceous weed treatment to create plant communities consistent with the ecological site
Scenario Description:
Mechanical, chemical, or biological, herbaceous weed treatment will be employed to control targeted, herbaceous weeds so as to create, release, or restore desired plantcommunities that are consistent with achievable, ecological site, steady state descriptions.
Before Situation
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 315 - Herbaceous Weed Control
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 315 - Herbaceous WeedControl
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$155.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$15.55
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |

Practice: E327A - Conservation cover for pollinators and beneficial insects
Scenario: \#4 - Conservation cover for pollinators and beneficial insects

## Scenario Description:

Seed or plug nectar and pollen producing plants in non-cropped areas such as field borders, vegetative barriers, contour buffer strips, grassed waterways, shelterbelts, hedgerows, windbreaks, conservation cover, and riparian forest and herbaceous buffers.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 327 Conservation Cover.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 327 Conservation Cover.

Feature Measure: acre planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$27,097.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$541.96

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 11 | \$265.21 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 8 | \$240.24 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 50 | \$1,066.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 26 | \$744.64 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

## Materials

Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability

2619 Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping.

## Mobilization

Mobilization, small equipment

1138 Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds.

| Practice: E327B - Establish Monarch butterfly habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#1-Establish Monarch butterfly habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed or plug milkweed (Asclepias spp.), and high-value monarch butterfly nectar plants on marginal cropland, field borders, contour buffer strips, and similar areas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 327 - Conservation Cover |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 327 - Conservation Cover |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$875.83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 5.83 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 1 | \$30.03 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 1 | \$469.81 |

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: E328A - Resource conserving crop rotation
Scenario: \#1 - Resource conserving crop rotation
Scenario Description:
Establish a Resource Conserving Crop Rotation. Rotation must include AT LEAST one resource conserving crop as determined by the State Conservationist in a minimumthree year crop rotation. The crop rotation will reduce soil erosion (water and wind), improve soil health, improve soil moisture efficiency, and reduce plant pest pressures.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation CropRotation
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$1,663.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$16.64
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 14 | \$1,663.62 |




Practice: E328D - Leave standing grain crops unharvested to benefit wildlife
Scenario: \#1-Leave standing grain crops unharvested to benefit wildlife
Scenario Description:
Implement a crop rotation which allows a portion of grain crops to be left in fields un-harvested to provide food and cover for wildlife during winter months.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$226.61

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.17 | \$79.01 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.17 | \$63.78 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.17 | \$37.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |



United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: E328G - Crop rotation on recently converted CRP grass/legume cover for soil organic matter improvement
Scenario: \#1 - Crop rotation on recently converted CRP grass/legume cover for soil organic matter improvement
Scenario Description:
Crop rotation on acres converted, no more than 2 years prior, from CRP grass/legume cover to annual crops. Diverse rotation with living roots and residue coverthroughout year and minimal disturbance. Enhancement not applicable on hayland.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation Crop
Rotation
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$594.15
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$5.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |




United States Department of AgricultureNatural Resources Conservation Service
Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024
Practice: E328K - Multiple crop types to benefit wildlife
Scenario: \#3 - Multiple crop types to benefit wildlife
Scenario Description:
Alternating crops in a systematic arrangement of strips across a field to provide diverse rotations of crops that provide wildlife food. At least two crops will be planted inadjacent strips a minimum of 0.5 acres in size.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 328 - Conservation Crop
Rotation.
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$118.83
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$5.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: E328L - Leaving tall crop residue for wildlife
Scenario: \#3 - Leaving tall crop residue for wildlife
Scenario Description:
Fields may be harvested but must leave crop residue standing a minimum of 14 inches. Residue will be left through winter and into spring, providing valuable winter coverand forage for wildlife spanning late summer and through the following winter.
Before Situation
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation Crop Rotation.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 - Conservation CropRotation.
Feature Measure: acres with small grain stubble/resid
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: ..... \$475.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: ..... \$11.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

United States Department of Agriculture
Practice: E328M - Diversify crop rotation with canola or sunflower to provide benefits to pollinators
Scenario: \#19-Diversify crop rotation with canola or sunflower to provide benefits to pollinators
Scenario Description:
Diversify the existing crop rotation by adding canola or sunflower into the rotation. Canola or sunflower must be planted on a minimum of $5 \%$ of cropland acres. Pesticide use is limited to pre-bloom or bloom and in accordance with IPM and industry best management practices.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level for the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328-Conservation Crop Rotation.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328-Conservation Crop Rotation
Feature Measure: Acres planned
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$475.32
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

Practice: E3280 - Perennial Grain Conservation Crop Rotation
Scenario: \#3 - Perennial Grain Rotation

## Scenario Description:

This practice payment is provided to the producer for the time needed to plan and implement the logistics of changing the rotation to effectively implement a conservation crop rotation on a cropland farm by adding a perennial grain as the third crop to their cropping system. The crop is intended to be a harvested and must be grown for at least 2 years after planting. No foregone income. Cost represents typical situations for conventional and organic producers. In this region this practice may be part of a conservation management system on both organic and non-organic operations that: (1) Reduces erosion; (2) Improves soil fertility and tilth; (3) Interrupts pest cycles; and (4) Builds soil organic matter. In applicable areas, reduces depletion of soil moisture or otherwise reduces the need for irrigation.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level for the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328-Conservation Crop Rotation.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 328 -Conservation Crop Rotation.

Feature Measure: Area Planted

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,550.57$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 3 | \$349.17 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 40 | \$840.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 20 | \$2,376.60 |








Practice: E329F - No-till into green cover crop to improve soil organic matter quantity and quality
Scenario: \#3-Residue and Tillage Management, No-Till - Planting Green

## Scenario Description:

This scenario applies to cropland where residue and tillage management prepares the field for ???planting green??? techniques where cash crops are planted into living cover crop residues. This practice is based on economic and social data obtained from the North Jersey RC\&D On-Farm Trials Soil Health Demo CIG Project results. This scenario involves the site preparation and management of live cover crop residues during no-till planting events. The practice will be used to drastically reduce soil erosion, reduce CO2 losses from the field, maximize the four principles of soil health and related resource concerns, mitigate pesticide usage and amplify the benefits of supporting practices. The typical scenario size is 100 acres.

## Before Situation:

Row crops or small grains are grown and harvested. Cover crop and/or crop residues that are present are too fragile or not in a quantity to adequately address soil, water, and air resource concerns. Residues are dead at the time of planting, leaving soils with lowered microbial activity. If cover crops are planted in the fall, they are terminated through tillage or chemical means early in the spring, providing little environmental benefit and the ground is left tilled or fallow between cover crop termination and cash crop planting, allowing for soil erosion, the release of greenhouse gases, and nutrient losses to groundwater and surface waters. The soils are not functioning properly and are heavily supplemented with chemical inputs. Current tillage operations are not able to address resource concerns. No-till if utilized is limited due to residue quantity or quality.

## After Situation:

Live residues are maintained for extended periods of time in the spring, providing soil coverage that is adequate to control erosion and runoff and sedimentation. Soils are no-tilled and cover crop residues accumulate carbon providing carbon sequestration benefits as well as increasing soil microbial activity which further increase the function of the soil. Chemical inputs are generally reduced due to increased soil function and protection from live residues. Producers gain knowledge through workshops and working with crop consultants to maintain the success and long-term adoption of the practice. All cover crop residues are to be maintained on the surface until planting. The cover crop must be terminated before crop emergence. See complete ???NRCS Cover Crop Termination Guidelines??? for more information.

## Feature Measure: acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,393.17
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$63.93
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 100 | \$640.00 |
| Mechanical weed control, Vegetation termination | 957 | Mechanical operations, Includes: Roller/crimper, mower, shredder, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$25.73 | 100 | \$2,573.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 100 | \$1,266.00 |
| Test, Soil Health, Basic Package | 2734 | Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling. | Number | \$126.15 | 5 | \$630.75 |



Practice: E338A - Strategically planned, patch burning for grazing distribution and wildlife habitat
Scenario: \#1-Strategically planned, patch burning for grazing distribution and wildlife habitat

## Scenario Description:

Patch burn grazing is the application of prescribed fires on portions of an identified grazing unit at different times of the year. Patch burn grazing allows grazing animals to select where they want to graze creating a mosaic of vegetation structures and diversity that will maintain or enhance the wildlife habitat desired for the identified wildlife species and maintain livestock production.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 338 - Prescribed Burning

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 338 - Prescribed Burning
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$761.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7.62
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 140 HP | 927 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 125 to 160. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$95.30 | 4 | \$381.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 4 | \$161.08 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

Practice: E338B - Short-interval burns to promote a healthy herbaceous plant community
Scenario: \#1-Short-interval burns to promote a healthy herbaceous plant community

## Scenario Description:

The controlled use of fire is applied in a forest to restore fire-adapted plants while improving wildlife habitat, wildlife food supply, and reducing the risk of damage from intense, severe wildfires. The ideal interval between prescribed burns is not often achieved. To improve the effectiveness of prescribed burning, the frequency of prescribed burning is increased appropriately, for a specified time period, to help restore ecological conditions in forests and woodlands. Short return interval prescribed burning is used to regenerate desirable tree species, improve the condition of fire-adapted plants and native herbaceous vegetation, improve wildlife food supply, create wildlife habitat (snags and den/cavity trees), limit encroachment of competing vegetation including non-native species, and reduce the future risk of damage from intense, severe wildfires.

## Before Situation:

The site has a mixture of woody sprouts and some herbaceous vegetation in the forest understory.

## After Situation:

The site has a mixture of warm season perennial vegetation and cool-season annual forages. Grazing is occurring to manage the herbaceous vegetation and keep undesirable woody vegetation from occupying the forest understory.

## Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,614.36

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$115.36
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 8 | \$595.36 |
| Truck, water | 1448 | Water tanker truck. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$179.95 | 8 | \$1,439.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 8 | \$322.16 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

## Mobilization

| Practice: E338C-Sequential patch burning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#1-Sequential patch burning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conduct prescribed under burning beneath a forest canopy (ground fire), burning a portion of the area each year to create a mosaic of vegetation in several stages of development, to provide a more diverse understory and contribute to wildlife habitat. The health of conifer and oak-conifer forests, particularly longleaf pine with a characteristic herbaceous understory, is dependent on fire or another means of controlling encroaching woody vegetation. A healthy longleaf or shortleaf pine, or pineoak forest, can support a wide array of wildlife including pollinators and several endangered or threatened species. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 338 - Prescribed Burning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 338 - Prescribed Burning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,727.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$272.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 2 | \$34.46 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 2 | \$24.42 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 3 | \$356.49 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |



Practice: E340B - Intensive cover cropping to increase soil health and soil organic matter content
Scenario: \#1 - Intensive cover cropping to increase soil health and soil organic matter content

## Scenario Description:

Implementation of cover crop mix to provide soil coverage during ALL non-crop production periods in an annual crop rotation. Cover crop shall not be harvested or burned. Planned crop rotation including cover crops and associated management activities must achieve a soil conditioning index (SCI) of zero or higher. The current NRCS wind and water erosion prediction technologies must be used to document SCI calculations.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,464.86
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |


| USDA United States Depart Natural Resources Co | ment | of Agriculture ation Service | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice: E340C - Use of multi-species cover crops to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Use of multi-species cover crops to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Implement a multi-species cover crop to add diversity and increase biomass production to improve soil health and increase soil organic matter. Cover crop mix must include a minimum of 4 different species. The cover crop mix will increase diversity of the crop rotation by including crop types currently missing, e.g. Cool Season Grass (CSG), Cool Season Broadleaves (CSB), Warm Season Grasses (WSG), Warm Season Broadleaves (WSB). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,307.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E340D - Intensive orchard/vineyard floor cover cropping to increase soil health |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1 - Intensive orchard/vineyard floor cover cropping to increase soil health |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Implement orchard or vineyard floor cover crops. Cover crop shall not be harvested, grazed, or burned. Must achieve a soil conditioning index of zero or higher and produce a positive trend in the Organic Matter subfactor over the life of the rotation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,307.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |

Practice: E340E - Use of soil health assessment to assist with development of cover crop mix to improve soil health
Scenario: \#1 - Use of soil health assessment to assist with development of cover crop mix to improve soil health
Scenario Description:
Soil health assessment (year 1) to evaluate current crop rotation in addressing soil organic matter depletion. Results are utilized to select a multi-species cover crop mix to add to the current crop rotation. Follow up assessment completed (year 3).

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$346.48

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.46
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 1 | \$61.36 |
| Test, Soil Health, Basic Package | 2734 | Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling. | Number | \$126.15 | 1 | \$126.15 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E340F - Cover crop to minimize soil compaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Cover crop to minimize soil compaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establish a cover crop mix that includes plants with both fibrous root and deep rooted systems. Fibrous to treat and prevent both near surface (0-4???) and deep (>4???) soil compaction and deep rooted to break up deep compacted soils. Cover crop shall not be harvested, grazed, or burned. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,267.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Ohio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E340G-Cover crop to reduce water quality degradation by utilizing excess soil nutrients |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Cover crop to reduce water quality degradation by utilizing excess soil nutrients |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establish a cover crop mix to take up excess soil nutrients. Select cover crop species for their ability to effectively utilize nutrients. Terminate the cover crop as late as practical to maximize plant biomass production and nutrient uptake. Cover crop shall not be harvested, grazed, or burned. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,267.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 1 | \$40.14 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E340H - Cover crop to suppress excessive weed pressures and break pest cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Cover crop to suppress excessive weed pressures and break pest cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establish a cover crop mix to suppress excessive weed pressures and break pest cycles. Select cover crop species for their life cycles, growth habits, and other biological, chemical and/or physical characteristics. Select cover crop species that do not harbor pests or diseases of subsequent crops in the rotation. Cover crop shall not be harvested, grazed, or burned. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 340 - Cover Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,307.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$13.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  | Ohio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E3401- Using cover crops for biological strip till |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3 - Using cover crops for biological strip till |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establish alternating strips of cover crops in which one strip acts as a biological strip-tiller and the adjacent strip promotes soil health with high residue cover crops. This will facilitate planting of the subsequent cash crop into the biologically strip-tilled row without the need for mechanical disturbance. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 340-Cover Crop. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 340 - Cover Crop. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,427.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 5 | \$200.70 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 20 | \$1,227.20 |

Practice: E340J - Cover crop to improve moisture use efficiency and reduce salts
Scenario: \#3 - Cover crop to improve soil moisture use efficiency and reduce salt levels
Scenario Description:
Cover crop used in a crop rotation to reduce damaging levels of salt from parent materials and or ground water. A salt tolerant cover crop species will be planted in the salt affected zone and a buffer zone extending into the commodity crop base acres.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of CPS 340 Cover Crop
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in CPS 340 Cover Crop.

Feature Measure: acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,801.79$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$48.02
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 15 | \$315.15 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |

Materials

| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 24 | \$337.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Testing, soil sampling and EC analysis, bore hole | 2055 | Collecting and testing 5 soil samples per 60 inch bore hole. Inclueds EC measurements. Includes equipment and labor. | Hours | \$360.52 | 5 | \$1,802.60 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 15 | \$920.40 |







Practice: E372A - Switch to Renewable Power Source

Scenario: \#6 - Repower with Renewable Energy Source

## Scenario Description:

Existing internal combustion system ( $5-30 \mathrm{HP}$ ) used for water pumping and or movement. The repower provides the pump and drive unit replacement and the conversion to renewable energy power source, typically solar. The repowered system will function at the same capacity as the original system. Addresses Air Quality Emissions of Particulate Matter, Ozone Precursors, and Nitrous oxides.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 372 Combustion System Improvement.

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard 372 Combustion System Improvement.

Feature Measure: per unit switched to renewable ene
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 62,984.57$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 62,984.57$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 16 | \$1,901.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 5 HP to 30 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1011 | Fixed cost portion of a pump between 5 and 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is a base cost for the pump and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$3,727.95 | 1 | \$3,727.95 |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 22 | \$56,399.64 |

Practice: E372B - Renewable Energy Source for Large Internal Combustion Engines

## Scenario: \#6 - Renewable Energy Power Source for Large IC Engines

## Scenario Description:

Replace an existing pump motor with a drive unit that is powered by a renewable source such as wind, solar, geothermal, etc. that can adequately maintain the existing operating conditions, flow rates and pressures. The replacement, repower, or retrofit combustion system and related components or devices must serve the same function and perform similar type of work as the original equipment. Applies to existing, in-use agricultural combustion systems, including stationary, portable, and selfpropelled mobile units. Addresses Resource Concerns for Air Quality- Particulate Matter Emissions, Ozone Precursors and Airborne Reactive Nitrogen emissions. Inefficient energy may be addressed when the IC engine is repowered with a more efficient drive unit.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of Conservation Practice Standard 372 Combustion System Improvement.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level of Conservation Practice Standard 372 Combustion System Improvement.
Feature Measure: each IC system repowered
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$48,944.21

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$48,944.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, > 30 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1013 | Fixed cost portion of a pump greater than 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is a base cost for the pump and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Each | \$6,467.67 | 1 | \$6,467.67 |
| Pump, >30 HP, Pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1014 | Variable cost portion of a pump greater than 30 HP , including the pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost will include this variable cost plus a fixed cost portion. Includes material and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$258.92 | 75 | \$19,419.00 |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 8 | \$20,508.96 |


| USDA United States Depa | ment | of Agriculture |  |  | Ohio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resources | nserv | ation Service |  | Scena | - Fis | 2024 |
| Practice: E373A - Dust suppressant re-application for stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#19-Dust Suppressant Re-application, Once per Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limit dust emissions by maintaining the surfaces of unpaved roads and areas in a stabilized condition. The periodic re-application of dust suppressants to unpaved surface areas will limit dust generation from vehicle and machinery activities or wind action. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 373 - Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 373 - Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Square Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Square Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 15,840.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$4,439.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 0.36 | \$2.30 |
| Motor Grader, 200 HP | 1782 | Motor Grader or Maintainer, 200 hp . Typical of equipment with HP in range of 170-225. Equipment cost, does not include labor. | Hours | \$157.81 | 3 | \$473.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 3 | \$120.81 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, dust control, road oil, petroleum-based | 1339 | Petroleum-based road oil, such as SC-250 or SC-800. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$4.27 | 900 | \$3,843.00 |



| Practice: E381A - Silvopasture to improve wildlife habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishing a combination of trees or shrubs and compatible forages on the same acreage, providing forage, shade, and/or shelter for livestock that include a purpose of enhancing wildlife cover and shelter. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 381 - Silvopasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 381 - Silvopasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$8,593.78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$85.94 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 20 | \$128.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 200 | \$3,988.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 20 | \$253.20 |
| Shrub, Seedling, Large | 1508 | Bare root shrub seedling, 36 to 60 inches tall; includes containerized seedlings larger than 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$4.01 | 200 | \$802.00 |
| Tree, Conifer, Seedling, Large | 1515 | Containerized conifer seedlings, 15 or 20 cubic inches; or bare root conifer seedlings $2+1$ (three-year old seedlings that grew two years in the original seedbed and another year in a transplant bed) or bare root seedlings 3+0 and older (three-year or older seedlings grown in their original seedbed, or transplanted seedlings). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.73 | 100 | \$173.00 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 20 | \$2,699.40 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E382A - Incorporating "wildlife friendly" fencing for connectivity of wildlife food resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Incorporating "wildlife friendly" fencing for connectivity of wildlife food resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retrofitting or constructing fences that provide a means to control movement of animals, people, and vehicles, but minimizes wildlife movement impacts. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 382 - Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 382 - Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$237.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1 | \$17.23 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vinyl Undersill Strips | 241 | Marking material using the undersill strips of vinyl siding. Priced per foot of fence per each wire. Materials only. | Feet | \$0.11 | 2000 | \$220.00 |

Practice: E382B - Installing electrical fence offsets and wire for cross-fencing to improve grazing management
Scenario: \#3 - Installing electrical fence offsets and wire for cross-fencing to improve grazing management

## Scenario Description:

Retrofitting conventional fences such as barb wire, with new electrical offsets and electrical wire to facilitate cross-fencing for improved grazing management.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 382 - Fence
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 382 - Fence
Feature Measure: Feet
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 2,640.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,460.17

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$0.55
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 16 | \$642.24 |


| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire, High Tensile, 12.5 Gauge, 4,000' roll | 2 | High Tensile 12.5 gauge, 4,000' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$152.20 | 1 | \$152.20 |
| Electric, Ground Rods | 20 | Electric, Ground Rod for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$17.09 | 3 | \$51.27 |
| Electric, Insulated cable | 23 | Electric, Insulated cable for electric fence. Typically in spools of 100 to 200 feet. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$40.40 | 2 | \$80.80 |
| Electric, Power Surge Protector | 24 | Electric, Power Surge Protector for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.88 | 1 | \$14.88 |
| Electric, Cutoff Switch | 25 | Electric, Cutoff Switch for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.74 | 1 | \$10.74 |
| Electric, Tester | 26 | Electric, Tester for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$44.35 | 1 | \$44.35 |
| Electric, Energizer, 6 joule | 29 | Electric, Energizer, 6 joule for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$410.89 | 1 | \$410.89 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, High Tensile, Electric, 1 Strand | 32 | Brace pins, springs, strainers, battens, clips, crimp sleeves, staples, insulators, wrap around sleeves. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.02 | 2640 | \$52.80 |

Practice: E383A - Grazing-maintained fuel break to reduce the risk of fire
Scenario: \#1-Grazing-maintained fuel break to reduce the risk of fire

## Scenario Description:

The area has existing fuel break(s) of 30 to 60 feet in width, supporting a mixture of woody sprouts and some herbaceous vegetation. Warm-season perennial vegetation will be established on the fuel breaks, and will be over-seeded with cool-season annual forages in the fall. Grazing will be managed on the fuel breaks to remove or modify the fine fuel vegetation, thus reducing the risk of fire spread from ground fires. Ground cover will be maintained to control soil erosion and facilitate prescribed burning.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 383 - Fuel Break
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 383 - Fuel Break
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,029.86

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$302.99

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, ground application, dry bulk | 950 | Dry bulk fertilizer application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$7.23 | 10 | \$72.30 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 10 | \$210.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 1000 | \$680.00 |
| Annual Grasses, Legumes or Forbs | 2732 | A mix of annual grasses, legumes and/or forbs, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$61.36 | 10 | \$613.60 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 10 | \$477.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E384A - Biochar production from woody residue
Scenario: \#4 - Biochar production from woody residue

## Scenario Description:

The adoption of this enhancement will go above the minimum level of woody residue treatment by creating a product that improves air quality by storing carbon, decreases fuel loads and fire hazard, and can improves soil quality. It will utilize woody debris remaining after a silvicultural practice or natural disturbance to create biochar. Biochar stores carbon and is a useful soil amendment that improves SOM and water-holding capacity.

Before Situation:
Area has woody residue that is unmerchantable and available for creation of quality biochar. Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 384 - Woody Residue Treatment

After Situation:
Woody debri has been coverted to biochar.
Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 2.50

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 13,047.80$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,219.12

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, . 5 CY | 930 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.3 to 0.8 CY . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$96.18 | 40 | \$3,847.20 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 40 | \$239.60 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 15 | \$361.65 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 80 | \$976.80 |
| Biochar Kiln, open fire | 2681 | Open fire kiln or metal container used to produce biochar/charcoal production. Daily rental rate. Includes all material and equipment | Hours | \$9.27 | 200 | \$1,854.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 40 | \$1,277.60 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |

Practice: E386A - Enhanced field borders to reduce soil erosion along the edge(s) of a field
Scenario: \#1 - Enhanced field borders to reduce soil erosion along the edge(s) of a field
Scenario Description:
Enhance existing field borders to a width of at least 30 feet and establish a single species or mixture of species that provide a dense ground cover along the edge(s) of the field.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,222.98
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,222.98

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | \$135.05 |  |  |

Materials

| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2748 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$68.23 | 1 | \$68.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: E386B - Enhanced field borders to increase carbon storage along the edge(s) of the field
Scenario: \#1 - Enhanced field borders to increase carbon storage along the edge(s) of the field

## Scenario Description:

Enhance existing field borders to a width of at least 30 feet and establish a single species or mixture of species that provide a dense ground cover and dense rooting system along the edge(s) of the field.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,308.54$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,308.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | \$135.05 |  |  |

## Materials

Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density

## Mobilization

1139 Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds.

Practice: E386C - Enhanced field borders to decrease particulate emissions along the edge(s) of the field
Scenario: \#1 - Enhanced field borders to decrease particulate emissions along the edge(s) of the field

## Scenario Description:

Enhance existing field borders to a width of at least 40 feet and establish a mixture of species that decrease the particulate emissions along the edge(s) of the field.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,243.45
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,243.45
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 | \$190.56 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 | \$135.05 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.23 | \$51.21 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, High Density | 2749 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at high density (greater than 60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$88.70 | 1 | \$88.70 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: E386D - Enhanced field borders to increase food for pollinators along the edge(s) of a field
Scenario: \#1 - Enhanced field borders to increase food for pollinators along the edge(s) of a field
Scenario Description:
Enhance existing field borders to a width of at least 40 feet and establish a mixture of species that provide food for pollinators along the edge(s) of the field.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,308.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,308.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 | \$190.56 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 | \$135.05 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.23 | \$51.21 |

## Materials

| Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2756 | A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to high density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$153.79 | 1 | \$153.79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 | 30,000 pounds.

Practice: E386E - Enhanced field borders to increase wildlife food and habitat along the edge(s) of a field
Scenario: \#1 - Enhanced field borders to increase wildlife food and habitat along the edge(s) of a field

## Scenario Description:

Enhance existing field borders to a width of at least 40 feet and establish a mixture of species that provide wildlife food and habitat along the edge(s) of the field. The extended field border will also provide enhanced wildlife habitat continuity.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 386 - Field Border
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,308.54
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,308.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | \$135.05 |  |  |

## Materials

Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density

## Mobilization

1139 Equipment with $70-150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds.

Practice: E390A - Increase riparian herbaceous cover width for sediment and nutrient reduction
Scenario: \#1-Increase riparian herbaceous cover width for sediment and nutrient reduction

## Scenario Description:

Where an existing herbaceous riparian buffer is located along a river, stream, pond, lake, or other waterbody, increase the width of the buffer in order to allow a greater percentage of sediment and nutrient removal from surface and subsurface flows.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,279.87
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$639.93
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 2 | \$42.02 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 | \$381.11 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.72 | \$270.11 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 2 | \$85.78 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Medium Density | 2751 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$192.81 | 2 | \$385.62 |

Practice: E390B - Increase riparian herbaceous cover width to enhance wildlife habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Increase riparian herbaceous cover width to enhance wildlife habitat

## Scenario Description:

Where an existing herbaceous riparian buffer is located along a river, stream, pond, lake, or other waterbody, increase the diversity of native species, control invasive species, install fencing and relocate equipment operations, trails, and livestock, and increase the width of the buffer.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 390 - Riparian Herbaceous Cover

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 4.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,726.71
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$431.68
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 4 | \$25.60 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 2 | \$42.02 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 1 | \$464.77 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 1 | \$375.15 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 4 | \$171.56 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 2 | \$545.18 |

Practice: E391A - Increase riparian forest buffer width for sediment and nutrient reduction
Scenario: \#1 - Increase riparian forest buffer width for sediment and nutrient reduction
Scenario Description:
Where an existing forested riparian area is located along a river, stream, pond, lake, or other waterbody, increase the width of the buffer in order to allow a greater percentage of sediment and nutrient removal from surface and subsurface flows.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,792.62
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,396.31
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2 | \$60.06 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 16 | \$200.16 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 | \$381.11 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.72 | \$270.11 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 872 | \$1,412.64 |


| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. | 1578 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 100 | \$216.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E391B - Increase stream shading for stream temperature reduction
Scenario: \#1 - Increase stream shading for stream temperature reduction
Scenario Description:
Riparian area tree canopy cover density is increased and the extent of the forested riparian area is increased to provide greater stream shading.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,849.90$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,424.95
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2 | \$60.06 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 16 | \$200.16 |

## Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 381.11$ |  |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 222.67$ | 0.46 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 18 | \$515.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 872 | \$1,412.64 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |

# Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. 

$15781 \mathrm{in} . \times 1 \mathrm{in} . \times 48 \mathrm{in}$. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes
Each materials only.

## Mobilization

## Mobilization, small equipment

1138 Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with

Practice: E391C - Increase riparian forest buffer width to enhance wildlife habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Increase riparian forest buffer width to enhance wildlife habitat
Scenario Description:
Where an existing riparian forest buffer is located along a river, stream, pond, lake, or other waterbody, increase the diversity of native species, control invasive species, install fencing and relocate equipment operations, trails, and livestock to increase the functional width of the buffer.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 391 - Riparian Forest Buffer

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,849.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,424.95
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2 | \$60.06 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 2 | \$12.80 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 4 | \$138.16 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 16 | \$200.16 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.82 | \$381.11 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.72 | \$270.11 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.46 | \$102.43 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 18 | \$515.52 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 4 | \$127.76 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 872 | \$1,412.64 |


| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 100 | \$529.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. | 1578 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 100 | \$216.00 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E393A - Extend existing filter strip to reduce water quality impacts
Scenario: \#1 - Extend existing filter strip to reduce water quality impacts

## Scenario Description:

Extend existing filter strips for water quality protection. Extend the existing buffer for a total of 60 feet or more to enhance water quality functions. The extended buffers must be composed of at least 5 species of non-noxious, wildlife friendly grasses and/or perennial forbs best suited to site conditions. Include species that provide pollinator food and habitat where possible.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 393 - Filter Strip
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 393 - Filter Strip
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,546.20
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,546.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 1 | \$14.04 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 | \$190.56 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 | \$135.05 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.23 | \$51.21 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native and Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2756 | A mix of native and introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to high density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$153.79 | 1 | \$153.79 |

## Mobilization

Practice: E395A - Stream habitat improvement through placement of woody biomass
Scenario: \#1 - Stream habitat improvement through placement of woody biomass
Scenario Description:
Flexible placement of wood (unanchored/unpinned) in small, 1st and 2nd order streams to improve stream habitat conditions for aquatic species and natural stream processes.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 395 - Stream Habitat Improvement and Management

Feature Measure: Bankfull width X Length
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$20,716.33
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$20,716.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 2 CY | 932 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 1.5 to 2.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$137.83 | 16 | \$2,205.28 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 8 | \$796.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Heavy | 233 | Includes: Cranes, Hydraulic Excavators >=50 HP, Dozers, Paving Machines, Rock Trenchers, Trenchers >=12 in., Dump Trucks, Ag Equipment >=150 HP, Scrapers, Water Wagons. | Hours | \$40.27 | 24 | \$966.48 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate, Sand, Graded, Washed | 45 | Sand, typical ASTM C33 gradation. Includes materials, and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$37.92 | 20 | \$758.40 |
| Aggregate, Gravel, Graded | 46 | Gravel. includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Cubic Yards | \$39.89 | 30 | \$1,196.70 |
| Compost | 265 | A mixture of decaying organic matter, as from leaves and manure, used to improve soil structure and provide nutrients. | Ton | \$52.20 | 1 | \$52.20 |
| Tree \& Shrub, Woody, Cuttings, Large | 1309 | Woody pole cuttings or posts 2 to 6 inches in diameter and 6 ft . long. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$11.13 | 300 | \$3,339.00 |
| Boulder | 1761 | Rock boulders (approximately 5 ft dia. 6.67 Tons). Includes materials and delivery (up to 100 miles) only. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$91.38 | 40 | \$3,655.20 |
| Steel, rebar | 1832 | Steel rebar, grade 60. Materials only. | Pound | \$0.78 | 50 | \$39.00 |
| Aggregate, river rock | 1834 | Well graded, rounded mineral substrates derived from local riverine settings. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$33.53 | 15 | \$502.95 |
| Log, un-anchored | 2035 | Price of log picked up at the Mill. Includes material only. | Ton | \$176.38 | 30 | \$5,291.40 |
| Root Wad | 2045 | Tree stump buried into the streambank with the roots left exposed. Includes material only. | Ton | \$7.93 | 20 | \$158.60 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, large equipment | 1140 | Equipment $>150 \mathrm{HP}$ or typical weights greater than 30,000 pounds or loads requiring over width or over length permits. | Each | \$877.52 | 2 | \$1,755.04 |

Practice: E399A - Fishpond management for native aquatic and terrestrial species
Scenario: \#1 - Fishpond management for native aquatic and terrestrial species

## Scenario Description:

Pond rehabilitation, buffer, and watershed management actions are taken to improve habitat for native species of fish, amphibians, and shorebirds.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 399 - Fishpond Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 399 - Fishpond Management

Feature Measure: Pond area + buffer area
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,093.68$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,546.84

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Seeding Operation, No Till/Grass Drill | 960 | No Till drill or grass drill for seeding. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.01 | 1 | \$21.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 3 | \$120.42 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |

## Materials

| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 1 | \$42.89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Diquat dibromide | 1820 | Aquatic herbicide and plant growth regulator. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Gallons | \$108.55 | 1 | \$108.55 |
| Native Aquatic Plants, Emergent or Submerged | 2336 | Native aquatic emergent or submerged. All required materials for establishing vegetation. Includes material and shipping. | Each | \$1.22 | 1000 | \$1,220.00 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2754 | A mix of native perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, Grasses typically greater than $50 \%$ of the mix, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Species typically easy to purchase. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$272.59 | 1 | \$272.59 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E412A - Enhance a grassed waterway
Scenario: \#3 - Waterway, reshape/extend/widen

## Scenario Description:

Typical practice is 1500 ' long, 12 ' bottom, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.1 ' depth. A grass waterway that is a shaped or graded channel and is established with suitable vegetation to carry surface water at a non-erosive velocity to a stable outlet. This practice addresses Concentrated Flow Erosion (Classic Gully \& Ephemeral Erosion) and Excessive Sediment in surface waters. Waterway area measured from top of bank to top of bank. Seeding will be completed under the Critical Area Planting (342) Practice Standard with seeding area up to $20 \%$ greater than waterway area to account for buffer area along the waterway. Costs include excavation and associated work to construct the overall shape and grade of the waterway. This scenario would apply to Grassed Waterways without erosion control blanket or rock checks.

## Before Situation:

The field has a grassed waterway, but a gully cutting upstream, downstream, or adjacent to the existing grassed waterway has formed as time goes on, so the new gully needs to be stopped or controlled. The new gully has formed in field as a result of a change in runoff amounts from the original design from subsurface drainage outlets, change in cropping techniques, change in land use, etc.

## After Situation:

An installed grassed waterway has been installed that is possibly wider or longer than the original grassed waterway that wasn't functioning properly anymore. The new installed grassed waterway is 1500 ' long, 12 ' bottom, $8: 1$ side slopes, 1.1' depth. The practice is installed using a dozer and/or scraper, with final grading with motor grader. Use Critical Area Planting (342) for establishment of waterway vegetation. If erosion control blankets or mulching for seedbed establishment/protection are needed, use conservation practice Mulching (484). Drainage tile, if needed, will be installed accoring to Subsurface Drain (606).

Feature Measure: Acres of Waterway reshaped, exten

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,850.20
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,850.20
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stripping and stockpiling, topsoil | 1199 | Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil adjacent to stripping area. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$0.83 | 411 | \$341.13 |
| Excavation, common earth, large equipment, 150 ft | 1223 | Bulk excavation of common earth including sand and gravel with dozer $>100 \mathrm{HP}$ with average push distance of 150 feet. Includes equipment and labor. | Cubic Yards | \$3.51 | 954 | \$3,348.54 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |



| Practice: E420B - Establish monarch butterfly habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#3-Establish Monarch Habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed or plug milkweed (Asclepias spp.) and high-value monarch butterfly nectar plants on marginal cropland, field borders, contour buffer strips and similar areas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 420 - Wildlife Habitat Planting. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$875.83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 5.83 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 1 | \$30.03 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Cultipacking | 1100 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$9.68 | 1 | \$9.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 1 | \$469.81 |

Practice: E447A - Advanced Tailwater Recovery

Scenario: \#3 - Advanced Tailwater Recovery

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement is for a recovery system that capture $100 \%$ of excess irrigation and drainage runoff water from the contiguous land where the activity is implemented. Runoff water is conveyed through properly designed recovery ditches to a storage structure. Each recovery ditch and storage structure have adequate capacity to store excess irrigation water and reasonable runoff water. The system is designed to incorporate the collected water back into the delivery system so that excess water is reused. The system is fully automated to operate the recovery pumps, valves, and collection system. Key elements in the system are sensors that can evaluate data and operate devices through the system in opening/closing or on /off based on scientifically determined parameters.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 447 - Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery.

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 200.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,661.52 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$8.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 24 | \$413.52 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 24 | \$687.36 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 8 | \$367.76 |

Practice: E449A - Complete pumping plant evaluation for water savings
Scenario: \#1 - Complete pumping plant evaluation for water savings
Scenario Description:
The performance of pump tests and evaluations of all pumping plants to determine the potential to rehabilitate/replace/reconfigure pump performance to improve water delivery efficiency $10 \%$ or more. Develop and provide a written report with recordkeeping documents and list of adjustments and calculations of the reduction of water use based on before and after conditions.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard, (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management.

Feature Measure: Each pump evaluated

## Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,296.75
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,296.75

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 32 | \$3,802.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: E449B - Alternated Wetting and Drying (AWD) of rice fields
Scenario: \#1 - Alternated Wetting and Drying (AWD) of rice fields
Scenario Description:
Rice fields are drained and allowed to ???dry down??? to a saturated soil condition prior to re-flooding the field. System is installed in year 1 with Scenario E449144Z8 and this scenario used in years 2-5.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management.

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,421.42
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$35.54
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 40 | \$1,145.60 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E449C - Advanced Automated IWM - Year 2-5, soil moisture monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1 - Advanced Automated IWM ??? Year 2-5, soil moisture monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced automated irrigation water management using soil moisture or water level monitoring (installed as per IWM plan) with data loggers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 125.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,708.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 21.67 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 40 | \$1,605.60 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 24 | \$1,103.28 |

Practice: E449D - Advanced Automated IWM - Year 1, Equipment and soil moisture or water level monitoring
Scenario: \#1 - Advanced Automated IWM ??? Year 1, Equipment and soil moisture or water level monitoring
Scenario Description:
Installing and monitoring soil moisture or water leveling equipment for advanced automated irrigation water management
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management

## Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 120.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,832.36$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$56.94

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 30 | \$723.30 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

Materials

| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 3 | \$1,940.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 1 | \$1,663.47 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |


| USDA United States De | ment | of Agriculture |  |  |  | Ohio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resourc | nser | ation Service |  | ce Scenar |  | $\text { r } 2024$ |
| Practice: E449E-Convert from | de to | rrow Irrigated Rice Production - reduce irrigation water consumption |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3 - Convert from | o F | w Irrigated Rice Production - reduce irrigation water consumption |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field currently flooded through | e | system will be converted to furrow irrigation. |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the | le | f the Conservation Practice Standard 449 - Irrigation Water Manage |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhanceme Management. | provi | e resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conserva | Pract | andard 449 | igat |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 80.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,5 | 5.44 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 7.07 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tillage, Light | 945 | Includes light disking (tandem) or field cultivator. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$14.04 | 80 | \$1,123.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 32 | \$1,471.04 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Meter, with mechanical Index | 1450 | 10 inch, Turbine Type Flow Meter with Mechanical Index, permanently installed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,742.08 | 1 | \$1,742.08 |

Practice: E449F - Intermediate IWM - Year 1, Equipment with Soil or Water Level monitoring
Scenario: \#3 - Intermediate IWM - Year 1, Equipment with Soil moisture or Water Level monitoring

## Scenario Description:

This activity involves monitoring soil moisture or water levels within a irrigated field for intermediate irrigation water management include installation of equipment year 1.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management.

Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 160.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,587.17

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$47.42

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 1 | \$646.73 |
| Flow Meter, with mechanical Index | 1450 | 10 inch, Turbine Type Flow Meter with Mechanical Index, permanently installed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,742.08 | 1 | \$1,742.08 |
| Data Logger | 1453 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management. Materials only. | Each | \$720.50 | 4 | \$2,882.00 |
| Soil Moisture Sensor | 1456 | Soil moisture resistance sensor with 10 foot cables. Equipment only. | Each | \$75.17 | 12 | \$902.04 |
| Weather Station, Advanced | 2550 | Advance Weather Station which collects and records recording rainfall, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation from a solar powered self-standing tripod to an advance weather recording console. Used for both 449 advance irrigation water management and for Activity 202 water quality monitoring . | Each | \$973.44 | 1 | \$973.44 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  | Ohio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E449G - Intermediate IWM - Years 2-5, Soil or Water Level monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3 - Intermediate IWM - Years 2-5, Soil Moisture or Water Level monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate irrigation water management involves monitoring soil moisture or water levels within an irrigated field by utilizing technological equipment to gather field specific data concerning weather, soil moisture or water levels throughout the irrigation season. The equipment was bought in year one and is utilized to log data through the season to be retrieved periodically so irrigation decisions can be made based on scientific data. Maximum time between data retrieval is weekly. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 160.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$1,521.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 15 | \$602.10 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 20 | \$919.40 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E449H-Intermediate IWM - Years $2-5$, using soil moisture or water level monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#19-Intermediate IWM - Years 2-5, using soil moisture or water level monitoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate irrigation water management using soil moisture or water level monitoring with data loggers; specifically, multi-depth soil moisture sensors, water well and relift permanent flow meters, twice-daily water stage imaging water level devices, and quarter hour climate data element recording weather stations at approved IWM plan locations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the CPS 449 - Irrigation Water Management. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,838.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 45.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID |  | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labo supe adop | r managemen m/ranch mana c. | Hours | \$45.97 | 40 | \$1,838.80 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E4491-Sprinkler Irrigation Equipment Retrofit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#19-IWM - Year 1, Retrofit Equipment with Speed Control on Sprinkler Irrigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This activity involves installing speed control equipment to a sprinkler irrigated field for irrigation water management. The installation of the equipment is in year one. It is applicable to sprinkler irrigation systems that do not already have the functionality and are able to integrate the speed control technology. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 - Irrigation Water Management. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,8 | 66.69 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$1,8 | 66.69 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Center Pivot VRI, Speed Control | 2727 | Center pivot irrigation system using variable rate irrigation using speed control technology. Includes controller, sensors, and GPS unit. | Number | \$1,545.57 | 1 | \$1,545.57 |

Practice: E449J - Intermediate IWM - 20\% Reducing Water Usage
Scenario: \#3 - Intermediate IWM - 20\% Reduced Water Usage

## Scenario Description:

Intermediate irrigation water management involves monitoring soil moisture or water levels within an irrigated field by utilizing technological equipment to gather field specific data concerning weather, soil moisture or water levels throughout the irrigation season. The equipment will be utilized to log data through the season to be retrieved periodically so irrigation decisions can be made based on scientific data. Maximum time between data retrieval is weekly.Monitoring will be for the entire irrigation season and data gathered will be used to make sound decisions on irrigation water use.Supplimental Water usage will be reduced by $20 \%$ from previous years use and remain at that level for the remainder of the contract.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 449 ??? Irrigation Water Management.

Feature Measure: Irrigated Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 125.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 4,918.02$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$39.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 32 | \$3,802.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil Moisture Meter | 1455 | Soil Moisture Sensor Reader. Equipment only. | Each | \$245.42 | 1 | \$245.42 |
| Soil Moisture Sensor | 1456 | Soil moisture resistance sensor with 10 foot cables. Equipment only. | Each | \$75.17 | 5 | \$375.85 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: E472A - Manage livestock access to waterbodies to reduce nutrients or pathogens to surface water
Scenario: \#1 - Manage livestock access to waterbodies to reduce nutrients or pathogens to surface water

## Scenario Description:

Installation of structures and implementation of grazing management actions that restrict livestock access to streams, ditches, and other waterbodies in order to reduce nutrient loading or reduce the introduction of pathogens from manure, bio-solids or compost to surface waters.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 472 - Access Control
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 472 - Access Control

Feature Measure: (Stream length protected * 2) + ((C
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 1,320.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,980.85$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.02
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 5 | \$47.00 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 5 | \$120.55 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 5 | \$172.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 33 | \$945.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 5 | \$159.70 |

## Materials

| Wire, Barbed, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 1,320' roll | 1 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 1,320' roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$120.66 | 4 | \$482.64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 3-4 in. x 7 ft . | 9 | Wood Post, Line 3-4 inch dia. X 7 ft ., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$10.91 | 20 | \$218.20 |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 8 ft . | 12 | Wood Post, End 6 inch dia. X 8 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$24.24 | 8 | \$193.92 |
| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - 1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 90 | \$627.30 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Barbed Wire | 30 | Brace pins, battens, clips, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.20 | 1320 | \$264.00 |
| Gate, Pipe, 12 ft . | 1057 | 6 rail tube gate, 16 gauge. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$230.41 | 2 | \$460.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70$ HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |



| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Ohio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E484B - Reduce particulate matter emissions by using orchard or vineyard generated woody materials as mulch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Reduce particulate matter emissions by using orchard or vineyard generated woody materials as mulch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduce particulate matter emissions by using orchard or vineyard generated woody materials as mulch. At least $90 \%$ of all woody materials are to be used as mulch on the operation. An exception may be made when it is determined that infected material must be burned to preserve crop health. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 484 ??? Mulching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 484 - Mulching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Actual Acres of Crop producing Wo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$704.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 7.61 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |



Practice: E484D - Lowbush Blueberry Field Mulching for Moisture Management
Scenario: \#3 - Lowbush blueberry field mulching

## Scenario Description:

Full-field application of state-approved natural material such as wood chips for moisture retention to enhance resilience in low bush blueberries (aka wild blueberries).

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of CPS 484 Mulching. 484 Mulching is typically used to fill bare spots on wild blueberry fields, which encourages 'blueberry sod' to migrate into de-vegetated areas, stabilizing the soil. Blueberry field (barrens) are typically situated in excessively drained soils in locations where irrigation is not generally feasible. Increasing incidence of drought each year is stressing plants and negatively impacting yields.

After Situation:
Wood chips are applied to a depth of 2 inches field-wide using typical dry manure spreading equipment. Wood chips hold precipitation in the root zone, preventing moisture loss in excessively-drained soils, and add organic matter into the system, improving soil quality over time.

Feature Measure: acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$140,054.92
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14,005.49

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manure, compost, application | 955 | Loading, hauling and spreading manure/compost by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$130.51 | 45 | \$5,872.95 |
| Aggregate, Wood Chips | 1098 | Includes materials, equipment and labor to transport and place. | Cubic Yards | \$49.68 | 2700 | \$134,136.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |



| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E511B - Forage harvest management that helps maintain wildlife habitat cover, shelter or continuity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1 - Forage harvest management that helps maintain wildlife habitat cover, shelter or continuity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The timely cutting and removal of forages from the field as hay, green-chop, or ensilage in such a way, and in time frames, to optimize both forage yield/quality and wildlife cover and shelter and/or continuity between otherwise disconnected habitats. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 511 - Forage Harvest Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 511 - Forage Harvest Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$544.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 25 | \$498.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

Practice: E511C - Forage testing for improved harvesting methods and hay quality
Scenario: \#3 - Hay quality record keepoing for livestock producers

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement results in participants obtaining hay samples and submitting them to a land grant university or other accredited lab for quality analysis. The participant will record data for multiple harvests and use the data to make future decisions. The participant will discuss the results with NRCS or with their cooperative extensions service. Technical recommendations are made to the participant based upon the test results.

## Before Situation:

The participant has hay that doesn't have quality analysis or doesn't know the quality of previous hay harvests. The hay will be fed when needed.

## After Situation:

The participant has hay with hay quality analysis. The participant records data based upon the results to reference and make future decisions. The participant has a better understanding on the quality of hay so that adjustments in feeding or supplementation can be made.

## Feature Measure: Each

## Scenario Unit: Number

## Scenario Typical Size: 2.00

Scenario Total Cost: \$283.70
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$141.85

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Feed Analysis | 1989 | Representative sample of feed. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$30.81 | 2 | \$61.62 |

Practice: E511D - Forage Harvest Management to Improve Terrestrial Habitat for Wildlife during Over-Winter Periods
Scenario: \#3 - Forage Harvest Management Overwinter

## Scenario Description:

Eliminate or forgo the last fall cutting of hay or haylage to optimize wildlife cover and shelter during critical over-winter periods and lengthen late season bloom period for invertebrates. Allowing late season stand maturity increases stand life and reduces risks of frost and winter damage while providing valuable wildlife habitat and an extended bloom periods.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 511-Forage Harvest Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in CPS - 511
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,086.79
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$27.17
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 3 | \$214.23 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Hay, Alfalfa | 2121 | Alfalfa Hay is Primary Crop | Ton | \$103.89 | 3 | \$311.67 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 5 | \$229.85 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E512A - Cropland conversion to grass-based agriculture to reduce soil erosion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Cropland conversion to grass-based agriculture to reduce soil erosion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conversion of cropped land to grass-based agriculture to reduce soil erosion. Mixtures of perennial grasses, forbs, and legume species are established on cropland where annually-seeded cash crops have been grown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,047.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 10.47 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 20 | \$955.20 |



| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E512C - Cropland conversion to grass for soil organic matter improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Cropland conversion to grass for soil organic matter improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conversion of cropped land to grass-based agriculture. Mixtures of perennial grasses, forbs, and/or legume species are established on cropland where annually-seeded cash crops have been grown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,487.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 14.88 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 20 | \$955.20 |




| USDA United States Department of AgricultureNatural Resources Conservation Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E512J - Establish wildlife corridors to provide habitat continuity or access to water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Establish wildlife corridors to provide habitat continuity or access to water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishing adapted and/or compatible species, varieties, or cultivars of perennial, herbaceous species that can provide cover needed for wildlife species of concern to move from food/cover/water sources to other food/cover/water sources as needed for their life cycles, and/or to enhance the utility of underused wildlife habitat areas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,940.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 19.40 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 25 | \$498.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Low Density | 2750 | Native perennial grasses, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density (40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$134.97 | 10 | \$1,349.70 |

Practice: E512L - Diversifying Forage Base with Interseeding Forbs and Legumes to Increase Pasture Quality
Scenario: \#3 - Diversifying forage base with interseeding forbs and legumes to increase pasture quality.

## Scenario Description:

Establishing adapted and/or compatible species, varieties, or cultivars of perennial, herbaceous species that increases the diversity to enhance livestock, forage supply and quality, not available in other pastures

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 Pasture and Hay Planting
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 512 Pasture and Hay Planting

Feature Measure: acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,627.04

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$92.54

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 8 | \$240.24 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 50 | \$666.00 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 50 | \$997.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Low Density | 2747 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at lower to medium density ( 40 pure live seeds/sq ft and less). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$47.76 | 50 | \$2,388.00 |



Practice: E528A - Maintaining quantity and quality of forage for animal health and productivity
Scenario: \#1 - Maintaining quantity and quality of forage for animal health and productivity

## Scenario Description:

Managing the harvest of vegetation with grazing and/or browsing animals for the purposes of maintaining desired pasture composition/plant vigor and improving/maintaining quantity and quality of forage for the animals' health and productivity following the recommendations of a qualifying professional, as detailed in the documentation and implementation requirements.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,151.64
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4.15
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 36 | \$717.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |
| Nutritional Balance Analyzer, fecal sample analysis only | 1127 | NIRS fecal analysis, animal performance report. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$46.07 | 6 | \$276.42 |

Practice: E528B - Grazing management that improves monarch butterfly habitat
Scenario: \#1-Grazing management that improves monarch butterfly habitat

## Scenario Description:

Implement a grazing management plan that will increase the abundance and diversity of monarch nectar-producing perennial forbs, including milkweed, while maintaining ecosystem benefits for other wildlife and livestock.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$985.89

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9.86
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 2.5 | \$49.85 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 1 | \$28.64 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 2 | \$699.36 |

Practice: E528C - Incorporating wildlife refuge areas in contingency plans for wildlife.
Scenario: \#1 - Incorporating wildlife refuge areas in contingency plans for wildlife.
Scenario Description:
A prescribed grazing plan that includes 12 month (or longer) rest (non-grazing period equal or greater than one year) of a grazing unit that consists of native grasses and/or legumes and/or perennial forbs for the purpose of meeting the needs for drought/disaster contingency plans that will also provide wildlife habitat or wildlife access to water for a period of time.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,758.20
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$17.58
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 6 | \$103.38 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 15 | \$299.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 4 | \$182.72 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |



| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Ohio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E528E - Improved grazing management for enhanced plant structure and composition for wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1 - Improved grazing management for enhanced plant structure and composition for wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managing the harvest of vegetation with grazing and/or browsing animals for the purpose of improving the quantity and quality of the structure and composition of the plant community that is available for wildlife. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: \$345.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 15 | \$299.10 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 1 | \$45.97 |

Practice: E528F - Stockpiling cool season forage to improve structure and composition or plant productivity and health
Scenario: \#1 - Stockpiling cool season forage to improve structure and composition or plant productivity and health

## Scenario Description:

Grazing management employed to stop grazing events of selected paddock(s) to allow pasture forages to grow to maximum vegetative biomass accumulation before the end of the growing season.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,923.67$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$29.24
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 6 | \$103.38 |

## Foregone Income

| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 10 | \$199.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Nitrogen (N), Urea | 71 | Price per pound of $N$ supplied by Urea. Price is not per pound of total product applied, no conversion is needed. | Pound | \$0.68 | 2000 | \$1,360.00 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 3 | \$42.21 |

Practice: E528G - Improved grazing management on pasture for plant productivity and health with monitoring activities
Scenario: \#1-Improved grazing management on pasture for plant productivity and health with monitoring activities
Scenario Description:
Managing the harvest of vegetation with grazing and/or browsing animals as adjusted when following recommendations of a qualifying professional, as detailed in the enhancement criteria, generated through pasture condition scoring (PCS).
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,087.59

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.88
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 30 | \$598.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

## Materials

Practice: E528H - Prescribed grazing to improve/maintain riparian and watershed function-elevated water temperature
Scenario: \#1 - Prescribed grazing to improve/maintain riparian and watershed function-elevated water temperature

## Scenario Description:

Grazing management employed will provide cover and density needed in the watershed in order to reduce runoff, improve infiltration, provide for above ground water filtration and sustain applicable fish and wildlife species habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,731.42
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.73

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |

Practice: E528I-Grazing management that protects sensitive areas -surface or ground water from nutrients
Scenario: \#1-Grazing management that protects sensitive areas -surface or ground water from nutrients

## Scenario Description:

Grazing management employed will provide cover and density needed in the watershed in order to protect sensitive areas such as sinkholes, streams, highly erodible areas, or locations with plants that cannot tolerate defoliation.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,942.14

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.94
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polytape | 7 | Wire, Polytape for electric fence. Rolls of 655' to 825'. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$64.10 | 4 | \$256.40 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |

Practice: E528J - Prescribed grazing on pastureland that improves riparian and watershed function
Scenario: \#1 - Prescribed grazing on pastureland that improves riparian and watershed function

## Scenario Description:

Grazing management employed will provide cover and density needed in the watershed in order to reduce runoff, improve infiltration, provide for above ground water filtration and sustain applicable fish and wildlife species habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,658.50$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$16.59
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 6 | \$103.38 |

## Foregone Income

| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 10 | \$199.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 4 | \$182.72 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |

Practice: E528L - Prescribed grazing that improves or maintains riparian and watershed function-erosion
Scenario: \#1 - Prescribed grazing that improves or maintains riparian and watershed function-erosion

## Scenario Description:

Grazing management employed will provide cover and density needed in the watershed in order to reduce runoff, improve infiltration, provide for above ground water filtration and sustain applicable fish and wildlife species habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,089.49
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.89

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 10 | \$199.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 1 | \$45.68 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |

Practice: E528M - Grazing management that protects sensitive areas from gully erosion
Scenario: \#1-Grazing management that protects sensitive areas from gully erosion

## Scenario Description:

Grazing management employed will provide vegetative cover and density needed in the watershed in order to protect sensitive areas such as sinkholes, streams, highly erodible areas, or locations that cannot tolerate plant defoliation.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,749.84$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 12 | \$206.76 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 17 | \$486.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polytape | 7 | Wire, Polytape for electric fence. Rolls of 655' to 825'. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$64.10 | 1 | \$64.10 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 1 | \$337.65 |

Practice: E528N - Improved grazing management through monitoring activities
Scenario: \#1 - Improved grazing management through monitoring activities

## Scenario Description:

Three predominant key grazing areas are evaluated utilizing the Rangeland Health Assessment (where reference material is developed) or Describing Indicators of Rangeland Health protocols (where reference material is not developed) to determine how well the ecological processes of the site(s) are functioning. Utilizing knowledge learned from this as a part of the ranch resource assessment, a qualifying professional, as detailed in the enhancement criteria, will provide recommendations or follow-up evaluations toward mitigating some of the degradation risks that are initially identified.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,150.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 2.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Ohio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| Practice: E5280-Clipping mature forages to set back vegetative growth for improved forage quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3 - Clipping mature forages to set back vegetative growth for improved forage quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timely clipping of mature forages through mowing, swathing or some other mechanical cutting will occur to increase forage palatability by setting plants back to a vegetative state for improved grazing management and forage quality. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 80.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$3,6 | 5.86 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 45.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 20 | \$2,222.00 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 24 | \$766.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: E528P - Implementing Bale or Swath Grazing to increase organic matter and reduce nutrients in surface water
Scenario: \#3-Implementing bale or swath grazing to increase organic matter or reduce nutrients in surface water

## Scenario Description:

Bale or swath grazing to improve organic matter, aggregate stability or soil organism habitat or to reduce nutrient risks to surface water by leaving field harvested forages on site or supplementing with off-field forages. Grazing forages in this manner, will help reduce nutrient concentrations from confined animal lots while incorporating organic matter, feeding and diversifying the microbiome, building better soil aggregation and increasing soil health.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,517.90
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$175.90
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 20 | \$482.20 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 20 | \$1,428.20 |
| Trailer, flatbed, small | 1505 | Small flatbed trailer (typically less than $30^{\prime}$ in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$9.85 | 20 | \$197.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 40 | \$1,277.60 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 1 | \$14.07 |

Practice: E528Q - Use of body condition scoring for livestock on a monthly basis to keep track of herd health
Scenario: \#3 - Use of body condition scoring for livestock on a monthly basis to keep track of herd health
Scenario Description:
Body condition scoring (BCS) serves as a useful management tool to monitor livestock performance with respect to current and recent feeding or grazing programs. Body condition scoring is a numeric scoring system, producers can use to consistently evaluate animals??? estimated body energy reserves through degree of fatness. This information can be used to adjust nutritional strategies to reach optimal BCS. Since body condition is closely associated with reproductive performance as well as feed efficiency, monitoring body condition can help producers reach production goals and increase the operation???s bottom line. Knowledge and understanding of BCS will assist producers to adjust a supplemental feeding program to maintain animal health and nutrition on a-monthly-basis.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$183.12
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1.83
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 1 | \$17.23 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |

Practice: E528R - Management Intensive Rotational Grazing
Scenario: \#3 - Management Intensive Rotational Grazing

## Scenario Description:

Management intensive, multi-paddock grazing system where livestock are regularly and systematically moved to fresh forage to optimize quantity and quality of forage growth, improve manure distribution, improve wildlife cover, and improve soil health.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 528 - Prescribed Grazing

Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,187.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$41.87
Cost Details:


Acquisition of Technical Knowledge

| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 10 | \$172.30 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 15 | \$429.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire, Polywire | 8 | Wire, Polywire for electric fence - 1,300 ft. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$45.68 | 2 | \$91.36 |
| Electric, Ground Rods | 20 | Electric, Ground Rod for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$17.09 | 3 | \$51.27 |
| Electric, Ground Rod Clamps | 21 | Electric, Ground Rod Clamps for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.44 | 3 | \$7.32 |
| Electric, Tester | 26 | Electric, Tester for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$44.35 | 1 | \$44.35 |
| Electric, Energizer, Solar | 27 | Electric, Energizer, Solar for electric fence. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$349.68 | 1 | \$349.68 |
| Tank, Polyethylene, 300 gallon | 291 | Portable heavy duty rubber stock tank. | Each | \$337.65 | 2 | \$675.30 |
| Pipe, HDPE, smooth wall, weight | 1379 | High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) compound manufactured into | Pound | \$3.64 | 370 | \$1,346.80 |

Practice: E528S - Soil Health Improvements on Pasture

Scenario: \#3-Soil health improvements on pasture

## Scenario Description:

Use of soil health assessment to evaluate impact of current grazing system in addressing soil organic matter depletion, soil aggregate instability and soil organism habitat loss or degradation (primary assessment made in Year 1). Modifications to the grazing system will be made after the laboratory analysis. Modifications can be improvements to the grazing plan or changes to the forage composition. During year 4, a follow-up assessment will be completed to allow time for the modifications to show improvements to the soil health resource concerns. The follow-up sample will be taken in the same soil type, closely matched to time of year and with similar amounts of regrowth since previous grazing.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (PCS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 100.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,040.53
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Grazing AUMs | 2079 | Grazing is the Primary Land Use | Animal Unit Month | \$19.94 | 12 | \$239.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 6 | \$275.82 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 1 | \$118.83 |

## Materials

2734 Basic soil health laboratory assessment for soil organic carbon, aggregation, bioavailable nitrogen, respiration, and active carbon according to technical note 450-03. Includes shipping and handling.


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E528U - Contingency Planning for Resiliency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3-Contingency Planning for Resiliency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manage grazing throughout the year to mitigate impacts from drought and improve resiliency by incorporating recovery periods, utilizing non-traditional grazing resources, and creating a drought plan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 528 - Prescribed Grazing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in CPS -528. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$7,621.78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$7.62 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 2 | \$232.78 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 20 | \$344.60 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 80 | \$2,291.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 40 | \$4,753.20 |

Practice: E533A - Advanced Pumping Plant Automation
Scenario: \#3-Advanced Pumping Plant Automation

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement consists of installing a control device to a pump station that allows the user to remotely monitor and operate the pump station based on field measured data. Pumping stations may have either a combustible or electric power unit that are compatible with the control device or sensor. These devices/sensors collect fieldmeasured data and provide this data in real time to the landowner to make irrigation decisions and adjustments to the pump operation.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 533 - Pumping Plant.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 533 - Pumping Plant.
Feature Measure: Number
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,760.56
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 6,760.56$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 0.1 | \$256.36 |
| Switches and Controls, temp sensors | 1192 | Temperature and soil moisture sensors installed as part of an electronic monitoring (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$646.73 | 1 | \$646.73 |
| Switches and Controls, programmable controller | 1193 | Programmable logic controller (with or without wireless telecommunications) commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$623.08 | 1 | \$623.08 |
| Switches and Controls, Wi-Fi system and software | 1194 | Software with built-in cellular or Wi-Fi communication commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$786.92 | 1 | \$786.92 |
| Switches and Controls, radio system | 1195 | Output radio, field transmitter, and receiver commonly used to control pumps and irrigation systems | Each | \$789.40 | 1 | \$789.40 |
| Data Logger with Telemetry System | 1454 | Data Logger W/Graphic Output for water management and telemetry data communication device with power supply in a weather proof enclosure. Equipment only. | Each | \$1,663.47 | 1 | \$1,663.47 |
| Safety Camera on Automated Pump | 2474 | Waterproof outdoor wireless IP Network security camera with housing. Includes materials only. | Each | \$218.03 | 1 | \$218.03 |
| Engine/Fuel Tank Sensor | 2487 | Transducer and sensors to monitor the oil pressure, oil and water | Each | \$70.43 | 1 | \$70.43 |

temperatures, fuel flow meter with digital pulse output and fuel levels in a tank. Includes the conduit and cabling.

Practice: E533B - Complete pumping plant evaluation for energy savings
Scenario: \#1 - Complete pumping plant evaluation for energy savings

## Scenario Description:

The performance of pump tests and evaluations of all pumping plants to determine the potential to rehabilitate/replace/reconfigure pump performance to reduce energy use. Evaluate to determine if a Variable Frequency Drive motor controller(s) will reduce energy use and is feasible. Develop and provide a written report with list of adjustments and calculations of the reduction of energy use based on before and after conditions.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 533 - Pumping Plant

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 533 - Pumping Plant
Feature Measure: Each pump evaluated
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,296.75
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,296.75
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 32 | \$3,802.56 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E533C-Install VFDs on pumping plants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#6-Install variable frequency drive on pump |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Install Variable Frequency Drive(s) (VFD) on Pumping Plant with the correct sensors, on all pumps as indicated in the evaluation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 533 ??? Pumping Plant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard, (CPS) 533 ??? Pumping Plant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Each pump modified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$7, | 8.28 |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$7,1 | 8.28 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable Speed Drive, 50 HP | 1288 | Variable speed drive for 50 Horsepower electric motor. Does not include motor. Materials only. | Horsepower | \$126.05 | 50 | \$6,302.50 |


| Practice: E533D - Switch fuel source for pumps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#6-Switch fuel source for pumps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch the fuel source for pump motor(s) to an on-farm renewable source (wind, solar, geothermal, etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 533 ??? Pumping Plant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in the Conservation Practice Standard, (CPS) 533 ??? Pumping Plant. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Each pump modified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$18,391.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | \$18,391.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 4 | \$96.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 12 | \$481.68 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, fixed cost portion | 1009 | Fixed cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is the base cost and is not dependent on horsepower. The total cost of any pump will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1,659.42 | 1 | \$1,659.42 |
| Pump, <= 5 HP, pump and motor, variable cost portion | 1010 | Variable cost portion of a pump less than or equal to 5 HP pump and motor. This portion is dependent on the total horsepower for the pump. The total cost of any pump will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. Includes the motor and controls for materials and shipping only. | Horsepower | \$447.82 | 5 | \$2,239.10 |
| Solar Panels, fixed cost portion | 1031 | Fixed cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion is a base cost for all Solar Panels and is not dependent on Kilowatt. The total cost of any Solar Panels will include this fixed cost plus a variable cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drops and etc.). | Each | \$859.26 | 1 | \$859.26 |
| Solar Panels, variable cost portion | 1135 | Variable cost portion of the Solar Panels. This portion IS dependent on the total Kilowatt for the Solar Panels. The total cost of Solar Panels will include this variable cost plus the fixed cost portion. The completed Solar Panels will include all materials (electrical, controllers, service drop, etc.). Includes materials only. | Kilowatt | \$2,563.62 | 5 | \$12,818.10 |




| Practice: E570A - Enhanced rain garden for wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario: \#3-Enhanced rain garden for wildlife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed or plug nectar and pollen producing plants into rain gardens to provide wildlife habitat. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 570-Stormwater Runoff Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 570 - Stormwater Runoff Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Square Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Square Feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 1,080.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$236.58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | \$0.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Site Preparation, Mechanical | 944 | Aerator, rolling drum chopper, etc. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$84.94 | 0.1 | \$8.49 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 0.1 | \$1.33 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Straw | 1237 | Small grain straw (non organic and certified organic). Includes materials only. | Ton | \$137.00 | 0.3 | \$41.10 |
| Native Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forb Mix for Targeted Wildlife/Pollinator Habitat or Ecological Restoration, moderate commercial availability | 2619 | Diverse mix of native perennial grasses, legumes and forbs, less than $50 \%$ grasses, may include biennials and a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. This is a mix composed of species required to meet specific wildlife/pollinator habitat or ecological requirements. Seed is moderately easy to purchase commercially. Includes materials and shipping. | Acres | \$469.81 | 0.1 | \$46.98 |

Practice: E578A - Stream crossing elimination
Scenario: \#1-Stream crossing elimination
Scenario Description:
Existing stream crossings on an operation are consolidated into fewer crossings in order to reduce impacts to stream habitat.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 578 - Stream Crossing
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 578 - Stream Crossing
Feature Measure: Typical feature is 0.09 acres
Scenario Unit: Each

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,959.55
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,959.55
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dozer, 80 HP | 929 | Track mounted Dozer with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$74.42 | 16 | \$1,190.72 |
| Hydraulic Excavator, 1 CY | 931 | Track mounted hydraulic excavator with bucket capacity range of 0.8 to 1.5 CY. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$124.05 | 8 | \$992.40 |
| Seeding Operation, Broadcast, Ground | 959 | Broadcast seed via ground operation. May require post tillage operation to incorporate seed. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$13.32 | 0.1 | \$1.33 |
| Truck, dump, 12 CY | 1215 | Dump truck for moving bulk material. Typically capacity is 16 ton or 12 cubic yards. Includes equipment only. | Hours | \$99.51 | 16 | \$1,592.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 32 | \$916.48 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 32 | \$1,022.08 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 16 | \$735.52 |

## Materials

| Erosion Control Blanket, biodegradable | 1213 | Biodegradable erosion control blanket, typically a composite of natural fibers with reinforcing polymer netting. Materials and shipping only. | Square Yard | \$1.57 | 300 | \$471.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree \& Shrub, Woody, Cuttings, Medium | 1308 | Woody cuttings, live stakes or whips typically $1 / 4$ to 1 inch diameter and 24 to 48 inches long. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.01 | 300 | \$603.00 |
| Aggregate, river rock | 1834 | Well graded, rounded mineral substrates derived from local riverine settings. Includes materials and local delivery within 20 miles of quarry or pit. Placement costs are not included. | Ton | \$33.53 | 42 | \$1,408.26 |
| Annual Grasses | 2730 | Annual grasses, one or more species, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$40.79 | 0.1 | \$4.08 |
| Introduced Perennial Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs, Medium Density | 2748 | Introduced perennial grasses, legumes, and/or forbs, may include a small percentage of annual species for establishment purposes and/or if allowed by the CPS. Planted at medium to higher density (41-60 pure live seeds/sq ft). Includes material and shipping. | Acres | \$68.23 | 0.1 | \$6.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment $<70 \mathrm{HP}$ but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: E580A - Stream corridor bank stability improvement
Scenario: \#1 - Stream corridor bank stability improvement
Scenario Description:
Stream corridor bank vegetation components are established to provide additional streambank stability.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

Feature Measure: Area planted
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,519.26
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,259.63
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 8 | \$116.48 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |

Labor

## General Labor

 herder, ,Supervisor or Manager
234 Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc.

## Materials

| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 65 | \$506.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 65 | \$351.65 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 65 | \$342.55 |
| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 48 in. | 1556 | 48 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$1.54 | 65 | \$100.10 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 24 \mathrm{in}$. | 1563 | 4 inch $\times 24$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.52 | 65 | \$163.80 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 65 | \$343.85 |
| Stakes, wood, $1 \mathrm{in} . \times 1 \mathrm{in} x 48 in.$. | 1578 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 195 | \$421.20 |

Practice: E580B - Stream corridor bank vegetation improvement

Scenario: \#1-Stream corridor bank vegetation improvement

## Scenario Description:

Stream corridor bank vegetation components are established to improve ecosystem functioning and stability.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 580 - Streambank and Shoreline Protection

Feature Measure: Area planted

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 2.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,519.26

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,259.63
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 8 | \$137.84 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 8 | \$116.48 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |

Labor

## General Labor

Supervisor or Manager

231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.
234 Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc.

## Materials

| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 65 | \$506.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Small | 1529 | Potted hardwood seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.41 | 65 | \$351.65 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Small | 1534 | Potted conifer seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.27 | 65 | \$342.55 |
| Tree shelter, mesh tree tube, 48 in. | 1556 | 48 inch tall vexar or other open weave tubular tree shelter to protect from animal damage. Materials only. | Each | \$1.54 | 65 | \$100.10 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $\times 24 \mathrm{in}$. | 1563 | 4 inch $\times 24$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$2.52 | 65 | \$163.80 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 65 | \$343.85 |
| Stakes, wood, $1 \mathrm{in} . \times 1 \mathrm{in} x 48 in.$. | 1578 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.16 | 195 | \$421.20 |

Practice: E590A - Improving nutrient uptake efficiency and reducing risk of nutrient losses
Scenario: \#1-Improving nutrient uptake efficiency and reducing risk of nutrient losses

## Scenario Description:

Nutrient management encompasses managing the amount, source, placement, and timing of the application of plant nutrients and soil amendments. Nutrients are currently being applied on the farm based on the 4R nutrient stewardship principles. Enhanced nutrient use efficiency strategies or technologies are utilized to improve nutrient use efficiency and reduce risk of nutrient losses to surface and groundwater and reduce risks to air quality by reducing emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs).

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 590 - Nutrient Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 590 - Nutrient Management

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 100.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,410.81$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$14.11

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | 260 | Nitrogen-Urease inhibitor | Acres | \$11.13 | 100 | \$1,113.00 |
| Test, Soil Nitrogen Testing | 311 | Pre-Side Dress/Deep Soil Testing. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$12.03 | 5 | \$60.15 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E590B - Reduce risks of nutrient loss to surface water by utilizing precision agriculture technologies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Reduce risks of nutrient loss to surface water by utilizing precision agriculture technologies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Precision application technology and techniques are utilized to plan and apply nutrients to improve nutrient use efficiency and reduce risk of nutrient losses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 590 - Nutrient Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 590 - Nutrient Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,601.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 6.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 35 | \$492.45 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E590C - Improving nutrient uptake efficiency and reducing risk of nutrient losses on pasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3-Improving nutrient uptake efficiency and reducing risk of nutrient losses on pasture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutrient management encompasses managing the amount, source, placement, and timing of the application of plant nutrients and soil amendments. Nutrients are currently being applied on the farm based on the 4R nutrient stewardship principles. Enhanced nutrient use efficiency strategies or technologies are utilized to improve nutrient use efficiency and reduce risk of nutrient losses on pasture. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 590 - Nutrient Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 590 - Nutrient Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,909.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 19.09 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 100 | \$871.00 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 40 | \$562.80 |

Practice: E590D - Reduce nutrient loss by increasing setback awareness via precision technology for water quality
Scenario: \#3 - Reduce risks of nutrient losses to surface and groundwater by increasing setback awareness via precision technology

## Scenario Description:

Precision technology and techniques are used to increase Soil/Groundwater Setbacks \& Associated Application Rate Restrictions (SGS\&AARR) implementation during nutrient application by providing precise, real-time location information (geo-located) in the field to the equipment operator. While operating nutrient application equipment, the operator???s location is continually updated and displayed on an add-on GPS-enabled device visible to the operator at all times to reduce the risk of nutrient application in setback and/or sensitive areas. Resource concerns addressed are Water Quality, Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss: Nutrients transported to groundwater and surface water and Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater and surface water.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 590 - Nutrient Management.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 590 - Nutrient Management.

Feature Measure: Acres with setback for nutrient app

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 300.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 4,060.69$

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 2 | \$232.78 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, precision application | 952 | Fertilizer application performed by light bar/GPS navigation system. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$8.71 | 300 | \$2,613.00 |
| Aerial Imagery | 966 | Aerial imagery. RBG (color), infrared or NDVI single image. | Acres | \$1.77 | 260 | \$460.20 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |




Practice: E595D - Increase the size requirement of refuges planted to slow pest resistance to Bt crops
Scenario: \#1 - Increase the size requirement of refuges planted to slow pest resistance to Bt crops

## Scenario Description:

Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) plant incorporated protectants are plants that have been genetically altered to produce proteins that are harmful to certain insect pests. Widespread implementation of Bt crops has decreased insecticide use and increased crop yields, but it must be used as part of an integrated pest management (IPM) approach to protect the crop from pest species that are not susceptible to the Bt toxin and to manage pest resistance. Crop rotation, scouting and resistance management strategies, such as planting and creating refuges of non-Bt crops, are essential when farming Bt crops. Insects have developed resistance to Bt proteins. To mitigate the development of further resistance, growers are required to plant refuges of non-transgenic crops. These refuges produce numbers of susceptible insects that will help sustain populations of non-resistant insects. The size of Refuge requirement depends on the environment, pest and strain of the crop. Size of refuge is determined by resistance risk. Most $B t$ corn requires that $20 \%$ of the total $B t$ crop planted be non-Bt. Cotton can require $50 \%$ of the crop be planted to non-Bt. A recent study published in the Journal of Integrated Pest Management revealed, compliance has been a challenge. Nearly $40 \%$ of growers surveyed did not plant the required refuge (Reisig 2017). They credit non-compliance, in part, to lack of understanding by small-scale farmers about the need for refuges.

Before Situation:
Minimal or no refuges were planted as required for Bt crops.
After Situation:
Optimum sized and located refuges are planted for Bt crops.
Feature Measure: Ac
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$639.06
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15.98
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

Practice: E595E - Eliminate use of chemical treatments to control pests and to increase the presence of dung beetles
Scenario: \#1 - Eliminate use of chemical treatments to control pests and to increase the presence of dung beetles

## Scenario Description:

Pests and parasites can have a significant impact on the economic viability of livestock operations, by affecting the performance and health of animals. The use of broadspectrum insecticides, pour-ons and avermectins have been shown to have a detrimental effect on dung beetle populations. Having a healthy population of dung beetles facilitates the recycling of nutrients and promotes soil and grassland health. By eliminating the application of broad-spectrum insecticides, pour-ons, and avermectins, including injectable avermectins, for pest control in and on livestock along with rotational grazing and higher stock densities has shown to increase the dung beetle population. Use of natural or alternative methods of pest control over multiple years is encouraged.

Before Situation:
Pests and parasites can have a significant impact on the economic viability of livestock operations, by affecting the performance and health of animals. The use of broadspectrum insecticides, pour-ons and avermectins have been shown to have a detrimental

After Situation:
Having a healthy population of dung beetles facilitates the recycling of nutrients and promotes soil and grassland health. By eliminating the application of broad-spectrum insecticides, pour-ons, and avermectins, including injectable avermectins, for pest

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 500.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,187.33
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6.37
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 26 | \$447.98 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 10 | \$401.40 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 10 | \$459.70 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 12 | \$1,425.96 |



| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E595G - Reduced resistance risk by utilizing PAMS techniques |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#3-Reduced resistance risk by utilizing PAMS techniques |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design and implementation of an integrated pest management plan that will Utilize integrated pest management (IPM) prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) techniques to reduce pesticide resistance and address plant pest pressure. Increased resistance leads to increased use of more toxic pesticides and increased risk of delivery of chemicals to water or ozone precursor emissions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 595 ??? Pest Management Conservation Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level described in Conservation practice Standard (CPS) 595 Pest Management Conservation System. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,606.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 6.06 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Acquisition of Technical Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Training, Workshops | 294 | Educational seminar or series of meetings emphasizing interaction and exchange of information among a usually small number of participants. | Each | \$116.39 | 1 | \$116.39 |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 4 | \$183.88 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |

Practice: E612B - Planting for high carbon sequestration rate
Scenario: \#4 - Planting for high carbon storage rate

## Scenario Description:

Plant tree species and use stocking levels for higher growth to increase the rate of carbon sequestration (capture). Use species with a longer life span as well as relatively fast growth, and species suitable for durable manufactured products. Increase stocking levels in forests that are not fully stocked. Implement afforestation on appropriate open lands.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 13,143.79$
Scenario Cost/Unit: $\$ 2,628.76$
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 9 | \$216.99 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 5 | \$388.85 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 54 | \$675.54 |


| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 54 | \$1,546.56 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 9 | \$413.73 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 5 | \$63.30 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 5 | \$56.70 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 5 | \$8.05 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Small | 1509 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 6 to 18 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 8 cubic inches or smaller. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$0.76 | 1075 | \$817.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. x 60 in. | 1567 | 4 inch x 60 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.67 | 1075 | \$7,170.25 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 3225 | \$225.75 |
| Stakes, wood, 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. | 1577 | 1 in. x 1 in. x 36 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$1.01 | 1075 | \$1,085.75 |

Practice: E612C - Establishing tree/shrub species to restore native plant communities
Scenario: \#1-Establishing tree/shrub species to restore native plant communities

## Scenario Description:

Establish trees and/or shrubs to restore elements of plant diversity that have been lost through past diseases or improper management. For example, disease-resistant varieties of elm and chestnut can be established to restore the ecological functions of American elm and American chestnut. At the stand level, past forest management may have eliminated certain native tree species. Restoring stand-level diversity and function addresses a wide array of resource concerns and strengthens ongoing management activities. This enhancement improves a forest that is already in good condition by increasing plant diversity, and improving health and vigor through adding plants with resistance to disease, pests, or other local hazards. Additional benefits include contributing to carbon storage, and providing diversity in wildlife habitat and food sources.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,452.34$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,090.47
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 12 | \$150.12 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 12 | \$343.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |

## Materials

| Shrub, Potted, Small | 1524 | Potted shrub seedling, 1 quart to 1 gallon. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$7.79 | 50 | \$389.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 100 | \$1,577.00 |
| Tree, Conifer, Potted, Medium | 1537 | Potted conifer seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$14.68 | 100 | \$1,468.00 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 60$ in. | 1567 | 4 inch x 60 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.67 | 150 | \$1,000.50 |

Practice: E612D - Adding food-producing trees and shrubs to existing plantings
Scenario: \#1 - Adding food-producing trees and shrubs to existing plantings

## Scenario Description:

Plant food-producing trees and shrubs for wildlife within windbreaks, alley cropping, multi-story cropping, silvopasture systems, and/or riparian forest buffers.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

Feature Measure: Acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,354.54

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$235.45

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 10 | \$172.30 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 10 | \$125.10 |

Foregone Income

| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | $\$ 375.15$ | 0.36 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | \$135.05 | Acres | $\$ 222.67$ |

## Labor

General Labor
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and
Hours $\quad \$ 28.64 \quad 10$ other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.
Materials

| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 341 | \$473.99 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 340 | \$550.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: E612E - Cultural plantings
Scenario: \#1-Cultural plantings
Scenario Description:
Plant trees and shrubs that are of cultural significance, such as those species utilized by Tribes in traditional practices, medicinal plants, species used in basket-making, etc. (e.g., paper birch, slippery elm, witch hazel).

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,260.67
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,260.67
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 10 | \$125.10 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 | \$190.56 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 | \$135.05 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.23 | \$51.21 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 681 | \$1,103.22 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E612F - Sugarbush management
Scenario: \#1-Sugarbush management

## Scenario Description:

Establish or maintain species diversity in a sugarbush to enhance pollinator and wildlife needs. Maintain at least 20\% of basal area in species other than sugar maple (Acer saccharum) to provide species diversity. Half of the trees that are not sugar maples (10\%) will be mast producing species (hard or soft mass). Use maple tree tapping guidelines that minimize tree damage.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$957.32

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$957.32

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 1 | \$77.77 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 2 | \$25.02 |

## Labor

General Labor
231 Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and
Hours $\quad \$ 28.64 \quad 10$ other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc.

## Materials

| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 0.5 | \$6.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 20 | \$315.40 |
| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 60$ in. | 1567 | 4 inch x 60 inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.67 | 20 | \$133.40 |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 60 | \$4.20 |
| Stakes, wood, $3 / 4$ in. x 3/4 in. x 60 in. | 1583 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 60 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.43 | 20 | \$48.60 |

Practice: E612G - Tree/shrub planting for wildlife food
Scenario: \#1-Tree/shrub planting for wildlife food

## Scenario Description:

Tree or shrub planting to enhance habitat for native wildlife. A minimum of five tree or shrub species will be used; they will be species that provide food and/or cover for identified wildlife species.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 612 - Tree/Shrub Establishment

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,103.81
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,103.81
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, ground application | 948 | Chemical application performed by ground equipment. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$6.40 | 1 | \$6.40 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 11 | \$137.61 |
| Foregone Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FI, Corn Dryland | 1959 | Dryland Corn is Primary Crop | Acres | \$464.77 | 0.41 | \$190.56 |
| FI, Soybeans Dryland | 1961 | Dryland Soybeans is Primary Crop | Acres | \$375.15 | 0.36 | \$135.05 |
| FI, Wheat Dryland | 1963 | Dryland Wheat is Primary Crop | Acres | \$222.67 | 0.23 | \$51.21 |

Labor

| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 11 | \$315.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Glyphosate | 334 | A broad-spectrum, non-selective systemic herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.66 | 1 | \$12.66 |
| Herbicide, Sulfometuron \& metsulfuron | 344 | A residual sulfonylurea herbicide that kills broadleaf weeds and some annual grasses. It is a systemic compound with foliar and soil activity. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$11.34 | 1 | \$11.34 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Shrub, Seedling, Medium | 1507 | Bare root shrub seedling, 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.39 | 605 | \$840.95 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Seedling, Medium | 1510 | Bare root hardwood seedlings 18 to 36 inches tall; includes tropical containerized seedlings of 10 to 20 cubic inches. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$1.62 | 218 | \$353.16 |

Practice: E643A - Restoration of sensitive coastal vegetative communities
Scenario: \#1 - Restoration of sensitive coastal vegetative communities

## Scenario Description:

Enhance the level of restoration in unique and diminishing coastal ecosystems by establishing native herbaceous and woody plants. Protect established vegetation, and manage to maintain floristic quality and the provision of environmental services. This enhancement is applied on unique areas with rare and declining habitat conditions, where vegetation has been detrimentally altered by human or natural events. Targeted sites are those that formerly supported vegetative communities that are now declining and/or becoming rare. The sites will vary across the continent. The enhancement will expand and elevate the process of restoring these unique areas, increasing their ecological value and benefits to wildlife. It re-establishes a select group of trees and/or shrubs that are key components in this ecosystem.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 643-Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 643 - Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats

Feature Measure: Each
Scenario Unit: Each
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 3,858.13$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$154.33
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 8 | \$432.56 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 8 | \$100.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 2 | \$91.94 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 7 | \$831.81 |

## Materials

| Post, Steel T, $1.33 \mathrm{lbs}, 6 \mathrm{ft}$. | 15 | Steel Post, Studded 6 ft - 1.33 lb . Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$6.97 | 50 | \$348.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 5 | \$61.95 |
| Cattle Panel | 1409 | Welded wire cattle panel typically $1 / 4$ inch galvanized steel rods, 50 in . high $x 16 \mathrm{ft}$. long. Materials only. | Each | \$32.94 | 25 | \$823.50 |
| Tree, Hardwood, Potted, Medium | 1532 | Potted hardwood seedling, 2 gallons or larger. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$15.77 | 25 | \$394.25 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E643B - Restoration and management of rare or declining habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Restoration and management of rare or declining habitat

## Scenario Description:

Provide protection from adverse environmental conditions to create refugia for documented occurrences of sensitive plant communities.
Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 643 - Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 643 - Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats

Feature Measure: Feet of Fence
Scenario Unit: Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 440.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$4,439.72
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.09
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auger, Post driver attachment | 934 | Auger or post driver attachment to a tractor or skidsteer. Does not include power unit. Labor not included. | Hours | \$9.40 | 8 | \$75.20 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 8 | \$192.88 |
| Tractor, agricultural, 60 HP | 963 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 50 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$34.54 | 8 | \$276.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 2 | \$237.66 |

## Materials

| Wire, Woven, Galvanized, 12.5 Gauge, 48 inch | 4 | Galvanized 12.5 gauge, 48 in. $-330^{\prime}$ roll. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$321.50 | 3 | \$964.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post, Wood, CCA treated, 6 in. x 12-14 ft. | 13 | Wood Post, Line/End 6 inch dia. X 12-14 ft., CCA Treated. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$37.41 | 38 | \$1,421.58 |
| Fence, Wire Assembly, Woven Wire | 35 | Brace pins, twist sticks, staples. Includes materials and shipping only. | Feet | \$0.15 | 1648 | \$247.20 |
| Gate, Game, 8 ft . High X 4 ft . Wide | 1082 | 4 Foot wide game gate (8 feet tall). Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$265.26 | 1 | \$265.26 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E643C - Restore glade habitat to benefit threatened and endangered species and state species of concern
Scenario: \#3-Restore glade habitat to benefit threatened and endangered species and state species of concern

## Scenario Description:

Restore Glade natural communities as shown by the Ecological Site Description to conserve biodiversity. Enhancement requires reducing woody canopy cover and applying at least one prescribed fire to treated acres. Restoration of glade communities provide habitat for rare and declining species. Sites that previously or currently support the rare and declining habitat will be targeted for restoration.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Habitat.
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 643 - Restoration of Rare or Declining Habitat.

Feature Measure: Acres

## Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 5.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$7,116.44

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,423.29

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skidsteer, 80 HP | 933 | Skidsteer loader with horsepower range of 60 to 90 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$54.07 | 2 | \$108.14 |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 80 | \$479.20 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2.5 | \$60.28 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 1.5 | \$116.66 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 22 | \$379.06 |
| Water tank, portable | 1602 | Portable water tank transported in a pick up truck. Typically with 200 gallon capacity includes tank with pump, hose and sprayer. Does not include the pickup truck. Equipment only. | Hours | \$12.21 | 2 | \$24.42 |

## Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, <br> electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, <br> monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 116 | \$4,656.24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Materials

| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 0.5 | \$17.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuel, ignition fuel mixture | 1596 | Mixture of gasoline and diesel for ignition of prescribed burns. Materials only. | Gallons | \$3.71 | 5 | \$18.55 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 2 | \$346.14 |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 1 | \$726.80 |

Practice: E643D - Low-tech process-based restoration to enhance floodplain connectivity
Scenario: \#8-Low-tech process-based restoration to enhance floodplain connectivity

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement is intended to kick-start natural ecological, geomorphic and hydrologic processes required for improvement and maintenance of healthy and functioning streams and associated floodplains in situations where planning criteria has already been met but restoration or enhancement is desired to improve floodplain connectivity, riparian condition and move towards Stage 0 stream conditions. Beaver Dam Analogues (BDAs) and/or Post-Assisted Log Structures (PALS) are low-tech structures used to facilitate process-based restoration of rare and declining 'Stage 0' stream conditions by mimicking, promoting, and sustaining the natural processes of beaver dam activity and wood accumulation that lead to more fully connected floodplains. BDAs and PALS are hand-built with a mixture of woody debris and on-site soils and vegetation.

Before Situation:
State approved evaluation tool identifies that stream reaches meet planning criteria.
After Situation:
Wetted area associated with stream reach has expanded due to increase in floodplain connectivity, improvement of riparian condition and movement towards State 0 stream conditions

Feature Measure: Linear feet
Scenario Unit: Linear Feet
Scenario Typical Size: 400.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$17,727.52

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$44.32
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 24 | \$143.76 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 12 | \$289.32 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 18 | \$310.14 |
| Hand tools, tree planting | 1590 | Various hand tools for digging holes and planting trees such as augers, dibble bars, planting shovel, hoe-dad. Equipment only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$12.51 | 48 | \$600.48 |
| Portable Post Driver | 2722 | Gas or Hydraulic Powered Post Driver, Portable, <300 lbs, labor not included | Hours | \$17.49 | 48 | \$839.52 |

Labor

| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 60 | \$2,408.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 120 | \$3,436.80 |
| Supervisor or Manager | 234 | Labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hours | \$45.97 | 60 | \$2,758.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 30 | \$3,564.90 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post, Wood, Untreated, 3-4 in. x 7 | 2721 | Round Post, Wood, Untreated, 3-4 inch diameter $\times 7$ feet | Each | \$8.44 | 400 | \$3,376.00 |



Practice: E645A - Reduction of attractants to human-subsidized predators in sensitive wildlife species habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Reduction of attractants to human-subsidized predators in sensitive wildlife species habitat

## Scenario Description:

Reduction of artificial perching sites, nest sites, food, and water available to subsidized predators in areas where human-subsidized predators are a threat to sensitive wildlife species. Human-subsidized predators may include ravens, crows, magpies, coyotes, foxes, skunks, raccoons, and other species. Activities under this enhancement may include removal of non- native or invasive trees; removal of unused power poles, corrals, windmills, buildings, and other vertical structures; and/or removal or management of watering facilities, dead livestock, road kill, garbage, animal feed, dumps, and other non-natural food sources.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Number
Scenario Typical Size: 40.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$2,302.80
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$57.57
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 24 | \$578.64 |
| Trailer, enclosed, small | 1503 | Small enclosed trailer (typically less than 30' in length) pulled by a pickup to transport materials and equipment. Truck not included. | Hours | \$14.56 | 24 | \$349.44 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 48 | \$1,374.72 |

Practice: E645B - Manage existing shrub thickets to provide adequate shelter for wildlife
Scenario: \#3 - Manage existing shrub thickets to provide adequate shelter for wildlife

## Scenario Description:

Existing shrub thickets provide an instant and important cover for wildlife. Various wildlife species may use shrubs as winter/thermal cover, summer shade, roosting, or as escape cover from predators. Proper management ensures that these shrubs will continue to provide the desired benefits for the local wildlife. A combination of herbicide treatments, cutting and trimming branches, and removal of other competing vegetation will occur. An eligible existing shrub thicket needs to have a canopy cover of 750 square feet, with an end goal of expanding to 1500 square feet. Any existing shrub thicket (not hand planted within the last 5 years) are eligible for this enhancement. Shrub thickets found within fence rows may now be very wide, but still meet the 750 square feet, are eligible.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$429.01
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$429.01

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 2 | \$11.98 |
| Pruning tools, hand tools | 1318 | Pruning tools, hand tools, shears, loppers, pole saw, handsaw. Material costs only. Labor not included. | Hours | \$2.31 | 2 | \$4.62 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 2 | \$80.28 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 1 | \$42.89 |
| Herbicide, Surfactant | 1095 | Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$1.61 | 1 | \$1.61 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: E645C - Edge feathering for wild life cover
Scenario: \#3 - Edge feathering for wildlife cover

## Scenario Description:

Selected trees are cut and brush clipped along the border between a wooded area and a grassland, cropland, or idle land, creating a dense woody cover of interlocking branches at ground level. The feathered edge will be an average of 30 feet wide and a minimum of 50 feet long, resulting in an area of 1500 square feet. The width of the strip will vary to follow topographic features and to create a wavy border; the design will also consider aesthetics. Vegetative composition and cover will vary within the edge, ranging from areas with no trees and shrubs to areas with scattered trees and extensive shrub cover. The variation in vegetation structure along with variable width of the edge will create feathering. The edge may include shrub plantings for wildlife food and aesthetics.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard 645 - Upland Wildlife Habitat Management

Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 1.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 1,018.21$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 1,018.21$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description |  | Cos |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 2 | \$155.54 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 8 | \$321.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 2 | \$57.28 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Triclopyor | 338 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping | Acres | \$34.16 | 1 | \$34.16 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, very small equipment | 1137 | Equipment that is small enough to be transported by a pick-up truck with typical weights less than 3,500 pounds. Can be multiple pieces of equipment if all hauled simultaneously. | Each | \$173.07 | 1 | \$173.07 |

Practice: E645D - Wildlife Habitat Management Plan for Upland Landscapes
Scenario: \#2 - Wildlife Habitat Management Plan for Upland Landscapes

## Scenario Description:

Develop and implement a wildlife habitat management plan that removes or significantly reduces the impact of existing land management activities occurring within agricultural landscapes (on any land use) causing chronic disturbance to wildlife during breeding, rearing, migration and over- wintering periods. Site monitoring may be necessary to identify and document sources of disturbance to wildlife. Examples of adjustments to existing management activities that can reduce disturbance to a tolerable level include: use of integrated pest management; capping of open vertical pipes; provision of wildlife-friendly water access and egress; and reduction of noise or movement within key migratory, nesting, rearing, loafing or hiding locations.

Before Situation:
The inadequate terrestrial wildlife habitat concern has been addressed under Conservation Practice Standard 645 and minimum planning criteria for the terrestrial wildlife habitat resource concern has been met. However, disturbance related impacts tied to the agricultural operation are negatively impacting wildlife.

After Situation:
Land management activities occurring within the agricultural landscape (on any land use) have been adjusted to avoid causing chronic disturbance to wildlife during breeding, rearing, migration and over- wintering periods has been addressed. Planning criteria for the terrestrial wildlife habitat resource concern exceeds minimum planning criteria.

Feature Measure: Acres of Improved Habitat

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 40.00

## Scenario Total Cost: \$408.23

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10.21
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 3 | \$72.33 |
| Rangeland/grassland field monitoring kit | 967 | Miscellaneous tools needed to complete rangeland/grassland monitoring. Materials may include camera, clippers, plot frame, scale, tape measure, etc. Includes materials and shipping only. | Each | \$49.50 | 1 | \$49.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 10 | \$286.40 |

Practice: E646A - Close structures to capture and retain rainfall for waterfowl and wading bird winter habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Close structures to capture and retain rainfall for waterfowl and wading bird winter habitat

## Scenario Description:

When flooded to shallow depths during fall and winter, agricultural fields provide ideal foraging habitat for myriad species of waterfowl and wading birds . In addition, flooded conditions promote establishment of aquatic invertebrate populations, thus providing protein-rich food sources for shorebirds as well as waterfowl and wading birds.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

Feature Measure: acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 1,516.30$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$30.33

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 9 | \$216.99 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2.5 | \$75.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 22 | \$630.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |

Practice: E646B - Extend retention of captured rainfall for migratory waterfowl and wading bird late winter habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Extend retention of captured rainfall for migratory waterfowl and wading bird late winter habitat

## Scenario Description:

When flooded to shallow depths during fall and winter, agricultural fields provide ideal foraging habitat for myriad species of waterfowl and wading birds. Harvested and idled agricultural lands, notably those occurring within rice rotations, contain high densities of residual (i.e., waste) grain and natural seeds following harvest. In addition, flooded conditions promote establishment of aquatic invertebrate populations, thus providing protein-rich food sources for shorebirds as well as waterfowl and wading birds. Benefits may become greatest during late winter and early spring as birds are assimilating nutrient and fat reserves in preparation for northward migration. However, agricultural fields flooded during fall-winter are typically drained during late January or February in advance of spring planting. This often results in a rapid reduction in available habitat, and may constrain ability of migratory birds to adequately prepare for migration, with greatest impacts likely occurring during years of low winter precipitation. Retention of water on agricultural lands into early spring will produce maximum benefits to migratory waterfowl and shorebirds by providing high quality habitat during a time when habitat may otherwise be in low abundance.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$1,797.91

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$35.96

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 11 | \$265.21 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 2.5 | \$75.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 26 | \$744.64 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |

Practice: E646C - Manipulate vegetation and maintain closed structures for shorebirds mid-summer habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Manipulate vegetation and maintain closed structures for shorebirds mid-summer habitat

## Scenario Description:

Suitable shorebird habitat is limited during the summer and fall as birds migrate south post-breeding and providing shallow water and mud flat habitat will benefit a variety of shorebird species. Optimal conditions are created when water levels are slowly reduced through evaporation, which allows for propagation of invertebrates (typically insect larvae) used as food by shorebirds. Manipulation of vegetation, preferably through rolling, creates open conditions required by this suite of birds as a means to detect and avoid predators, and provides nutrient inputs for invertebrate production.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,220.66
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$64.41
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 9 | \$216.99 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 4.5 | \$135.14 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 50 | \$1,066.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 22 | \$630.08 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 5 | \$594.15 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: E646D - Manipulate vegetation and maintain closed structures for shorebird late summer habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Manipulate vegetation and maintain closed structures for shorebird late summer habitat

## Scenario Description:

Suitable shorebird habitat is limited during the summer and fall as birds migrate south post-breeding. Providing shallow water and mud flat habitat will benefit a variety of shorebird species. Optimal conditions are created when water levels are slowly reduced through evaporation, which allows for propagation of invertebrates (typically insect larvae) used as food by shorebirds. Manipulation of vegetation, preferably through rolling, creates open conditions required by this suite of birds as a means to detect and avoid predators, and provides nutrient inputs for invertebrate production.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 646 - Shallow Water Development and Management

Feature Measure: acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$3,532.30
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$70.65
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 11 | \$265.21 |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 5.5 | \$165.17 |
| Tillage, Primary | 946 | Includes heavy disking (offset) or chisel plow. Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Acres | \$21.33 | 50 | \$1,066.50 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 26 | \$744.64 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 6 | \$712.98 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: E647A - Manipulate vegetation on fields with captured rainfall for waterfowl \& wading bird winter habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Manipulate vegetation on fields with captured rainfall for waterfowl \& wading bird winter habitat

## Scenario Description:

Harvested and idled agricultural lands, notably those occurring within rice rotations, contain high densities of residual (i.e., waste) grain and natural seeds following harvest. Seed densities in harvested rice fields may rival those documented in intensively managed moist-soil units, especially in the Gulf Coast and Central Valley of California. When flooded to shallow depths during fall and winter, these agricultural fields provide ideal foraging habitat for myriad species of waterfowl and wading birds. In addition, flooded conditions promote establishment of aquatic invertebrate populations, thus providing protein-rich food sources for shorebirds as well as waterfowl and wading birds. In many cases, light manipulation of dense vegetation is needed to improve the accessibility of food resources to waterfowl, wading birds, and shorebirds.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 2,280.40$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$45.61
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140 . Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 8 | \$571.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |

Practice: E647B - Provide early successional shorebird habitat between first crop and ratoon crop
Scenario: \#1 - Provide early successional shorebird habitat between first crop and ratoon crop

## Scenario Description:

Many declining suites of wildlife species rely on early successional habitats for at least part of their life cycle needs. Migratory shorebird species in particular rely on open, moist soil or shallowly flooded conditions for foraging and security. Rice farms support many migratory and resident water bird species. The first rice crop harvest often coincides with the arrival of early migrating shorebirds. This time of year is also the highest rainfall months. If standing rice stubble from the first crop is rolled to push above-ground stalks level with the soil surface, the first component of this type of habitat is met. When moisture is added to this situation, short-term habitat is available until the ratoon crop initiates growth to a height beyond that which would provide benefit to the early successional species.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management

Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,280.40$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 45.61$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor, agricultural, 120 HP | 962 | Agricultural tractor with horsepower range of 90 to 140. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$71.41 | 8 | \$571.28 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, medium equipment | 1139 | Equipment with 70-150 HP or typical weights between 14,000 and 30,000 pounds. | Each | \$726.80 | 2 | \$1,453.60 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E647C - Maintain most soil vegetation on cropland edges to enhance waterfowl and shorebird habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Maintain most soil vegetation on cropland edges to enhance waterfowl and shorebird habitat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The wetter or more water saturated portions of cropland fields such as areas adjacent to field drains, have the potential to produce a significant amount of moist soil plants which are a tremendously valuable source of forage and cover for many waterfowl, shorebird and wading bird species, especially during a period of time when such plants may be limited. Under normal cropland production, the native vegetation is restricted on these sites through mechanical and/or chemical control. These maintained moist soil plants also will provide filtering and improve water quality. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 50.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$763.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 5.27 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: E647D - Establish and maintain early successional habitat in ditches and bank borders
Scenario: \#1-Establish and maintain early successional habitat in ditches and bank borders

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement is to encourage the establishment of early successional, naturally occurring vegetation in ditches, side slope and bank borders to provide cover, critical nesting and brood rearing habitat as well as filtering overland flow and improving water quality. Ditches perform the critical function of removing water from agricultural lands. Allowing naturally occurring vegetation to develop along ditches, including side slopes, banks and borders, will help provide food and cover for wildlife while enhancing aquatic habitat and improving water quality. Ditches and ditch borders provide a foundation that supports a diverse wildlife community including Northern Bobwhite (Colinus virginianus) and other birds preferring early successional cover. Rabbits, furbearers, amphibians and many other species that inhabit agriculture areas will use this vegetative cover. These areas can also provide critical nesting habitat for the Mottled Duck (Anas fulvigula).

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 647 - Early Successional Habitat Development and Management

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 50.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$763.71
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$15.27
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mower, Bush Hog | 940 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$30.03 | 3 | \$90.09 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 3 | \$95.82 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 2 | \$577.80 |

Practice: E666A - Maintaining and improving forest soil quality
Scenario: \#1-Maintaining and improving forest soil quality

## Scenario Description:

Adopts guidelines for maintaining and improving soil quality on sites where forest management activities are practiced. These guidelines will increase soil organic matter content, improve nutrient cycling, and increase infiltration and retention of precipitation. Avoiding soil compaction will allow for greater root development and tree growth, limit windthrow, and reduce drought stress. Increasing carbon storage on site will maintain the soil microbial community and provide wildlife benefits.

## Before Situation:

Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre

## Scenario Unit: Acres

## Scenario Typical Size: 50.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 2,345.84$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 46.92$ |

## Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 4 | \$68.92 |


| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 13 | \$1,544.79 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test, Soil Test, Standard | 299 | Includes materials, shiping, labor, and equipment costs. | Each | \$14.07 | 10 | \$140.70 |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 5 | \$61.95 |
| Certified Organic, Annual Grasses, Legumes and/or Forbs | 2343 | Annual grasses, mostly introduced but may be native. Used for temporary cover or cover crops. Certified organic. Includes material and shipping only. | Acres | \$76.06 | 3 | \$228.18 |

Practice: E666D - Forest management to enhance understory vegetation
Scenario: \#1 - Forest management to enhance understory vegetation

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement provides for management of the understory vegetation in a forested area by mechanical, chemical, and/or manual methods to improve the plant species mix and the health of the residual vegetation. Managing the understory vegetation increases available water to the plants, minimizes runoff and erosion, and improves water quality. An adequately stocked forest provides inputs of leaves, needles, and woody twigs and stems to the forest floor, adding to soil organic matter and contributing to forest soil health. Desirable tree species and understory vegetation, with spacing that allows ground cover to develop, will allow moisture to infiltrate and be stored in the soil, releasing moisture over longer periods of time.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\quad \$ 5,986.79$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$299.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 16 | \$1,777.60 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 16 | \$1,244.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 11 | \$1,307.13 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E666E - Reduce height of the forest understory to limit wildfire risk
Scenario: \#1 - Reduce height of the forest understory to limit wildfire risk

## Scenario Description:

Forest stand improvement that manages forest structure to reduce the risk of wildfire, and creates conditions that facilitate prescribed burning. The fire risk reduction is accomplished by reducing the height of the woody understory and midstory, creating space between the ground cover and the tree canopy. This enhancement provides for management of the understory vegetation in a forested area, using mechanical, chemical or manual methods to improve the plant species mix and the health of the residual vegetation, and reduce the risk of wildfire. In appropriate stands, the treatment creates conditions that favor prescribed burning. Forest stand improvement (FSI) activities are used to remove trees of undesirable species, form, quality, condition, or growth rate. The quantity and quality of forest for wildlife and/or timber production will be increased by manipulating stand density and structure. These treatments can also reduce wildfire hazards, improve forest health, restore natural plant communities, and achieve or maintain a desired native understory plant community for soil health, wildlife, grazing, and/or browsing.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres

Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 5,986.79$
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$299.34

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 16 | \$1,777.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 16 | \$1,244.32 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 16 | \$511.04 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 11 | \$1,307.13 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E666F - Reduce forest stand density to create open stand structure
Scenario: \#1 - Reduce forest stand density to create open stand structure

## Scenario Description:

Reducing forest stand density creates open forest conditions with a low basal area which promotes the health and vigor of the residual trees. The open stand structure allows a significant amount of sunlight to reach the forest floor and stimulates the growth of understory vegetation. Understory vegetation management, along with the wide spacing between trees or clumps of trees, provides visual appeal, lowers the risk of wildfire, and provides habitat for many at-risk and listed wildlife species. The enhancement creates conditions that facilitate a follow-up treatment with prescribed burning.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 20.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,870.03

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$343.50
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 20 | \$2,222.00 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 20 | \$1,555.40 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 20 | \$638.80 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 11 | \$1,307.13 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Imazapyr | 336 | Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$42.89 | 20 | \$857.80 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment <70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

Practice: E666G - Reduce forest density and manage understory along roads to limit wildfire risk and improve habitat
Scenario: \#1 - Reduce forest density and manage understory along roads to limit wildfire risk and improve habitat

## Scenario Description:

Opening the tree canopy along roads ('daylighting'), and providing space between ground vegetation and tree crowns minimizes the spread of wildfires that often start along roads, and improves wildlife habitat and food sources for many species. Some trees near a forest road are removed through harvesting, cutting, mulching, or another option available at the site, with the objective of creating a partially open forest canopy bordering the road. A semi-open canopy allows more sunlight to reach the forest floor to promote herbaceous understory plants, and reduces maintenance needs by allowing moisture to evaporate from roads. The reduced canopy and herbaceous understory limit woodland fuel buildup and reduce fire intensity.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00

| Scenario Total Cost: | $\$ 3,423.06$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: | $\$ 342.31$ |

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Equipment Installation

| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 8 | \$47.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mechanical cutter, chopper | 943 | Forestry mulcher, flail shredder, hydro axe, brush cutter, etc. Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$111.10 | 8 | \$888.80 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 8 | \$622.16 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 8 | \$229.12 |
| Equipment Operators, Light | 232 | Includes: Skid Steer Loaders, Hydraulic Excavators <50 HP, Trenchers <12 in., Ag Equipment <150 HP, Pickup Trucks, Forklifts, Mulchers | Hours | \$31.94 | 8 | \$255.52 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |

## Materials

Pre and post-emergent, non-selective herbicide for control of
undesirable vegetation in non-crop areas. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.

| United States Department of Agriculture |  |  |  | Ohio <br> Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural Resou | nser | tion |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E666H - Increase on-site carbon storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1 - Increase on-site carbon storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use forest management techniques to maintain and increase on-site carbon storage. These include, but are not limited to, applying uneven-aged management, using longer rotations, retaining cavity/den trees, snags, and down woody debris, and protecting or increasing soil organic material. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 40.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$1,544.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 8.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID |  | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labo <br> Biolo <br> plann <br> TSP s | skill set: Inclu ditional techni of the practic | Hours | \$118.83 | 13 | \$1,544.79 |


| USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E666I-Crop tree management for mast production |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Crop tree management for mast production |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest stand improvement using crop tree management techniques to increase mast production |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$4,222.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 25 | \$149.75 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 25 | \$1,944.25 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 25 | \$716.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 10 | \$123.90 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 10 | \$192.80 |

Practice: E666J - Facilitating oak forest regeneration
Scenario: \#1 - Facilitating oak forest regeneration

## Scenario Description:

Facilitate oak regeneration following a forest stand improvement treatment for natural oak regeneration (i.e., a regeneration cut). After a regeneration cut, oaks in the seedling and sapling stages are often out-competed by invasive brush and undesirable tree and shrub species. This enhancement will release seedling and sapling oaks from competing invasive plants and other undesirable species, and thin stump sprouts. A forester will monitor site conditions, treat competition, protect seedlings, and recommend additional follow-up treatments as needed. The enhancement protects investments in oak regeneration by providing for follow-up activities that require the expertise of a professional forester.

## Before Situation:

Naturally regenerated oak seedlings and/or saplings are threatened by competition from undesirable vegetation.
After Situation:
Oaks in the forest stand are free from competition and have adequate space and light to allow them to grow into the forest canopy.
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$16,725.10
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$669.00
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 6 | \$35.94 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 10 | \$777.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 56 | \$1,603.84 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 34 | \$4,040.22 |

## Materials

Tree Marking Paint

Herbicide, Triclopyor

Herbicide, Surfactant
Acres the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only.

338 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping
1095 Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.

| Tree shelter, solid tube type, 4 in. $x 48$ in. | 1566 | 4 inch $x 48$ inch tree tube for protection from animal damage. Materials and shipping only. | Each | \$5.29 | 1250 | \$6,612.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cable ties, plastic | 1575 | Plastic cable ties (typ. 8-12 in.) to assist in securing items. Materials only. | Each | \$0.07 | 2500 | \$175.00 |
| Stakes, wood, 3/4 in. x 3/4 in. x 48 in. | 1582 | $3 / 4$ in. x $3 / 4$ in. x 48 in. wood stakes to fasten items in place. Includes materials only. | Each | \$2.09 | 1250 | \$2,612.50 |

Practice: E666K - Creating structural diversity with patch openings
Scenario: \#1 - Creating structural diversity with patch openings

## Scenario Description:

Forest stand improvement that creates patch openings. Size, shape, and arrangement of patches will be based on natural features, and emulate patches that would result from natural disturbance regimes of wind or fire, varying geographically and by forest type, and by tree species desired from natural regeneration. The treatment will create diversity in stand composition and structure, increase pest resistance, and enhance wildlife food availability. Openings may provide regeneration sites and restore natural plant communities, and achieve or maintain a desired understory plant community for wildlife habitat.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

## After Situation:

The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 15.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$9,121.05
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$608.07
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 150 | \$898.50 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 15 | \$361.65 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 150 | \$4,296.00 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 30 | \$3,564.90 |

Practice: E666L - Forest Stand Improvement to rehabilitate degraded hardwood stands
Scenario: \#1 - Forest Stand Improvement to rehabilitate degraded hardwood stands

## Scenario Description:

Hardwood forestland has been subject to poor logging practices ("high-grading") for decades. Without professional forestry assistance the best species and individual trees are removed, often before maturity ("diameter-limit cutting"), leaving the poorest species and individual trees to regenerate the stand. Reversing this process requires cutting or killing poor quality trees while retaining any desirable species that might still be present. A combination of 3 silvicultural methods are applied: crop tree release, group selection (all trees removed from an area 0.25 to 1.0 acre in size) and small clear-cuts (all trees removed from an area 1-3 acres in size).

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acres treated
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$6,020.52
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$602.05
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 16 | \$95.84 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 2 | \$48.22 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 40 | \$3,110.80 |
| All terrain vehicles, ATV | 965 | Includes equipment, power unit and labor costs. | Hours | \$17.23 | 16 | \$275.68 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 16 | \$458.24 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 8 | \$950.64 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 10 | \$123.90 |
| Herbicide, Triazine | 1321 | Broad spectrum herbicide. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$66.83 | 10 | \$668.30 |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobilization, small equipment | 1138 | Equipment < 70 HP but can't be transported by a pick-up truck or with typical weights between 3,500 to 14,000 pounds. | Each | \$288.90 | 1 | \$288.90 |

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

Practice: E6660 - Snags, den trees, and coarse woody debris for wildlife habitat
Scenario: \#1-Snags, den trees, and coarse woody debris for wildlife habitat

## Scenario Description:

Improve wildlife habitat through creation and retention of snags, den trees, forest stand structural diversity, and coarse woody debris on the forest floor, to provide cover/shelter for native wildlife species.

Before Situation:
Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement
After Situation:
The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement

Feature Measure: Acre
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 10.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$599.61

## Scenario Cost/Unit: \$59.96

Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 7 | \$41.93 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 1 | \$24.11 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 3 | \$233.31 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 7 | \$280.98 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 1 | \$19.28 |


| United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service |  |  | Practice Scenarios - Fiscal Year 2024 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practice: E666P - Summer roosting habitat for native forest-dwelling bat species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario: \#1-Summer roosting habitat for native forest-dwelling bat species |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Create new potential roost trees within upland and riparian forests to achieve desired summer habitat for forest-dwelling bat species. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resources are protected at the minimum level of the Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After Situation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The adoption of this enhancement will provide resource protection above the minimum level as described in Conservation Practice Standard (CPS) 666 - Forest Stand Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature Measure: Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Unit: Acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Typical Size: 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Total Cost: | \$2,397.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario Cost/Unit: |  | 39.79 |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Details: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 13 | \$77.87 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 6 | \$144.66 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 13 | \$1,011.01 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 13 | \$372.32 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 4 | \$475.32 |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tree Marking Paint | 313 | Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$12.39 | 10 | \$123.90 |
| Herbicide, Picloram | 337 | Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only. | Acres | \$19.28 | 10 | \$192.80 |

Practice: E666R - Forest songbird habitat preservation
Scenario: \#1 - Forest songbird habitat preservation

## Scenario Description:

Adopts guidelines and methods developed by the Forest Bird Initiative of the Vermont Audubon Society, to preserve habitat features following a forest stand improvement treatment designed to create habitat for a suite of forest-dwelling neotropical migratory songbirds. It includes developing or updating a forest management plan, inspecting and tending forest habitat, and monitoring bird populations. It protects investments in habitat creation by providing for follow-up activities that require the expertise of a professional forester or biologist. This enhancement is appropriate for states in the Atlantic Flyway and the Upper Midwest.

## Before Situation:

The bird habitat of a forest stand is threatened by undesirable vegetation, including noxious and invasive plants, and tree regeneration of species not favorable to birds. Harmful insects and tree diseases may also be present, and storms may have damaged

After Situation:
The forest stand has retained its habitat features and is utilized by a diversity of neotropical migratory songbirds.
Feature Measure: Acres
Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: \$5,534.66
Scenario Cost/Unit: \$221.39
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 4 | \$23.96 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 10 | \$241.10 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 4 | \$311.08 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 4 | \$160.56 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 4 | \$114.56 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 38 | \$4,515.54 |

## Materials

Tree Marking Paint

Herbicide, Triclopyor

Herbicide, Surfactant

313 Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only.

338 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping
1095 Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.

Practice: E666S - Facilitating longleaf pine establishment
Scenario: \#11-Facilitating longleaf pine regeneration and establishment

## Scenario Description:

This enhancement facilitates longleaf pine regeneration and establishment following a forest stand improvement treatment for natural regeneration (e.g., a regeneration cut), or where longleaf has been previously planted. After a regeneration cut or a planting, competition from invasive brush and undesirable tree and shrub species often suppresses successful establishment of longleaf pine. This enhancement will release seedling and sapling longleaf from competing invasive plants and other undesirable species. A forester inspects the stand periodically for resource concerns that clients do not have the skills to recognize and assess, conducts regeneration surveys, and makes recommendations for corrective actions (typically at one year following initial treatment, and then at intervals of 2-4 years). Undesirable plants competing with longleaf pine are mechanically cut and/or receive herbicide spot treatments and/or cut stem treatments, as needed. The herbicides listed in the component section of this scenario are for deriving a cost estimate only. Resource concerns include Plant Productivity and Health, and Plant Structure and Composition.
Before Situation:
Naturally regenerated or planted longleaf pine seedlings and/or saplings are threatened by competition from undesirable vegetation.

## After Situation:

Longleaf pines in the forest stand are free from competition and have adequate space and light to allow them to grow into the forest canopy.

## Feature Measure: Acres

Scenario Unit: Acres
Scenario Typical Size: 25.00
Scenario Total Cost: $\$ 6,342.80$

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$253.71
Cost Details:

| Component Name | ID | Description | Unit | Cost | QTY | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Installation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chainsaw | 937 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$5.99 | 6 | \$35.94 |
| Truck, Pickup | 939 | Equipment and power unit costs. Labor not included. | Hours | \$24.11 | 16 | \$385.76 |
| Chemical, spot treatment, single stem application | 964 | Ground applied chemical to individual plants or group of plants, e.g., backpack sprayer treatment. Equipment and labor cost included. | Hours | \$77.77 | 10 | \$777.70 |
| Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor | 230 | Labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hours | \$40.14 | 6 | \$240.84 |
| General Labor | 231 | Labor performed using basic tools such as power tool, shovels, and other tools that do not require extensive training. Ex. pipe layer, herder, concrete placement, materials spreader, flagger, etc. | Hours | \$28.64 | 30 | \$859.20 |
| Specialist Labor | 235 | Labor requiring a specialized skill set: Includes Agronomists, Foresters, Biologists, etc. to provide additional technical information during the planning and implementation of the practice. Does not include NRCS or TSP services. | Hours | \$118.83 | 32 | \$3,802.56 |

## Materials

Tree Marking Paint

Herbicide, Triclopyor

Herbicide, Surfactant

313 Trees to be cut through tree marking are physically identified through the application of paint on the tree. Typically one quart of paint is used to mark one acre of trees. Includes materials and shipping only.
338 Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Materials and shipping
1095 Surfactants reduce the surface tension of water to produce more uniform coverage and penetration of herbicides, and weed killers. Paraffin Based Petroleum Surfactant. Refer to WIN-PST for product names and active ingredients. Includes materials and shipping only.


[^0]:    30,000 pounds.

[^1]:    30,000 pounds.

[^2]:    30,000 pounds.

[^3]:    30,000 pounds.

[^4]:    30,000 pounds.

[^5]:    30,000 pounds.

[^6]:    loads requiring over width or over length permits.

