

Ranking Easement - FY24 RCPP 2264 Fort Huachuca

Pool: Sentinel Landscape Conservation

Pool Active Status: States: AZ (Admin) Program: RCPP18

Template: RCPP 2018 Entity Held Easement Activity ver 1.0 FY 21-22

Last 12/19/20

Template Active

Modified Meredith Truelove

Modified: 23

By:

Last

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land					N/A					
Farmstead				N/A	N/A					
Forest				N/A	N/A					
Range			N/A		N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Long term protection of land	30	95	100	
Terrestrial habitat	0	5	70	

Long term protection of land				
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Loss of functions and values	0	50	100	
Threat of conversion	0	50	100	

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	0	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type	

12/19/2023 Page 1 of 3

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements
FA for Innovative Easement Activities per Project Agreement	RFPE	RCPP

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	45
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	45
Resource Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Program Priorities	Default	25	45	65
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: FHSLC Easement Ranking (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability FHSLC			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Is the applicant within the project area?	In project area		
Is the applicant within the project area?	Otherwise		

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category FHSLC			
Question Answer Choices			
Is the application part of a bundle?	YES		
is the application part of a buridle?	NO		

12/19/2023 Page 2 of 3

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program FHSLC			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Will the planned practice(s) directly address one or more resource	YES	50	
concern(s) to meet the metrics identified in the PPA?	NO		
Does the application activities directly relate to the partner	YES	50	
contributions and leveraging of funds in the PPA?	NO		
PPA Exhibits identify ranking priority to HU producers and applications	YES	50	
that meets those requirements.	NO		
PPA Exhibits identify negotiated expectations for lead partner submission of bundled applications, and application has been	YES	50	
identified by lead partner as part of an application bundle.	NO		

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource FHSLC			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Will the proposed project include practices that address soil erosion	YES	40	
within drainages?	NO		
Will the proposed project include practices that reduce soil erosion on upland areas (outside of riparian areas), slow precipitation runoff,	YES	40	
improve precipitation infiltration and distribution, and improve soil moisture conditions?	NO		
Will the proposed project include practices that conserve/reduce	YES	30	
overall groundwater use at the ranch level (deed and lease land combine)?	NO		
Will the proposed project include practices that improved water	YES	25	
sources for wildlife populations (e.g. American Pronghorn) and livestock use?	NO		
Perennial water present of shallow groundwater supporting (mesic) riparian vegetation/habitat (promotes grant goal of conserving surface and groundwater resources in San Perdo or Clenega Creek watersheds)?	YES	25	
	NO		
Will the proposed project reduce habit fragmentation by providing	YES	25	
corridors, removing barriers, etc.?	NO		
Property is currently under conservation easement, or connects to	YES	20	
other permenently protected lands (USFS, BLM, existing conservation easements, etc.)?	NO		
Will the proposed project benefit upland areas in the project site through brush control practices to provide improved forage availability	YES	25	
and habitat for wildlife and grassland dependent bird species?	NO		
Does the proposed project employ the use of prescribed fore on the	YES	25	
landscape, therefore providing the benefits of nutrient availability?	NO		
Based upon available Arizona Land and Water Trust letter of support, does the proposed project promote primary objective of the Sentinel	YES	15	
Landscape Regional Conservation Partnership Program?	NO		
12/19/2023		Page 3 of 3	

12/19/2023 Page 3 of 3