Alaska EQIP-CIC Practice List FY24

Practice Code	Conservation Practice Name	
207	Site Assessment and Soil Testing for Containments Activity	
216	Soil Health Testing	
217	Soil and Source Testing for Nutrient Management	
218	Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Assessment	
228	Agricultural Energy Assessment	
328	Conservation Crop Rotation	
329	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	
340	Cover Crop	
345	Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	
373	Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces	
400	Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control	
449	Irrigation Water Management	
484	Mulching	
511	Forage Harvest Management	
528	Prescribed Grazing	
590	Nutrient Management	
595	Pest Management Conservation System	
633	Waste Recycling	
644	Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	
645	Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	
647	Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	

EQIP-CIC FY24 Alaska Ranking Questions

*subject to change based on NHQ requirements

- Only financially assisted conservation practices with an identified Resource Concern will be considered during the application ranking.
- Ranking questions may be answered "Yes" when one or more of the Resource Concerns are being treated to the Planning Criteria level as described on the CPA-52. *Reference the National Resource Concern List and Planning Criteria*.
- All questions that garnish points must have documentation in DMS which supports the selection.
- All conservation practices must result in a positive conservation benefit as determined by CART Assessment.
- When answering ranking questions, only consider the resource concerns, eligible land, and conservation practices that are eligible for each fund pool.
 - o For example:
 - The practice schedule and assessment included crop fields 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, and pasture fields 200 and 201.
 - The client is interested and eligible to compete in the Soil Health fund pool on crop fields 1, 2, 3. You would not consider crop fields 10, 11 or the pasture fields nor any of the associated practices on those fields when ranking the Soil Health fund pool.

EQIP-CIC Cropland Fund Pool

Priority Resource		Degraded Plant	Wind and Water
Concerns:	Soil Quality Limitations	Condition	Erosion
Cropland	х	х	х

Land Use: Cropland

Applicability

Are the assessed PLUs classified as cropland and within the state of Alaska?

Category

Is the project within Alaska?

Program Ranking Questions

- Did the applicant apply as a Historically Underserved Producer?
 - yes = 100 points
 - no = 0 points
- Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP), and land included in the EQIP-CIC application has come out of CRP within the last two years?
 - Yes = 20 points
 - no = 0 points

- Will implementation of the conservation practices improve:
 - a. All 3 cropland priority resource concerns (soil quality limitations, degraded plant condition, and wind-water erosion)? (100 points)
 - b. Two cropland priority resource concerns (two of the following: soil quality limitations, and-or degraded plant condition, and-or wind-water erosion)? (50 points)
 - c. One cropland priority resource concern (one of the following soil quality limitations, or degraded plant condition, or wind-water erosion)? (25 points)

EQIP-CIC Pasture Fund Pool

Priority Resource	Livestock Production		Degraded Plant
Concerns:	Limitation	Terrestrial Habitat	Condition
Pasture	х	х	х

Land Use: Pastureland

Applicability

Are the assessed PLUs classified as pastureland and within the state of Alaska?

Category

Is the project within Alaska?

Program Ranking Questions

- Did the applicant apply as a Historically Underserved Producer?
 - yes = 100 points
 - no = 0 points
- Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?
 - yes = 20 points
 - no = 0 points

- Will implementation of the conservation practices improve:
 - a. All 3 pasture priority resource concerns (livestock production limitation, terrestrial habitat, and degraded plant condition)? (100 points)
 - b. Two pasture priority resource concerns (two of the following: livestock production limitation, and-or degraded plant condition, and-or terrestrial habitat)? (50 points)
 - c. One pasture priority resource concern (one of the following livestock production limitation, or degraded plant condition, or terrestrial habitat? (25 points)

EQIP-CIC Range Fund Pool

Priority Resource	Livestock Production		Degraded Plant
Concerns:	Limitation	Terrestrial Habitat	Condition
Rangeland	x	х	х

Land Use: Rangeland

Applicability

Are the assessed PLUs classified as rangeland and within the state of Alaska?

Category

Is the project within Alaska?

Program Ranking Questions

- Did the applicant apply as a Historically Underserved Producer?
 - yes = 100 points
 - no = 0 points
- Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?
 - yes = 20 points
 - no = 0 points

- Will implementation of the conservation practices improve:
 - a. All 3 range priority resource concerns (livestock production limitation, terrestrial habitat, and degraded plant condition)? (100 points)
 - b. Two range priority resource concerns (two of the following: livestock production limitation, and-or degraded plant condition, and-or terrestrial habitat)? (50 points)
 - c. One range priority resource concern (one of the following livestock production limitation, or degraded plant condition, or terrestrial habitat? (25 points)

EQIP-CIC Forest Fund Pool

Priority Resource		Degraded Plant	Wind and Water
Concerns:	Terrestrial Habitat	Condition	Erosion
Forest	х	х	х

Land Use: Forest

Applicability

Are the assessed PLUs classified as forest land and within the state of Alaska?

Category

Is the project within Alaska?

Program Ranking Questions

- Did the applicant apply as a Historically Underserved Producer?
 - yes = 100 points
 - no = 0 points
- Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?
 - yes = 20 points
 - no = 0 points
- Select the best answer:
 - The practice schedule includes practice(s) to address the primary objective of the forest management plan. (100 points)
 - The practice schedule includes practice(s) to address concerns listed in the forest management plan. (50 points)
- Select the best answer:
 - The practice schedule includes one conservation practices or activities to address 1) degraded plant condition and/or 2) terrestrial habitat and/or 3) wind and water erosion, as documented on the CPA-52. (10 points)
 - The practice schedule includes two conservation practices or activities to address 1) degraded plant condition and/or 2) terrestrial habitat and/or 3) wind and water erosion, as documented on the CPA-52. (25 points)
 - The practice schedule includes three or more conservation practices or activities to address 1) degraded plant condition and/or 2) terrestrial habitat and/or 3) wind and water erosion, as documented on the CPA-52. (50 points)

- Does the practice schedule address a terrestrial habitat resource concern that is documented on the CPA-52?
 - yes = 3points
 - no = 0 points
- Does the practice schedule address a degraded plant condition resource concern that is documented on the CPA-52?
 - yes = 3points
 - no = 0 points
- Does the practice schedule address a wind and water erosion resource concern that is documented on the CPA-52?
 - yes = 3points
 - no = 0 points

EQIP-CIC Associated Agricultural Land Fund Pool

Priority Resource		Degraded Plant	Wind and Water
Concerns:	Terrestrial Habitat	Condition	Erosion
Assoc. Ag. Land	х	x	х

Land Use: Associated Agricultural Land (Assoc. Ag. Land)

Applicability

Are the assessed PLUs classified as associated agricultural land and within the state of Alaska?

Category

Is the project within Alaska?

Program Ranking Questions

- Did the applicant apply as a Historically Underserved Producer?
 - yes = 100 points
 - no = 0 points
- Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?
 - yes = 20 points
 - no = 0 points

- Will implementation of the conservation practices improve:
 - a. All 3 assoc. ag. land priority resource concerns (wind and water erosion, terrestrial habitat, and degraded plant condition)? (100 points)
 - b. Two associated ag. land priority resource concerns (two of the following: wind-water erosion, and-or degraded plant condition, and-or terrestrial habitat)? (50 points)
 - c. One associated ag. land priority resource concern (one of the following wind-water erosion, or degraded plant condition, or terrestrial habitat? (25 points)

EQIP-CIC Farmstead Fund Pool

Priority Resource		Degraded Plant	Wind and Water
Concerns:	Terrestrial Habitat	Condition	Erosion
Farmstead	х	х	х

Land Use: Farmstead

Applicability

Are the assessed PLUs classified as farmstead and within the state of Alaska?

Category

Is the project within Alaska?

Program Ranking Questions

- Did the applicant apply as a Historically Underserved Producer?
 - yes = 100 points
 - no = 0 points
- Is the applicant a covered producer participating in the CRP-TIP and NRCS is evaluating the assessment during the two-year period covered by the CRP-1R?
 - yes = 20 points
 - no = 0 points

- Will implementation of the conservation practices improve:
 - a. All 3 farmstead priority resource concerns (wind and water erosion, terrestrial habitat, and degraded plant condition)? (100 points)
 - b. Two farmstead priority resource concerns (two of the following: wind-water erosion, and-or degraded plant condition, and-or terrestrial habitat)? (50 points)
 - c. One farmstead priority resource concern (one of the following wind-water erosion, or degraded plant condition, or terrestrial habitat? (25 points)