

Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY2024 Indiana ACEP-ALE

Program: ACEP

Pool Status: Active

States: IN (Admin)

Template: ACEP-ALE General (Program Agreements)

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Cynthia Suseland

Last Modified: 11/07/2023

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	25	50
Long term protection of land	40	75	75

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sediment transported to surface water	0	100	100

Long term protection of land			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update	LTAPERSU	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	50	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: FY24 Indiana ACEP-ALE (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: FY24 ACEP-ALE Applicability Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application eligible?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: FY24 ACEP-ALE Category Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: FY24 ACEP-ALE Category Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Which Indiana NRCS Area will this application be administered in?	NW	--
	NE	--
	SW	--
	SE	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: FY24 Indiana ACEP-ALE Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soils in the parcel to be protected (Q1)	Less than 50 percent	0
	50 - 75 percent	26
	Greater than 75 percent	52
Percent of cropland, grassland and pastureland in parcel to be protected (Q2)	Less than 33%	0
	33 - 50%	25
	Greater than 50%	50
Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture (Q3)	Ratio of 1.0 or less	0
	Ratio greater than 1.0 and less than 2.0	10
	Greater than 2.0	20
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farmland in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (Q4)	0% or less	--
	1-5% decrease	15
	5-10% decrease	20
	10-15% decrease	15
	15% or more decrease	--
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland/pasture in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (Q5)	0% or less decrease	--
	1-5% decrease	5
	5-10% decrease	10
	10-15% decrease	5
	15% or more decrease	--
Percent population growth in the county as documented by the United States Census (Q6)	Less than Indiana's growth rate	--
	More than 1 but less than 2 times Indiana's growth rate	5
	2-3 times Indiana's growth rate	10
	More than 3 times Indiana's growth rate	--

Section: FY24 Indiana ACEP-ALE Program Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Population density of the county where the proposed easement area is located (population per square mile) as documented by the most recent United States Census (Q7)	Less than Indiana population density	--
	Between 1 and 2 times Indiana population density	10
	Between 2 and 3 times Indiana density	18
	More than 3 times Indiana's density	--
Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established to address farm viability for future generations? (Q8)	YES	10
	NO	--
Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, including military installations (Q9)	Less than 250 acres of protected land is within one mile of the boundary of the parcel	--
	250-500 acres of protected land is within one mile of the boundary of the parcel	16
	More than 500 acres of protected land is within one mile of the boundary of the parcel	27
Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and infrastructure (Q10)	Less than 250 acres of other agricultural operations within one mile of the boundary of the parcel	--
	250-500 acres of other agricultural operations within one mile of the boundary of the parcel	15
	More than 500 acres of other agricultural operations within one mile of the boundary of the parcel	25
Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous acres devoted to agricultural use (Q11)	Parcel links two noncontiguous corridors of protected agricultural use	15
	Parcel expands agriculture use protected area	5
	Parcel does not increase a protected agricultural use area	--
Parcel currently enrolled in a CRP contract set to expire within a year and is grassland that would benefit from protection (Q12)	YES	10
	NO	--
The grassland in the parcel is of special significance and will benefit from the protection under a long-term easement (Q13)	YES	10
	NO	--
Percentage of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entity own cash resources for payment of the easement to the landowner and comes from other sources than the landowner (Q14)	50% of FMV	35
	30-50% of FMV	20
	10-30% of FMV	10
	Less than 10% of FMV	--

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: FY24 Indiana ACEP-ALE Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
----------	----------------	--------

Section: FY24 Indiana ACEP-ALE Resource Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
State Additional Conservation Value: Parcel is identified as a Historically Significant (Q15)	National or State Historic Register site	20
	Indiana Centennial Fram	15
	No	--
An ALE conservation plan will be provided by the entity (Q16)	YES	40
	NO	--
State Efficiency: Parcel being offered is at least the following contiguous acres (Q17)	80 acres or more	23
	40-80 acres	10
	Less than 40 acres	--
At least one landowner qualifies under CRP-TIP. NRCS must evaluate this.	YES	5
	NO	--
All or Any part of the parcel falls within the Indiana NRCS ALE Target Area map layer in CD.	YES	25
	NO	0