



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY'24 OR ACEP ALE GSS

Program: ACEP

Pool Status: Active

States: OR (Admin)

Template: ACEP-ALE GSS (Program Agreements)

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Eric Moeggenberg

Last Modified: 06/22/2023

Land Uses and Modifiers

| Land Use | Grazed | Wildlife | Irrigated | Hayed | Drained | Organic | Water Feature | Protected | Urban | Aquaculture |
|--------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| Crop | -- | -- | -- | x | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Forest | -- | -- | -- | N/A | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Range | -- | -- | N/A | -- | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Pasture | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Farmstead | -- | -- | -- | N/A | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Developed Land | N/A | -- | -- | N/A | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Water | N/A | -- | N/A | N/A | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Other Rural Land | -- | -- | -- | N/A | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Associated Ag Land | -- | -- | -- | -- | N/A | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Resource Concern Categories

| Categories | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Category | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Concentrated erosion | 0 | -- | 20 |
| Degraded plant condition | 5 | 5 | 50 |
| Field pesticide loss | 0 | -- | 20 |
| Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss | 0 | -- | 50 |
| Livestock production limitation | 5 | 5 | 50 |
| Long term protection of land | 35 | 60 | 75 |
| Pest pressure | 0 | -- | 40 |
| Salt losses to water | 0 | -- | 20 |
| Soil quality limitations | 0 | 5 | 45 |
| Source water depletion | 0 | 5 | 40 |
| Storage and handling of pollutants | 0 | -- | 25 |

Categories

| Category | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Terrestrial habitat | 0 | 15 | 40 |
| Wind and water erosion | 0 | 5 | 10 |

Concentrated erosion

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Classic gully erosion | 0 | 40 | 100 |
| Ephemeral gully erosion | 0 | 40 | 100 |

Degraded plant condition

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Plant productivity and health | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Plant structure and composition | 0 | 50 | 100 |

Field pesticide loss

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Pesticides transported to groundwater | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Pesticides transported to surface water | 0 | 50 | 100 |

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Nutrients transported to groundwater | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Nutrients transported to surface water | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Sediment transported to surface water | 0 | 20 | 100 |

Livestock production limitation

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Feed and forage balance | 0 | 40 | 100 |
| Inadequate livestock shelter | 0 | 15 | 100 |
| Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution | 0 | 45 | 100 |

Long term protection of land

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
|------------------|-------|-----------|-------|

Long term protection of land

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Threat of conversion | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Pest pressure

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Plant pest pressure | 0 | 100 | 100 |

Salt losses to water

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Salts transported to groundwater | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Salts transported to surface water | 0 | 50 | 100 |

Soil quality limitations

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Aggregate instability | 0 | 15 | 100 |
| Compaction | 0 | 15 | 100 |
| Concentration of salts or other chemicals | 0 | 15 | 100 |
| Organic matter depletion | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Soil organism habitat loss or degradation | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| Subsidence | 0 | 15 | 100 |

Source water depletion

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Groundwater depletion | 0 | 35 | 100 |
| Inefficient irrigation water use | 0 | 35 | 100 |
| Surface water depletion | 0 | 30 | 100 |

Storage and handling of pollutants

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Nutrients transported to groundwater | 0 | 25 | 100 |
| Nutrients transported to surface water | 0 | 25 | 100 |
| Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater | 0 | 25 | 100 |
| Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water | 0 | 25 | 100 |

Terrestrial habitat

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates | 0 | 100 | 100 |

Wind and water erosion

| Resource Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Sheet and rill erosion | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Wind erosion | 0 | 50 | 100 |


Practices

| Practice Name | Practice Code | Practice Type |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer | LTAPBPST | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search | LTAPERS | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search Update | LTAPERSU | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress | LTAPIE | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review | LTAPTR1 | Easements |
| Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review | LTAPTR2 | Easements |
| Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law | LTPMAS | Easements |
| Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement | LTPPE | Easements |

Ranking Weights

| Factors | Algorithm | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Vulnerabilities | Default | 5 | 5 | 20 |
| Planned Practice Effects | Default | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Resource Priorities | Default | 35 | 40 | 50 |
| Program Priorities | Default | 40 | 50 | 50 |
| Efficiencies | Default | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Display Group: OR FY2023 ACEP ALE GSS (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

| Section: Application Location | | |
|--|----------------|--------|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
| Is this application located in Oregon? | YES | -- |
| | NO | -- |

Survey: Category Questions

| Section: Grasslands of Special Significance | | |
|---|----------------|--------|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
| Is the application for ACEP- GSS | YES | -- |
| | NO | -- |

Survey: Program Questions

| Section: National Ranking Criteria | | |
|---|--|--------|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
| 1.Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soils in the parcel to be protected | 50% or less | 0 |
| | Between 50% and 60% | 4 |
| | Between 60% and 70% | 8 |
| | Between 70% and 80% | 15 |
| | Greater than 80% | 17 |
| Percent of cropland, pastureland, grassland, and rangeland in the parcel to be protected | 33% or less | 0 |
| | Greater than 33% less than or equal to 40% | 4 |
| | Greater than 40% and less than or equal to 50% | 8 |
| | Greater than 50% | 17 |
| Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture) | Ratio of 1.0 or less | 0 |
| | Ratio > 1.0 to <2.0 | 7 |
| | Ratio > 2.0 | 15 |
| Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture) | Decrease of 0% or less | 0 |
| | Decrease of >0 and <5% | 1 |
| | Decrease of >5 and <10% | 5 |
| | Decrease of >10 and <15% | 9 |
| | Decreases >15% | 16 |
| Percent population growth in the county as documented by the most recent United States Census (Census Bureau Home Page) | Growth rate of less than one times the State growth rate. | 0 |
| | Growth rate of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State growth rate. | 4 |
| | Growth rate of two and less than or equal to three times the State growth rate. | 7 |
| | Growth rate of more than three times the State growth rate. | 15 |

Section: National Ranking Criteria

| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
|--|--|--------|
| Population density (population per square mile) as documented by the most recent United States Census (Census Bureau Home Page) | Population density less than one times the State population density | 0 |
| | Population density of greater than one and less than or equal to two times the State population density. | 4 |
| | Population density of greater than two and less than or equal to three times the State population density. | 7 |
| | Population density of greater than three times the State population density. | 15 |
| Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established to address farm viability for future generations | No Plan | 0 |
| | Plan | 7 |
| | Plan documented and prepared by industry professional. | 15 |
| Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, such as compatible military installations; land owned in fee title by the United States or an Indian Tribe, State or local government, or by a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to protect agricultural use and related conservation values; or land that is already subject to an easement or deed restriction that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values | Easement offer area (EOA) boundary greater than 3 miles from the protected land boundary | 0 |
| | EOA is greater than 1 mile but less than 3 miles from protected land | 4 |
| | EOA is within 1 mile of protected land boundary | 7 |
| | EOA boundary adjoins protected land boundary | 15 |
| Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure | EOA boundary greater than 3 miles in proximity | 0 |
| | EOA is greater than or equal to 1 miles but less than 3 miles in proximity | 4 |
| | EOA is within 1 mile in proximity | 7 |
| | EOA boundary adjoins | 15 |
| Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use | Parcel does not increase a protected agricultural use area | 0 |
| | Parcel is contiguous or proximal expansion of agricultural use protected area | 6 |
| | Parcel links two noncontiguous corridors of protected agricultural use | 15 |
| Land is currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within one year and is grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement | YES | 10 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Land is grassland of special environmental significance that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement | YES | 10 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entities own cash resources for payment of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner | 2% or less | 0 |
| | 10% or less | 5 |
| | 25% or less | 8 |
| | Greater than 25% | 10 |

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Oregon Ranking Criteria

| Question | Answer Choices | Points |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Is the application for a Grasslands of Special Significance? | YES | 20 |
| | NO | 0 |
| The location of a parcel in an area zoned for agricultural use. Parcel is located within agricultural zone, and is in the proximity of the other agricultural operations | YES | 10 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Eligible entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 95 percent or more of its easements each year. | YES | 15 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Proximity of Parcel to other permanently protected local, regional, state and federal lands such as parks, natural areas, forests, and grasslands that contributes to the habitat needs of species of concern in area. Includes other non public permanently protected conservation lands such as a land trusts lands. Attach map of protected area in proximity to parcel, list species and habitat types, and describe needs being met by protecting the parcel and by being in close proximity to other protected parcel. | Within 1/4 mile | 25 |
| | Within 1/2 mile | 15 |
| | > 1/2 mile | 0 |
| Parcel is wholly within boundaries of NRCS OR Conservation Implementation Strategy or within NRCS OR ACEP ALE Priority Area Maps (IWJV SONEC Spring Waterbird Priority Area, ALE Metro Priority Area, Mid Columbia River Steelhead Distinct Population Segment) | YES | 60 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Parcel is partially or wholly within the boundaries of the SageCon Oregon Sage-Grouse Action Plan https://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/sagegrouse/lit/ | YES | 35 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of OWEB Focused Investment Priority - Oak Woodland and Prairie Habitat. https://www.oregon.gov/oweb/Documents/FIP-Oak-Woodlands-Priority.pdf | YES | 35 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of ODFW Conservation Opportunity Areas https://oregonconservationstrategy.org/conservation-opportunity-areas | YES | 35 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Parcel is identified as a historically or culturally significant such as a Century Farm, located on the Oregon Trail, or in an Area of local Tribal significance, identified by a local Tribe through a letter to the Eligible Entity and NRCS | YES | 30 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Parcel contains historical or archaeological resources that will be protected by easement as described in 440.528.33 (Documentation must be provided to receive these points). | YES | 20 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Proximity of the Parcel to other agricultural operations - access to markets and infrastructure | Within 10 miles or less of parcel | 10 |
| | Within 11-50 miles of parcel | 7 |
| | Within 50 - 100 miles of parcel | 5 |
| | Greater than 100 miles of parcel | 0 |
| Succession plan in place or will be developed. | YES | 20 |
| | NO | 0 |
| Does one or more eligible landowner(s) meet the definition as a historically underserved group? (CPM 440.528.190) socially disadvantaged, limited resource landowners, beginning farmer or ranchers, or veteran landowners. (Documentation must be provided to receive these points). | YES | 15 |
| | NO | 0 |