40°27'31.24"N



#### 40°25'25.42"N

## **FLOOD HAZARD INFORMATION**

#### SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT



## NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM, including historic versions, the current map date for each FIRM panel, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at http://msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can beordered or obtained directly from the website.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates refer to the Flood Insurance Study Report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your Insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

Basemap information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by USDA, Farm Service Agency (FSA). This information was derived from NAIP, dated April 11, 2018.

This map was exported from FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) on 12/9/2019 4:33:26 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. For additional information, please see the Flood Hazard Mapping Updates Overview Fact Sheet at https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/118418

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date.

# SCALE



1 inch = 500 feet				1:6,0	00
0	250	500	1,000	1,500	2,000 Feet
0	50 100	200	300	Meters	



MAP NUMBER 49047C0660D EFFECTIVE DATE 10/06/2010



40°26'28.35"N

T05S R21E S23

NUMBER

490147

490149

490158

40°22'16.71"N

PANEL

0670

0670

0670

## **FLOOD HAZARD INFORMATION**

### EE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT



## NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM, including historic versions, the current map date for each FIRM panel, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at http://msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can beordered or obtained directly from the website.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates refer to the Flood Insurance Study Report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your Insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

Basemap information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by USDA, Farm Service Agency (FSA). This information was derived from NAIP, dated April 11, 2018.

This map was exported from FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) on 11/7/2019 6:39:09 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. For additional information, please see the Flood Hazard Mapping Updates Overview Fact Sheet at https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/118418

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date

## SCALE



1 inch = 1,000 feet				1:12,000	
0	500 1,0	00	2,000	3,000	4,000 Feet
				Meters	1 000
0	105 210	420	630	840	



### MAP NUMBER 49047C0670D EFFECTIVE DATE 10/06/2010

Appendix C – Photo Inventory

#### **Photo Inventory**

The following photos were taken during a rare plant survey conducted in August 2018 for a Bureau of Reclamation project and a site visit conducted on August 27 and 28, 2019.



Photo 1: Ashley Central Canal flows through agricultural, residential and undeveloped properties, as well as along city roads. This photograph was taken in August 2018 as part of a rare plant survey for a separate Reclamation project.



Photo 2: Canal vegetation was dominated by reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), Johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*), orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*), Russian olive (*Elaegnus angustifolia*), and boxelder maple (*Acer negundo*). This photograph was taken in August 2018 as part of a rare plant survey for a separate Reclamation project.



Photo 3: All Proposed Project staging areas would be located in an upland position within a disturbed setting. This photo illustrates a typical staging area for the Proposed Project, specifically staging area 15.



Photo 4: This photo illustrates staging area 13. Staging areas were dominated by weedy, upland and agricultural species such as: alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), *Halogeton glomeratus*, field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*), and ornamental grasses.

Appendix D – Soil Maps



Area of Interest (AOI)     Spoil Area     T       Area of Interest (AOI)     Stony Spot     1	be soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Soil Map Unit Polygons Wery Stony Spot   Soil Map Unit Lines Other   Soil Map Unit Lines Other   Soil Map Unit Lines Other   Soil Map Unit Points Special Line Features   Special Point Features Streams and Canals   Soil Map Unit Points Streams and Canals   Soil Gravel Pit Streams and Canals   Soil Closed Depression Interstate Highways   Soil Landfill Stoutes   Soil Landfill Stoads   Lava Flow Background   Mine or Quarry Mine or Quarry   Mine or Quarry Mine or Quarry   Sadius Spot Staine Spot   Sadius Spot Staine Spot   Sadius Spot Staine Spot   Sadius Spot Staine Spot   Sinkhole Silde or Slip   Silde or Slip Soids Spot	<ul> <li>224,000.</li> <li>Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map neasurements.</li> <li>Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Veb Soil Survey URL:</li> <li>Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)</li> <li>Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts listance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Web requal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.</li> <li>This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as if the version date(s) listed below.</li> <li>Soil Survey Area: Uintah Area, Utah - Parts of Daggett, Grand and Uintah Counties</li> <li>Survey Area Data: Version 13, Sep 11, 2018</li> <li>Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales :50,000 or larger.</li> <li>Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2009—Sep 5, 2017</li> <li>The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background magery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.</li> </ul>



## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
89	9 Green River loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded		18.2%
93	Greybull loam, 4 to 8 percent slopes	10.0	7.2%
132	Lind loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	4.5	3.2%
162	2 Nolava-Nolava, wet complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes		17.7%
163 Nolava-Nolava, wet complex, 2 to 4 percent slopes		29.0	20.9%
206	Shotnick sandy loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	14.2	10.2%
209	Shotnick-Walkup complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	3.4	2.5%
243	Turzo-Umbo complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	13.0	9.4%
251	Umbo clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes		0.5%
275	Wyasket loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.1	0.1%
276 Wyasket loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes		14.1	10.2%
Totals for Area of Interest		138.8	100.0%



### E-282



Farmland Classification—Uintah Area, Utah - Parts of Daggett, Grand and Uintah Counties (Reclamation Piping - Farmlands Map)

Prime farmland if Farmland of statewide Farmland of statewide Farmland of unique Prime farmland if 1 A الجريدهم 100 subsoiled, completely importance, if drained and importance, if irrigated importance subsoiled, completely removing the root either protected from and reclaimed of excess removing the root Not rated or not available an ai inhibiting soil layer flooding or not frequently salts and sodium inhibiting soil layer flooded during the Soil Rating Points Prime farmland if irrigated Prime farmland if Farmland of statewide -arowing season and the product of I (soil importance, if drained or irrigated and the product Not prime farmland erodibility) x C (climate Farmland of statewide either protected from of I (soil erodibility) x C factor) does not exceed importance, if irrigated flooding or not frequently (climate factor) does not All areas are prime flooded during the exceed 60 60 and drained farmland growing season Prime farmland if drained Prime farmland if irrigated Farmland of statewide Prime farmland if -100 and reclaimed of excess importance, if irrigated Farmland of statewide irrigated and reclaimed -Prime farmland if salts and sodium and either protected from importance, if warm of excess salts and protected from flooding or flooding or not frequently enough, and either sodium Farmland of statewide not frequently flooded flooded during the drained or either Farmland of statewide importance during the growing growing season protected from flooding or importance Farmland of statewide not frequently flooded season Farmland of statewide a 🖬 importance, if drained Farmland of statewide during the growing Prime farmland if irrigated importance, if subsoiled. importance, if drained Farmland of statewide season completely removing the importance, if protected Prime farmland if drained Farmland of statewide root inhibiting soil layer Farmland of statewide from flooding or not and either protected from importance, if protected importance, if warm Farmland of statewide frequently flooded during 100 flooding or not frequently from flooding or not enough importance, if irrigated the growing season flooded during the frequently flooded during and the product of I (soil Farmland of statewide the growing season 1990 B growing season Farmland of statewide erodibility) x C (climate importance, if thawed importance, if irrigated Prime farmland if irrigated Farmland of statewide factor) does not exceed Farmland of local and drained importance, if irrigated 60 importance Prime farmland if irrigated Farmland of local and either protected from importance, if irrigated flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season



	Farmland of statewide importance, if drained and		Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated		Farmland of unique importance	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.
	either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated and designed		and reclaimed of excess salts and sodium		Not rated or not available	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
			Farmland of statewide	water Features		measurements.
			importance, if drained or either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season	~	Streams and Canals	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
				Transporta	ation	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (FPSG:3857)
_	Earmland of statewide			+++	Rails	
	Farmland of statewide importance, if irrigated		Farmland of statewide	~	Interstate Highways	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
	and either protected from flooding or not frequently		importance, if warm enough, and either	~	US Routes	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
	flooded during the growing season		drained or either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing	$\sim$	Major Roads	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
	Farmland of statewide			~	Local Roads	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data
	completely removing the		season	Backgrour	nd	as of the version date(s) listed below.
	root inhibiting soil layer Farmland of statewide		Farmland of statewide importance, if warm enough	Con.	Aerial Photography	Soil Survey Area: Uintah Area, Utah - Parts of Daggett, Grand
	importance, if irrigated				Survey Area Data: Version 13, Sen 11, 2018	
	and the product of I (soil	E Fain In E Fain	Farmland of statewide			
	erodibility) x C (climate		Importance, if thawed			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
	60		Farmland of local			1:50,000 or larger.
			Farmland of local importance, if irrigated			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2009—Sep 15, 2017
						The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

9/11/2019 Page 4 of 6

## **Farmland Classification**

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI			
89	Green River loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Not prime farmland	25.3	18.2%			
93	Greybull loam, 4 to 8 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	10.0	7.2%			
132	Lind loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	4.5	3.2%			
162	Nolava-Nolava, wet complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	24.5	17.7%			
163	Nolava-Nolava, wet complex, 2 to 4 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	29.0	20.9%			
206	Shotnick sandy loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	14.2	10.2%			
209	Shotnick-Walkup complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	3.4	2.5%			
243	Turzo-Umbo complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Prime farmland if irrigated	13.0	9.4%			
251	Umbo clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0.6	0.5%			
275	Wyasket loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	0.1	0.1%			
276	Wyasket loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	Not prime farmland	14.1	10.2%			
Totals for Area of Intere	est	138.8	100.0%				

### Description

Farmland classification identifies map units as prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, farmland of local importance, or unique farmland. It identifies the location and extent of the soils that are best suited to food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. NRCS policy and procedures on prime and unique farmlands are published in the "Federal Register," Vol. 43, No. 21, January 31, 1978.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: No Aggregation Necessary

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The majority of soil attributes are associated with a component of a map unit, and such an attribute has to be aggregated to the map unit level before a thematic map can be rendered. Map units, however, also have their own attributes. An attribute of a map unit does not have to be aggregated in order to render a corresponding thematic map. Therefore, the "aggregation method" for any attribute of a map unit is referred to as "No Aggregation Necessary".

#### Tie-break Rule: Lower

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

USDA





MAP L	EGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (ACI) <ul> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> <li>Area of Interest (ACI)</li> <li>Bydris (100%)</li> <li>Arganic (33 to 65%)</li> <li>Arganic (100%)</li> <l< th=""><th>Fransportation   Image: Participation   Image: Participation</th><th><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></th></l<></ul>	Fransportation   Image: Participation   Image: Participation	<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header>
<ul> <li>Not Hydric (0%)</li> <li>Not rated or not available</li> <li>Water Features</li> <li>Streams and Canals</li> </ul>		

# Hydric Rating by Map Unit

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
89	Green River loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	5	25.3	18.2%
93	Greybull loam, 4 to 8 percent slopes	0	10.0	7.2%
132	Lind loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	0	4.5	3.2%
162	Nolava-Nolava, wet complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	24.5	17.7%
163	Nolava-Nolava, wet complex, 2 to 4 percent slopes	0	29.0	20.9%
206	Shotnick sandy loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	0	14.2	10.2%
209	Shotnick-Walkup complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	3.4	2.5%
243	Turzo-Umbo complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	4	13.0	9.4%
251	Umbo clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	8	0.6	0.5%
275	Wyasket loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	85	0.1	0.1%
276	Wyasket loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	85	14.1	10.2%
Totals for Area of Inter	est	138.8	100.0%	

### Description

This rating indicates the percentage of map units that meets the criteria for hydric soils. Map units are composed of one or more map unit components or soil types, each of which is rated as hydric soil or not hydric. Map units that are made up dominantly of hydric soils may have small areas of minor nonhydric components in the higher positions on the landform, and map units that are made up dominantly of nonhydric soils may have small areas of minor hydric components in the lower positions on the landform. Each map unit is rated based on its respective components and the percentage of each component within the map unit.

The thematic map is color coded based on the composition of hydric components. The five color classes are separated as 100 percent hydric components, 66 to 99 percent hydric components, 33 to 65 percent hydric components, 1 to 32 percent hydric components, and less than one percent hydric components.

In Web Soil Survey, the Summary by Map Unit table that is displayed below the map pane contains a column named 'Rating'. In this column the percentage of each map unit that is classified as hydric is displayed.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). Under natural conditions, these soils are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.

The NTCHS definition identifies general soil properties that are associated with wetness. In order to determine whether a specific soil is a hydric soil or nonhydric soil, however, more specific information, such as information about the depth and duration of the water table, is needed. Thus, criteria that identify those estimated soil properties unique to hydric soils have been established (Federal Register, 2002). These criteria are used to identify map unit components that normally are associated with wetlands. The criteria used are selected estimated soil properties that are described in "Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1999) and "Keys to Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1993).

If soils are wet enough for a long enough period of time to be considered hydric, they should exhibit certain properties that can be easily observed in the field. These visible properties are indicators of hydric soils. The indicators used to make onsite determinations of hydric soils are specified in "Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States" (Hurt and Vasilas, 2006).

#### References:

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States. Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States. Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18.

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

### **Rating Options**

#### Aggregation Method: Percent Present

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Percent Present" returns the cumulative percent composition of all components of a map unit for which a certain condition is true. For example, attribute "Hydric Rating by Map Unit" returns the cumulative percent composition of all components of a map unit where the corresponding hydric rating is "Yes". Conditions may be simple or complex. At runtime, the user may be able to specify all, some or none of the conditions in question.

#### Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

#### Tie-break Rule: Lower

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Appendix E – NWI Map



## Appendix C – Photo Inventory



01 – Site Conditions | South



01b – Site Conditions | East



**01b** – Site Conditions | North



**01b** – Site Conditions | West



**01c** – Site Conditions | South



02 – Site Conditions | Southeast



**03** – Site Conditions | Southeast



03 – Site Conditions | East



**03** – Site Conditions | Northwest



**03b** – Site Conditions | West



03b – Site Conditions | East



**03b** – Site Conditions | South



03c – Site Conditions | North



**03c** – Site Conditions | South



04 – Site Conditions | Northeast



05 – Site Conditions | Southeast



06 – Site Conditions | Northwest



07 – Site Conditions | Southeast



08 – Site Conditions | East





10 – Site Conditions | North



11 – Site Conditions | North



12 – Site Conditions | North





14 – Site Conditions | North



15 – Site Conditions | East



16 – Site Conditions | North



17 – Site Conditions | West



18 – Site Conditions | South



19 – Site Conditions | South



20 – Site Conditions | West



21 – Site Conditions | East



22 - Site Conditions | South





24 – Site Conditions | West



25 – Site Conditions | East



26 – Site Conditions | East



27 – Site Conditions | East



28 – Site Conditions | West





**30** – Site Conditions | North



31 – Site Conditions | West







**SC1** – Site Conditions | East



SC1 – Site Conditions | West



**SC2** – Site Conditions | East



SC2 – Site Conditions | West



SC3 – Site Conditions | East



SC3 – Site Conditions | West



SC4 – Site Conditions | East



SC4 – Site Conditions | West



SC5 – Site Conditions | East



SC5 – Site Conditions | West



P1 – Site Conditions | East



P1 – Site Conditions | North

## Appendix D – FEMA FIRMs