United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Arizona Basin Outlook Report February 15, 2018

Issued by

Leonard Jordan
Acting Chief
Natural Resources Conservation Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Released by

Keisha L. Tatem
State Conservationist
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Phoenix, Arizona

Basin Outlook Reports
And Federal – State – Private Cooperative Snow Surveys

How forecasts are made

Most of the annual streamflow in Arizona originates as snowfall that has accumulated in the mountains during the winter and early spring. As the snowpack accumulates, hydrologists estimate the runoff that will occur when it melts. Measurements of snow water equivalent at selected manual snow courses and automated Snow Telemetry (SNOTEL) sites, along with precipitation and streamflow values, are used in statistical and simulation models to prepare runoff forecasts. These forecasts are coordinated between hydrologists in the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) the National Weather Service, and the Salt River Project.

Forecasts of any kind are not perfect. Streamflow forecast uncertainty arises from three primary sources: (1) uncertainty of future weather conditions, (2) uncertainty in the forecasting procedure, and (3) errors in the data. The forecast, therefore, must be interpreted not as a single value but rather as a range of values with specific probabilities of occurrence. The middle of the range is expressed by the 50% exceedance probability forecast, for which there is a 50% chance that the actual flow will be above, and a 50% chance that the actual flow will be below, this value. To describe the expected range around this 50% value, four other forecasts are provided, two smaller values (90% and 70% exceedance probability) and two larger values (30%, and 10% exceedance probability). For example, there is a 90% chance that the actual flow will be more than the 90% exceedance probability forecast.

The wider the spread among these values, the more uncertain the forecast. As the season progresses, forecasts become more accurate, primarily because a greater portion of the future weather conditions become known. This is reflected by a narrowing of the range around the 50% exceedance probability forecast. Users should take this uncertainty into consideration when making operational decisions by selecting forecasts corresponding to the level of risk they are willing to assume about the amount of water to be expected. If users anticipate receiving a lesser supply of water, or are concerned about having an adequate water supply, they may want to base their decisions on the 90% or 70% exceedance probability forecasts. On the other hand, if users anticipate receiving too much water, or are concerned about the threat of flooding, they may want to base their decisions on the 30% or 10% exceedance probability forecasts. Regardless of the forecast value users choose, they should be prepared to deal with either more or less water.

For more water supply and resource management information, contact:

Travis Kolling
Water Supply Specialist
230 N. First Ave., Suite 509
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706
Phone: (602) 280-8834
Email: travis.kolling@az.usda.gov

ARIZONA Basin Outlook Report
as of February 15, 2018

SUMMARY

As of February 15, snowpack levels are well below normal throughout the major basins of the state. Precipitation for the first half of February was also well below normal in the major river basins. The Salt and Verde River reservoir system stands at 60 percent of capacity, while San Carlos Reservoir is at 7 percent of capacity. The mid-month forecast calls for well below normal runoff in all basins for the spring runoff period.

SNOWPACK

Snow water equivalent levels in the state's major river basins are well below normal, ranging from 24 percent of median in the Verde River Basin, to 9 percent of median in the Gila River Basin. The statewide snowpack is well below normal at 22 percent of median.



PRECIPITATION

Mountain data from NRCS SNOTEL sites and NWS Cooperator gages show that precipitation for the first half of February was well below average in the major river basins. Cumulative precipitation since October 1 remains well below normal throughout the basins. Please refer to the precipitation bar graphs found in this report for more information on precipitation levels in the basins.

RESERVOIR STORAGE

As of February 15, the Salt and Verde River reservoir system stands at 60 percent of capacity. San Carlos Reservoir is currently at 7 percent of capacity.



Key storage volumes displayed in thousands of acre-feet (x1000):

Reservoir	Current Storage	Last Year Storage	30-Year Average	Storage Capacity
Salt River System	1294.0	1251.0	1212.0	2025.8
Verde River System	101.0	270.8	140.2	287.4
San Carlos Reservoir	58.8	170.8	355.0	875.0
Lyman Lake	11.1	8.5	12.0	30.0
Lake Havasu	564.7	570.9	561.2	619.0
Lake Mohave	1689.9	1692.0	1659.0	1810.0
Lake Mead	10673.0	10681.0	20361.0	26159.0
Lake Powell	13515.0	11229.0	17553.0	24322.0

STREAMFLOW

As of February 15, the forecast calls for well below normal streamflow for the spring runoff period, ranging from 8 percent of median in the Little Colorado River above Lyman Lake to 40 percent of median in the Verde River above Horseshoe Dam. Total precipitation since the beginning of the water year has been well below average, leaving dry soils, and producing less than ideal conditions for runoff. Please refer to the basin forecast tables found in this report for more information regarding water supply forecasts.



SALT RIVER BASIN as of February 15, 2018

Well below normal streamflow levels are forecast for the basin. In the Salt River, near Roosevelt, the forecast calls for 15% of median streamflow through May, while at Tonto Creek, the forecast calls for 20% of median streamflow through May. Snow survey measurements show the Salt snowpack to be at 13% of median. Note that there was no measurable precipitation during the months of October and November.



Data Current as of: 2/20/2018 12:54:46 PM

Salt River Basin
Streamflow Forecasts - February 16, 2018

SALT RIVER BASIN	Forecast Period	Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment: Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast — 90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Avg	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Avg (KAF)
Salt R nr Roosevelt[3]								
	FEB			12	31%			39
	MAR-MAY	10.2	25	40	17%	61	102	240
	F15-MAY	10.4	24	39	15%	58	97	260
Tonto Ck ab Gun Ck nr Roosevelt[3]								
	FEB			3.4	33%			10.3
	F15-MAY	0.56	2.6	5.4	20%	9.7	19.4	27

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
3) Median value used in place of average

Reservoir Storage Middle of January, 2018	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Average (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)
Salt River Reservoir System	1294.5	1251.0	1272.0	2025.8
Basin-wide Total	1294.5	1251.0	1272.0	2025.8
# of reservoirs	1	1	1	1

Watershed Snowpack Analysis February 16, 2018	# of Sites	% Median	Last Year % Median
SALT RIVER BASIN	10	13%	75%

VERDE RIVER BASIN as of February 15, 2018

Well below normal streamflow levels are forecast for the basin. In the Verde River above Horseshoe Dam, the forecast calls for 40% of median streamflow through May. Snow survey measurements show the Verde snowpack to be at 24% of median. Note that there was no measurable precipitation during the months of October, November, and December.



Data Current as of: 2/20/2018 12:56:14 PM

Verde River Basin
Streamflow Forecasts - February 16, 2018

		Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast						
VERDE RIVER BASIN	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Avg	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Avg (KAF)
Verde R bl Tangle Ck ab Horseshoe Dam[3]								
	FEB			23	66%			35
	F15-MAY	12.1	30	49	40%	74	126	123

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
3) Median value used in place of average

Reservoir Storage Middle of January, 2018	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Average (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)
Verde River Reservoir System	101.1	270.8	160.8	287.4
Basin-wide Total	101.1	270.8	160.8	287.4
# of reservoirs	1	1	1	1

Watershed Snowpack Analysis February 16, 2018	# of Sites	% Median	Last Year % Median
VERDE RIVER BASIN	12	24%	95%

SAN FRANCISCO-UPPER GILA RIVER BASIN as of February 15, 2018

Well below normal streamflow levels are forecast for the basin. In the San Francisco River, at Clifton, the forecast calls for 23% of median streamflow levels through May. In the Gila River, near Solomon, the forecast calls for 14% of median streamflow levels through May. At San Carlos Reservoir, inflow to the lake is forecast at 6% of median through May. Snow survey measurements show the snowpack for this basin to be at 9% of median.





Data Current as of: 2/20/2018 12:57:42 PM

San Francisco-Upper Gila River Basin
Streamflow Forecasts - February 16, 2018

		Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast						
SAN FRANCISCO-UPPER GILA RIVER BASIN	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Avg	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Avg (KAF)
Gila R at Gila[3]								
	F15-MAY	2.5	5.3	8	20%	11.6	18.7	41
Gila R bl Blue Ck nr Virden[3]								
	F15-MAY	0	2.1	6.3	12%	12.7	26	53
San Francisco R at Glenwood[3]								
	F15-MAY	0.19	0.97	2.1	13%	3.8	7.8	16.8
San Francisco R at Clifton[3]								
	F15-MAY	0.62	5	10.4	23%	17.7	32	46
Gila R nr Solomon[3]								
	FEB			3	13%			23
	F15-MAY	1.03	5.6	14.8	14%	28	57	103
San Carlos Reservoir Inflow[3]								
	F15-MAY	0.02	0.08	4	6%	16.6	51	67

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
3) Median value used in place of average

Reservoir Storage Middle of January, 2018	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Average (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)
San Carlos Reservoir	58.8	170.7	385.7	875.0
Basin-wide Total	58.8	170.7	385.7	875.0
# of reservoirs	1	1	1	1

Watershed Snowpack Analysis February 16, 2018	# of Sites	% Median	Last Year % Median
SAN FRANCISCO-UPPER GILA RIVER BASIN	9	9%	58%

LITTLE COLORADO RIVER BASIN as of February 15, 2018

Well below normal streamflow levels are forecast for the basin. In the Little Colorado River, above Lyman Lake, the forecast calls for 8% of median streamflow through June. At Blue Ridge (C.C. Cragin) Reservoir, inflow to the lake is forecast at 12% of median through May. Snowpacks along the southern headwaters of the Little Colorado River, and along the central Mogollon Rim, were measured at 23% and 24% of median, respectively. Note that there was no measurable precipitation during the months of October, November, and December.



Data Current as of: 2/20/2018 12:59:11 PM

Little Colorado River Basin
Streamflow Forecasts - February 16, 2018

		Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast						
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER BASIN	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Avg	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Avg (KAF)
Little Colorado R ab Lyman Lake[3]	FEB-JUN	0.07	0.28	0.54	8%	0.93	1.79	6.6
Blue Ridge Reservoir Inflow[3]	FEB-MAY	0.15	0.87	1.92	12%	3.6	7.5	16.3
Lake Mary Reservoir Inflow[3]	FEB-MAY	0.35	0.81	1.3	30%	1.95	3.2	4.3

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
3) Median value used in place of average

Reservoir Storage Middle of January, 2018	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Average (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)
Lyman Reservoir	11.1	8.5	12.3	30.0
Basin-wide Total	11.1	8.5	12.3	30.0
# of reservoirs	1	1	1	1

Watershed Snowpack Analysis February 16, 2018	# of Sites	% Median	Last Year % Median
LITTLE COLORADO RIVER BASIN	9	23%	103%
CENTRAL MOGOLLON RIM	4	24%	95%

NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA as of February 15, 2018

On the Colorado River, well below normal inflow to Lake Powell is forecast at 43% of the 30-year average for the forecast period April-July. At the Grand Canyon, measurements conducted by park rangers show the snowpack to be at 30% of median.





Data Current as of: 2/20/2018 1:02:40 PM

Northwestern Arizona
Streamflow Forecasts - February 16, 2018

NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Avg	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Avg (KAF)
		Forecast Exceedance Probabilities for Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast						
Lake Powell Inflow [2]	APR-JUL	1410	2340	3100	43%	3970	5440	7160

1) 90% and 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% and 5%
2) Forecasts are for unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent on management of upstream reservoirs and diversions
3) Median value used in place of average

Reservoir Storage Middle of January, 2018	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Average (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)
Lake Havasu	566.7	570.9	558.7	619.0
Lake Mohave	1688.0	1692.0	1685.0	1810.0
Lake Mead	10673.0	1087.8	20526.0	26159.0
Lake Powell	13514.7	11226.3	17170.0	24322.0
Basin-wide Total	26442.4	14577.1	39939.7	52910.0
# of reservoirs	4	4	4	4

Watershed Snowpack Analysis February 16, 2018	# of Sites	% Median	Last Year % Median
NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA	1	30%	183%

Report Created: 2/20/2018 12:33:58 PM

Basinwide Summary: February 16, 2018
(Averages/Medians based on 1981-2010 reference period)

Snowpack Summary for February 16, 2018

SALT RIVER BASIN	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Baldy	SNOTEL	9125	3	0.8	7.5	11%	6.8	91%
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	7990	0	0.0	3.6	0%	0.0	0%
Buck Spring	SC	7400			2.8		0.0	0%
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8400	0	0.0	2.9	0%	0.0	0%
Hawley Lake	SNOTEL	8300	14	3.9			11.1	
Coronado Trail	SC	8350	0	0.0	2.2	0%	0.6	27%
Fort Apache	SC	9160	12	2.5	7.1	35%	9.8	138%
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9020	3	1.6	9.6	17%	8.0	83%
Maverick Fork	SNOTEL	9200	8	1.3	7.9	16%	10.0	127%
Nutrioso	SC	8500	0	0.0	1.0	0%	0.6	60%
Nutrioso	SNOTEL	8500	1	0.1			0.2	
Wildcat	SNOTEL	7850	1	0.1	3.4	3%	0.6	18%
Workman Creek	SNOTEL	6900	1	0.1	5.8	2%	1.9	33%
Basin Index						**13%**		**75%**
# of sites						10		10

VERDE RIVER BASIN	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Baker Butte	SNOTEL	7300	4	1.5	5.2	29%	2.4	46%
Baker Butte No. 2	SC	7700	8	1.9	8.3	23%	8.4	101%
Baker Butte Smt	SNOTEL	7700	15	4.4			9.1	
Bar M	SNOTEL	6393	0	0.0			0.0	
Chalender	SC	7100	0	0.0	2.0	0%	0.0	0%
Chalender	SNOTEL	7100	0	0.0			0.6	
Fort Valley	SC	7350	2	0.2	2.2	9%	1.6	73%
Fort Valley	SNOTEL	7350	0	0.0			0.0	
Fry	SNOTEL	7200	9	3.5	6.3	56%	7.1	113%
Happy Jack	SNOTEL	7630	5	1.6	5.3	30%	6.3	119%
Happy Jack	SC	7630	5	1.1	4.8	23%	2.7	56%
Mormon Mountain	SNOTEL	7500	5	1.0	4.3	23%	6.1	142%
Mormon Mountain Summit #2	SC	8470	6	1.4	7.8	18%	13.4	172%
Mormon Mtn Summit	SNOTEL	8500	9	2.4			11.3	
Newman Park	SC	6750	0	0.0	2.6	0%	0.0	0%
White Horse Lake	SNOTEL	7180	2	0.8	4.5	18%	2.5	56%
Williams Ski Run	SC	7720	8	1.8	7.5	24%	7.3	97%
Basin Index						**24%**		**95%**
# of sites						12		12

SAN FRANCISCO PEAKS	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Snow Bowl #2	SC	11200	15	3.0	14.9	20%	24.2	162%
Snowslide Canyon	SNOTEL	9730	21	4.7	12.5	38%	27.0	216%
Basin Index						**28%**		**187%**
# of sites						2		2

SAN FRANCISCO-UPPER GILA RIVER BASIN	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	7990	0	0.0	3.6	0%	0.0	0%
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8400	0	0.0	2.9	0%	0.0	0%
Coronado Trail	SC	8350	0	0.0	2.2	0%	0.6	27%
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8000	0	0.0	2.9	0%	1.6	55%
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9020	3	1.6	9.6	17%	8.0	83%
Hummingbird - Aerial And Snow Course	SC	10550						
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8500	0	0.0	2.5	0%	1.2	48%

Nutrioso	SC	8500	0	0.0	1.0	0%	0.6	60%
Nutrioso	SNOTEL	8500	1	0.1			0.2	
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8360	1	0.1	4.1	2%	1.1	27%
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9000	3	1.6	7.4	22%	7.9	107%
State Line	SC	8000			2.0			
Whitewater - Aerial And Snow Course	SC	10750						
Basin Index						**9%**		**58%**
# of sites						9		9

LITTLE COLORADO RIVER BASIN	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Baker Butte	SNOTEL	7300	4	1.5	5.2	29%	2.4	46%
Baker Butte No. 2	SC	7700	8	1.9	8.3	23%	8.4	101%
Baker Butte Smt	SNOTEL	7700	15	4.4			9.1	
Baldy	SNOTEL	9125	3	0.8	7.5	11%	6.8	91%
Buck Spring	SC	7400			2.8		0.0	0%
Cheese Springs	SC	8700	8	1.9	5.0	38%	5.8	116%
Fort Apache	SC	9160	12	2.5	7.1	35%	9.8	138%
Heber	SNOTEL	7640	3	0.8	5.1	16%	5.1	100%
Lake Mary	SC	6930	0	0.0	2.6	0%	1.1	42%
Maverick Fork	SNOTEL	9200	8	1.3	7.9	16%	10.0	127%
Promontory	SNOTEL	7930	9	2.6	9.5	27%	10.7	113%
Basin Index						**23%**		**103%**
# of sites						9		9

CENTRAL MOGOLLON RIM	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Baker Butte	SNOTEL	7300	4	1.5	5.2	29%	2.4	46%
Baker Butte No. 2	SC	7700	8	1.9	8.3	23%	8.4	101%
Baker Butte Smt	SNOTEL	7700	15	4.4			9.1	
Heber	SNOTEL	7640	3	0.8	5.1	16%	5.1	100%
Promontory	SNOTEL	7930	9	2.6	9.5	27%	10.7	113%
Basin Index						**24%**		**95%**
# of sites						4		4

CHUSKA MOUNTAINS	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beaver Spring	SC	9220			8.5			
Beaver Spring	SNOTEL	9200	2	0.4			10.7	
Bowl Canyon	SC	8980			7.2			
Hidden Valley	SC	8480						
Missionary Spring	SC	7940			4.0			
Tsaile Canyon #1	SC	8160			6.0			
Tsaile Canyon #3	SC	8920			9.0			
Whiskey Creek	SC	9050			8.0			
Navajo Whiskey Ck	SNOTEL	9050	1	0.2			10.8	
Basin Index								
# of sites						0		0

DEFIANCE PLATEAU	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Fluted Rock	SC	7800			3.7			
Basin Index								
# of sites						0		0

NORTHWESTERN ARIZONA	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Bright Angel	SC	8400	10	2.0	6.6	30%	12.1	183%
Grand Canyon	SC	7500			1.7		0.9	53%
Basin Index						**30%**		**183%**
# of sites						1		1

Arizona Snow Survey Data Sites



Legend

- Snow Course Sites
- SNOTEL (Snowpack Telemetry) Sites
- SNOTEL and Snow Course Sites
- Basin Boundaries

January 2017
Data Sources: NRCS / ALRIS
Projection: UTM Zone 12 Datum: NAD83

0 12.5 25 50 75 100 Miles

N

NRCS
Natural Resources Conservation Service