



# Ranking Pool Report

**Ranking Pool:** FY 23 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative

**Program:** EQIP

**Pool Status:** Active

**States:** WA (Admin)

**Template:** EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2022

**Template Status:** Active

**Last Modified By:** Keith Griswold

**Last Modified:** 11/21/2022  
2

## Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

## Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat	0	35	100
Degraded plant condition	0	30	100
Terrestrial habitat	0	35	100

Aquatic habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	0	100	100

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100


## Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices

## Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

## Display Group: FY 23 Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative (Active)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

## Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location Location Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA WDFW Olympia Oyster Restoration 7mi Buffer	WA WDFW Olympia Oyster Restoration 7mi Buffer	--
	No	--

## Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category Question		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Application meets Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative goals (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	YES	--
	NO	--

## Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

**Section: Program Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts?	YES	40
	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as either Beginning Farmer or Socially Disadvantaged participant, AND has been entered into Protracts applicant information?	YES	50
	NO	0
Applicants practice schedule is four years or less (ending 12/31/2027 or earlier	YES	10
	NO	0
Application(s) Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement	YES	10
	NO	10

**Survey: Resource Questions**

**Section: Resource Questions**

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Olympia Oyster Restoration Initiative: Select all that apply.	Is site within existing Nation Wide Corp Permit 48 farm or does the applicant have permits in hand (either Nation Wide Corps 48 permit, or restoration permits through the Corps and other required permits.	20
	Will restoration site be protected from harvest activities until the populations are viable (minimum of 5 years)?	50
	Is the proposed site adjacent to, or with in the footprint of an ongoing restoration site?	10
	Is the restoration site within one of the 19 identified WDFW priority restoration sites? For Willapa Bay is the site within the footprint of historic Olympia Oyster site?	60
	Will long term annual monitoring (3 years following restoration) be completed solely by participant and/or partners on site with findings provided to NRCS ?	30
	Will the applicant assure the oyster spat purchased for the project, is produced using brood stock from the same basin, in which the seed is spread. Note if the restoration site is only installing shell substrate allow the same points.	30
	N/A	0