

Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool: FY23 Statewide Cropland

Program: EQIP

Pool Status: Active

States: WA (Admin)

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template -
Amended October 2022

Template Status: Active

Last Modified By: Joyce Trevithick

Last Modified: 11/27/2022
2

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Concentrated erosion	0	30	100
Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss	0	35	100
Wind and water erosion	0	35	100

Concentrated erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Ephemeral gully erosion	0	100	100

Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sediment transported to surface water	0	100	100

Wind and water erosion			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Sheet and rill erosion	0	50	100
Wind erosion	0	50	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Alley Cropping	311	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	Conservation Practices
Conservation Crop Rotation	328	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	329	Conservation Practices
Contour Farming	330	Conservation Practices
Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crops	331	Conservation Practices
Contour Buffer Strips	332	Conservation Practices
Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products	333	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	Conservation Practices
Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	345	Conservation Practices
Sediment Basin	350	Conservation Practices
Diversion	362	Conservation Practices
Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces	373	Conservation Practices
Forest Farming	379	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	Conservation Practices
Hedgerow Planting	422	Conservation Practices
Hillside Ditch	423	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery	447	Conservation Practices
Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application	450	Conservation Practices
Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment	453	Conservation Practices
Precision Land Forming and Smoothing	462	Conservation Practices
Lined Waterway or Outlet	468	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Row Arrangement	557	Conservation Practices
Heavy Use Area Protection	561	Conservation Practices
Stormwater Runoff Control	570	Conservation Practices
Trails and Walkways	575	Conservation Practices
Stream Crossing	578	Conservation Practices
Stripcropping	585	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	Conservation Practices
Crosswind Ridges	588	Conservation Practices
Cross Wind Trap Strips	589	Conservation Practices
Terrace	600	Conservation Practices
Vegetative Barrier	601	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Wind Barriers	603	Conservation Practices
Surface Roughening	609	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Water and Sediment Control Basin	638	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	650	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Constructed Wetland	656	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices
Wetland Creation	658	Conservation Practices
Annual Forages for Grazing Systems	810	Interim Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY Statewide Cropland (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
WA State	Washington State	--
	Otherwise	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: FY23 Statewide Cropland		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
This is a FY23 Statewide Cropland application. (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: State Questions		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: State Questions

Question	Answer Choices	Points
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts? Point value: 40 pts	YES	40
	NO	0
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as either Beginning Farmer or Socially Disadvantaged participant, AND has been entered into Protracts applicant information? Point value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS645, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0
Applicants practice schedule is four years or less (ending 12/31/2027 or earlier). Point Value: 50 pts	YES	50
	NO	0
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656). Point Value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Applications Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement. Point value: 10 pts	YES	10
	NO	0
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328, CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659). Point value: 20 pts	YES	20
	NO	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: FY23 Statewide Resource

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Ephemeral Gully or concentrated erosion: select the one answer that applies	Do the planned practices address existing ephemeral gully erosion? 100pts	100
	NO	0
Sheet and Rill, or Wind Erosion: select the one answer that applies	Do the planned practices result in a 50% reduction of erosion over all planned land units? 100pts	100
	Do the planned practices result in a 25% -49.9% reduction of erosion over all planned land units? 50pts	50
	0.1-24.9% reduction of erosion over all planned land units? 5pts	5